Open Economy IS/LM Model: Fixed Exchange Rate

Prof. Lutz Hendricks

Econ520

September 12, 2022

Exchange Rate Interventions

- ► Almost all central banks intervene in FX markets
- ▶ The mechanics: buy dollars and sell Euros (or vice versa)
- Each intervention changes the money supply.
- ► This produces a conflict: the CB has one instrument (*M*) but 3 targets
 - stable inflation
 - stable output
 - stable exchange rate

Exchange Rate Regimes

- Two extremes:
 - floating: the CB does not buy or sell FX
 - peg: the CB stands ready to buy/sell any amount of FX at a fixed E
- ► Reality is somewhere in between

Pegging and Monetary Control

How can the exchange rate be fixed when capital is mobile? UIP

$$1 + i = (1 + i^*)E^e/E \tag{1}$$

Fixing the exchange rate $(E = E^e)$ implies

$$i = i^* \tag{2}$$

The CB has no control over the interest rate

What happens if the Fed tries to change the interest rate?

- short answer: capital flows overwhelm the Fed
- long answer: below

Monetary control

Money market clearing

$$M/P = YL(i^*) \tag{3}$$

The CB has no control over the money supply either. Why?

- ▶ short answer: the Fed needs to set M/P to keep $i = i^*$
 - otherwise: capital flows overwhelm the Fed
- long answer: below

Equilibrium: Fixed Exchange Rate

$$IS: Y = C(Y - T) + I(Y, i^*) + G + NX(Y, Y^*, \varepsilon)$$
(4)

$$LM: M/P = Y \times L(i^*) \tag{5}$$

$$UIP: i = i^* \tag{6}$$


Exogenous: $E = E^e$, $i = i^*$, P, P^* , $\varepsilon = P/(EP^*)$, Y^* .

Endogenous: Y, M

The logic:

ightharpoonup UIP \Longrightarrow *i*, IS \Longrightarrow *Y*, LM \Longrightarrow *M*.

Caveat: We have assumed that the peg is credible $(E = E^e)$.



Fiscal Policy: Process

$$G \uparrow \Longrightarrow IS \to \Longrightarrow Y \uparrow$$

 $i \uparrow > i^* \Longrightarrow$ capital inflows
Fed sells dollars to absorb them
 $M \uparrow \Longrightarrow LM \to \Longrightarrow Y \uparrow$ and $i \downarrow$
This continues until $i = i^*$ again.

Fiscal Policy: Comparison

Closed economy:

rising *i* dampens fiscal expansion

Fixed exchange rate:

- ► fiscal policy is extra powerful
- this is exactly what happens in a closed economy when G↑ and M↑


Floating exchange rate:

- fiscal policy is less powerful
- some expenditure "leaks out" into the foreign country


Open Market Operations

What happens if the CB tries to increase the money supply?

▶ Open market operation: buy bonds in exchange for money.



Open Market Operations



Open Market Operations

The CB buys bonds with high powered money

- $ightharpoonup M \uparrow, i \downarrow$
- downward pressure on the dollar

In the FX market: CB must buy dollars to keep the peg

- $M \downarrow \Longrightarrow i = i^*$
- ► FX reserves ↓

Net result:

- The CB has effectively paid for the bonds with FX reserves.
- ► *M* stays unchanged (as required by UIP)

Open Market Operations: Comparison

Closed economy:

 $\triangleright Y \uparrow, i \downarrow$

Floating exchange rate:

- monetary policy is stronger
- ▶ because dollar depreciates (NX ↑)
- we borrow demand from abroad

Fixed exchange rate:

monetary policy does not work at all

Reality Check

- ▶ We have assumed perfect capital mobility (UIP)
- ► In reality, Central Banks have some control over the domestic interest rate
- Outcomes are somewhere in between closed economy and perfect capital mobility.

What is the effect of a tariff on imports?


Think of a tariff as improving NX for given (Y, Y^*, ε)

$$IS: Y = C(Y-T) + I(Y,i^*) + G + NX(Y,Y^*,\varepsilon,\tau)$$
(7)

Recall the floating outcome:

- the foreign currency depreciates
- ▶ this mostly undoes the effect of the tariff on *NX*

Do fixed exchange rates change this result?



Result: tariffs work!

How does is square with

$$NX = (Y - T - C) + (T - G) - I$$
 (8)

We have $i = i^*$ unchanged and $Y \uparrow$.

Assumption (always): b+c < 1

only part of the additional income is spent


 $NX \uparrow$

But only in the short run...

Eventually, the expanding money supply causes higher prices

we will see this in the medium run analysis

Result: Even with fixed exchange rates, tariffs don't improve the trade balance.



Policy coordination

Countries can achieve domestic expansion in different ways:

- 1. $G \uparrow$: positive spillover on other countries $(NX \downarrow)$
- 2. Devaluation, tariffs: negative spillover

Need for policy coordination

Risk of competitive devaluations

Review Questions

- 1. Real demand shocks are extra powerful under fixed exchange rates. Why?
- 2. Monetary policy does not work. Why?
- 3. What would happen if the dollar risk premium rose?

Reading

▶ Blanchard / Johnson, Macroeconomics, 6th ed., ch. 19, 20