

# Urgency Memo 3

Henrique Sposito

2024-03-22

## Types/categories/dimensions of urgency (and components)

*Frequency:*

We code definite and indefinite adverbs, though their current scores might match their “frequency” all that well. For instance, is “hourly” the same as “always” and “yearly” the same as “hardly ever”. Perhaps we should consider this in relation to either other words in sentence or as bigrams (e.g. “every hour” and “later than”). This could also be done taking advantage of the NLP tags and their ordering.

```
annotate_text("Every hour that goes by it is getting worse. Our first goal is to adress unemployment. W
```

```
## successfully initialized (spaCy Version: 3.7.4, language model: en_core_web_sm)
```

##	doc_id	sentence_id	token_id	token	lemma	pos	tag	entity
## 1	text1	1	1	Every	every	DET	DT	
## 2	text1	1	2	hour	hour	NOUN	NN	
## 3	text1	1	3	that	that	PRON	WDT	
## 4	text1	1	4	goes	go	VERB	VBZ	
## 5	text1	1	5	by	by	ADP	IN	
## 6	text1	1	6	it	it	PRON	PRP	
## 7	text1	1	7	is	be	AUX	VBZ	
## 8	text1	1	8	getting	get	VERB	VBG	
## 9	text1	1	9	worse	bad	ADJ	JJR	
## 10	text1	1	10	.	.	PUNCT	.	
## 11	text1	2	1	Our	our	PRON	PRP\$	
## 12	text1	2	2	first	first	ADJ	JJ	ORDINAL_B
## 13	text1	2	3	goal	goal	NOUN	NN	
## 14	text1	2	4	is	be	AUX	VBZ	
## 15	text1	2	5	to	to	PART	TO	
## 16	text1	2	6	adress	adress	VERB	VB	
## 17	text1	2	7	unemployment	unemployment	NOUN	NN	
## 18	text1	2	8	.	.	PUNCT	.	
## 19	text1	3	1	We	we	PRON	PRP	
## 20	text1	3	2	should	should	AUX	MD	
## 21	text1	3	3	tackle	tackle	VERB	VB	
## 22	text1	3	4	this	this	DET	DT	
## 23	text1	3	5	issue	issue	NOUN	NN	
## 24	text1	3	6	no	no	ADV	RB	DATE_B
## 25	text1	3	7	later	later	ADV	RB	DATE_I
## 26	text1	3	8	than	than	ADP	IN	DATE_I
## 27	text1	3	9	next	next	ADJ	JJ	DATE_I
## 28	text1	3	10	year	year	NOUN	NN	DATE_I
## 29	text1	3	11	.	.	PUNCT	.	

### *Timing:*

We code relational and relative time words but fail to code them in relation or relative to others... We also miss some ordinal terms (e.g. “second”, “third”, “fourth”) when rankink these.

### *Degree:*

There are 4 grades of degree adverbs according to (Yoo, Kim, and Kwon 2011), they are: maximizer, boosters, compromizers, and dividers. Each is multiplied by a different value (i.e. 2, 1.6, 1.2., and 0.5 respectively). I wonder if we should adopt this convention instead of the “important” and “unimportant” words?

### *Commitment:*

In theory this component relates to the “intensity of the promise”. That is, it “we should” is less of a commitment than “we must”. This has just been added. Is this appropriate?

### *What about promises?*

Overall, this is developing well but we still have issues when it comes to words, subjects, and promises.

```
"Every hour (frequency) that goes by it is getting worse (degree)."
```

```
## [1] "Every hour (frequency) that goes by it is getting worse (degree)."
```

```
# neither hour nor worse are scored
```

```
"Our first (timing) goal is to adress unemployment (topic)."
```

```
## [1] "Our first (timing) goal is to adress unemployment (topic)."
```

```
# the topic is not identified automatically
```

```
"We should (promise/commit level) tackle this issue no later than next year (timing)."
```

```
## [1] "We should (promise/commit level) tackle this issue no later than next year (timing)."
```

```
# this is the only "promise" retained when we extract promises but ...
```

```
# extract_promises("Every hour (frequency) that goes by it is getting worse (degree). Our first (timing)
```

Some possible solutions:

- merge all neighboring promises and/or nearby promises with the same topic
- code lemmas instead of words (for urgency)
- code subjects based on common nouns and entities (for topic)
- take into consideration NLP tags when scoring and coding words/lemmas related to urgency (e.g. only score frequency for adverbs) - How to better use the NLP tags?
- How to normalize scores? We currently try and score each word coded on a 0 to 1 scale, then, we add everything across and divide by the median. Is there a better approach?

### *What else?*

The obvious question would be weather to include emotional words (i.e. emotion as a dimension/component of urgency see Yoo, Kim, and Kwon 2011). Besides that, many works classify adverbs (see Toboada et al. 2011), adjectives (see Josef Ruppenhofer, Wiegand, and Brandes 2014; Melo and bansal 2013; Paradis 1997), and nouns (see Ruppenhofer, Brandes, and Steiner). I wonder if we should take this convention instead. This way we can use and refer to a broader literature (and dictionaries, see below)...

## Further resources:

### Additional Dictionares

- SO-CAL dictionary

## NLP

- Subjects
- Modal verbs
- An NLP-based novel approach for assessing national influence in clause dissemination across bilateral investment treaties

## References for articles/books on word identification/categories/scales/weights (to be adapted)

- Ordering adverbs by their scaling effect on adjective intensity
- The Effect of Negators, Modals, and Degree Adverbs on Sentiment Composition
- Emotional index measurement method for context-aware service
- Scales and Scores An evaluation of methods to determine the intensity of subjective expressions
- Comparing methods for deriving intensity scores for adjectives
- Learning Scalar Adjective Intensity from Paraphrases
- Lexicon-Based Methods for Sentiment Analysis
- Good, Great, Excellent: Global Inference of Semantic Intensities
- Degree modifiers of adjectives in spoken British English
- Not All Words are Created Equal: Extracting Semantic Orientation as a Function of Adjective Relevance
- Analyzing Appraisal Automatically