How did rotation, crop species, and corn weed management affect individual weed species abundance? The stand density and aboveground mass of the seven most abundant weed species are shown in Figure ??. The effects of crop identity (i.e., rotation system crossed with crop species), corn weed management, and their interaction on the seven most abundant weeds in the present study are shown in Table 1. No interactive effects between crop identity and corn weed management were seen in any of those seven species' density or aboveground mass. The main effects of crop identity and corn weed management on stand density and aboveground mass differed by species.

The hypothesis that "including oat and alfalfa in rotations with corn and soybean will reduce the density and aboveground mass of noxious weed species in corn and soybean" was partially supported. Among the seven most abundant weed species, the stand densities were all affected by crop identity, but the aboveground mass was affected by crop identity for only four out of seven species (Table 1).

Since increased weed stand density and aboveground mass were not correlated with increased crop yield loss, the magnitude of differences in individual weed density and aboveground mass are not presented here. Significance of differences in individual species density and aboveground mass (p-values) are presented to illustrate community composition shift. Individual species stand density and aboveground mass data were combined over four years and four blocks.

Averaged over crop identity, DIGSA and SETFA stand density and aboveground mass were affected by corn weed management (p-values = 0.0189 and 0.0196, Table 1). Averaged over corn weed management regimes, the differences in weed species stand density and aboveground mass were observed more often between crop types (Tables 2B and C, and Tables @ref(tab:3B and C)) than for individual crops across rotations (Tables 2A and 3A). The main-plot effects concerning crop identity on individual species responses are elaborated below.

The cool season crops were responsible for AMATA stand density differences, but those differences were not strong enough to be apparent between rotation averages. AMATA stand density and aboveground mass were comparable among all rotation systems averaged over crop phases (p-values > 0.05), among rotations for the same crop species (p-values > 0.05), and within the same crop type across rotations (p-values > 0.05). Averaged over the same crop types (warm season or cool season), AMATA stand density was significantly different in cool season versus warm season crops (p-value = 0.0001), but AMATA aboveground mass was comparable (p-value = 0.0906) in cool season than in the warm season crops (p-values = 0.0143, and = 0.0003), but AMATA aboveground mass was comparable in these crop environments (p-values = 0.2355, and = 0.0493).

The cool season crops, especially out were responsible for CHEAL stand density and aboveground mass differences between rotation averages. CHEAL stand density and aboveground mass were 11-fold (p-value = 0.0001) and 96-fold (p-value = 0.0001) greater in out than in alfalfa. CHEAL stand density and aboveground mass were significantly different between the 2-year rotation and the average of the 3-year and 4-year rotations, but comparable between the 3-year and 4-year rotations (p-values = 0.9195 and 0.6114). CHEAL stand density and aboveground mass were comparable across rotations for the same crop species (p-values > 0.05) and within the warm season crops (p-values > 0.05), but significantly different across crop types overall (p-values < 0.0001), between the warm season and cool season crops of the same rotation (p-values = 0.0001), and within the cool season crops (oat versus alfalfa).

The cool season crops, especially alfalfa were responsible for DIGSA stand density and aboveground mass differences between rotation averages. DIGSA stand density and aboveground mass were 14-fold (p-value = 0.0001) and 33-fold (p-value = 0.0001) greater in alfalfa than in oat. DIGSA stand density significantly was different between the 2-year rotation and the average of the 3-year and 4-year rotations (p-value = 0.0072) and between the 3-year and 4-year rotation (p-value < 0.0001). DIGSA aboveground mass was comparable between the 2-year and the average of the 3-year and 4-year rotations (p-value = 0.0001). DIGSA stand density and aboveground mass were comparable across rotations for the same crop species (p-values > 0.05), except for oat (p-values = 0.0062 and 0.0032). Within the 3-year rotation, DIGSA stand density was comparable among crop phases (p-value = 0.0603), but DIGSA aboveground mass was significantly different between oat and the average of corn and soybean phases (p-value < 0.0001). DIGSA stand density and aboveground mass were significantly

Table 1: Treatment effects on the abundance of the most population and vigorous weed species, listed alphabetically. All the other weeds species were grouped into OTHERS

