ARC 520F INTERMEDIATE DESIGN STUDIO III

INTEGRATION Fall 2018 MWF 1pm-6pm

Instructor: Judith Birdsong
Office: Gol 2.210
Office Hours: W12-1

Email: jcbirdsong@utexas.edu

# **Prerequisites**

ARC 520E or 520G; ARC 415L; and ARC 333 with a grade of at least C in each.

## **Curriculum Flag**

This course carries the Independent Inquiry flag. Independent Inquiry courses are designed to engage students in the process of inquiry over the course of a semester, providing a student with the opportunity for independent investigation of a question, problem, or project related to the student's major. Students should therefore expect a substantial portion of the grade to come from the independent investigation and presentation of one's own work.

## Intermediate Design Studio

Students pursuing the Bachelor of Architecture must complete four Intermediate Design Studios during their second and third years. Building on the Foundation Design Studios taken during the first year, the goal of the Intermediate Studios is to prepare students for Advanced Design Studios in their fourth and fifth years.

Each Intermediate Studio will be structured around one of four broad themes of inquiry (Operational, Environmental, Integration, and Speculation) and will offer a critical framework and vocabularies for design and development into key issues emphasized in the theme. Intermediate Studios will also serve as a platform to prepare students for the range of offerings of the Advanced Studios (e.g. Comprehensive, Urban Design, Landscape Architecture, etc.). Intermediate Studios will follow a similar structure, but each instructor will individually determine the scope and design problem for each studio.

# Theme: INTEGRATION

This course emphasizes architecture as a tectonic expression through structural systems, construction methods and materiality, assembly, spatial and formal compositions, etc.

This focus of this particular studio is on site. It should be noted that we typically perceive "site" as a *tabula rasa*, an "empty" patch of turf occasionally physically constrained by built neighbors whose presence we often only grudgingly acknowledge and consider. A given site is, however, never empty or neutral. It is host to natural phenomenon (vegetation, topography, wind patterns, flora, and fauna) and subject to political mechanisms (codes, boundaries, rights-of-way, shared infrastructure). Perhaps most importantly given the program and location of our final project, all sites possess a history -- whether perceptible and legible, or not.

# STUDIO STRUCTURE

Exercise 1: Work...Deconstructed 15% of final grade

Architecture is comprised of spatial, structural, organizational, and conceptual (to name a few) "systems" that, taken together, constitute the complete work. Precedent analysis allows us to delaminate these overlapping strata thereby revealing insights into a work's often hidden order. The precedents, which you will investigate in pairs, are not in any way stylistically similar, but analysis affords us a window into how these disparate architects operating in different geographies have found distinct ways to generate form and content to ask: What specific 'ideas' organized this work? What was the architect's methodologies? How does this work embody, communicate and/or express their intent?

Exercise 2: Work...Reconstituted 20% of final grade

Those insights revealed through the analyses undertaken in Exercise 1 will serve as the raw material for your design strategy in Exercise 2, a series of iterative site investigations.

Final Project: SANCTUARY (2019 Lyceum Competition) 65% of final grade

From the project brief:

"The competition aims to explore how landscape and architecture as sanctuary and refuge can be coalesced to develop a place of multi sensory contemplation and reconciliation. In broad terms, a sanctuary can be considered a place of refuge and protection, both in physical and psychological terms. People seek refuge in many forms and places; for some it is in the form of community, a gathering place, or some form of religious worship. For others, it could be a place for solitary contemplation and reflection. Some find refuge in music, art, or nature - others in meditation.

Proposals should provide a non-denominational, sanctuary to support past and present immigrants to the United States and SF Bay Area. They should provide places for quiet contemplation as well as areas to gather in community groups of various sizes. The sanctuary should enable new arrivals to connect physically, spiritually and emotionally with their new home. They should also provide a safe environment in which connections can be made, enabling a new sense of community, and transition to one's new home. For returning visitors, it provides a place for education, contemplation, and reflection. Inherently, this exploration of architecture as sanctuary involves an active bodily encounter, and thus should consider all of the human senses to create feelings of comfort, safety, privacy and community."

