Las Positas

Las Positas College 3000 Campus Hill Drive Livermore, CA 94551-7650 (925) 424-1000 (925) 443-0742 (Fax)

#### **Course Outline for CMST 46**

#### **ARGUMENTATION AND DEBATE**

Effective: Fall 2019

### I. CATALOG DESCRIPTION:

CMST 46 — ARGUMENTATION AND DEBATE — 3.00 units

Methods of critical inquiry and advocacy of contemporary moral, political, economic, and philosophical issues in a diverse multicultural society. Identifying fallacies in reasoning and language, testing evidence and evidence sources, advancing a reasoned position, and defending and refuting arguments. Analysis, presentation, and evaluation of oral and written arguments.

3.00 Units Lecture

Strongly Recommended

ENG 1A - Critical Reading and Composition with a minimum grade of C

### **Grading Methods:**

Letter or P/NP

**Discipline:** 

Communication Studies

MIN **Lecture Hours:** 54.00 Total Hours: 54.00

- II. NUMBER OF TIMES COURSE MAY BE TAKEN FOR CREDIT: 1
- III. PREREQUISITE AND/OR ADVISORY SKILLS:

# Before entering this course, it is strongly recommended that the student should be able to:

A. ENG1A

- 1. Critically read texts and materials from a variety of academic and cultural contexts, demonstrating in writing and discussion the ability to:
  - a. Summarize a thesis and main points;
  - b. Analyze main ideas;

  - c. Evaluate the validity and logic of the text's reasoning and support;
    d. Relate ideas and information in the text to his/her own experience as well as other texts;
  - e. Create a coherent position or argument based on reading;
- IV. MEASURABLE OBJECTIVES:

## Upon completion of this course, the student should be able to:

- A. Analyze the usefulness and limitations of the argumentation process;
- B. Classify the different components of the argumentation process and recognize how the parts interact and react to one another; C. Recognize, create, define and analyze propositions;

- D. Critically evaluate reasoning and evidence;
   E. Discover, evaluate and incorporate evidence in argumentation;
   F. Describe the reasoning process and implement skills in utilizing various methods of reasoning;
- Analyze, advocate, and criticize ideas, especially through the process of debate; Recognize fallacies of reasoning and argue ethically;

- Recognize failables of reasoning and argue ethically,
   Employ effective research skills;
   Practice the use of critical thinking skills in oral and written communication;
   Apply effective delivery skills in debate settings (language use, non-verbal presentation, vocal deliver);
   Write a policy proposition proposal.
- V. CONTENT:
  - A. Examination of the nature of argumentation
     1. Historical development of argumentation
     2. The usefulness of argumentation

    - The limitations of argumentation
  - 4. Ethical standards in argumentation
     B. Methods of critical inquiry and reasoning
     1. Presumption

- 2. Burden of proof
- The prima facie case
- 4. The model of an argument
  - a. Claims b. Grounds

  - c. Warrants d. Backing

  - e. Qualifiers
  - f. Rebuttals
- C. Classification of propositions
  1. Propositions of fact

  - 2. Propositions of value
- 3. Propositions of policy D. Advocacy and argumentation techniques

  1. Audience analysis
- 1. Audience analysis
   2. Language choice and style
   3. Delivery techniques
   4. Building credibility with an audience
   E. Research skills, analysis, and evaluation of evidence and sources
   1. The discovery of evidence
   2. Research techniques
   3. Types of evidence
   4. Tests of evidence
   F. Ressoning in argumentation
- F. Reasoning in argumentation
  1. Argument from cause

  - Argument from sign
     Argument from generalization
  - 4. Argument from parallel case
  - 5. Argument from analogy
  - 6. Argument from authority
- G. Logical fallacies and ethical arguments
  - 1. Fallacies in reasoning
  - Fallacies in research
  - 3. Fallacies of appeal
  - 4. Fallacies in language
- H. Advocacy and argumentation techniques
  - 1. Audience analysis
  - Language choice and style

  - 3. Delivery techniques4. Building credibility with an audience
- I. Critical analysis of discourse
- J. Analysis, présentation, and evaluation of oral and written arguments
  - 1. Effectiveness of organization
  - 2. Effectiveness of delivery
  - 3. Effectiveness of argumentation

#### VI. METHODS OF INSTRUCTION:

- A. Discussion Group discussion
- B. Lecture
- **Guest Lecturers -**
- D. Classroom Activity In-class debates
  E. Demonstration Demonstration debates
- Communication activities
- Student Presentations -
- H. Critique Critique of debates
- I. Test/quizzes

#### VII. TYPICAL ASSIGNMENTS:

- A. Oral Presentation:
  - 1. Create and then present orally to the class a 5 7 minutes argumentative speech using Monroe's Motivated Sequence. Incorporate a minimum of five source citations.
- B. Proposition Paper:
  - 3. Write and submit a typed 7 9 page policy proposition advocating a change in the status quo. Address all three stock issues. Include all necessary definitional explanations to support your proposition and identify a clear weighing mechanism for audience acceptance. Incorporate a minimum of 10 source citations.
- C. Debate:
  - should be the next President of the United States of America." The entire class will have 45 minutes to debate this statement with speakers being allotted 3-minute speaking intervals. Come to class able to speak to either side of this statement and be prepared and able to react/respond to the debate as it develops around you.

### VIII. EVALUATION:

### Methods/Frequency

- A. Exams/Tests
- one midterm and one final exam
- B. Papers
  - one to two
- C. Oral Presentation
  - one persuasive speech
- D. Class Participation
  - weekly class activities
- E. Other
- 1. two to four debates

# IX. TYPICAL TEXTS:

- 1. Reinard, John (2015), Foundations of Argumentation and Critical Thinking: A Modern Approach (1st ed.), Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage
- Govier, Trudy (2013). A Practical Study of Argument (7th ed.). Belmont, CA: Wadsworth Publishing Company.

- Rottenbert, Annette (2014). The Structure of Argument (8th ed.). Boston, MA: Bedford/St. Martin's.
   Freeley, Austin (2013). Argumentation and Debate (13th ed.). Belmont, CA: Wadsworth Publishing Company.
   White, F.D., & Billings, S.J. (2017). The Well-Crafted Argument (6th ed.). Boston, MA: Cengage.
   Herrick, J.A. (2019). Argumentation: Understanding and Shaping Arguments (6th ed.). State College, PA: Strata Publishing Inc..

# X. OTHER MATERIALS REQUIRED OF STUDENTS: