A COMPARISON OF THE LATE WINTER FOODS AND PARASITES OF BOBWHITE QUAIL AND BLACK FRANCOLINS IN SOUTHWESTERN LOUISIANA¹

bv

Raymond J. Palermo, Fish and Game Division, Louisiana Wild Life and Fisheries Commission, Tioga

and

Gary L. Doster,
Southeastern Cooperative Wildlife Disease Study, Department of
Pathology and Parasitology, College of Veterinary Medicine,
University of Georgia, Athens

ABSTRACT

During 1961 and 1962, 311 wild-trapped black francolins (Francolinus francolinus asiae) from India were released in the Gum Cove area of Cameron Parish in southwest Louisiana. A substantial bobwhite quail (Colinus virginianus) population was present on the release site. In 1967, ten francolins and ten quail were collected for comparison of food habits and parasite fauna. Differences in food habits were noted but an occasional preference of francolins and of bobwhites for the same food items was revealed. This was not believed to be a limiting factor for either population. Parasite burdens were generally low, and the parasite fauna of each species was quite distinct.

INTRODUCTION

During 1961 and 1962, 311 wild-trapped black francolins from India were released by the Louisiana Wild Life and Fisheries Commission in Gum Cove area of Cameron Parish in southwestern Louisiana (Bohl and Bump, 1970). Reproduction has been evident each year since releases were made and natural dispersion has extended the francolins' range some 10-15 miles from the release site.

The release area is bounded on the east, south and west by marshland and is essentially a coastal prairie ridge with the attendant plant communities (Craft, 1966). Land use is devoted mainly to cattle grazing with a portion planted in rice. Many irrigation canals and ditches traverse the area. A substantial acreage is left fallow each year, providing a lush growth of native plants. Dominant plants on the open prairie are carpet grass (Axonopus compressus), wire grass (Spartina patens), bermuda grass (Cynodon dactylon) and doveweed (Croton capitatus). Pimple mounds (geological pressure ridges) are scattered over most of the prairie ridge. Vegetation on these mounds, usually tall and dense, includes doveweed, carpet grass, yankeeweed (Eupatorium capillifolium), wax-myrtle (myrica cerifera), bramble (Rubus sp.), goldenrod (Solidago sp.), hibiscus (Hibiscus sp.), and partridge-pea (Cassia fasciculata).

Woody vegetation scattered throughout the area includes Macartney

¹Funds were administered and research coordinated under auspices of the Federal Aid in Wildlife Restoration Act. (50 Stat. 917) and through Contract No. 14-16-0008-676, Bureau of Sport Fisheries and Wildlife, U.S. Department of the Interior.

Rose (Rosa bracteata), sassafras (Sassafras albidum), prickly ash (Zanthoxlum clava-herculis), yaupon (Ilex vomitoria), tallowtree (Sapium sebiferum), black cherry (Prunus serotina) and others.

A substantial bobwhite quail population occupied the area at the time francolins were introduced and questions arose as to the compatibility of the two species. These questions concerned habitat competition as well as disease and parasite loads. Observations several years subsequent to the francolins' establishment showed no apparent decline in the native quail population.

Although this was apparent, the actual food preferences of each species in the same habitat was not known. Many bobwhite quail food habit studies have been conducted throughout the Southeast but little was known about the black francolins' requirements. Although a small number of crops were available for study, it was felt that they reflected the major winter food preferences of the two species. Francolin food habits in India was reported by Bump (1964) but this was in its native habitat. It was felt that additional knowledge of the food preferences of francolins and quail in the same habitat would assist technicians in selecting suitable areas for black francolin releases in the future.

The studies reported here compare francolin and bobwhite food habits and parasites on the Gum Cove area.

METHODS

Between January 31 and February 3, 1967, ten francolins and ten bobwhites were collected by shooting. Two trained pointing dogs were used to hunt both species and only one shooter was used. Quail were collected at random but no more than two were taken from the same covey. Black francolins, being solitary, were also collected at random. Each bird was placed in a plastic bag, packed in crushed ice, and transported to a field laboratory for necropsy.

During necropsy individual crop and gizzard contents were preserved in 10 percent formalin for later study. At the time of analysis ocular estimates were made to determine percentages of green, leafy material. A graduated cylinder was used to determine volume. Crop contents were air dried and weighed. Ten quail crops were used but only six francolin crops were suitable for study. Three of the francolin crops were empty and one was destroyed by gunshot.

Each bird was examined grossly for ectoparasites and the eye area was inspected for eye worms using a dissecting microscope. All birds were then examined for helminth parasites using techniques described by Kellogg and Prestwood (1968). A compound microscope (450X) was used to view intestinal smears for protozoan parasites.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Crop Studies:

Substantial differences in food habits of the quail and fancolins were apparent (Figure 1, Tables 1 and 2). Ninety-one percent of the total volume in the quail's diet was plant material, while it constituted only 38 percent of the francolins' intake. Green leafy matter, including Geranium, Oxalis, and Ranunculus, was the most important plant material to both quail and francolins.

Seeds made up 36 percent of the quail crop contents but only 13 percent of the francolin crop contents. Black cherry and legume 'seeds were important in quail diets whereas legumes, mostly *Sesbania macrocarpa*, made up the bulk of the francolins' seed diet.




TABLE I

CROP CONTENTS OF TEN BOBWHITE QUAIL FROM CAMERON PARISH, LOUISIANA.