## **LEARNING OUTCOMES**

Students will be exposed to a series of learning goals several times and from different angles over the four Intermediate Studio sequence. The goal is that similar issues are repeated from studio to studio but from different angles, allowing students to find, within the diversity of the topics, a "common thread" as they go through the sequence of the four studios. The level of expectation will grow as students go from being introduced to an issue, to becoming competent at it. Some issues would be only mentioned in passing in one studio but will be studied in more depth in another.

- Design Composition Skills: Developed through three-dimensional architectural form and space, both exterior and interior; building envelope
- Design Integration Skills: Demonstrated through creative engagement with issues of materiality, structures, construction, and environmental system
- Site Analysis and Design: Developed through the creative engagement with relevant contextual; environmental and
  programmatic factors underlying the project
- Critical Thinking: Quality of conceptual and critical thought; learning from precedents; research skills
- Graphic Skills: Quality of presentation; clarity of communication; appropriateness of media strategy and level of skill displayed
- Basic grasp of accessibility and egress
- Collaborative and Leadership Skills: Demonstrated through the active engagement in all activities of the studio

### STUDIO CULTURE

The School of Architecture believes in the value of the design studio model. Studio learning encourages dialogue, collaboration, risk-taking, innovation, and learning-by-doing. The studio offers an environment where students can come together to ask questions and make proposals, which are developed and discussed among classmates, faculty, visiting professionals, and the public-at-large. Studio learning offers intensive one-on-one instruction from faculty members, and provides the opportunity for each student to develop his/her critical thinking skills and spatial and material sensibilities. The design studio offers a synthetic form of education, where project-based learning becomes the foundation for developing an understanding of and commitment to the school's core values — broadmindedness, interconnectivity, professionalism, exploration and activism — all in service of architecture's fundamental mission: to improve the quality of the built and natural environments. <a href="https://soa.utexas.edu/programs/architecture/architecture-studio-culture">https://soa.utexas.edu/programs/architecture/architecture-studio-culture</a>

## **DESIGN CONVERSATIONS: JESSEN LECTURES**

The School of Architecture offers a wide range of opportunities for students to extend the design conversations taken place in studios (Lecture Series, Goldsmith Talks, Exhibitions, etc). Students are encouraged to participate and be engaged. Specifically, all B.Arch and BSAS students in studio are expected to attend all the Jessen Lectures (three per semester by lead practitioners from around the world). The lectures and the group discussions in studio that follow are important for the holistic education of intellectually engaged students and participation will have an impact on students' grades (see below).

### **EVALUATION CRITERIA**

While each project contains certain quantifiable elements for evaluation, a significant portion of each grade is derived from broader and more subjective criteria.

Student work will be evaluated according to its rigor and evolution over the semester. Grades are subject to deductions for late arrivals, absences, and late or incomplete work at the discretion of the instructor.

Grading for an assignment is broken into four components, each of which is given roughly equal weight:

Pursuit: The consistent and rigorous development and testing of ideas.

- The ability to formulate a guery or thesis and pursue a self-determined concomitant method of inquiry
- The ability to identify and implement various processual mechanisms (software, sketch drawing and models, etc.) in the development of the design
- Initiative as demonstrated in work ethic Does the student do what is asked; go beyond what is asked; direct their own efforts; eager to produce the next iteration of the design?

Grasp: The ideas and understanding of the project at hand and integration of knowledge introduced in companion courses.

- A strong and clearly stated design objective
- Spatial acuity as demonstrated in plan including reasonable disposition of programmatic elements and sectional development
- Synthetic and critical thinking; the ability to holistically organize a project as demonstrated through creative
  engagement with issues of materiality, structures and construction, structural and environmental system integration,
  building materials and assembly, sustainable practices, etc. in support of the design objective
- Structural competence and material sensitivity as demonstrated in wall thickness, floor plates, and assembly

**Resolution:** Of the design objective; the demonstration of competence, completeness, and finesse in the final design presentation.

 Quality of presentation; clarity of communication; appropriateness of media strategy and level of skill displayed through the work presented at all stages of the design process; technical documentation

Engagement: The active participation in studio activities, leadership, collaboration, group discussions and reviews.

