Stochastic Dynamic Programming: Theorems

Prof. Lutz Hendricks

Econ720

November 15, 2021

Theorems: Stochastic DP

We state conditions under which dynamic programming "works" The assumptions needed and the results are very similar to the deterministic case.

Acemoglu (2009) has a simplified version with discrete random variables.

Stokey et al. (1989) have more general results.

The generic problem

Environment

Start with capital stock x(0).

The shocks z(t) follow a discrete Markov chain.

- A strong assumption.
- It can be relaxed without affecting results too much.
- But at the expense of notation.

The generic problem

After each node $z^t = (z(0), ..., z(t))$ of shocks, choose next period's capital stock

$$x(t+1) = x[z^t] \tag{1}$$

x(t+1) is constrained to lie in the set G(x(t),z(t)).

Key: period utility and constraints only depend on current realizations (x(t), z(t)), not on history.

If not: DP fails (or we need tricks).

P1: Sequence problem

$$V^*(x(0),z(0)) = \max_{\{x[z']\}} E_0 \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t U(x[z^{t-1}],x[z^t],z(t))$$

subject to

$$x[z^t] \in G(x[z^{t-1}], z(t))$$

 $x(0)$ given

The law of motion for x is built into U.

P2: Recursive problem

$$V(x,z) = \max_{y \in G(x,z)} U(x,y,z) + \beta E[V(y,z')|z]$$

So much simpler!

Assumptions

A1:

- ightharpoonup G(x,z) is nonempty.
- For all feasible plans: $\lim_{n\to\infty} E\left[\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t U\left(x\left[z^{t-1}\right], x\left[z^t\right], z(t)\right) | z(0)\right] < \infty.$

A feasible plan is now a collection of state contingent plans $(x[z^t]$ for all histories z^t) that satisfies $x[z^t] \in G$.

A2

- ightharpoonup X is a compact subset of \mathbb{R}^K
 - ▶ where $x(t) \in X$.
- ▶ *U* is continuous.

[There are additional issues when z does not live in a finite set]

Theorem 1: Equivalence of values

- Assume A1 and A2.
- $V^*(x,z)$ in the sequence problem solves the recursive problem.
- V(x,z) in the recursive problem equals $V^*(x,z)$ in the sequence problem, IF

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}\beta^{t}E\left[V\left(x\left[z^{t-1}\right],z(t)\right)\right]=0$$

for all feasible plans.

Theorem 2: Principle of Optimality

- Assume A1 and A2.
- Any optimal plan in the sequence problem satisfies the Bellman equation with value V^* :

$$V^*(x[z^{t-1}],z(t)) = U(x[z^{t-1}],x[z^t],z(t)) + \beta E[V^*(x[z^t],z(t+1))|z(t+1)]$$

Any feasible plan that solves the above attains V^* in the sequence problem.

The point: Solving P1 and P2 are equivalent.

Theorem 3: Existence of solutions

- Assume A1 and A2.
- An optimal plan exists for any initial conditions x(0), z(0).
- \triangleright V is unique, continuous, bounded in x for each z.

V(x,y,z) is strictly concave in the sense that

$$U(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, z) \ge \alpha U(x, y, z) + (1 - \alpha) U(x', y', z)$$
 (2)

with strict inequality when $x \neq x'$, where $\bar{x} = \alpha x + (1 - \alpha)x'$ and $\bar{y} = \alpha y + (1 - \alpha)y'$.

▶ The set G(x,z) is convex in the sense that

$$y \in G(x), y' \in G(x') \Longrightarrow \bar{y} \in G(\bar{x})$$
 (3)

A4

- V(x,y,z) is strictly increasing in all elements of x.
- ▶ *G* is monotone: $x \le x' \Longrightarrow G(x,z) \subset G(x',z)$ for all *z*.

A5

▶ U is continuously differentiable in x.

Theorem 4: Concavity of V

- Assume A1, A2, A3.
- ▶ Then V is strictly concave in x.
- ► The optimal plan $x[z^t] = \pi(x(t), z(t))$ is unique and π is continuous in x.

.

Recall A3: *U* strictly concave. *G* convex.

Then the Bellman equation is a concave optimization problem.

Theorem 5: Monotonicity of V

- Assume A1, A2, A4.
- ▶ Then V is strictly increasing in x.

٠

Recall A4: U and G are monotone in x.

Theorem 6: Differentiability of V

- Assume A1-A3, A5.
- ightharpoonup Then V is continuously differentiable in x.

.

Recall A5: *U* is differentiable.

Theorem 8: Euler equations

- Assume A1-A5.
- Then the interior feasible plan that satisfies the Euler equation

$$D_{y}U(x,\pi(x,z),z)+\beta E\left[D_{x}U(\pi(x,z),\pi(\pi(x,z),z'),z')|z\right]=0$$

and the TVC

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}\beta^t E[D_x U(t) \ \pi(t)] = 0$$

solves the recursive problem P2.