NIMAL Insecta Coloptera Chrysometidae Dishrenica decimpunciaia 20	TYPE FOOD	PER CENT OF OCCURRENCE	vo cc	LUME %	WEIGHT gm. %		
Transcia Coleoptera Coleo		OCCURRENCE	ш		giii.		
Chrysomefidae							
Chrysomeindae Diabrotica decimpunctata 20							
Diabrotica decimpunctata	Coleoptera						
Tenebrionidae	Chrysomelidae						
Alleculidae 10 T T T T T T T T Diptera Tipulidae (larvae) 30 3,3 8,97 5,4 6,6	Diabrotica decimpunctata	20	.1	.27	.02	.25	
Diptora Tipulidae (larvae) 30 3.3 8.97 5.4 6.67 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	Tenebrionidae	10	T	T	т	Т	
Tipulidae (larvae) 30	Alleculidae	10	T	T	Т	Т	
Hymenoptera Formicidae 10	Diptera						
Formicidate	Tipulidae (larvae) Pupa of Deptera		3.3 T		.54 T	6.62 T	
TABLE CONTD PER CENT OF OCCURRENCE Co.	Hymenoptera						
TABLE CONTD PER CENT OF OCCURRENCE VOLUME of gm WEIGHT PER CENT OF GC W	Formicidae	10	Т	T	T	Т	
PER CENT OF OCCURRENCE CC Wolf of Seminor Per Se	TOTAL ANIMAL MATERIAL		3.4	9.24	.56	6.87	
PLANT		TABLE 1 CONT'D					
Centrosema virginianum	TYPE FOOD						
Centrosema virginianum	PLANT						
Galactia regularis 90	Lemguminosae						
Schramkia sp. 30 9 2.45 49 6.00 Serbania macrocarpa 10 T T T T T Chamaeerista Jasciculate 80 1.3 3.53 .70 8.55 Desmanthus sp. 20 .1 .27 .02 .22 Rutaceae **Authoxylum americanum** Rosaceae **Prunus serotina** 60 5.5 14.95 1.72 21.08 Euphorbiaceae **Croton capitatus** 70 .8 2.17 .33 4.04 Polygonaceae **Polygonum sp. 30 T T .01 .12 Rubiaceae **Diodia sp. 20 .5 1.36 .23 2.85 Geraniaceae **Geraniaceae Geraniaceae **Geraniaceae Tubers 10 .3 .82 .15 1.84 Bulbs and corms 40 .7 1.90 .22 2.7 Buds 10 .1 .27 .01 .1 Seed 10 .7 1.90 .22 2.7 Buds and corms 40 .7 1.90 .22 2.7 </td <td>Centrosema virginianum</td> <td>70</td> <td>1.5</td> <td>4.08</td> <td>.77</td> <td>9.44</td>	Centrosema virginianum	70	1.5	4.08	.77	9.44	
Chamaecrista fasciculate 80 1.3 3.53 70 8.55 Desmanthus sp. 20 .1 .27 .02 .25 Rutaceae			1.0				
Desmanthus sp. 20	Sesbania macrocarpa	10	Ť	T	T	Ţ	
Xanihoxylum americanum 20				.27		.25	
Rosaceae Prunus serotina 60 5.5 14.95 1.72 21.08	Rutaceae						
Prunus serotina 60 5.5 14.95 1.72 21.08	Xanthoxylum americanum	20	.6	1.63	.25	3.06	
Euphorbiaceae Croton capitatus 70 8 2.17 .33 4.04 Polygonaceae Polygonum sp. 30 T T T .01 .12 Rubiaceae Diodia sp. 20 .5 1.36 .23 2.85 Geraniaceae Geranium sp. 60 .1 .27 .05 .61 Unclassified Tubers 10 .3 82 .15 1.86 Bulbs and corms 40 .7 1.90 .22 2.77 Buds 10 .1 .27 .01 .12 Seed 10 T T T T T T Greens 100 20.0 54.35 2.16 26.45 80% Geranium sp. 15% Oxalis sp. 5% Others TOTAL PLANT MATERIAL 33.4 90.77 7.58 92.85 GRIT 30 T T .02 2.25	Rosaceae						
Croton capitatus 70	Prunus serotina	60	5.5	14.95	1.72	21.08	
Polygonacae Polygonum sp. 30	Euphorbiaceae						
Polygonum sp. 30	Croton capitatus	70	.8	2.17	.33	4.04	
Rubiaceae Diodia sp. 20 .5 1.36 .23 2.85 Geraniaceae Geranium sp. 60 .1 .27 .05 .6i Unclassified Tubers 10 .3 .82 .15 1.86 Bulbs and corms 40 .7 1.90 .22 2.77 Buds 10 .1 .27 .01 .12 Seed 10 .T T T T T Greens 100 20.0 54.35 2.16 26.43 80% Geranium sp. 15% Oxalis sp. 5% Others TOTAL PLANT MATERIAL 33.4 90.77 7.58 92.85 GRIT 30 .T T .02 .22	Polygonaceae						
Diodia sp. 20 .5 1.36 .23 2.85	Polygonum sp.	30	T	T	.01	.12	
Geraniaceae Geranium sp. 60 .1 .27 .05 .66 Unclassified Tubers 10 .3 .82 .15 1.84 Bulbs and corms 40 .7 1.90 .22 2.77 Buds 10 .1 .27 .01 .12 Seed 10 T T T T T Greens 100 20.0 54.35 2.16 26.45 80% Geranium sp. 15% Oxalis sp. 5% Others TOTAL PLANT MATERIAL 33.4 90.77 7.58 92.85 GRIT 30 T T .02 .22	Rubiaceae						
Geranium sp. 60 .1 .27 .05 .66 Unclassified Tubers 10 .3 .82 .15 1.8 Bulbs and corms 40 .7 1.90 .22 2.76 Buds 10 .1 .27 .01 .1 Seed 10 T T T T 1 Greens 100 20.0 54.35 2.16 26.4 80% Geranium sp. 15% Oxalis sp. 5% Others TOTAL PLANT MATERIAL 33.4 90.77 7.58 92.85 GRIT 30 T T .02 .22	Diodia sp.	20	.5	1.36	.23	2.82	
Unclassified Tubers 10 3 82 15 1.8 Bulbs and corms 40 .7 1.90 .22 2.76 Buds 10 .1 27 .01 1.5 Seed 10 T T T T Greens 100 20.0 54.35 2.16 26.4 80% Geranium sp. 15% Oxalis sp. 5% Others TOTAL PLANT MATERIAL 33.4 90.77 7.58 92.85 GRIT 30 T T .02 2.25	Geraniaceae						
Tubers 10 3 82 15 184 Bulbs and corms 40 7 1.90 22 2.76 Buds 10 1.1 27 .01 1.1 Seed 10 T T T T 1 Greens 100 20.0 54.35 2.16 26.44 80% Geranium sp. 15% Oxalis sp. 5% Others TOTAL PLANT MATERIAL 33.4 90.77 7.58 92.89 GRIT 30 T T .02 22	Geranium sp.	60	.1	.27	.05	.61	
Buds 10 .1 .27 .01 .12 Seed 10 T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T	Unclassified						
Buds 10 .1 .27 .01 .12 Seed 10 T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T	Tubers	10	.3	.82	.15	1,84	
Seed 10 T T T T T T T T T			.1			.12	
80% Geranium sp. 15% Oxalis sp. 5% Others TOTAL PLANT MATERIAL 33.4 90.77 7.58 92.89 GRIT 30 T T .02 22	Seed	10	T	T	Т	T	
15% Oxalis sp. 5% Others TOTAL PLANT MATERIAL 33.4 90.77 7.58 92.89 GRIT 30 T T .02 22		100	20.0	54.35	2.16	26.47	
GRIT 30 T T .02 2:	15% Oxalis sp.						
	TOTAL PLANT MATERIAL		33,4	90.77	7.58	92.89	
TOTAL ALL FOOD MATERIAL 36.8 100.01 8 16 100.01	GRIT	30	Т	Т	.02	.25	
	TOTAL ALL FOOD MATERIAL		36.8	100.01	8.16	100.01	