A student must earn a letter grade of C or better in order for the course to count towards a degree in the School of Architecture and to progress in to the next studio. A letter grade of C- will not satisfy degree requirements.

# **GRADE DESCRIPTIONS**

### A/A- Excellent

Project surpasses expectations in terms of inventiveness, appropriateness, visual language, conceptual rigor, craft, and personal development. Student pursues concepts and techniques above and beyond what is discussed in class. Project is complete on all levels.

### B+/B/B- Above Average

Project is thorough, well presented, diligently pursued, and successfully completed. Student pursues ideas and suggestions presented in class and puts in effort to resolve required projects. Project is complete on all levels and demonstrates potential for excellence.

# C+/C Average

Project meets the minimum requirements. Suggestions made in class and not pursued with dedication and rigor. Project is incomplete in one or more areas.

# C-/D+/D/D- Poor

Project is incomplete. Basic grasp of skill is lacking, visual clarity or logic of presentation are not level-appropriate. Student does not demonstrate the required competence and knowledge base.

### Fa

Project is unresolved. Minimum objectives are not met. Performance is not acceptable. Note that this grade will be assigned when students have excessive unexcused absences.

### X Excused Incomplete

Can be given only for legitimate reasons of illness or family emergency. Simply not completing work on time is not an adequate cause for assigning this evaluation. It may only be used after consultation with the Associate Deans' offices and with an agreement as to a new completion date. Work must be completed before the second week of the next semester in which the student is enrolling, according to the School of Architecture policy.

# **ATTENDANCE**

Attendance is mandatory. Participation is expected. With three (3) unexcused absences, the student's final grade for the course will be lowered by a full letter grade. The final grade will be lowered by a full letter grade for each unexcused absence thereafter. Aside from religious observances, absences are only excused with written documentation of a medical issue or family emergency. The student is responsible for completing work missed due to excused absences and initiating communication with the instructor to determine due dates.

If a student is late (5 minutes after the start of class) three (3) times, it will be counted as one (1) unexcused absence. Students should notify the instructor prior to class if lateness or absence is known in advance. Students must notify instructors directly regarding lateness or absences; Asking a classmate to inform the instructor is not acceptable.

#### **RELIGIOUS OBSERVANCES**

A student shall be excused from attending classes of other required activities, including examinations, for the observance of a religious holy day, including travel for the purpose. A student whose absence is excused under this subsection may not be penalized for that absence and shall be allowed to take an examination or complete an assignment from which the student is excused within a reasonable time after the absence. University policy requires students to notify each of their instructors as far in advance of the absence as possible so that arrangements can be made.

# **ACADEMIC INTEGRITY**

Students who violate University policy on academic integrity are subject to disciplinary penalties, including the possibility of failure in the course and/or dismissal from the University. Since such dishonesty harms the individual, all students, and the integrity of the University, policies on academic integrity will be strictly enforced. Refer to the Student Conduct and Academic Integrity website for official University policies and procedures on academic integrity:

http://deanofstudents.utexas.edu/conduct/academicintegrity.php. University Code of Conduct: http://catalog.utexas.edu/general-information/the-university/#universitycodeofconduct

#### **CARE PROGRAM**

Counselors in Academic Residence (CARE) Program places licensed mental health professionals within the colleges or schools they serve in order to provide better access to mental health support for students who are struggling emotionally and/or academically.

#### STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES

Students with disabilities who require special accommodations need to obtain a letter that documents the disability from the Services for Students with Disabilities area of the Office of the Dean of Students (471-6259 voice or 471-4641 TTY for users who are deaf or hard of hearing). This letter should be presented to the instructor in each course at the beginning of the semester and accommodations needed should be discussed at that time. <a href="http://diversity.utexas.edu/disability/">http://diversity.utexas.edu/disability/</a>

## SECURITY, SAFETY, AND THE STUDIO

The studio is an exceptional learning environment. Since it is a place for all, it necessitates the careful attention to the needs of everyone. All spraying of fixative, spray paint, or any other substance should be done in the shop. Security is a necessary component for a studio that is accessible to you and your colleagues 24 hours a day, 7 days a week. Do not leave your studio without your studio key and do not leave your studio unlocked. Hold yourself and your studiomates accountable for the security of your shared space.