The Solow Model

Prof. Lutz Hendricks

Econ520

November 1, 2022

Topics

What is the role of capital for economic growth?

The **Solow model** answers questions such as:

- 1. How much of cross-country income gaps is due to differences in saving rates?
- 2. Does capital accumulation drive long-run growth?
- 3. Do country incomes **converge** over time?
- 4. What happens to growth when production uses **finite** resources?
- 5. What are the implications of the "new economy" innovations that use very little labor?

Objectives

At the end of this section you should be able to

- 1. Derive properties of the Solow model: steady state, effects of shocks, ...
- 2. Graph the dynamics of the Solow model.
- Explain why the contribution of capital (saving) to cross-country output gaps is small.

Note: The Solow model is old (1950s). But it's ideas are durable.

- The basic model structure applies to any factor that is accumulated
- E.g., human capital, knowledge (Romer model)

Models as Measurement Tools

How can we answer cause - effect questions?

A key idea

The model as a measurement tool.

To measure the effect of X on Y, we use the implications of a quantitative model

We would like to run **controlled experiments**, but that's not feasible.

We use models as laboratories to run those experiments.

Later, we discuss the benefits and drawbacks of this method.

Kaldor Facts

What should a model of growth look like?

The U.S. growth experience looks a lot like a "balanced growth path"


- ▶ GDP growth has been essentially constant at 2% per year
- Wages, capital stocks and GDP grow at about the same rate
- Interest rates have no trend
- ightharpoonup The share of labor income in GDP has been constant (2/3)

This is why economists like to write down models with **balanced growth**.

all growth rates are constant over time.

Constant US Growth

FIGURE 1.4 REAL PER CAPITA GDP IN THE UNITED STATES, 1870-2008



US growth has been essentially constant for 140 years. What does this tell us about determinants of long-run growth?

Model Elements

The world goes on forever.

Time is indexed by the **continuous** variable t.

One good (Y) is produced from two inputs (capital K and labor L).

Productivity (A) grows exogenously

- at a constant rate
- later, we will study where productivity growth comes from

Households save a constant fraction of income.

Is the Model Too Simple?

The model makes strong assumptions:

- only 2 factor inputs (capital and labor)
- an aggregate production function (no firm detail)
- constant saving rate

Why should we take this seriously?

What Makes a Good Model?

A good model starts out as simple as possible.

- A model tells a story in math.
- Simplicity is good (to start with).

But need to check robustness.

The Solow Story

Economic growth is driven by

- physical capital accumulation (investment)
- productivity growth

The key insight:

- investment alone cannot drive growth
- due to diminishing marginal product of capital
- but investment is important for output levels

Production Structure

The functional form is **Cobb-Douglas**:

$$Y(t) = F[K(t), L(t), A(t)]$$

$$= K(t)^{\alpha} [A(t) L(t)]^{1-\alpha}$$
(1)

The Cobb-Douglas has properties that fit the data:

- ▶ the labor share (labor income / GDP) is constant over time
- the elasticity of substitution between capital and labor is close to 1

 α is a parameter between 0 and 1.

ightharpoonup we see later: α is the capital income share

Productivity Growth

- A(t) is an index of the state of "technology"
 - > anything that makes people more productive over time

A grows over time for reasons that are not modeled

a major shortcoming of the model

The growth rate of A is g:

$$A(t) = e^{gt} (2)$$

Labor Input

L grows over time at rate n:

$$L(t) = L(0) e^{nt}$$

This is constant growth in continuous time:

$$\ln(L(t)) = \ln(L(0)) + nt \tag{3}$$

Normalize L(0) = 1

Why can I do that?

Capital Accumulation

Output is divided between consumption and gross investment:

$$Y(t) = C(t) + I(t) \tag{4}$$

Investment contributes to the capital stock:

$$\dot{K}(t) = I(t) - \delta K(t) \tag{5}$$

 $\dot{K}(t) = dK(t)/dt$ is the time derivative of K(t).

