

**Learning Portfolio Optimization of Electric Vehicle
Virtual Power Plants in Smart Sustainable Electricity
Markets: A Reinforcement Learning Approach**

Master Thesis Proposal

Author: Tobias Richter

Supervisor: Prof. Dr. Wolfgang Ketter

Department of Information Systems for Sustainable Society
Faculty of Management, Economics and Social Sciences
University of Cologne

October, 2018

Contents

1	Introduction	1
1.1	Research Motivation	1
1.2	Research Question	1
2	Empirical Setting	2
2.1	Carsharing Fleets of Electric Vehicles	2
2.2	Electricity Markets	3
2.2.1	Secondary Operating Reserve Market	4
2.2.2	Intraday Continuous Spot Market	6
3	Literature Review	6
3.1	Smart Charging and EV fleets	6
3.2	Electricity Price Forecasting and Neural Networks	8
3.3	Reinforcement Learning in Smart Grids	8
4	Research Design	9
4.1	Agent-based Simulation	9
5	Relevance and Expected Contribution	10

1 Introduction

1.1 Research Motivation

The global climate change is one biggest challenges of our time. Carbon emissions need to be reduced and the shift to sustainable energy sources is inevitable. But the integration of renewables into the electricity grid proves to be difficult: Solar and wind energy is intermittent and hard to integrate into the power grid. Sustainable electricity production is dependent on the weather; under- and oversupplies occur and can destabilize the grid. Virtual Power Plants (VPP) play an important role in stabilizing the grid. VPPs aggregate distributed power sources to consume and produce electricity when it is needed. Carsharing companies operate large, centrally managed fleets of Electric Vehicles (EV) in major cities around the world. These EV fleets can be turned into VPPs, using their batteries as combined electricity storage. In this way, EV fleets can offer balancing services to the power grid or trade electricity on the open markets for arbitrage purposes. Carsharing companies can charge the fleet (buy electricity) and discharge the fleet (sell electricity) when market conditions are favorable. By making EVs available to be used as a VPP, carsharing companies compromise customer mobility and the profitability of the fleet. Renting out an EV to a customer is considerably more lucrative than using it for trading electricity. Knowing how many EVs will most likely be rented out in a future point of time is essential for a successful trading strategy. By obtaining an accurate forecasts of available battery capacity, carsharing companies can determine the capacity to trade on the market. It is also possible for fleet owners to trade on multiple electricity markets simultaneously. EV fleets can make use of differences in the market properties, different lead times to delivery and price elasticity can be favorable for the trading strategy.

We state, that participating in operating reserve markets and spot markets at the same time can mitigate risks and increase profits. In this research, we propose a portfolio optimizing strategy, in which the best composition of the VPP portfolio, consisting of operating reserve VPPs and spot market VPPs, will be dynamically learned using a *Reinforcement Learning* (RL) approach. An intelligent agent using RL can adapt to changing electricity price levels and customer demands. The agent learns from historical data, its current environment and realized profits to adjust its trading strategy dynamically. The following tasks have to be performed by the agent in real-time: 1) *Allocation of plugged in EVs to an idle or a VPP state*, 2) *Learn the optimal VPP portfolio composition* and 3) *Place bids and asks on corresponding electricity markets with an integrated trading strategy*.

1.2 Research Question

Drawing upon the research motivation, the following research questions are derived. They build upon another and will be sequentially addressed during this research:

1. *What are spatio-temporal customer demand patterns of carsharing EVs?*

Knowing customer demand patterns results in an accurate forecast of how much available battery capacity an EV fleet will have at any point in the future.

2. *What is the optimal allocation ratio of the available capacity between operating reserve market VPPs and spot market VPPs?*

Dynamically learning the optimal share of capacity to trade on the respective markets will maximize profits while reducing the risk of foregone customer profits.

3. *How does an integrated bidding strategy look like, which considers trading electricity on the secondary operating reserve market and the continuous intraday market simultaneously?*

Combine the previous results by designing a bidding strategy and determine optimal auction prices, which take the different market designs into account.