TABLE 2

CROP CONTENTS OF SIX BLACK FRANCOLINS FROM CAMERON PARISH, LOUISIANA.

TYPE FOOD	PER CENT OF OCCURRENCE	VOI	.UME %	WEIGHT gm%		
	OCCURRENCE		π	g		
ANIMAL						
Gastropoda						
Succinea salleana Polygyra jacksoni	66.7 16.7	6.6 T	22.60 T	2.27 T	19.79 T	
Deroceras laeve	33.3	3.2	10.96	1.50	13.08	
Diptera						
Tipulidae (larvae) Culicidae	66.7 16.7	5.3 T	18.15 T	1.39 T	12.12 T	
Coleoptera						
Chrysomelidae						
Diabrotica decimpunctata Galerucella sp. Phaedon sp.	50.0 16.7 16.7	2.3 T .1	7.88 T .34	.53 T .02	4.62 1 .1	
Carabidae	16.7	.1	.34	.03	.20	
Elateridae						
Drasterius scutellatus	16.7	т	Т	T	7	
Hymenoptera						
Formicidae	50.0	.5	1.71	.13	1.13	
Solenopsis sp. Cremalogasier sp. Unclassified ant						
Annelida						
Earthworm parts	16.7	Т	Т	T	-	
Araneida						
Two unclassified spiders	16.7	т	Т	T	3	
TOTAL ANIMAL MATERIAL		18.1	61.98	5.87	51.17	
	TABLE 2 CONT'D					
		PER CENT OF VOLUME				
TYPE FOOD	OCCURRENCE	сс	%	gm.	IGHT 	
PLANT						
Leguminosae						
Sesbania macrocarpa	33.3	2.8	9.59	1.91	16.6	
Centrosema Virginianum Galactia regularis	33.3	.7	2.40	.36	3.1	
Schrankia sp. Vicia sp.	33.3	.3	1.03	.12	1.0	
Euphorbiaceae	16,7	.3 T T	T	.12 T	1.0	
	33.3 16.7 16.7	.3 T T	1.03 T T	.12	1.0	
	16.7 16.7	T T	T	.12 T T	1.0	
Croton capitatus	16,7	T	T	.12 T	1.0	
Croton capitatus Phytolaccaceae	16.7 16.7 33.3	T T	T T	.12 T T	1.0	
Croton capitatus Phytolaccaceae Phytolacca americana	16.7 16.7	T T	T	.12 T T	1.0	
Croton capitatus Phytolaccaceae Phytolacca americana Polygonaceae	16.7 16.7 33.3	T T	T T	.12 T T	1.0	
Croton capitatus Phytolaccaceae Phytolacca americana Polygonaceae Polygonum sp.	16.7 16.7 33.3 16.7	T T T	T T T	.12 T T T	1.0	
Croton capitatus Phytolacca camericana Polygonaceae Polygonum sp. Greens 60% Geranium sp. 30% Oxalis sp.	16.7 16.7 33.3	T T	T T	.12 T T	1.0	
Croton capitatus Phytolacca caee Phytolacca americana Polygonaceae Polygonum sp. Greens 60% Geranium sp.	16.7 16.7 33.3 16.7	T T T	T T T	.12 T T T	1.0	
Croton capitatus Phytolacca caee Phytolacca americana Polygonaceae Polygonum sp. Greens 60% Geranium sp. 30% Oxalis sp. 5% Ranunculus sp.	16.7 16.7 33.3 16.7	T T T	T T T	.12 T T T	1.0	
Croton capitatus Phytolacca caee Phytolacca americana Polygonaccae Polygonum sp. Greens 60% Geranium sp. 30% Oxalis sp. 5% Ranunculus sp. 5% Others	16.7 16.7 33.3 16.7 16.7 83.3	T T T T 5.4	T T T T T 18.49	T T T 2.23	3.14 1.00 1 19.4 8.5 48.8	