			Stand	density	Aboveground mass		
Source of variation		df2	F.value	p.value	F.value	p.value	
(A) - AMATA							
Ćrop ID	8	24	3.72	0.0058	1.52	0.2016	
Corn weed management	1	3	0.73	0.4566	4.19	0.1333	
Crop ID x Corn weed management	8	24	0.96	0.4886	1.09	0.4052	
(B) - CHEAL					•		
Ćrop ID	8	24	22.06	<.0001	15.53	<.0001	
Corn weed management	1	3	2.10	0.2430	0.56	0.5097	
Crop ID x Corn weed management	8	24	1.59	0.1808	1.07	0.4180	
(C) - DIGSA					•		
Ćrop ID	8	24	15.52	<.0001	8.14	<.0001	
Corn weed management	1	3	21.52	0.0189	16.44	0.0270	
Crop ID x Corn weed management	8	24	1.25	0.3126	0.78	0.6237	
(D) - ECHCG					`		
Ćrop ID	8	24	2.61	0.0328	2.20	0.0645	
Corn weed management	1	3	5.80	0.0952	4.84	0.1150	
Crop ID x Corn weed management	8	24	1.16	0.3615	1.04	0.4348	
(E) - SETFA					•		
Crop ID	8	24	8.78	<.0001	4.22	0.0028	
Corn weed management	1	3	20.91	0.0196	13.96	0.0334	
Crop ID x Corn weed management	8	24	0.70	0.6892	1.04	0.4371	
(F) - SETLU							
Crop ID	8	24	3.09	0.0154	1.33	0.2774	
Corn weed management	1	3	4.44	0.1257	3.28	0.1681	
Crop ID x Corn weed management	8	24	1.11	0.3930	0.83	0.5875	
(G) - TAROF							
Ćrop ID	8	24	49.63	<.0001	35.81	<.0001	
Corn weed management	1	3	0.61	0.4914	0.33	0.6067	
Crop ID x Corn weed management	8	24	0.74	0.6553	1.20	0.3382	
(H) - OTHERS					•		
Ćrop ID	8	24	4.76	0.0014	2.35	0.0503	
Corn weed management	1	3	1.99	0.2533	2.27	0.2288	
Crop ID x Corn weed management	8	24	0.07	0.9997	0.43	0.8939	

Note: Corn weed management: low herbicide or conventional. C2 - corn in the 2-year rotation, C3 - corn in the 3-year rotation, C4 - corn in the 4-year rotation, C4 - soybean in the 2-year rotation, C4 - soybean in the 3-year rotation, C4 - oat in the 3-year rotation, C4 - oat in the 4-year rotation, and C4 - alfalfa in the 4-year rotation.

different across crop types overall, between the warm season and cool season crops of the 4-year rotation (p-values = 0.0001), and within the cool season crops (oat versus alfalfa) (p-values < 0.0001).

ECHCG responses generally were similar to those of AMATA. ECHCG stand density and aboveground mass were comparable between all rotation averages (p-values > 0.05), across rotations for the same crop species (p-values > 0.05), within the same crop type across rotations (p-values > 0.05), and within the 3-year rotation (p-values > 0.05). Averaged over the same crop types, ECHCG stand density and aboveground mass were significantly different in cool season versus warm season crops (p-value = 0.0003 and 0.0012). Within the 4-year rotation, ECHCG stand density and aboveground mass were greater in the cool season than in the warm season crops (p-values 0.0014, and 0.0031).

The cool season crops were responsible for SETFA stand density and aboveground mass differences, but those differences were not strong enough be apparent between rotation averages. SETFA stand density and aboveground mass were comparable across all rotation averages (p-values > 0.05), across rotations for the same crop species (p-values > 0.05), within the warm season crops across rotations (p-values > 0.05), and within the cool season crops (p-values > 0.05). Averaged over the same crop types, SETFA stand density and aboveground mass were significantly different in cool season versus warm season crops (p-value < 0.0001 and p-value = 0.0008). Within the same rotation, SETFA stand density and aboveground mass were greater in the cool season than in the warm season crops (p-values = 0.001, 0.018, 0.0001, and 0.0045).

SETLU stand density and aboveground mass were comparable in most pairs of comparison (p-values > 0.05), with the exception in the warm season versus cool season density (p-value = 0.0404).