- ▶ the change in *K* per "period".
- δ is the rate of depreciation.

Capital Accumulation: Discrete Time

To better understand the law of motion for K, we look at a discrete time version.

Enter the period with capital stock K(t).

Lose $\delta K(t)$ to depreciation.

Produce I(t) new machines.

Change in the capital stock: $K(t+1) - K(t) = I(t) - \delta K(t)$.

Capital Accumulation: Discrete Time

Now we look at shorter time periods of length Δt .

$$K(t + \Delta t) - K(t) = [I(t) - \delta K(t)] \times \Delta t \tag{6}$$

or

$$\frac{K(t+\Delta t)-K(t)}{\Delta t}=I(t)-\delta K(t) \tag{7}$$

The change in capital per unit of time is given by investment minus depreciation.

Let
$$\Delta t o 0$$
 then $\frac{K(t+\Delta t)-K(t)}{\Delta t} o$

Choices

This is a closed economy. Saving equals investment: S(t) = I(t).

Note: All of the above is simply a description of the production technology.

Nothing has been said about how people behave.

People make two fundamental choices (in macro!):

- 1. How much to save / consume.
- 2. How much to work.

Choices

Work: we assume L(t) is exogenous.

Consumption / saving:

▶ We assume that people save a fixed fraction of income:

$$C(t) = (1-s)Y(t)$$
 (8)

Equivalently:

$$I(t) = sY(t) \tag{9}$$

Model Summary

We have 3 equations that determine Y, K, I over time.

1. Cobb-Douglas production function

$$Y(t) = K(t)^{\alpha} [A(t) L(t)]^{1-\alpha}$$
 (10)

2. Law of motion for capital:

$$\dot{K}(t) = I(t) - \delta K(t) \tag{11}$$

3. Constant saving rate: I(t) = s Y(t).

Exogenous driving forces:

- 1. Constant population growth: $L(t) = L(0) e^{nt}$.
- 2. Constant productivity growth: $A(t) = A(0) e^{\gamma t}$. For now: $\gamma = 0$.

The Law of Motion for Capital

Solving the Model

Even this simple model cannot be "solved" algebraically.

► That is, we cannot write the endogenous variables as functions of time.

This is almost never possible in dynamic models.

Dynamic means: there are many time periods. All interesting macro models are dynamic.

What we can do is

- graph the model and trace out qualitatively what happens over time.
- 2. solve the model for the long-run values of the endogenous variables (e.g. K(t) as $t \to \infty$).

The Solow Diagram

- \blacktriangleright We condense the model into a single equation in K.
- ▶ It will be a dynamic equation that tells us how *K* changes over time as a function of *K*.
- ▶ Then we graph the equation.

The Solow Equation

Start from the law of motion:

$$\dot{K}(t) = I(t) - \delta K(t) \tag{12}$$

Impose constant saving:

$$\dot{K}(t) = s Y(t) - \delta K(t)$$
 (13)

► Impose the production function:

$$\dot{K}(t) = sK(t)^{\alpha} [A(t)L(t)]^{1-\alpha} - \delta K(t)$$
 (14)

Per capita growth

- ▶ We express everything in per capita terms. E.g., y = Y/L, etc.
- Output per capita is derived from $Y = K^{\alpha} [AL]^{1-\alpha}$:

$$y = (K/L)^{\alpha} A^{1-\alpha} \tag{15}$$

- Let's ignore technical change for now and set A constant.
- Now we have

$$\dot{K}/L = s\underline{A^{1-\alpha}(K/L)^{\alpha}} - \delta K/L \tag{16}$$

or

$$\dot{K}/L = sA^{1-\alpha}k^{\alpha} - \delta k \tag{17}$$

The law of motion for capital

- ▶ The law of motion can then be written as

$$\dot{k} = sA^{1-\alpha}k^{\alpha} - (n+\delta)k \tag{18}$$

- Intuition:
 - Suppose you invest nothing (s = 0). Then K drops by δ each period due to depreciation.
 - K/L declines even more because the number of people increases by n each period.