2 Empirical Setting

We chose to embed our research in the German carsharing and electricity market. Germany has a comparably high share of renewables in its energy mix and is pushing for an energy turnaround¹ since 2010 (German: *Energiewende*). The high renewable energy content in the energy mix causes electricity prices to be more volatile, which makes Germany an attractive location for the use of VPPs. We obtained real-world trip data from Daimlers carsharing service Car2Go² and electricity market data from the European power exchange EPEX SPOT³. Additionally, we collected data from the German electricity market operator regelleistung.net⁴.

2.1 Carsharing Fleets of Electric Vehicles

We think that the future of mobility will be electric, shared, smart and eventually autonomous. Carsharing companies are already contributing to the first two points by operating large fleets of electric vehicles. This research addresses the third point: Using EV fleets to smartly participate in electricity markets, without compromising customer mobility. Carsharing providers like Daimler and BMW operate their carsharing fleets in a free-float model, where customers can pick up and drop vehicles at any place within the operating zone of the provider. Customers pay by the minute and are offered incentives to park EVs at charging stations. Analyzing free-float trip data is substantially more difficult than fixed trip data. Individual trips have to be reconstructed using the GPS data of the cars and predicting the rental demand is a complex matter. Demand patterns differ depending at which place and at what time the EVs are parked. The dataset consists of 500 EVs in Stuttgart. As displayed in Table 1, the data contain

¹*Energy concept for an environmentally sound, reliable and affordable energy*, German Federal Ministry of Economics and Technology (BMWi), 2010.

²<https://www.car2go.com>

³<https://www.epexspot.com>

⁴<https://www.regelleistungen.net>

spatio-temporal attributes, such as timestamp, coordinates, and address of the EVs. Additionally, status attributes of the interior and exterior are given, the relative state of charge and information whether the EV is plugged into one of the 200 charging stations in Stuttgart.

Table 1: Raw Car2Go Trip Data from Stuttgart

Number Plate	Latitude	Longitude	Street	Zip Code	Engine Type
S-GO2471	9.19121	48.68895	Parkplatz Flughafen	70692	electric
S-GO2471	9.15922	48.78848	Salzmannweg 3	70192	electric
S-GO2471	9.17496	48.74928	Felix-Dahn-Str.45	70597	electric
S-GO2471	9.17496	48.74928	Felix-Dahn-Str.45	70597	electric
S-GO2471	9.17496	48.74928	Felix-Dahn-Str.45	70597	electric
Number Plate	Interior	Exterior	Timestamp	Charging	State of Charge
S-GO2471	good	good	22.12.2017 20:10	no	94
S-GO2471	good	good	24.12.2017 23:05	no	72
S-GO2471	good	good	26.12.2017 00:40	yes	81
S-GO2471	good	good	26.12.2017 00:45	yes	83
S-GO2471	good	good	26.12.2017 00:50	yes	84

2.2 Electricity Markets

On electricity markets actors participate in auctions to match the supply of electricity generation and the demand for electricity consumption. Participants place asks (sale offers) and bids (purchase orders). The price is determined by an auction mechanism, which can take different forms depending on the type of market. Germany, like many other countries, has a liberalized energy system in which the generation and distribution of electricity are decoupled. Multiple electricity markets exist in a liberalized energy system. They differ in the auction design and in their reaction time between the order contract and the delivery of electricity. Day-ahead markets and spot markets have a reaction time between a day and several hours, whereas in operating reserve markets the reaction time ranges from minutes to seconds. The auction mechanism design is essential for electric markets (Kambil & van Heck, 1998). Electricity markets work according to the merit order principle; resources are considered in an ascending order of the energy price until the capacity demand is met. The clearing price is determined by the energy price, at the point where supply meets demand. Payment models differ in the markets: In contrast to day-ahead markets, where a uniform pricing schema is applied, in secondary reserve markets and intraday markets bidders get compensated by the price they bid (pay-as-bid principle).

Carsharing fleets can offer the capacity of their EV batteries on multiple markets at the same time to make use of the different market properties. On operating reserve markets are prices usually more volatile and consequently more attractive for VPPs.