The cool season crops, especially out were responsible for TAROF stand density and aboveground mass differences across rotation averages. TAROF stand density and aboveground mass were 6-fold (p-value < 0.0001) and 20-fold (p-value = 0.0001) greater in out than in alfalfa. TAROF stand density and aboveground mass were significantly different in the 2-year versus the average of the 3-year and 4-year rotations, and between the 3-year and 4-year rotations (p-values < 0.0001). TAROF stand density and aboveground mass were comparable among the warm season crops across rotations and within the same crops across rotations (p-values > 0.05), except in out (p-values < 0.0001). TAROF stand density and aboveground mass were significantly different across crop types overall (p-values < 0.0001), across crop types within the same rotations (p-values = 0.0001, 0.0002 and < 0.0001), and between out versus alfalfa (p-values < 0.0001).

Table 2: Significance of difference in abundance of the top seven weed species. Weed species are listed alphabetically. The abbreviations on the contrast column are crop identities, which are the combinations of the first letter in crop species names and the rotation in which it occurred.

	p-values													
	Stand density							Aboveground mass						
Contrast of the main-plot effect	AMATA	CHEAL	DIGSA	ECHCG	SETFA	SETLU	TAROF	AMATA	CHEAL	DIGSA	ECHCG	SETFA	SETLU	TAROF
(A) - Rotation system effects														
[(C2+S2)/2] vs $[(C3+S3+O3+C4+S4+O4+A4)/7]$	0.6105	0.0008	0.0072	0.1170	0.3011	0.1569	<.0001	0.3402	0.0199	0.1098	0.1417	0.9245	0.3588	<.0001
[(C3+S3+O3)/3] vs $[(C4+S4+O4+A4)/4]$	0.7077	0.9195	<.0001	0.0834	0.0927	0.0827	<.0001	0.8168	0.6414	0.0001	0.1040	0.4497	0.2420	<.0001
[(C2+S2)/2] vs $[(C3+S3+C4+S4)/4]$	0.1746	0.3889	0.6798	0.9584	0.1906	0.4944	0.8129	0.0893	0.2315	0.4852	0.8841	0.1566	0.5502	0.7608
[(C3+S3)/2] vs $[(C4+S4)/2]$	0.4533	0.3823	0.3213	0.9384	0.5877	0.6234	0.5105	0.4799	0.2676	0.4264	0.9958	0.9537	0.9148	0.4810
(B) - Rotation system effects within individual	crops													
C2 vs [(C3+C4)/2]	0.3598	0.4995	0.8818	0.9497	0.5010	0.4277	0.9547	0.2696	0.4167	0.9499	0.9882	0.4070	0.5668	0.9237
C3 vs C4	0.6368	0.6510	0.2466	0.8579	0.3501	0.3990	0.6923	0.7802	0.6372	0.3994	0.7630	0.5131	0.6404	0.8309
S2 vs [(S3+S4)/2]	0.3065	0.5837	0.4658	0.9915	0.2337	0.8628	0.6958	0.1821	0.3720	0.3571	0.8252	0.2329	0.7847	0.7378
S3 vs S4	0.5543	0.4312	0.8088	0.9444	0.8620	0.8780	0.5914	0.4709	0.2708	0.7772	0.7687	0.5667	0.7516	0.4336
O3 vs O4	0.2890	0.6212	0.0062	0.2130	0.4848	0.2006	<.0001	0.3486	0.5666	0.0032	0.0768	0.3941	0.1539	<.0001
(C) - Crop type effects														
[(O3+O4+A4)/3] vs $[(C2+S2+C3+S3+C4+S4)/6]$	0.0001	<.0001	<.0001	0.0003	<.0001	0.0404	<.0001	0.0906	<.0001	<.0001	0.0012	0.0008	0.3316	<.0001
O3 vs [(C3+S3)/2]	0.0143	<.0001	0.0630	0.2248	0.0010	0.9435	0.0001	0.2355	<.0001	0.3924	0.3920	0.0180	0.5554	0.0002
[(O4+A4)/2] vs $[(C4+S4)/2]$	0.0003	<.0001	<.0001	0.0014	0.0001	0.0798	<.0001	0.0493	<.0001	<.0001	0.0031	0.0045	0.2706	<.0001
[(O3+O4)/2] vs A4	0.1606	0.0001	<.0001	0.1954	0.8068	0.1812	<.0001	0.0724	0.0001	0.0008	0.6762	0.1818	0.5132	0.0001

