

# LSmeans, population means, estimates and contrasts with the doBy package

Søren Højsgaard

doBy version 4.5-5 as of feb 07, 2013

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Linear functions of parameters, contrasts</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>The esticon function</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>LSmeans (population means, marginal means)</b>	<b>3</b>
4.1	A simulated dataset . . . . .	3
4.2	What are these quantities . . . . .	4
4.3	Using popMatrix() and popMeans() . . . . .	5
4.4	Using the at argument . . . . .	6
4.5	Ambiguous specification when using the effect and at arguments . . . . .	7
4.6	Using covariates . . . . .	7
4.7	Using transformed covariates . . . . .	8
4.8	The engine argument of popMeans() . . . . .	9

## 1 Introduction

This is a working document; please feel free to suggest improvements.

```
R> library(doBy)
```

## 2 Linear functions of parameters, contrasts

For a regression model with parameters  $\beta = (\beta^1, \beta^2, \dots, \beta^P)$  we shall refer to a weighted sum of the form

$$\sum_j w_j \beta^j$$

as a contrast. Notice that it is common in the litterature to require that  $\sum_j w_j = 0$  for the sum  $\sum_j w_j \beta^j$  to be called a contrast but we do not follow this tradition here.

### 3 The esticon function

Consider a linear model which explains `Ozone` as a linear function of `Month` (factor) and `Wind` (numeric) and an interaction between these effects:

```
R> data(airquality)
R> airquality <- transform(airquality, Month=factor(Month))
R> m <- lm(Ozone~Month*Wind, data=airquality)
R> coef(summary(m))
```

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t )
(Intercept)	50.748472	15.747605	3.222615	0.0016879982
Month6	-41.793446	31.147533	-1.341790	0.1825314437
Month7	68.295661	20.995006	3.252948	0.0015324979
Month8	82.210682	20.313529	4.047090	0.0000987944
Month9	23.439210	20.662571	1.134380	0.2591939469
Wind	-2.368111	1.316221	-1.799175	0.0748364258
Month6:Wind	4.050636	2.490344	1.626537	0.1068048191
Month7:Wind	-4.663240	2.025735	-2.301999	0.0232898057
Month8:Wind	-6.154287	1.922637	-3.200961	0.0018078805
Month9:Wind	-1.873651	1.820335	-1.029289	0.3056867339

When a parameter vector  $\beta$  of (systematic) effects have been estimated, interest is often in a particular estimable function, i.e. linear combination  $\lambda^\top \beta$  and/or testing the hypothesis  $H_0 : \lambda^\top \beta = \beta_0$  where  $\lambda$  is a specific vector defined by the investigator. Suppose for example we want to calculate the expected difference in ozone between consecutive months at wind speed 10 mph (which is about the average wind speed over the whole period).

The `esticon` function provides a way of doing so. We can specify several  $\lambda$  vectors at the same time. For example

```
R> Lambda <- rbind(
+   c(0, -1, 0, 0, 0, 0, -10, 0, 0, 0),
+   c(0, 1, -1, 0, 0, 0, 10, -10, 0, 0),
+   c(0, 0, 1, -1, 0, 0, 0, 10, -10, 0),
+   c(0, 0, 0, 1, -1, 0, 0, 0, 10, -10))
```

Notice that the specification of `Lambda` depends on the choice of reference level of the factors; if the reference levels are changed, `Lambda` must be changed too. Estimates and tests are obtained with:

```
R> esticon(m, Lambda)
```

	beta0	Estimate	Std. Error	t.value	DF	Pr(> t )	Lower	Upper
1	0	1.2870834	10.237872	0.1257179	106	0.9001934	-19.010494	21.584661
2	0	-22.9503412	10.310435	-2.2259335	106	0.0281352	-43.391780	-2.508902
3	0	0.9954442	7.093972	0.1403225	106	0.8886715	-13.069046	15.059934
4	0	15.9651107	6.560102	2.4336681	106	0.0166188	2.959071	28.971151

In other cases, interest is in testing a hypothesis of a contrast  $H_0 : \Lambda \beta = \beta_0$  where  $\Lambda$  is a matrix as a joint test. For example a test of no interaction between `Month` and `Wind` can be made by testing jointly that the last four parameters in `m` are zero (observe that the test is a Wald test):

```
R> Lambda <- rbind(
+   c(0,0,0,0,0,0,1,0,0,0),
+   c(0,0,0,0,0,0,0,1,0,0),
+   c(0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,1,0),
+   c(0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,1))
```

```
R> esticon(m, Lambda, joint.test=TRUE)
```

```
      X2.stat DF    Pr(>|X^2|)
1 22.10963   4 0.0001905969
```