Operating reserve markets also bear a higher risk for the fleet: Commitments have to be made one week in advance when customer demands are still of uncertain. In order to not face penalties for unfulfilled commitments only a conservative amount of capacity can be offered to the market. On the other hand, spot markets allow participants to continuously trade electricity products up to fifteen minutes prior to delivery. At this point, it is possible to predict if an EV is likely going to be rented out with a high accuracy. This creates the possibility to trade the remaining available capacity with a low risk at the spot market.

2.2.1 Secondary Operating Reserve Market

In this research, we will use bidding data from the German secondary reserve market between 01.06.2016 and 01.01.2018. The data contain weekly lists of anonymized bids, where the electricity product, the offered capacity, the capacity price and the energy price are listed. Four different products are traded, which are a combination of positive control reserve (feed electricity into the grid) or negative control reserve (take electricity from the grid) and the provided time windows (peak or non-peak hours). Since negative prices are allowed on the secondary operating reserve market, the payment direction is included as well. Moreover, information about the amount of electricity which got accepted, i.e. either partially or fully, is listed. Bids which were not accepted are not listed. An excerpt of the data can be found in Table 2.

Table 2: List of Bids of the German Secondary Reserve Market

Product ⁵	Capacity Price ⁶	Energy Price ⁷	Payment	Offered ⁸	Accepted ⁸
NEG-HT	0	1.1	TSO to bidder	5	5
NEG-HT	0	251	TSO to bidder	15	15
NEG-HT	0	564	TSO to bidder	22	22
...
NEG-NT	0	21.9	Bidder to TSO	5	5
NEG-NT	0	22.4	Bidder to TSO	5	5
...
POS-NT	696.6	1200	TSO to bidder	5	5
POS-NT	717.12	1210	TSO to bidder	10	7

⁵NEG-NT = Negative secondary control reserve to be provided between 00:00h and 08:00h and between 20:00h and 24:00h.

POS-HT = Positive secondary control reserve to be provided between the hours of 08:00h and 20:00h.

⁶Capacity prices are in given in €/MW.

⁷Energy prices are in given in €/MWh.

⁸Capacities are given in MW.

⁹Unit prices are given in €/MWh.

¹⁰Quantities are given in kW.

¹¹Products: are: H = Hourly, Q = Quarterly and B = Block.

Table 3: List of Trades of the EPEX Spot Intraday Continuous Market

Execution time	ID	Unit price ¹³	Quantity ¹⁴	Buyer area	Seller area	Product ¹⁵	Product time	Delivery date
2017-12-04 06:54:55	8031392	5100	5500	Amprion	Amprion	H	08-09	2017-12-04
2017-12-04 06:53:26	8031391	5900	10000	TenneT	TenneT	H	08-09	2017-12-04
2017-12-04 06:53:26	8031390	5890	10000	TenneT	TenneT	H	08-09	2017-12-04
2017-12-04 06:53:15	8031389	5230	7000	50Hertz	50Hertz	H	08-09	2017-12-04
2017-12-04 06:53:13	8031386	5900	500	TenneT	TenneT	H	08-09	2017-12-04
2017-12-04 06:53:13	8031387	5100	3600	Amprion	Amprion	H	08-09	2017-12-04
2017-12-04 06:53:13	8031388	5200	1400	Amprion	Amprion	H	08-09	2017-12-04
2017-12-04 06:53:02	8031385	5890	11000	TenneT	TenneT	H	08-09	2017-12-04
2017-12-04 06:52:38	8031380	6000	10000	Amprion	Amprion	H	08-09	2017-12-04
2017-12-04 06:52:38	8031381	5750	8000	Amprion	Amprion	H	08-09	2017-12-04
2017-12-04 06:52:38	8031382	5800	2000	Amprion	Amprion	H	08-09	2017-12-04
2017-12-04 06:52:38	8031383	5890	4000	TenneT	TenneT	H	08-09	2017-12-04
2017-12-04 06:52:38	8031384	6000	4000	Amprion	Amprion	H	08-09	2017-12-04
2017-12-04 06:52:27	8031379	5230	8000	50Hertz	50Hertz	H	08-09	2017-12-04
2017-12-04 06:51:33	8031378	6600	5000	TransnetBW	TransnetBW	H	08-09	2017-12-04
2017-12-04 06:51:28	8031377	5400	8000	Amprion	Amprion	H	08-09	2017-12-04
2017-12-04 06:51:24	8031376	5400	7000	TenneT	TenneT	H	08-09	2017-12-04
2017-12-04 06:49:34	8031375	5100	4000	TenneT	TenneT	H	08-09	2017-12-04
2017-12-04 06:49:26	8031374	5400	5000	50Hertz	50Hertz	H	08-09	2017-12-04
2017-12-04 06:49:23	8031373	5510	8000	50Hertz	50Hertz	H	08-09	2017-12-04