► The Euler equation with scalar *x*:

$$\frac{\partial U(x, x', z)}{\partial x'} + \beta E \left[\frac{\partial U(x', x'', z')}{\partial x'} | z \right] = 0 \tag{4}$$

Note: the TVC must hold starting from any node $x[z^t], z(t)$.

Continuous shocks

- ▶ If the shock *z* lives in a continuum, nothing of substance changes.
- ► Acemoglu 16.4

Example: Permanent income hypothesis

Example: Permanent income hypothesis

A household maximizes

$$E_0 \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t u(c(t)) \tag{5}$$

- u has all nice properties: strictly increasing, concave, differentiable.
- Budget constraint:

$$a(t+1) = (1+r)a(t) + w(t) - c(t)$$
(6)

• w(t) is i.i.d. with $Pr(w(t) = w_j) = q_j$.

Budget constraint

- A tricky issue: the budget constraint.
- ▶ How much should the household be able to borrow?
- A natural borrowing constraint:

$$a(t) \ge -\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{w_1}{(1+r)^s} \equiv -b_1$$
 (7)

- ► This ensures that the household can repay his debts, even if he receives the worst possible income realization in each period.
- ▶ Because w is i.i.d. and the household lives forever, b_1 is a constant.

Sequence problem

- ▶ The history is w^t .
- ▶ The household chooses $c[w^t]$ for all possible histories.
- ▶ The problem is too tedious to even write down...

Recursive formulation

$$V(a,w) = \max_{a' \in [-b_1,(1+r)a+w]} u([1+r]a+w-a') + \beta EV(a',w') \quad (8)$$

Mapping into the generic problem:

- $\rightarrow x \rightarrow a, z \rightarrow w$
- $G(z,x) \to [-b_1,(1+r)a+w]$
- $U(x,y,z) \rightarrow u([1+r]a+w-a')$

First-order conditions

Verify A1-A5 ...

Then we can characterize the solution by the FOCs:

$$u'(c) = \beta EV_a(a', w')$$
 (9)

$$V_a(a,w) = (1+r)u'(c)$$
 (10)

Euler:

$$u'(c) = \beta (1+r)Eu'(c')$$
(11)

- ► $G(x,z) = [-b_1,(1+r)a+w]$ is nonempty $-b_1$ is constructed that way.
- For all feasible plans:

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} E\left[\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \dot{\beta}^t U\left(x\left[z^{t-1}\right], x\left[z^t\right], z(t)\right) | z(0)\right] < \infty.$$

- ► This is NOT generally satisfied.
- $ightharpoonup (1+r) > \beta$ could imply unbounded growth.
- \blacktriangleright We need a restriction that r not too high. Tedious details...

- \triangleright X is a compact subset of \mathbb{R}^K
 - ► Here: $X = \mathbb{R}_+$ which is obviously not compact.
 - We need to argue that bounding *a* from above does not bind (when 1+r < β).
- ightharpoonup U is continuous by assumption.

V(x,y,z) is strictly concave in the sense that

$$U(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, z) \ge \alpha U(x, y, z) + (1 - \alpha) U(x', y', z)$$
(12)

with strict inequality when $x \neq x'$, where $\bar{x} = \alpha x + (1 - \alpha)x'$ and $\bar{y} = \alpha y + (1 - \alpha)y'$.

- ► Here: $U([1+r][\alpha a_1 + (1-\alpha)a_2] + w [\alpha a'_1 + (1-\alpha)a'_2])$ with $\partial U/\partial a' < 0$ and $\partial^2 U/\partial (a')^2 < 0$.
- ▶ The set G(x,z) is convex in the sense that

$$y \in G(x), y' \in G(x') \Longrightarrow \bar{y} \in G(\bar{x})$$
 (13)

easy to check

- V(x,y,z) is strictly increasing in all elements of x.
 - ► Here: $\partial u/\partial a > 0$.
- ▶ *G* is monotone: $x \le x' \Longrightarrow G(x,z) \subset G(x',z)$ for all *z*.
 - ► Here: (1+r)a is increasing in a.

ightharpoonup U is continuously differentiable in x. – by assumption.

Quadratic case

- ► Assume $u(c) = \phi c 0.5c^2$
- $\triangleright u'(c) = \phi c.$
- ► Euler:

$$\phi - c = \beta (1 + r) E \left[\phi - c' \right]$$
 (14)

- Nothing in the info set at t should predict consumption growth [in this example: Ec' c]. Hall 1978.
- Strangely, a large literature has tested this prediction, even though it only holds with quadratic utility!

Shortcut

- ▶ We could have derived the Euler equation naively by treating E as a constant in the optimization problem.
- ► The deterministic FOCs turn out to be correct (in many [all?] cases).

Reading

- ► Acemoglu (2009), ch. 16.1-16.2.
- ► Krusell (2014), ch. 6.

References I

Acemoglu, D. (2009): *Introduction to modern economic growth*, MIT Press.

Krusell, P. (2014): "Real Macroeconomic Theory," Unpublished.

Stokey, N., R. Lucas, and E. C. Prescott (1989): "Recursive Methods in Economic Dynamics," .