For a linear normal model a more attractive alternative (which is also easier to specify) is a likelihood ratio test:

```
R> m2 <- update(m, .~-Month:Wind)
R> anova(m, m2)

Analysis of Variance Table

Model 1: Ozone ~ Month * Wind
Model 2: Ozone ~ Month + Wind
  Res.Df  RSS Df Sum of Sq    F    Pr(>F)
1     106 56649
2     110 68465 -4     -11816 5.5274 0.0004423 ***
---
Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
```

However, for generalized estimating equations of glm-type (as dealt with in the packages **geepack** and **gee**) there is no likelihood. In this case **esticon** function provides an operational alternative. In fact, the **anova()** method in **geepack** is based on calling **esticon()**.

## 4 LSmeans (population means, marginal means)

### 4.1 A simulated dataset

In the following sections we consider these data:

```
R> library(doBy)
R> dd <- expand.grid(A=factor(1:3),B=factor(1:3),C=factor(1:2))
R> dd$y <- rnorm(nrow(dd))
R> dd$x <- rnorm(nrow(dd))^2
R> dd$z <- rnorm(nrow(dd))
R> head(dd,10)

  A B C      y      x      z
1 1 1 1  1.29937657 0.10518273 0.97300486
2 2 1 1  1.42620454 0.18639122 -0.61194871
3 3 1 1 -0.47386798 0.30860641 -0.29959724
4 1 2 1  2.08016078 0.87098602 0.88355491
5 2 2 1  1.29928782 3.11513627 -1.12004173
6 3 2 1  0.29179084 0.05240387 -0.56642883
7 1 3 1  0.47804974 4.01086685 -0.55524764
8 2 3 1  1.04426436 0.03674464 -0.29209647
9 3 3 1 -2.81944660 0.10580910 -1.04036748
10 1 1 2  0.07415363 0.14378647 0.03997111
```

Consider the additive model

$$y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_{A(i)}^1 + \beta_{B(i)}^2 + \beta_{C(i)}^3 + e_i \quad (1)$$

where  $e_i \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$ . We fit this model:

```
R> mm <- lm(y~A+B+C, data=dd)
R> coef(mm)
```

(Intercept)	A2	A3	B2	B3	C2
0.7484006	0.3467459	-0.8105740	0.4656698	-0.7051035	-0.2917864

Notice that the parameters corresponding to the factor levels A1, B1 and C2 are set to zero to ensure identifiability of the remaining parameters.

## 4.2 What are these quantities

LSmeans, population means and marginal means are used synonymously in the literature. These quantities are a special kind of contrasts as defined in Section 2. LSmeans seems to be the most widely used term, so we shall adopt this terms here too.

The model (1) is a model for the conditional mean  $\mathbb{E}(y|A, B, C)$ . Sometimes one is interested in quantities like  $\mathbb{E}(y|A)$ . This quantity can not formally be found unless  $B$  and  $C$  are random variables such that we may find  $\mathbb{E}(y|A)$  by integration. However, suppose that  $A$  is a treatment of main interest,  $B$  is a blocking factor and  $C$  represents days on which the experiment was carried out. Then it is tempting to average  $\mathbb{E}(y|A, B, C)$  over  $B$  and  $C$  (average over block and day) and think of this average as  $\mathbb{E}(y|A)$ .

The population mean for  $A = 1$  is

$$\beta^0 + \beta_{A1}^1 + \frac{1}{3}(\beta_{B1}^2 + \beta_{B2}^2 + \beta_{B3}^2) + \frac{1}{2}(\beta_{C1}^3 + \beta_{C2}^3) \quad (2)$$

Recall that the parameters corresponding to the factor levels A1, B1 and C2 are set to zero to ensure identifiability of the remaining parameters. Therefore we may also write the population mean for  $A = 1$  as

$$\beta^0 + \frac{1}{3}(\beta_{B2}^2 + \beta_{B3}^2) + \frac{1}{2}(\beta_{C1}^3) \quad (3)$$

This quantity can be estimated as:

```
R> w <- c(1, 0, 0, 1/3, 1/3, 1/2)
R> coef(mm)*w
```

(Intercept)	A2	A3	B2	B3	C2
0.7484006	0.0000000	0.0000000	0.1552233	-0.2350345	-0.1458932

```
R> sum(coef(mm)*w)

[1] 0.5226962
```

We may find the population mean for all three levels of  $A$  as

```
R> W <- matrix(c(1, 0, 0, 1/3, 1/3, 1/2,
+               1, 1, 0, 1/3, 1/3, 1/2,
+               1, 0, 1, 1/3, 1/3, 1/2),nr=3, byrow=TRUE)
```

Notice that the matrix  $W$  is based on that the first level of  $A$  is set as the reference level. If the reference level is changed then so must  $W$  be.