2.2.2 Intraday Continuous Spot Market

We choose to use the EPEX Spot Intraday Continuous market in this research. The data have been provided by ProCom GmbH¹⁶ and encompass order books and executed trades from 01.06.2016 until 01.01.2018. As displayed in Table 3, trades can have a very short lead time before delivery, which is beneficial for our proposed trading strategy. Electricity products are 30-minute contracts, hourly contracts, and block contracts. The products can be traded up until 30 minutes before delivery (5 minutes in the same control area). Participants can submit limit orders at any time during the trading window and equally change or withdraw the order at any time before the order is accepted. Limit orders are specified as quantity/price pairs. When an order to buy (bid) and an order to sell (ask) is matched the trade will get executed. The order book is visible to all participants, hence it is known which unmatched orders exist at the time of interest.

3 Literature Review

3.1 Smart Charging and EV fleets

Charging whole EV fleets at the same time can overload transformers and substations, which causes severe problems to the grid (Kim, Tabors, Stoddard, & Allmendinger, 2012; Sioshansi, 2012). A proposed solution is smart charging: To reduce peak demand EVs get charged when the grid is less congested. Valogianni, Ketter, Collins, and Zhdanov (2014) find that using intelligent agents to facilitate smart charging, can substantially benefit households. Kara et al. (2015) present results, in which smart charging reduced electricity bills for users of public EV charging stations in California. An extension of the smart charging concept is Vehicle-to-Grid (V2G). EVs equipped with V2G devices can discharge their batteries back into the grid. Schill (2011) find that EVs can be beneficial for consumer electricity prices when they are used as storage. Similar results were shown by Reichert (2010) and Peterson, Whitacre, and Apt (2010), they point out that battery technology and battery costs are a crucial factor for profitability. Tomić and Kempton (2007) show that V2G can be profitable, especially when there is a high variability in electricity prices on the market. The authors state that shorter intervals between sale and physical delivery increase the benefits.

Successful trading strategies to jointly participate in multiple markets have been developed by Mashhour and Moghaddas-Tafreshi (2011b). Using stationary storage the authors use VPPs to participate in the spinning reserve market and day-ahead market at the same time. Similar research has been done by He, Chen, Kang, Pinson, and Xia (2016). The authors take the battery life cycle into account, which proves to be a decisive factor. In contrast, we aim to jointly participate in the operating reserve and spot market with *non-stationary* storage, while considering the battery life cycle as well. Following the findings of Tomić and Kempton (2007), we choose the intraday continuous

¹⁶<https://procom-energy.de/>

market over the day-ahead market, as it has the lowest reaction time of spot markets.

Previous studies often make the assumption that car owners or households can directly trade on electricity markets. In reality, this is not possible due to minimum capacity requirements of the markets. For example, the German secondary reserve market has a 1 MWh minimum trading capacity, while the maximum battery capacity of i.e. a *Smart ForTwo Electric* is 16.50 kWh. Ketter, Collins, and Reddy (2013) introduced the notion of electricity brokers, intelligent agents that act on behalf of a group of individuals or households to participate on electricity markets. Brandt, Wagner, and Neumann (2017) and Kahlen, Ketter, and van Dalen (2014) successfully showed in simulations that electricity brokers can overcome the capacity issues by aggregating distributed electricity sources.

