GROUP93

PROTOCOL MARKS

Following are feedback and marks (not including bonus points) for your review protocol deliverables.

Far too many groups received low marks due to sloppiness and lack of attention to detail. Some couldn't even manage to copy and paste the search string from IEEEXplore's command pane to the review protocol document! Others clearly didn't test their search string, and still others ignored the instructions, or didn't attend the tutorial or practical sessions (or both!) and so submitted documents that did not meet requirements. These groups should beware: this kind of behavior will result in a failing grade.

On the other hand, groups that did attend class and follow instructions did well: over 30% of groups attained the equivalent of first-class marks.

Pay attention, start early, do the work; you don't want to be doing systematic literature reviews again this summer!

Section/+ Component	Mark	Score		Possible
Marks				
+ partA: *Submission file*	**Marginal fail**	9	of	20
• *Is there a review protocol file?* Yes. • *Is it correct?* No. review_protocol.yml has syntax errors; use validate_yaml.R to see what they are. *Summary:* review_protocol.yml has the wrong name.				
+ partB: *Search String*	**Little of Merit**	4	of	40
• *Is the search string syntactically correct?* No. review_protocol.yml has syntax errors *Summary:* review_protocol.yml has syntax errors.				
+ partC: *Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria*	**0**	0	of	40
• *Are the inclusion criteria present?* No. Inclusion criteria missing. *Summary:* Criteria missing.				
+ partD: *General comments*	**0**	0	of	0
Summary: See also comments on specific components above.				
Marks net score:		13	of	100
Total net score:		13	of	100 = 13%