Given that one has specified  $W$ , we can use the `esticon()` function in the `doBy` as illustrated below:

```
R> esticon(mm, W)

  beta0  Estimate Std.Error   t.value DF Pr(>|t|)   Lower   Upper
1      0  0.5226962 0.5258321  0.9940362 12 0.3398273 -0.6229936 1.6683859
2      0  0.8694421 0.5258321  1.6534594 12 0.1241396 -0.2762477 2.0151318
3      0 -0.2878779 0.5258321 -0.5474711 12 0.5940915 -1.4335676 0.8578119
```

### 4.3 Using popMatrix() and popMeans()

Writing the matrix  $W$  is somewhat tedious and hence error prone. In addition, there is a potential risk of getting the wrong answer if the the reference level of a factor has been changed. The `popMatrix()` function provides an automated way of generating such matrices. The above  $W$  matrix is constructed by

```
R> pma <- popMatrix(mm,effect='A')
R> summary(pma)

      (Intercept) A2 A3      B2      B3 C2
[1,]           1  0  0 0.3333333 0.3333333 0.5
[2,]           1  1  0 0.3333333 0.3333333 0.5
[3,]           1  0  1 0.3333333 0.3333333 0.5
grid:
'data.frame':
  $ A: chr  "1" "2" "3"
at:
NULL
```

The `effect` argument requires to calculate the population means for each level of  $A$  aggregating across the levels of the other variables in the data.

The `popMeans()` function is simply a wrapper around first a call to `popMatrix()` followed by a call to (by default) `esticon()`:

```
R> pme <- popMeans(mm, effect='A')
R> pme

  beta0  Estimate Std.Error   t.value DF Pr(>|t|)   Lower   Upper A
1      0  0.5226962 0.5258321  0.9940362 12 0.3398273 -0.6229936 1.6683859 1
2      0  0.8694421 0.5258321  1.6534594 12 0.1241396 -0.2762477 2.0151318 2
3      0 -0.2878779 0.5258321 -0.5474711 12 0.5940915 -1.4335676 0.8578119 3
```

More details about how the matrix was constructed is provided by the `summary()` function:

```
R> summary(pme)

      beta0   Estimate Std. Error    t.value DF  Pr(>|t|)      Lower      Upper A
1         0  0.5226962  0.5258321   0.9940362 12  0.3398273 -0.6229936  1.6683859 1
2         0  0.8694421  0.5258321   1.6534594 12  0.1241396 -0.2762477  2.0151318 2
3         0 -0.2878779  0.5258321  -0.5474711 12  0.5940915 -1.4335676  0.8578119 3
Call:
NULL
Contrast matrix:
Length Class      Mode
      0      NULL      NULL
```

As an additional example we may do:

```
R> popMatrix(mm, effect=c('A','C'))

      (Intercept) A2 A3      B2      B3 C2
[1,]             1  0  0  0.3333333 0.3333333  0
[2,]             1  1  0  0.3333333 0.3333333  0
[3,]             1  0  1  0.3333333 0.3333333  0
[4,]             1  0  0  0.3333333 0.3333333  1
[5,]             1  1  0  0.3333333 0.3333333  1
[6,]             1  0  1  0.3333333 0.3333333  1
```

This gives the matrix for calculating the estimate for each combination of *A* and *C* when averaging over *B*.

Omitting *effect* as in

```
R> popMatrix(mm)

      (Intercept)      A2      A3      B2      B3 C2
[1,]             1  0.3333333 0.3333333 0.3333333 0.3333333 0.5

R> popMeans(mm)

      beta0 Estimate Std. Error t.value DF  Pr(>|t|)      Lower      Upper
1         0  0.3680868  0.3035893  1.21245 12  0.2486709 -0.2933775  1.029551
```

gives the “total average”.