Carsharing providers which manage large EV fleets, can use their EVs as VPPs to participate on electricity markets. We look at the concept of free-float carsharing, an approach which offers more flexibility to its users, saves resources and reduces carbon emissions (Firnkorn & Müller, 2015). In most previous studies concerning using EVs for electricity trading, it was assumed that trips were fixed and known in advance. The free-float concept adds uncertainty and nondeterministic behavior, as cars can be picked up and parked everywhere and billing is done by the minute. This makes predicting when and where a car is going to be rented out a complex issue, which e.g. Wagner, Brandt, and Neumann (2016) address by taking Points of Interests (POI) from Google Maps as an additional predictor.

Kahlen, Ketter, and Gupta (2017) showed that it is possible to use free-floating carsharing fleets as VPPs to profitably offer balancing services to the grid. The authors also showed that with a similar approach, carsharing companies can participate on day-ahead markets for arbitrage purposes (Kahlen, Ketter, & van Dalen, 2018). A central dilemma within this research is to decide whether an EV should be committed to being charged, being discharged or to be free for rent. Rental profits are considerably higher than the profits to be made of electricity trading. Moreover, offering capacity to the grid, which you can not provide results in heavy penalties, that should be avoided at all costs. To address this issue, the authors make use of asymmetric objective functions that heavily penalize committing an EV to a VPP, when it would have been rented otherwise. Therefore only very conservative estimations and commitments of available overall capacity to be traded on the markets are made. This results in a high amount of foregone profits when bidding on the balancing market. Kahlen and Ketter (2015) state that in 42% to 80% of the time EVs are *not* committed to a VPP when it would have been profitable (i.e. the EV has not been rented out). We are proposing a solution, in which the EV fleet participates on the balancing market and intraday market simultaneously. With this approach we aim to align the potentially higher profits on the balancing markets with accurate capacity estimations, which can be made on intraday markets. We follow Kahlen and Ketter (2015) with this approach, which also propose a combination of multiple markets in future work on this topic.

3.2 Electricity Price Forecasting and Neural Networks

An essential part of bidding on electricity markets is knowing how prices are going to develop in the future. Electricity price forecasting (EFP) is a well studied problem with many suggested solutions. In review papers of EFP techniques Neural Networks (NN) play an essential role. Aggarwal, Saini, and Kumar (2009) found that 17 papers, which have published in relevant journals at the time, use NN models (including Recurrent NN, and Fuzzy NN) to forecast electricity prices. In comparison only twelve papers covered time-series and causal models. In a more recent review paper, Weron (2014) consider 206 papers, where 95 papers were belonging to the 'neural network' category and 100 papers to the 'statistical time series' category. This shows the relevance of advanced AI and NN methods for electricity price forecasting. To the best of our knowledge, these methods have not been considered for bidding on multiple electricity markets with EV fleets yet, as we aim to do. Another promising approach is the use of Ensemble Forecasting, where a number of forecasts are evaluated together to provide less risky predictions (Avci, Ketter, & van Heck, 2018).

3.3 Reinforcement Learning in Smart Grids

Previous research showed that intelligent agents equipped with Reinforcement Learning methods can successfully take action in the smart grid. Reddy and Veloso (2011a, 2011b) conducted research, in which autonomous broker agents (Ketter et al., 2013) learn their strategies using RL. Peters, Ketter, Saar-Tsechansky, and Collins (2013) build on that work and further enhance the method, by learning over larger state spaces to accommodate arbitrary economic signals. This is especially beneficial in smart markets, because the markets structures might change in the future and intelligent agents should adapt to a variety of market structures and conditions. Valogianni et al. (2014) adopt RL methods to learn electricity consumption behavior of households. The authors implement these methods in intelligent agents to smart charge EVs more effectively. Vandael, Claessens, Ernst, Holvoet, and Deconinck (2015) use RL to learn collective EV fleet charging behavior to profitably purchase electricity on the day-ahead market. We consider RL a perfect fit for the design of our proposed intelligent agent, especially as a solution for our Research Question 2. When dynamically optimizing the VPP portfolio composition of the fleet, there is no historical data available to train a model. Using RL and a reward function that maximizes the overall profitability of the fleet, the agent can learn from its environment with unknown dynamics and take a certain set of actions. The agent can consider different states (e.g. current and forecasted rental demand levels and electricity prices) to take actions (e.g. allocate battery capacity to different types of VPPs) that maximizes the reward function.