Animal material, primarily cranefly larvae (Tipulidae), comprised 9 percent of the total volume of quail crop contents. These larvae occurred in 30 percent of the crops. Other animal material found in quail crops included beetles and one ant.

Animal material constituted 62 percent of the francolin crop contents. Snails, the most important single food item, were present in two-thirds of the francolin crops and made up 23 percent of the total volume. Slugs were found in one-third of the francolin crops and made up 11 percent of the total volume. Cranefly larvae, the same larvae that were important in quail diets, made up 18 percent of the francolin crop contents. These larvae occurred in two-thirds of the crops, with one crop containing a sixty-three. Other animal food items eaten by francolins included beetles and ants.

A trace of grit occurred in 30 percent of the quail crops but none was found in the crops of francolins. A substantial amount of grit was found in the gizzards of both quail and francolins. Quail gizzard grit was composed almost exclusively of iron compactions and was slightly smaller than the grit found in the gizzards of francolins. Francolin gizzard grit consisted of about 50 percent iron compactions and 50 percent mineral rock.

Parasitologic Studies:

Parasites of the bobwhites and the black francolins are given in Table 3 and Table 4. A nematode, *Trichostrongylus tenuis*, was the only parasite found in both francolins and bobwhites.

			TABLE 3							
PARASITES OF TEN BOBWH	ITE QUAIL O	OLLEC	TED FRO	OM GUN	1 COVE,	CAMER	ON PAR	ISH, LO	UISIAN	4
Bird Number Sex Age* Weight (Grams)	1 M J 182	2 F J 175	3 M J 180	4 M J 171	5 M A 198	6 F J 173	7 M A 176	8 M A 200	9 F J 170	10 F A 167
Organ & Parasite	No. Parasites/Bird									
Eyes										
Nematoda										
Oxyspirura matogrosensis	-	4	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Proventriculus										
Nematoda										
Dispharynx nasuta	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	1	-	3
Gizzard										
Nematoda										
Seurocyrnea sp.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	ı	-	-
Ceca										
Nematoda										
Trichostrongylus tenuis	209	35	29	70	248	223	317	348	16	141
Skin & Feathers										
Mallophaga										
Goniodes ortygis**	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+
Acarina										
Amblyomma maculatum	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	+

