## **GROUP93**

## REPORT MARKS

Following are the final report marks.

Each component of the final report was assessed, then assigned a mark from the rubric on pp. 4-5 of the coursework specification. Each component is worth some number of points, as specified in coursework specification. Scores are obtained by computing the percentage of the component points corresponding to the mark in the assessment criteria for each component, rounded to the nearest whole number.

The total net score is the sum of the component scores.

	Section/+ Component	Mark	Score		Possible
_	Marks				
+	partA: Files	Marginal fail	9	of	20
	• Is there a final report PDF file? Yes.				
	• Is there a final report source (.docx) file? Yes.				
	• Is it named correctly? ('final_report.docx') Yes.				
	• Is there a research_question.yml file? Yes.				
	• Is the research_question.yml file syntactically correct? Yes.				
	• Is there a review_protocol.yml file? Yes.				
	• Is the review_protocol.yml file syntactically correct? No. review_pro-				
	tocol.yml has syntax errors; use validate_yaml.R to see what they are.				
	• Is there a papers.csv file? Yes.				
	• Is it populated correctly? No. 'include title' misplaced; 'include ab-				
	stract' misplaced; 'exclude' misplaced; 'accept contents' misplaced;				
	Summary: Issues with yaml files or papers.csv.				
+	partB: Introduction	Excellent	10	of	10
	• Is there an introduction section (or equivalent)? Yes.				
	• Does the introduction state the research question? Yes.				
	• Does the introduction state the context or motivation for the research				
	question? Yes.				
	• Are all facts, claims, and assertions supported by citations to refer-				
	ences? Yes. Excellent.				
+	partC: Review Protocol	Excellent	10	of	10
	• Is there a section describing the review protocol? Yes.				
	• Are the PICO elements for the research question identified? (popula-				
	tion, intervention, comparison, outcome) Yes.				
	• Is the search string listed? Yes.				
	• Are the inclusion criteria listed? Yes.				
	• Are the inclusion criteria appropriate? Yes.				
	• Are the exclusion criteria listed? Yes.				
	• Are the exclusion criteria appropriate? Yes. Excellent.				
+	partD: Results	Excellent	10	of	10
	• Is there a section describing the results? Yes.				
	• Is the number of papers identified by the search string stated? Yes.				

• Is the number of papers that passed the "include title" step stated?

• Is the number of papers that passed the "include abstract" step stat-

• Is the number of papers that passed the "exclude" step (i.e., the num-

ber of finally accepted papers) stated? Yes. Excellent.

+	partE: Synthesis	Excellent	19	of	20
	• Is there a synthesis section (or equivalent)? Yes.				
	• Is there a table listing all *codes* used in the coding step? Yes.				
	• Does each code have an example quotation from an accepted paper?				
	Yes. • Are all example quotations properly cited? Yes. Good.				
	• Is there a table listing *themes*? Yes.				
	• Are all codes related to each theme listed? Yes.				
	• Is there a table listing *model themes*? Yes.				
	• Are all quotations related to each model theme listed under the model theme? Yes.				
	• Are all quotations properly cited? Yes.				
	• Are the model themes insightful (i.e. convey some idea of what the ag-				
	gregate results of the papers are)? Yes. Somewhat anyway.				
	Summary: Model themes are not particularly insightful. Excellent.				
+	partF: Conclusions	Excellent	10	of	10
	• Is there a conclusion section? Yes.				
	• Does the conclusion state one or two big things learned from reading				
	all the accepted papers? Yes. Excellent.				
+	partG: References	Excellent	10	of	10
	• Is there a references section (or equivalent)? Yes.				
	• Are *ALL* accepted papers included in the reference section? Yes.				
	• Are *ALL* references complete (author, year, title, conference or jour-				
	nal; volume/number for journals; URL and accessed date for web				
	pages)? Yes. • Are *ALL* references correctly formatted, in Harvard or IEEE (num-				
	bered) style? Yes. Excellent.				
+	partH: Presentation	Excellent	10	of	10
	• Is the document spelled correctly? Yes.				
	• Is the document punctuated correctly? E.g., one space after (but not				
	before) colons, full stops and capitalization consistent throughout?				
	Yes.				
	• Is the document grammatically correct? Yes.				
	• Are all acronyms, abbreviations, and/or jargon terms defined before use? (Answer 'Yes' if there are no acronyms or jargon) Yes. Excellent.				
+	partI: General Comments	0	0	of	0
т	parti. General Comments	V	U	OI	U
	• Any general comments? (please use column G for all comments) Yes.				
	Good. This would make a nice final project.				
	Marks net score:		88	of	100
	Total net score:		88	of	100 = 88%