Table 1: The Δ of model accuracy for creating significant improvements on tuning quality under sequential model-based tuners. $+\Delta$ and $-\Delta$ denote the improvements in tuning quality are the results of enhanced and worsened accuracy, respectively. M&P, R&P, M&E, and R&E respectively denote the combined metric set of MAPE-performance, μ RD-performance, MAPE-efficiency, and μ RD-efficiency.

 $M\&P -\Delta$

MAPE

 $M\&P + \Delta$

Range

30 – 40

20 - 30

10 - 20

0 - 10

 13.4 ± 9.9

 7.7 ± 6.8

 5.5 ± 4.6

 0.8 ± 1.4

 μRD

 $R\&P-\Delta$

 $R\&P + \Delta$

>100	$68.0 {\pm} 57.6$	$55.6 {\pm} 50.2$	-	-
90 - 100	35.1 ± 25.0	27.3 ± 17.4	-	-
80-90	38.3 ± 23.2	15.3 ± 12.6	-	-
70 - 80	$30.5{\pm}18.2$	25.3 ± 20.0	-	-
60 - 70	22.6 ± 13.0	$23.5 {\pm} 21.5$	-	-
50 – 60	$21.4 {\pm} 15.1$	20.3 ± 11.6	-	-
40-50	$23.5 {\pm} 11.7$	$9.2 {\pm} 6.8$	-	-
30 – 40	13.0 ± 7.8	$8.2 {\pm} 4.7$	$7.8 {\pm} 7.5$	6.6 ± 6.9
20 - 30	9.3 ± 7.2	$4.7 {\pm} 5.6$	$4.8 {\pm} 5.4$	4.0 ± 4.3
10 - 20	6.1 ± 4.8	4.2 ± 4.8	1.9 ± 2.6	1.4 ± 1.5
10-20	0.1			
0-10	0.7 ± 1.1	0.6±0.9	0.6±0.7	1.1±0.9
-	0.7±1.1			1.1±0.9
-	0.7±1.1	0.6±0.9 APE	0.6 ± 0.7 μRI	
-	0.7±1.1	APE		D
0-10	0.7±1.1	APE	μ RI	D
0-10 Range	0.7 ± 1.1 $\frac{\text{MA}}{\text{M&E} + \Delta}$	ΔPE M&E -Δ	μ RI	D
0-10 Range >100	0.7 ± 1.1 MA M&E + Δ 60.1 ± 55.6	ΔPE M&E -Δ 56.8±50.8	μ RI	D
0-10 Range >100 90-100	0.7 ± 1.1 $\frac{MA}{M\&E + \Delta}$ 60.1 ± 55.6 41.3 ± 17.6	ΔPE $M\&E -\Delta$ 56.8 ± 50.8 27.9 ± 18.3	μ RI	D
Range >100 90-100 80-90	0.7 ± 1.1 $M\&E + \Delta$ 60.1 ± 55.6 41.3 ± 17.6 36.8 ± 21.1	$\begin{array}{c} \text{APE} \\ \hline \text{M\&E -}\Delta \\ \hline \\ 56.8 \pm 50.8 \\ 27.9 \pm 18.3 \\ 39.4 \pm 24.3 \\ 39.5 \pm 23.6 \\ \end{array}$	$\frac{\mu R}{R\&E + \Delta}$	D
Range >100 90-100 80-90 70-80	$\begin{array}{c} \text{MA}\\ \hline \text{M\&E} + \Delta \\ \hline \text{60.1\pm55.6}\\ \text{41.3\pm17.6}\\ \text{36.8\pm21.1}\\ \text{30.5\pm17.7} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \text{APE} \\ \hline \text{M\&E -}\Delta \\ \hline \\ 56.8 \pm 50.8 \\ 27.9 \pm 18.3 \\ 39.4 \pm 24.3 \\ 39.5 \pm 23.6 \\ \end{array}$	$\frac{\mu R}{R\&E + \Delta}$	
Range >100 90-100 80-90 70-80 60-70	$\begin{array}{c} \text{MA}\\ \hline & \text{M\&E} + \Delta\\ \hline & 60.1 \pm 55.6\\ 41.3 \pm 17.6\\ 36.8 \pm 21.1\\ 30.5 \pm 17.7\\ 25.8 \pm 16.5 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \Delta PE \\ \hline M\&E -\Delta \\ \hline 56.8 \pm 50.8 \\ 27.9 \pm 18.3 \\ 39.4 \pm 24.3 \\ 39.5 \pm 23.6 \\ 25.0 \pm 21.0 \\ \hline \end{array}$	$\frac{\mu R}{R\&E + \Delta}$	D

 10.7 ± 7.8

 7.0 ± 7.4

 3.4 ± 2.8

 0.8 ± 1.2

Table 2: The Δ of model accuracy for creating significant improvements on tuning quality under batch model-based tuners. 0.0 ± 0.0 implies no samples

 8.3 ± 8.3

 4.7 ± 5.0

 1.8 ± 2.2

 1.0 ± 0.8

 6.0 ± 6.3

 4.2 ± 4.5

 1.5 ± 2.0

 1.1 ± 0.9

> 100	72.6 ± 39.1	47.0 ± 29.1	-	-
90 - 100	$80.6 {\pm} 18.3$	$39.7 {\pm} 5.0$	-	-
80-90	66.5 ± 13.3	31.1 ± 17.9	-	-
70 - 80	11.4 ± 9.0	0.0 ± 0.0	-	-
60 - 70	$41.5 {\pm} 10.2$	0.0 ± 0.0	-	-
50 – 60	$28.9 {\pm} 18.3$	0.0 ± 0.0	_	-
40 – 50	$33.2 {\pm} 13.7$	$13.7 {\pm} 13.8$	-	-
30 – 40	$23.2 {\pm} 9.7$	$18.0 {\pm} 7.4$	$11.7 {\pm} 11.2$	12.0 ± 9.4
20 – 30	14.9 ± 7.0	$8.5 {\pm} 6.6$	10.0 ± 7.6	8.0 ± 8.9
10 - 20	$9.1 {\pm} 4.4$	$8.2 {\pm} 4.0$	4.8 ± 3.9	2.9 ± 2.9
0 - 10	0.8 ± 1.3	$0.7 {\pm} 1.0$	$1.3 {\pm} 1.4$	0.9 ± 1.3
	MAPE		$\mu \mathrm{RD}$	
Range	$M\&E + \Delta$	$M\&E -\Delta$	$R\&E + \Delta$	$R\&E -\Delta$
>100	85.9±45.5	48.8±32.1	-	-
90 - 100	77.4 ± 25.0	87.9 ± 12.7	-	-
80-90	$68.2 {\pm} 14.8$	64.2 ± 20.8	-	-
70 - 80	50.6 ± 27.5	0.0 ± 0.0	-	-
60 - 70	$46.4 {\pm} 14.2$	49.9 ± 0.0	_	-
50 – 60	$31.9 {\pm} 16.6$	20.5 ± 3.8	_	-
40 – 50	31.4 ± 13.9	$22.7 {\pm} 14.4$	_	-
30-40		140101	14.8 ± 10.5	10.7 ± 9.7
30-40	23.1 ± 10.1	14.8 ± 9.1	11.0110.0	10.1 ±0.1
20–30	23.1 ± 10.1 15.6 ± 8.6	14.8 ± 9.1 15.5 ± 9.2	10.6 ± 7.1	8.0 ± 7.0