

 $Matthew\ J.\ Dudley,$  for appellant.

 $\label{lem:camerina} \textit{Camerina I. Brokaw-Zorrozua} \ (\text{of Maxey Law Office PS}), \\ \text{for respondent.}$ 

¶1 Pennell, J. — Superior court judges are authorized to review court commissioner decisions through a motion for revision. Although new evidence may not be considered, a judge acting on a motion for revision otherwise has plenary authority over the matter and may issue any findings or decisions that could have been entered by the commissioner.

¶2 The broad authority conferred on superior court judges warranted the actions taken by the judge in this case. After Christy Lyle filed a motion to revise a commissioner's decision dismissing her petition to modify child support, the superior court judge was not restricted to either affirming or reversing the commissioner's order. Instead, on finding dismissal unwarranted, the judge was empowered to enter a child support award as had been requested by Ms. Lyle in her petition. The decision of the superior court judge is therefore affirmed.

## **FACTS**

¶3 In 2014, Christy Lyle filed a petition to modify a 2009 child support order. Ms. Lyle asserted various grounds for