4 Research Design

The research will be structured using the IS Design Science principles introduced by Hevner, March, Park, and Ram (2004). In Figure 1 the proposed research design of this thesis is depicted. We will place a special focus on the used methodologies, the developed artifact and the evaluation of the results. Drawing from the *Knowledge Base*, multiple ML and statistical methods will be compared and evaluated against each other and thus emphasizing *Research Rigor*. Considering *Business Needs*, we will develop an *Artifact* in form of a DSS that runs an intelligent agent. Evaluating the agent in an event-based simulation, which is bootstrapped with real-world data, will make sure the *Artifact* is *applicable in the appropriate environment* (i.e. carsharing fleets in smart markets).




Figure 1: Research Design following Hevner, March, Park, and Ram (2004)

4.1 Agent-based Simulation

Agent-based simulations are a well recognized method for evaluating autonomous agents in complex environments like energy markets. Ketter, Peters, Collins, and Gupta (2016a) introduced with Power TAC a competitive agent-based simulation platform, on which broker agents compete against each other in a realistic model of the future energy landscape. Agent-based simulations capture enough complexity in order that researchers can transfer their results to the real world, but still provide enough abstraction to gather intuitive results for their experiments. Especially in wicked problems like the shift towards a sustainable energy landscape, agent-based simulations offer a way of evaluation, where mathematical modeling is not sufficient anymore (Ketter, Peters,

Collins, & Gupta, 2016b). We see a perfect fit in evaluating the proposed agent in a similar simulation and follow other researchers with this method (Brandt et al., 2017; Kahlen et al., 2017; Kahlen et al., 2018).

5 Relevance and Expected Contribution

From a scientific perspective, this research is relevant to the stream of agent-based decision making in smart markets (Bichler, Gupta, & Ketter, 2010; Peters et al., 2013). We will contribute to the body of Design Science in Information Systems (Hevner et al., 2004) and draw upon work, which has been done in a multitude of research areas: Virtual Power Plants in smart electricity markets (Pudjianto, Ramsay, & Strbac, 2007), carsharing as a new way of sustainable mobility and advanced machine learning (ML) and AI methods for forecasting and prediction. We build on research that has been carried out by Kahlen et al. (2018) and Kahlen et al. (2017). In their papers, the authors concentrate on participating in one type of electricity market at a time. As proposed by Kahlen et al., we will take this research further and use EV VPPs to act on multiple types of electricity markets simultaneously. Moreover, we aim to use more sophisticated machine learning methods (i.e. Reinforcement Learning, Neural Networks, Ensemble Learning) to carry out accurate forecasts of rental demand and dynamically learn optimal VPP portfolio compositions. He et al. (2016) and Mashhour and Moghaddas-Tafreshi (2011a, 2011b) conducted research on optimal bidding strategies for using VPPs to jointly bid on multiple markets. The authors use stationary storage to participate in day-ahead and spinning-reserve markets. Contrarily, we aim to use non-stationary storage (i.e. EV batteries) to participate in the continuous intraday market and the secondary reserve market.

From a business perspective, this research is relevant to carsharing companies operating an EV fleet, such as Car2Go or DriveNow. We will show how these companies can increase their profits, using idle EVs as VPPs to trade electricity on multiple markets simultaneously. We propose the use of a decision support system (DSS), which allocates idle EVs to different types of VPPs or to be available for rent. Further, the DSS will determine optimal capacity-price pairs to place ask and bid on the individual electricity markets. Using an event-based simulation we will estimate the profitability increase, when implementing the proposed methods. This will be done using real-world data from German electricity markets and trip data from a German carsharing provider.