*J=Juvenile, A=Adult
** *=positive, -=negative

TABLE 4

Bird Number Sex	1 M	2 F	3 F	4 M	5 M	6 M	7 M	8 M	9 M	10 F
Age* Weight (Grams)	A 392	Ј 368	J 322	A 381	J 370	J 387	A 454	A 404	A 380	A 366
Organ & Parasite				No. Parasites/Bird						
Small Intestine										
Cestoda										
Cyclophyllidea spp.	3	-	-	2	-		-	-	-	-
Nematoda										
Capillaria spp.	1	-	~	-	-	-	-	-	1	-
Сеса										
Protozoa										
Eimeria sp.**	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-		
Trichomonas sp.	+	+		-	-	-		-		-
Nematoda										
Heterakis gallinarum	-	7	-	-	-	1	-	-	3	
Subulura sp.	-	10	1	-		2	-	-	2	
Trichostrongylus tenuis	13	19		-	i	4	-	22	17	3
Skin & Feathers										
Mallophaga										
Menacanthus pallidulus										
Goniocotes gallinae		S	pecimens	were poo	led. Nine	of ten b	rds had I	ice.		

*J=Juvenile, A=Adult
** +*positive -=newtive

CONCLUSIONS

From the crop analyses it appeared that francolins utilized a much higher protein diet than quail. Seeds and green leafy materials made up the bulk of the quail's diet while a greater percentage of animal material was utilized by francolins. Although food preferences differed between species, some competition was believed to be present, but not enough to be detrimental to either quail or francolins. Parasite burdens were generally low and apparently insignificant to the well-being of either species at the time of study. All observations point to both species capable of thriving in essentially the same habitat in southwest Louisiana.

LITERATURE CITED

- Bohl, W. H. and G. Bump. 1970. Summary of foreign game bird liberations 1960 to 1968 and propagation 1966 to 1968. Bureau of Sport Fisheries and Wildlife, Special Scientific Rept.—Wildlife No. 130. Washington. 61 p.
- Bump, G. and J.W. 1964. A Study and Review of the Black Francolin and the Gray Francolin. Bureau of Sport Fisheries and Wildlife, Special Scientific Rept.—Wildlife No. 81. Washington. 86 p.
- Craft, B. R. 1966. An ecological study of the black francolin in the Gum Cove area of southwestern Louisiana. M. S. Thesis. Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge. 79 p.
- Kellogg, F. E. and Annie K. Prestwood. 1968. Gastrointestinal helminths from wild and pen-raised bobwhites. J. Wildl. Mgmt. 32(3):468-475.

WEIGHT AND AGE CHARACTERISTICS AS CRITERIA FOR HARVEST OF BOBWHITES IN NORTH CENTRAL TEXAS¹

by

Horace G. Gore, Texas Parks and Wildlife Department, Waco

Clyde E. Holt, Texas Parks and Wildlife Department, Decatur

and

John C. Barron, Texas Parks and Wildlife Department, Austin

ABSTRACT

Bobwhite age and weight data were collected from 5 study areas in North Central Texas to determine characteristics contributable to optimal harvest. A total of 24,324 bobwhites were aged and 12,735 were weighed and examined during December and January (hunting season), 1962-67. The peak in nesting as shown by molt patterns occurred prior to July 15 each year. The mean weight of all birds was 176.2 - a standard error of .5 grams. Weights increased through December and began to decline during the final 3 weeks of January. Average weights of January-killed birds did not decline below the average weight for the study period.

It is submitted that bobwhite weight and physical condition can be utilized as criteria for harvest and subsequent management of the species. The harvest beginning date should coincide with the average date on which 90 percent of juvenile birds reach the 150 gram acceptable size. This date during the period in North Texas was November 25. Bobwhite weight and physical condition were shown to remain well within acceptable limits during late winter indicating that these factors are not prime considerations for determining the season closing date.

INTRODUCTION

This study was instituted by the Texas Parks and Wildlife Department in November 1962 to determine characteristics of bobwhite quail (Colinus virginianus) populations contributable to better harvest. Bird weight and body condition during the open hunting season were prime considerations of the study.

Five areas representing the major habitat types in North Central Texas were selected for the study.

Quail weights during the study could not have been collected without the diligent assistance of game biologists and Game Management Officers head-quartered throughout the study area.

Bobwhite sex and age characteristics have been studied in North, East, and South Texas and in other states. Bobwhite weight patterns have also been studied in these same areas of Texas, although weight characteristics have not received thorough treatment.

¹This study is a contribution of Texas Pittman-Robertson Projects W-73-R and FW-14-C.