Module-2 Practice Questions

Specification and recognition of Tokens

- 1. Write the regular definitions (specifications) for the following tokens keywords (if, then, else), identifier, relop, num, white space (ws) Illustrate the recognition of above token with transition diagrams.
- 2. Illustrate the simple approach to the design of a lexical analyzer for an identifier.

LEX: Lexical Analyzer Generator

3. A Lex program is given below –

```
Regular Definitions
None
Transition Rules
a { } /* actions are omitted here */
abb { }
a*b<sup>+</sup> { }
Implement the Lex as DFA.
```

4. A Lex program is given below –

```
Auxiliary Definitions
None
Transition Rules
a { } /* actions are omitted here */
abb { }
a*b* { }
```

Implement the Lex as DFA.

5. Write a Lex program to recognize the following tokens — ws {white space}, if, then, else, end, id Also generate the output of Lex as Lexical Analyzer (Finite Automata).

CFG: Derivations, Derivation tree, ambiguity in a grammar

- 6. (a) Derive left and right most derivations for the input string a=b*c+d/e for the given grammar $E \rightarrow E+E|E-E|E*E|E/E|(E)|id$
 - (b) Find the left most and right most derivations for the string +*-xyx in the grammar: $E \rightarrow +EE|*EE|*EE|x|y$
 - (c) Consider G whose productions are $S \to aAS I a$, $A \to SbA \mid SS \mid ba$. Show that S => aabbaa and construct a derivation tree whose yield is aabbaa.
 - (c) Let G be the grammar $S \to \theta B \mid 1A, A \to 0 \mid 0S \mid 1AA, B \to 1 \mid 1S \mid \theta BB$. For the string 00110101, find (i) the leftmost derivation, (ii) the rightmost derivation, and (iii) the derivation tree.

- (c) If G is the grammar $S \rightarrow SbS \mid a$, show that G is ambiguous.
- (d) If G is the grammar $S \rightarrow S+S \mid S*S \mid a \mid b$, show that G is ambiguous.
- (e) Show that the grammar $S \rightarrow a \mid abSb \mid aAb, A \rightarrow bS \mid aAAb$ is ambiguous.
- (f) Show that the grammar $S \rightarrow aB \mid ab, A \rightarrow aAB \mid a. B \rightarrow ABb \mid b$ is ambiguous.

CFG: Simplification of CFGs

- 7. (a) Find a reduced grammar equivalent to the grammar $S \to aAa$, $A \to bBB$, $B \to ab$, $C \to aB$.
 - (b) Given the grammar $S \to AB$, $A \to a$, $B \to C \mid b$, $C \to D$, $D \to E, E \to a$, find an equivalent grammar which is reduced and has no unit productions.
 - (c) Construct a reduced grammar equivalent to the grammar

$$S \rightarrow aAa, A \rightarrow Sb \mid bCC \mid DaA. C \rightarrow abb \mid DD, E \rightarrow aC, D \rightarrow aDA$$

(d) Consider the grammar G whose productions are $S \to as \mid AB, A \to \Lambda, B \to \Lambda, D \to b$. Construct a grammar G_1 without null productions generating L(G) - $\{\Lambda\}$.

PDA: Construction of a PDA for a given Context-free Language (CFL)

- 8. (a) Define Push Down Automata. Construct Push Down Automata accepting the following language $L = \{a^n b^n | n \ge 0\}$
 - **(b)** Construct the PDA that recognizes the languages $L=\{x=x^R: x \in \{a,b\}^+\}$.
 - (c) Design Push Down Automata for $L = \{a^n b^n | n \ge 1\}$.
 - (d) Design Push Down Automata for $L = \{a^{2n}b^n \mid n \ge 1\}$.
 - (e) Design Push Down Automata for $L = \{a^nb^{2n} \mid n > 0\}$.
 - (f) Design PDA for $L = \{a^nb^nc^m | n, m \ge 1\}$.
 - (g) Design PDA for $L = \{a^m b^n c^n | n, m \ge 1\}$.
 - (h) Design PDA for $L = \{a^nb^mc^n | n, m \ge 1\}$.
 - (i) Construct the PDA that recognizes the languages $L=\{ww^R|w\in(0+1)^*, w^R \text{ is reverse of } w\}$.

Note: Acceptance might be asked by either final state or by null store (empty stack).

PDA: Conversion of CFG to PDA

9. (a) Construct the PDA to the following grammar:

$$S \rightarrow aAA, A \rightarrow aS \mid bS \mid a$$

- (b) Convert the following Context Free Grammar to Push Down Automata S→aSbb | aab
- (c) Find Push Down Automata that accepts the Context-free Grammar:

$$S \rightarrow XY$$
, $X \rightarrow aX \mid bX \mid a$, $Y \rightarrow Ya \mid Yb \mid a$

- (d) Construct PDA for the given CFG: $S \rightarrow aSb$, $S \rightarrow ab$, Where S is the only variable and $\{a,b\}$ are terminals.
- (e) Convert the following grammar in a PDA that accepts the language by empty stack $S\rightarrow 0S1|A$, $A\rightarrow 1A0|S|\epsilon$

PDA: Conversion of PDA to CFG

10. (a) Convert the following Push Down Automata to Context Free Grammar

```
M= (\{q_0,q_1\},\{a,b\}\{z_0,z_a\},\delta,q_0,z_0,\phi) \text{ where } \delta \text{ is given by } \delta(q_0,a,z_0)=(q_0,\,z_az_0) \\ \delta(q_0,a,\,z_a)=(q_0,z_az_a) \\ \delta(q_0,b,\,z_a)=(q_1,C) \\ \delta(q_1,b,\,z_a)=(q_1,C) \\ \delta(q_1,C,\,z_0)=(q_1,C)
```

(b) Construct CFG for the PDA given below A=($\{q_0,q_1\},\{0,1\},\{S,A\},\delta,q_0,S,\phi$) where δ is given as below

```
\begin{array}{l} \delta(q_0,1,S) {=} \{(q_0,AS)\} \\ \delta(q_0,\epsilon,S) {=} \{(q_0,\epsilon)\} \\ \delta(q_0,1,A) {=} \{(q_0,AA)\} \\ \delta(q_0,0,A) {=} \{(q_1,A)\} \\ \delta(q_0,1,A) {=} \{(q_1,\epsilon)\} \\ \delta(q_1,0,S) {=} \{(q_0,S)\} \end{array}
```

(c) Construct CFG for the PDA M=($\{q_0,q_1\},\{0,1\},\{R,Z_0\},\delta,q_0,Z_0,\phi$) where δ is given by

```
\begin{split} &\delta(q_0,1,Z_0) {=} \{(q_0,RZ_0)\} \\ &\delta(q_0,1,R) {=} \{(q_0,RR)\} \\ &\delta(q_0,0,R) {=} \{(q_1,R)\} \\ &\delta(q_1,0,Z_0) {=} \{(q_0,Z_0)\} \\ &\delta(q_0,\epsilon,Z_0) {=} \{(q_0,\epsilon)\} \\ &\delta(q_1,1,R) {=} \{(q_1,\epsilon)\} \end{split}
```

Proving the given language L as not context-free using Pumping lemma

- 11. Using pumping lemma Prove that the following languages are not context-free.
 - (a) $L = \{a^n b^n c^n | n \ge 1\}$
 - (b) $L = \{a^p | p \text{ is prime}\}$
 - (c) The set of all strings over $\{a, b, c\}$ in which the number of occurrences of a, b, c is the same.
 - (d) $L = \{a^m b^m c^n | m \le n \le 2m\}$
 - (e) $L = \{a^m b^n | n = m^2\}$