This research also contributes to the overall welfare of society. First, VPPs of EVs provide extra balancing services to the power grid. The VPPs can consume excess electricity almost instantly and stabilize the power grid. When integrating more intermittent renewable electricity sources into the grid in the future, such balancing services will become indispensable. Second, a reduction of electricity prices for the end-consumer is expected. Integrating VPPs into the power grid increases the efficiency of the whole system and hence will lower prices. Kahlen et al. (2018) show results, where electricity prices decrease up to 3.4% on the wholesale market. We anticipate similar or even better

results in our research. Third, VPPs can lead to a decrease in CO₂ emissions. With an increasing share of renewable energy production, the supply of sustainable electricity can exceed the total electricity demand at times of good weather conditions. The VPPs can consume this electricity by charging the EV fleet and the sustainable energy production does not need to be curtailed. The EV fleet can feed the electricity back into the grid when there is more demand than sustainable electricity production. This mechanism increases the utilization of renewable electricity generation and reduces the total CO₂ emissions.

References

- Aggarwal, S. K., Saini, L. M., & Kumar, A. (2009). Electricity price forecasting in deregulated markets: A review and evaluation. *International Journal of Electrical Power & Energy Systems*, 13–22. doi:10.1016/j.ijepes.2008.09.003
- Avci, E., Ketter, W., & van Heck, E. (2018). Managing electricity price modeling risk via ensemble forecasting: The case of turkey. *Energy Policy*, 390–403. doi:10.1016/j.enpol.2018.08.053
- Bichler, M., Gupta, A., & Ketter, W. (2010). Designing smart markets. *Information Systems Research*, 688–699. doi:10.1287/isre.1100.0316
- Brandt, T., Wagner, S., & Neumann, D. (2017). Evaluating a business model for vehicle-grid integration: Evidence from germany. *Transportation Research Part D: Transport and Environment*, 488–504. doi:10.1016/j.trd.2016.11.017
- Firnkorn, J., & Müller, M. (2015). Free-floating electric carsharing-fleets in smart cities: The dawning of a post-private car era in urban environments? *Environmental Science & Policy*, 30–40. doi:10.1016/j.envsci.2014.09.005
- German Federal Ministry of Economics and Technology (BMWi). (2010). *Energy concept for an environmentally sound, reliable and affordable energy*.
- He, G., Chen, Q., Kang, C., Pinson, P., & Xia, Q. (2016). Optimal bidding strategy of battery storage in power markets considering performance-based regulation and battery cycle life. *IEEE Transactions on Smart Grid*, 2359–2367. doi:10.1109/tsg.2015.2424314
- Hevner, March, Park, & Ram. (2004). Design science in information systems research. *MIS Quarterly*, 75. doi:10.2307/25148625
- Kahlen, M., & Ketter, W. (2015). Aggregating electric cars to sustainable virtual power plants: The value of flexibility in future electricity markets. In *AAAI* (pp. 665–671).
- Kahlen, M., Ketter, W., & Gupta, A. (2017). Fleetpower: Creating virtual power plants in sustainable smart electricity markets.
- Kahlen, M., Ketter, W., & van Dalen, J. (2014). Balancing with electric vehicles: A profitable business model.
- Kahlen, M., Ketter, W., & van Dalen, J. (2018). Electric vehicle virtual power plant dilemma: Grid balancing versus customer mobility. *Production and Operations Management*.
- Kambil, A., & van Heck, E. (1998). Reengineering the dutch flower auctions: A framework for analyzing exchange organizations. *Information Systems Research*, 1–19. doi:10.1287/isre.9.1.1
- Kara, E. C., Macdonald, J. S., Black, D., Bérge, M., Hug, G., & Kiliccote, S. (2015). Estimating the benefits of electric vehicle smart charging at non-residential locations: A data-driven approach. *Applied Energy*, 515–525. doi:10.1016/j.apenergy.2015.05.072

