Note 1

Hans Martinez

2024-01-19

1 Profit Maximization¹

Let y = f(x) be the production function of a firm. The firm faces output prices p, and the price of the input w. The profit-maximization problem facing the firm can be written as

$$\max_{x} pf(x) - wx$$

2 Revealed Profitability

When a profit-maximizing firm makes its choice of inputs and outputs it reveals two things:

- 1. feasible production plan
- 2. these choices are more profitable than other feasible choices that the firm could have made

Suppose you observe two choices that the firm makes at two different sets of prices. At time t, it faces (p^t, w^t) and makes choices (y^t, x^t) . At time s, it faces prices (p^s, w^s) and makes choices (y^s, x^s) . If the production function of the firms hasn't changed from times s and t and if the firm is a profit maximizer, then we must have

$$p^t y^t - w^t x^t \ge p^t y^s - w^t x^s \tag{1}$$

and

$$p^s y^s - w^s x^s \ge p^s y^t - w^s x^t \tag{2}$$

In other words, the profits that the firm achieved facing the t period prices must be larger than if they used the s period plan and vice versa.

¹Example and figures taken from Varian (2014)

If either of these inequalities were violated, the firm could not have been a profit-maximizer (with an unchanging technology).

The satisfaction of these inequalities might be referred to as the **Weak Axiom** of **Profit Maximization (WAPM)**.

These inequalities provide a practical test for the profit-maximization model. In other words, if the inequalities are met we cannot reject the hypothesis that firms are profit-maximizers under unchanging technology and price-taking behavior.

In addition, if the firm's choices satisfy WAPM, we can derive a useful comparative statics statement about the behavior of factor demand and output supplies when prices change. Transpose the two sides of Equation 2 to get

$$-p^s y^t + w^s x^t \ge -p^s y^s + w^s x^s \tag{3}$$

and add Equation 3 to Equation 1 to get

$$(p^t - p^s)y^t - (w^t - w^s)x^t \ge (p^t - p^s)y^s - (w^t - w^s)x^s \tag{4}$$

Rearrange to get

$$(p^t - p^s)(y^t - y^s) - (w^t - w^s)(x^t - x^s) \ge 0$$
(5)

Finally, define change in prices, $\Delta p=(p^t-p^s)$, change in output $\Delta y=(y^t-y^s)$, and so on

$$\Delta p \Delta y - \Delta w \Delta x \ge 0 \tag{6}$$

Our final result says that the change in the price of output times the change in output minus the change in the price of input times the change in the input must be non-negative. This equation contains all the comparative statics results about profit maximization.

For example, suppose the price of output changes but the price of the input stays constant. Then, because $\Delta w = 0$, Equation 6 reduces to

$$\Delta p \Delta y \ge 0 \tag{7}$$

Therefore, if the price of output increases, $\Delta p \geq 0$, then the change in output must be non-negative as well, $\Delta y \geq 0$. This says that the profit-maximizing supply curve of a competitive firm must have a positive (or at least zero) slope.

Similarly, if the price of the output remains constant, Equation 6 becomes

$$-\Delta w \Delta x \ge 0$$

$$\Delta w \Delta x < 0$$
(8)


Thus if the price of the input goes up, $\Delta w \ge 0$, then the demand for the input will go down (or at least remain the same), $\Delta x \le 0$. This means that the factor demand curve must be a decreasing function of the input prices: input demand curves have a negative slope.

If we observe a firm's choices, and these choices satisfy WAPM, we can construct an estimate of the technology for which the observed choices are profit-maximizing choices.

Suppose we are given an observed choice in period t and s, as above.

1. Calculate the profits π_s and π_t and plot all the combinations of y and x that yield these profits.

$$\pi_t = p^t y - w^t x$$
$$\pi_s = p^s y - w^s x$$



Construction of a possible technology. If the observed choices are maximal profit choices at each set of prices, then we can estimate the shape of the technology that generated those choices by using the isoprofit lines.


The points above the isoprofit line for period t represent higher profits than π_t at period t prices, the points above the isoprofit line for period s represent higher profits than π_s at period s prices.

WAPM requires that the choice in period t must lie below the period s isoprofit line and that the choice in period s must lie below the period t isoprofit line.

If this condition is satisfied, the shaded area beneath the two lines approximates the technology for which (y^t, x^t) and (y^s, x^s) are profit-maximizing choices.

The proof that this technology will generate the observed choices as profit-maximizing choices is clear geometrically. At the prices (p^t, w^t) , the choice (y^t, x^t) is on the highest isoprofit line possible, and the same goes for the period s choice.

Thus, when the observed choices satisfy WAPM, we can "reconstruct" an estimate of a technology that might have generated the observations. In this sense, any observed choices consistent with WAPM could be profit-maximizing choices. As we observe more choices that the firm makes, we get a tighter estimate of the production function.



This estimate of the production function can be used to forecast firm behavior in other environments or for other uses in economic analysis.

References

Varian, Hal R. 2014. Intermediate Microeconomics with Calculus. NY: W.W. Norton; Company.