

Quarkslab 13 rue St Ambroise, 75011 Paris, France Tél. : +33 6 33 28 11 77 Email : mduez@quarkslab.com	The Monero Lab
--	----------------

Reference	Description	Days	Price per day	Cost
19-04-563-PRO	Core Dalek libraries review			
	Step 1 : Global understanding specification and components overview	5	\$ 1 650,00	\$ 8 250,00
	Step 2 : Checking that the RandomX specification is cryptographically secure and doesn't allow algorithmic optimizations	12	\$ 1 650,00	\$ 19 800,00
	Step 3 : Validating that the code matches the specification + vulnerability analysis	12	\$ 1 650,00	\$ 19 800,00
	Step 4 : Verifying that implementation is "optimization free"	8	\$ 1 650,00	\$ 13 200,00
	50% at the launch of the mission 50% upon completion of the mission			
Total \$ excl VAT				\$ 61 050,00

Online payment max 30 days after bill

Late payment penalties : BCE rate + 10 points

Quarkslab, SAS au capital de 113 390 €

RCS : : Paris 538 485 897

SIRET : 538 485 897 00036

APE : 7490B

TVA FR : FR 12 538 485 897 00036

Payment to :

Banque : Banque Palatine

RIB :40978 00022 1384828V800 25

IBAN : FR74 4097 8000 2213 8482 8V80 025

BIC :BSPFFRPPXXX

STATEMENT OF WORK

Description of the request

=====

The Monero developer community has expressed interest in the RandomX proof-of-work algorithm. They want to realize a code review / audit of the algorithm for ensuring that :

- implementation of the protocol is well respected,
- there are no vulnerabilities,
- criterias for a proof of work are met.

Criteria for a proof of work algorithm are :

- 1) Optimization-free: There is no algorithmic speed-up that allows one to calculate the hash faster than the reference algorithm.
- 2) Progress-free: Proof of work calculation doesn't depend on the history of previous calculations.
- 3) Approximation-free: It's not possible to achieve speed-up larger than the inverse rate of invalid hashes

Monero Lab expects a fully audit (implementation review and vulnerability analysis) of the basic implementation (hashing function itself which includes VM implementation).

On components used to speed up things (JIT, large pages etc.) and 3rd-party components (Blake, AES, Argon), only verify that they are correctly manipulated by randomx (lack of vulnerability). Analysis of components itself is out of scope.

For "optimization free" criteria, verifying that there is no other way for ASIC but to fully implement this VM and that there are no ways to make it run much faster on CPU than with current JIT code (around 4000-5000 lines of code to audit).

Quarkslab's work description

=====

The evaluation work that Quarkslab will undertake includes the four following steps:

- 1- Global understanding specification and components overview
- 2- Checking that the RandomX specification is cryptographically secure and doesn't allow algorithmic optimizations
- 3- Validating that the code matches the specification + vulnerability analysis
- 4- Verifying that implementation is "optimization free"

At the end of the evaluation, Quarkslab will produce a report detailing the evaluation activities undertaken and results achieved.

Considering the novelty and difficulty of the task, we are proposing a team of 2 senior evaluators in cryptography, implementation of cryptography and vulnerability research.

The daily rate for this type of audit is 2000\$/day that is reduced to 1650\$/day in case report can be communicated and published in coordination with Monera Lab.

Detailed breakdown of the source tree

=====

```
asm, blake2 > vulnerability analysis only
  tests -> can be skipped
    aes_hash.cpp 137
    aes_hash.hpp 29
  argon* -> vulnerability analysis only
assembly_generator* -> can be skipped
  allocator.cpp 52
  allocator.hpp 37
  blake2_generator.cpp 53
  blake2_generator.hpp 37
  common.hpp 156
  configuration.h 112
  dataset.cpp 170
  dataset.hpp 77
instruction.cpp 94 (printing removed)
  instruction.hpp 140
  instruction_weights.hpp 105
instructions_portable.cpp 163
  intrin_portable.h 322
jit_compiler -> vulnerability analysis only
  program.hpp 63
  randomx.cpp 241
  randomx.h 189
  reciprocal.c 59
  reciprocal.h 33
soft_aes* -> vulnerability analysis only
  superscalar.cpp 888
  superscalar.hpp 51
  superscalar_program.hpp 71
  virtual_machine.cpp 128
  virtual_machine.hpp 67
  virtual_memory.cpp 121
  virtual_memory.hpp 27
vm_compiled* -> vulnerability analysis only
  vm_interpreted.cpp 663
  vm_interpreted.hpp 90
  vm_interpreted_light.cpp 47
  vm_interpreted_light.hpp 52
  -----
  ~4500 lines of code in total
```