- Ketter, W., Collins, J., & Reddy, P. (2013). Power tac: A competitive economic simulation of the smart grid. *Energy Economics*, 262–270. doi:10.1016/j.eneco.2013.04.015
- Ketter, W., Peters, M., Collins, J., & Gupta, A. (2016a). A multiagent competitive gaming platform to address societal challenges. *MIS Quarterly*, 447–460. doi:10.25300/misq/2016/40.2.09
- Ketter, W., Peters, M., Collins, J., & Gupta, A. (2016b). Competitive benchmarking: An is research approach to address wicked problems with big data and analytics. *MIS Quarterly*, 1057–1080. doi:10.25300/misq/2016/40.4.12
- Kim, E. L., Tabors, R. D., Stoddard, R. B., & Allmendinger, T. E. (2012). Carbitrage: Utility integration of electric vehicles and the smart grid. *The Electricity Journal*, 16–23. doi:10.1016/j.tej.2012.02.002
- Mashhour, E., & Moghaddas-Tafreshi, S. M. (2011a). Bidding strategy of virtual power plant for participating in energy and spinning reserve markets-part i: Problem formulation. *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, 949–956. doi:10.1109/tpwrs.2010.2070884
- Mashhour, E., & Moghaddas-Tafreshi, S. M. (2011b). Bidding strategy of virtual power plant for participating in energy and spinning reserve markets-part II: Numerical analysis. *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, 957–964. doi:10.1109/tpwrs.2010.2070883
- Peters, M., Ketter, W., Saar-Tsechansky, M., & Collins, J. (2013). A reinforcement learning approach to autonomous decision-making in smart electricity markets. *Machine learning*, 5–39.
- Peterson, S. B., Whitacre, J., & Apt, J. (2010). The economics of using plug-in hybrid electric vehicle battery packs for grid storage. *Journal of Power Sources*, 2377–2384. doi:10.1016/j.jpowsour.2009.09.070
- Pudjianto, D., Ramsay, C., & Strbac, G. (2007). Virtual power plant and system integration of distributed energy resources. *IET Renewable Power Generation*, 10. doi:10.1049/iet-rpg:20060023
- Reddy, P. P., & Veloso, M. M. (2011a). Learned behaviors of multiple autonomous agents in smart grid markets. In *Aaai*.
- Reddy, P. P., & Veloso, M. M. (2011b). Strategy learning for autonomous agents in smart grid markets. In *Ijcai proceedings-international joint conference on artificial intelligence*.
- Reichert, S. (2010). Considerations for highly efficient bidirectional battery chargers for e-mobility. *E-Mobility. Technologien, Infrastruktur, Märkte*.
- Schill, W.-P. (2011). Electric vehicles in imperfect electricity markets: The case of germany. *Energy Policy*, 6178–6189. doi:10.1016/j.enpol.2011.07.018
- Sioshansi, R. (2012). The impacts of electricity tariffs on plug-in hybrid electric vehicle charging, costs, and emissions. *Operations Research*, 506–516. doi:10.1287/opre.1120.1038

- Tomić, J., & Kempton, W. (2007). Using fleets of electric-drive vehicles for grid support. *Journal of Power Sources*, 459–468. doi:10.1016/j.jpowsour.2007.03.010
- Valogianni, K., Ketter, W., Collins, J., & Zhdanov, D. (2014). Effective management of electric vehicle storage using smart charging. In *Aaai* (pp. 472–478).
- Vandael, S., Claessens, B., Ernst, D., Holvoet, T., & Deconinck, G. (2015). Reinforcement learning of heuristic ev fleet charging in a day-ahead electricity market. *IEEE Transactions on Smart Grid*, 1795–1805. doi:10.1109/tsg.2015.2393059
- Wagner, S., Brandt, T., & Neumann, D. (2016). In free float: Developing business analytics support for carsharing providers. *Omega*, 4–14. doi:10.1016/j.omega.2015.02.011
- Weron, R. (2014). Electricity price forecasting: A review of the state-of-the-art with a look into the future. *International Journal of Forecasting*, 1030–1081. doi:10.1016/j.ijforecast.2014.08.008