mod

To create a type of theorem, be it *Theorem*, *Lemma*, *Corollary*, whatever, do this.

Theorem. This is the contents of Theorem. Notice that it does not have a counter.

That means it doesn't matter how many *Theorems* you have, they'll always show up as **Theorem**.

Theorem. Here is another theorem.

Theorem. And another.

On the other hand, Lemmas have a counter, since it was defined without the asterisk.

Lemma 1. Yes, I know this isn't a lemma, but see how there's a counter?

And since *Note* shares the same counter as *Lemma*, the counter will change whenever we define a new *Note* or *Lemma*.

Note 2. Hello World! New counter!

Lemma 3. Counter changes again!

However, Corollarys are defined to be subordinate to Lemma counters, take a look.

Corollary 3.1. Hello, I'm a corollary.

Corollary 3.2. What a coincidence, me too!

Once the "superior" counter changes, in this case *Lemma*, *Corollarys*' counter will also change.

Note 4. Our counter changed!

Corollary 4.1. It should be self-explanatory why my counter is the way it is now.

We can also give names to theorems, like this.

Theorem (Stoke's Theorem). For a closed surface oriented counter-clockwise,

$$\int_{C} \vec{F} \cdot d\vec{r} = \iint_{S} (\nabla \times \vec{F}) \cdot d\mathbf{S}$$

Note 5 (Some arbitrary title). It works for Note, Lemma, etc.

It's extremely simple to create a proof.

Claim: an irrational number raised to an irrational power can be rational.

Proof. Notice that $\sqrt{2} \in \mathbb{R}, \notin \mathbb{Q}$. Therefore, we know that $\sqrt{2}^{\sqrt{2}} \in \mathbb{R}$ but can be $\in \mathbb{Q}$ or $\notin \mathbb{Q}$.

Suppose $\sqrt{2}^{\sqrt{2}} \in \mathbb{Q}$, we're done.

Suppose $\sqrt{2}^{\sqrt{2}} \notin \mathbb{Q}$, we can then use this value and raise it to another power of $\sqrt{2}$.

$$(\sqrt{2}^{\sqrt{2}})^{\sqrt{2}} = \sqrt{2}^{\sqrt{2}*\sqrt{2}} = \sqrt{2}^2 = 2 \in \mathbb{Q}$$

Q.E.D

Do the following to create a bullet point list (unnumbered). If the type of list is not specified to be "bullet", it'll be defaulted to be ordered.

- item 1.
- item 2. this will go to the end of item 2, and not be in a new line, because it's missing the two dots in the beginning.
- item 3. item 3.5, notice that this doesn't have a bullet in front of it.
- item 4.
 - 1. nested lists are also allowed!
 - 2. this one is ordered.
 - (a) and another nested list!
 - 3. just remember to end as many lists as you started.

or it'll be embarassing, just like this here...

Oops, wrong indentation!

Or better yet, it'll transcribe correctly, but will not **compile** correctly if an ..endlist is missing!

It might be helpful to indent the lists in the code, so you won't forget what level of indentation you're on. LateX is great in the sense that indentation doesn't matter **that** much.

Note 6. The transcribed .tex files will automatically be indented wherever there are items in a list.