## 4.4 Using the *at* argument

We may be interested in finding the population means at all levels of *A* but only at *C* = 1. This is obtained by using the *at* argument (in which case the average is only over the remaining factor *B*):

```
R> popMatrix(mm, effect='A', at=list(C='1'))

      (Intercept) A2 A3      B2      B3 C2
[1,]             1  0  0  0.3333333 0.3333333  0
[2,]             1  1  0  0.3333333 0.3333333  0
[3,]             1  0  1  0.3333333 0.3333333  0
```

Another way of creating the population means at all levels of (*A*, *C*) is therefore

```
R> popMatrix(mm, effect='A', at=list(C=c('1','2')))
```

	(Intercept)	A2	A3	B2	B3	C2
[1,]	1	0	0	0.3333333	0.3333333	0
[2,]	1	1	0	0.3333333	0.3333333	0
[3,]	1	0	1	0.3333333	0.3333333	0
[4,]	1	0	0	0.3333333	0.3333333	1
[5,]	1	1	0	0.3333333	0.3333333	1
[6,]	1	0	1	0.3333333	0.3333333	1

We may have several variables in the **at** argument:

```
R> popMatrix(mm, effect='A', at=list(C=c('1','2'), B='1'))
```

	(Intercept)	A2	A3	B2	B3	C2
[1,]	1	0	0	0	0	0
[2,]	1	1	0	0	0	0
[3,]	1	0	1	0	0	0
[4,]	1	0	0	0	0	1
[5,]	1	1	0	0	0	1
[6,]	1	0	1	0	0	1

## 4.5 Ambiguous specification when using the effect and at arguments

There is room for an ambiguous specification if a variable appears in both the **effect** and the **at** argument, such as

```
R> popMatrix(mm, effect=c('A','C'), at=list(C='1'))
```

	(Intercept)	A2	A3	B2	B3	C2
[1,]	1	0	0	0.3333333	0.3333333	0
[2,]	1	1	0	0.3333333	0.3333333	0
[3,]	1	0	1	0.3333333	0.3333333	0

This ambiguity is due to the fact that the **effect** argument asks for the populations means at all levels of the variables but the **at** chooses only specific levels.

This ambiguity is resolved as follows: Any variable in the **at** argument is removed from the **effect** argument such as the statement above is equivalent to

```
R> popMatrix(mm, effect='A', at=list(C='1'))
```

	(Intercept)	A2	A3	B2	B3	C2
[1,]	1	0	0	0.3333333	0.3333333	0
[2,]	1	1	0	0.3333333	0.3333333	0
[3,]	1	0	1	0.3333333	0.3333333	0

## 4.6 Using covariates

Next consider the model where a covariate is included:

```
R> mm2 <- lm(y~A+B+C+C:x, data=dd)
R> coef(mm2)
```

	(Intercept)	A2	A3	B2	B3	C2
	1.23205168	0.09451548	-2.06913676	0.92781435	-0.79662775	-1.45431633
	C1:x	C2:x				
	-0.10604271	1.14920235				

In this case we get

```
R> popMatrix(mm2,effect='A', at=list(C='1'))
```

	(Intercept)	A2	A3	B2	B3	C2	C1:x	C2:x
[1,]	1	0	0	0.3333333	0.3333333	0	0.9491782	0
[2,]	1	1	0	0.3333333	0.3333333	0	0.9491782	0
[3,]	1	0	1	0.3333333	0.3333333	0	0.9491782	0

Above,  $x$  has been replaced by its average and that is the general rule for models including covariates. However we may use the `at` argument to ask for calculation of the population mean at some user-specified value of  $x$ , say 12:

```
R> popMatrix(mm2,effect='A', at=list(C='1',x=12))
```

	(Intercept)	A2	A3	B2	B3	C2	C1:x	C2:x
[1,]	1	0	0	0.3333333	0.3333333	0	12	0
[2,]	1	1	0	0.3333333	0.3333333	0	12	0
[3,]	1	0	1	0.3333333	0.3333333	0	12	0

```
R> mm22 <- lm(y~A+B+C:x+I(x^2), data=dd)
R> coef(mm22)
```

	(Intercept)	A2	A3	B2	B3	C2
	1.11980976	-0.01107036	-2.01233636	0.75417173	-0.75756426	-1.38200904
	I(x^2)	C1:x	C2:x			
	-0.20147251	0.68339213	1.75123473			

```
R> popMatrix(mm22,effect='A', at=list(C='1'))
```

	(Intercept)	A2	A3	B2	B3	C2	I(x^2)	C1:x	C2:x
[1,]	1	0	0	0.3333333	0.3333333	0	0.9009393	0.9491782	0
[2,]	1	1	0	0.3333333	0.3333333	0	0.9009393	0.9491782	0
[3,]	1	0	1	0.3333333	0.3333333	0	0.9009393	0.9491782	0

```
R> dd <- transform(dd, x.sq=x^2)
R> mm23 <- lm(y~A+B+C:x+x.sq, data=dd)
R> coef(mm23)
```