Proof of the law of motion

Growth rate rule:

$$\dot{k}/k = \dot{K}/K - n \tag{19}$$

► Multiply by *k*:

$$\dot{k} = \dot{K}/L \times Lk/K - nk
= \dot{K}/L - nk$$
(20)

- From the law of motion we know \dot{K}/L
- ▶ Plug that in done.

Digression: What modern macro would do

- Modern macro would replace the constant saving rate with an optimizing household.
- Households maximize utility of consumption, summed over all dates.
- ▶ They choose time paths of c(t) and K(t).
- The saving rate would be endogenous and depend on
 - \triangleright the interest rate (marginal product of K)
 - productivity
 - population growth
 - expectations of all future variables.
- What do we gain from this complication?

Factor prices

Assume that (K,L) are paid their marginal products:

$$q = \partial F / \partial K \tag{22}$$

$$w = \partial F/\partial L \tag{23}$$

q is the rental price of K, it is **not the interest rate**.

Wage rate

Labor receives share $1 - \alpha$ of total output:

$$w = \partial F / \partial L \tag{24}$$

$$=\frac{\partial K^{\alpha}A^{1-\alpha}L^{1-\alpha}}{\partial L}\tag{25}$$

$$= (1 - \alpha)K^{\alpha}A^{1 - \alpha}L^{-\alpha} \tag{26}$$

$$= (1 - \alpha)A^{1 - \alpha}k^{\alpha} \tag{27}$$

$$= (1 - \alpha)y \tag{28}$$

Labor income: $wL = (1 - \alpha)yL$.

Marginal product of capital

Capital receives share α of total output:

$$q = \frac{\partial F}{\partial K}$$

$$= \frac{\partial K^{\alpha} (AL)^{1-\alpha}}{\partial K}$$

$$= \alpha (AL)^{1-\alpha} K^{\alpha-1}$$

$$= \alpha A^{1-\alpha} k^{\alpha-1}$$

$$= \alpha y/k$$
(29)
$$(31)$$

$$(32)$$

$$(33)$$

Capital income: $qk = \alpha y$.

The Interest Rate

What is an interest rate?

The interest rate answers the question:

The Interest Rate

What is the interest rate in the Solow model?

- \triangleright Rent 1 unit of c to the firm at t.
- At t+1 receive:
 - 1. q_{t+1} in rental income;
 - 2. 1δ units of undepreciated capital.

The interest rate is therefore: $1 + r_{t+1} = q_{t+1} + 1 - \delta$. It behaves just like the MPK.

Summary

Law of motion for capital:

$$\dot{k} = sA^{1-\alpha}k^{\alpha} - (n+\delta)k \tag{34}$$

Wage rate:

$$w = (1 - \alpha)A^{1 - \alpha}k^{\alpha} \tag{35}$$

Interest rate:

$$r = q - \delta \tag{36}$$

$$= \alpha A^{1-\alpha} k^{\alpha-1} \tag{37}$$

Reading

- ▶ Jones (2013), ch. 2
- ▶ Blanchard (2018), ch. 11
- ▶ Blanchard and Johnson (2013), ch. 11

Further Reading:

- ► Romer (2011), ch. 1
- ▶ Barro and Sala-i Martin (1995), ch. 1.2

References I

- Barro, R. and X. Sala-i Martin (1995): "Economic growth," *Boston, MA*.
- Blanchard, O. (2018): Macroeconomics, Boston: Pearson, 8th ed.
- Blanchard, O. and D. Johnson (2013): *Macroeconomics*, Boston: Pearson, 6th ed.
- Jones, Charles; Vollrath, D. (2013): Introduction To Economic Growth, W W Norton, 3rd ed.
- Romer, D. (2011): Advanced macroeconomics, McGraw-Hill/Irwin.