Note: C2 - corn in the 2-year rotation, C3 - corn in the 3-year rotation, C4 - corn in the 4-year rotation, S2 - soybean in the 2-year rotation, S3 - soybean in the 3-year rotation, C4 - corn in the 4-year rotation, C3 - oat in the 3-year rotation, C4 - oat in the 4-year rotation, C5 - soybean in the 3-year rotation, C5 - soybean in the 3-year rotation, C5 - soybean in the 3-year rotation, C6 - soybean in the 3-year rotation, C6 - soybean in the 3-year rotation, C7 - soybean in the 3-yea

Table 3: Means of difference in abundance of the top seven weed species. Weed species are listed alphabetically. The abbreviations on the contrast column are crop identities, which are the combinations of the first letter in crop species names and the rotation in which it occurred.

	Contrast ratio																			
	Stand density									Abo	veground n	nass	ass							
Contrast of the main-plot effect	AMATA	CHEAL	DIGSA	ECHCG	SETFA	SETLU	TAROF	AMATA	CHEAL	DIGSA	ECHCG	SETFA	SETLU	TAROF						
(A) - Rotation system effects																				
[(C2+S2)/2] vs $[(C3+S3+O3+C4+S4+O4+A4)/7]$	0.74	0.28	0.42	0.57	0.64	0.50	0.24	3.10	0.21	0.36	0.35	0.93	0.46	0.07						
[(C3+S3+O3)/3] vs $[(C4+S4+O4+A4)/4]$	0.81	0.97	0.21	0.55	0.49	0.44	0.19	1.30	1.33	0.07	0.32	0.56	0.39	0.05						
[(C2+S2)/2] vs $[(C3+S3+C4+S4)/4]$	2.45	1.37	1.14	0.98	1.86	0.70	0.95	9.26	2.30	1.60	0.89	3.54	0.58	0.86						
[(C3+S3)/2] vs $[(C4+S4)/2]$	1.76	1.45	0.69	0.97	0.75	0.74	0.84	2.83	2.43	0.54	1.00	0.94	0.89	0.67						
(B) - Rotation system effects within individual	crops																			
C2 vs [(C3+C4)/2]	2.33	1.42	0.93	0.97	1.56	0.56	1.02	7.45	2.21	1.06	1.02	2.81	0.48	0.94						
C3 vs C4	1.65	1.31	0.54	0.89	0.49	0.49	0.87	1.78	1.70	0.40	0.69	0.39	0.50	0.85						
S2 vs [(S3+S4)/2]	2.58	1.33	1.40	0.99	2.21	0.88	0.88	11.50	2.39	2.40	0.79	4.47	0.71	0.80						
S3 vs S4	1.87	1.60	0.88	1.04	1.14	1.14	0.82	4.50	3.49	0.73	1.44	2.27	1.59	0.54						
O3 vs O4	0.32	0.74	0.21	0.46	0.59	0.33	0.09	0.14	0.53	0.03	0.10	0.29	0.12	0.01						
(C) - Crop type effects																				
[(O3+O4+A4)/3] vs $[(C2+S2+C3+S3+C4+S4)/6]$	12.25	38.15	10.11	3.60	9.85	2.48	24.33	6.11	204.44	27.29	9.56	15.00	2.05	389.81						
O3 vs $[(C3+S3)/2]$	10.94	67.07	2.43	1.94	11.32	1.05	4.33	8.70	571.14	2.26	2.54	22.34	0.47	19.10						
[(O4+A4)/2] vs $[(C4+S4)/2]$	23.36	36.99	20.08	4.82	11.63	2.96	53.81	20.20	231.64	102.80	17.54	22.79	3.18	1482.81						
[(O3+O4)/2] vs A4	3.71	10.75	0.07	0.49	1.17	0.37	0.17	28.24	94.46	0.03	0.64	5.38	0.43	0.05						

Note: C2 - corn in the 2-year rotation, C3 - corn in the 3-year rotation, C4 - corn in the 4-year rotation, S2 - soybean in the 2-year rotation, S3: soybean in the 3-year rotation, S4 - soybean in the 4-year rotation, O3 - oat in the 3-year rotation, O4 - oat in the 4-year rotation, and A4 - alfalfa in the 4-year rotation.