	(Intercept)	A2	A3	B2	B3	C2
	1.11980976	-0.01107036	-2.01233636	0.75417173	-0.75756426	-1.38200904
	x.sq	C1:x	C2:x			
	-0.20147251	0.68339213	1.75123473			

```
R> popMatrix(mm23,effect='A', at=list(C='1'))
```

	(Intercept)	A2	A3	B2	B3	C2	x.sq	C1:x	C2:x
[1,]	1	0	0	0.3333333	0.3333333	0	2.609603	0.9491782	0
[2,]	1	1	0	0.3333333	0.3333333	0	2.609603	0.9491782	0
[3,]	1	0	1	0.3333333	0.3333333	0	2.609603	0.9491782	0

## 4.7 Using transformed covariates

Next consider the model where a transformation of a covariate is included:



```
R> mm3 <- lm(y~A+B+C:I(log(x)), data=dd)
R> coef(mm3)
```

(Intercept)	A2	A3	B2	B3	C2
1.2718654	-0.5347050	-2.3510833	1.0499175	-1.0311703	0.9628959
C1:I(log(x))	C2:I(log(x))				
-0.1586710	0.7617714				

In this case we can not use `popMatrix()` (and hence `popMeans()`) directly. Instead we first have to generate a new variable, say `log.x`, with `log.x = log(x)`, in the data and then proceed as

```
R> dd <- transform(dd, log.x = log(x))
R> mm32 <- lm(y~A+B+C:C:log.x, data=dd)
R> popMatrix(mm32, effect='A', at=list(C='1'))
```

	(Intercept)	A2	A3	B2	B3	C2	C1:log.x	C2:log.x
[1,]	1	0	0	0.3333333	0.3333333	0	-1.31699	0
[2,]	1	1	0	0.3333333	0.3333333	0	-1.31699	0
[3,]	1	0	1	0.3333333	0.3333333	0	-1.31699	0

#### 4.8 The engine argument of `popMeans()`

The `popMatrix()` is a function to generate a linear transformation matrix of the model parameters with emphasis on constructing such matrices for population means. `popMeans()` invokes by default the `esticon()` function on this linear transformation matrix for calculating parameter estimates and confidence intervals. A similar function to `esticon()` is the `glht` function of the `multcomp` package.

The `glht()` function can be chosen via the `engine` argument of `popMeans()`:

```
R> library(multcomp)
R> g<-popMeans(mm,effect='A', at=list(C='1'),engine="glht")
R> g
```

General Linear Hypotheses

Linear Hypotheses:

	Estimate
1 == 0	0.6686
2 == 0	1.0153
3 == 0	-0.1420

This allows to apply the methods available on the `glht` object like

```
R> summary(g, test=univariate())
```

Simultaneous Tests for General Linear Hypotheses

```
Fit: lm(formula = y ~ A + B + C, data = dd)
```

Linear Hypotheses:

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t )
1 == 0	0.6686	0.6072	1.101	0.292
2 == 0	1.0153	0.6072	1.672	0.120
3 == 0	-0.1420	0.6072	-0.234	0.819

(Univariate p values reported)

```
R> confint(g, calpha=univariate_calpha())
```

Simultaneous Confidence Intervals

```
Fit: lm(formula = y ~ A + B + C, data = dd)
```

Quantile = 2.1788  
95% confidence level

Linear Hypotheses:

	Estimate	lwr	upr
1 == 0	0.6686	-0.6543	1.9915
2 == 0	1.0153	-0.3076	2.3383
3 == 0	-0.1420	-1.4649	1.1809

which yield the same results as the `esticon()` function.

By default the functions will adjust the tests and confidence intervals for multiplicity

```
R> summary(g)
```

Simultaneous Tests for General Linear Hypotheses

```
Fit: lm(formula = y ~ A + B + C, data = dd)
```

Linear Hypotheses:

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t )
1 == 0	0.6686	0.6072	1.101	0.612
2 == 0	1.0153	0.6072	1.672	0.295
3 == 0	-0.1420	0.6072	-0.234	0.993

(Adjusted p values reported -- single-step method)

```
R> confint(g)
```

Simultaneous Confidence Intervals

```
Fit: lm(formula = y ~ A + B + C, data = dd)
```

Quantile = 2.7326  
95% family-wise confidence level

Linear Hypotheses:

	Estimate	lwr	upr
1 == 0	0.6686	-0.9906	2.3278
2 == 0	1.0153	-0.6439	2.6745
3 == 0	-0.1420	-1.8012	1.5172