

Learning Portfolio Optimization of Electric Vehicle Virtual Power Plants in Smart Sustainable Electricity Markets: A ML-based Intelligent Agent Approach

Master Thesis Proposal

Tobias Richter

October 18, 2018

Contents

1	Introduction (1-2 Pages)	2
1.1	Research Motivation	2
1.2	Research Question	3
1.3	Relevance of the Research	4
1.3.1	Relevance to Practice	4
1.3.2	Relevance to Science	4
1.3.3	Relevance to Society	5
2	Empirical Setting (1 Pages)	5
2.1	Carsharing Fleets	5
2.2	Electricity Markets	6
2.2.1	Operating reserve market	6
2.2.2	Continuous intraday market	8
2.2.3	Epex Spot Market: Continuous intraday trading	8
2.2.4	German secondary operating reserve market	8
3	Literature Review (1-2 Pages)	8
3.1	Electric Vehicles, Virtual Power Plants, V2G	8
3.2	DSS, Intelligent Agents, State of the Art ML Techniques	9
3.3	Carsharing (?)	9

3.4	More Papers	9
3.4.1	Main Papers	9
3.4.2	Touching Papers and Conference Papers	10
4	Research Design (1-2 Pages)	10
4.1	Problem relevance: Environmental (People), carsharing (Business) .	11
4.2	Methodologies	11
4.2.1	Quantitative Study	11
4.2.2	ML-based Intelligent Agents	11
4.3	Artifact: Instantiation of an intelligent agent.	11
4.4	Evaluation: Event-based simulation using real-world data	11
5	Research Plan (0.5 Page)	11
6	Wolf Requirements	11
6.1	MA Proposal	11
6.2	PhD Proposal	12

1 Introduction (1-2 Pages)

1.1 Research Motivation

The global climate change is one biggest challenges of our time. Carbon emissions need to be reduced and the shift to sustainable energy sources is inevitable. But integration of renewables into the electricity grid proves to be difficult: Solar and wind energy is intermittent and hard to integrate into the power grid. Sustainable electricity production is dependent on the weather, under- and oversupplies occur and destabilize the grid.

Virtual power plants (VPP) play an important role in stabilizing the grid. VPPs aggregate distributed power sources to consume and produce electricity when it is needed. Carsharing companies operate large, centrally-managed fleets of electric vehicles (EV) in major cities around the world. These EV fleets can be turned into VPPs, using their batteries as combined electricity storage. In this way EV fleets can offer balancing services to the power grid or trade electricity on the open markets for arbitrage purposes.

Carsharing companies can charge the fleet (buy electricity), when there is an excess of electricity and discharge EVs (sell electricity), when there is a shortage of

electricity. By making their EVs available to be used as a VPP, carsharing companies compromise customer mobility and the profitability of their fleet. Renting out an EV to a customer is considerably more lucrative than using it for trading electricity.

Knowing how many EVs will most likely be rented out in a future point of time and consequently obtaining an accurate forecast of available battery capacity is essential for a successful trading strategy. Moreover it is possible for fleet owners to trade on multiple electricity markets simultaneously. Electricity markets differ in price elasticity, as well as reaction time between contractual agreement and physical delivery.

Participating in operating reserve markets and spot markets at the same time can mitigate risks and increase profits. Allocating EVs to different types of VPPs, that participate on the respective markets is an optimization problem, which aim to solve.

In this research we propose an portfolio optimizing strategy, in which the best composition of the VPP portfolio, consisting of operating reserve VPPs and spot market VPPs, will be dynamically determined. To address changing electricity price levels and customer demands over time we additionally propose an the use of intelligent agent trading strategy, in which an agent learns from historical data and adjust to its current environment.

The following tasks will be performed by the agent in real time: 1) *Allocation of plugged in EVs to idle or VPP state*, 2) *Learn the optimum of VPP portfolio composition* and 3) *Place bids and asks on corresponding electricity markets with an integrated trading strategy*.

1.2 Research Question

Drawing upon the research motivation, the following research questions are derived. They build upon another and will be sequentially addressed during this research:

1. *What are spatio-temporal customer demand patterns of carsharing EVs?*

Knowing customer demand patterns results in an accurate forecast of how much available battery capacity an EV fleet will have at any point in time.

2. *What is the optimal allocation ratio of the available capacity between operating reserve market VPPs and spot market VPPs?*

Dynamically learning the optimal share of capacity to trade on the respective markets will maximize profits, while reducing the risk of foregone customer profits.

3. *How does an integrated bidding strategy look like, which considers trading electricity the secondary operating reserve market and the continuous intraday market simultaneously?*

Designing a strategy and determine optimal auction prices, which takes the specific market designs of the German secondary operating reserve market and continuous intraday market into account.

1.3 Relevance of the Research

1.3.1 Relevance to Practice

From a business perspective this research is mainly relevant to carsharing companies, such as Car2Go or DriveNow, which are operating an EV fleet. We will show how these companies can increase their profits, using idle EVs as VPPs to trade electricity on multiple markets simultaneously.

We propose the use of a decision support system (DSS), which allocates idle EVs to either type of VPP or to be available for renting. Furthermore the DSS will determine optimal ask, bids and capacities to trade on the individual electricity markets.

In addition, we will estimate the profitability increase, when implementing the proposed methods. This will be done using real-world data from German electricity markets and trip data from a German carsharing provider.

1.3.2 Relevance to Science

From a scientific perspective this research is relevant to the stream of agent-based decision making in smart markets (Bichler, Gupta, & Ketter, 2010; M. Peters, Ketter, Saar-Tsechansky, & Collins, 2013). We will contribute to the body of Design Science in Information Systems (Hevner, March, Park, & Ram, 2004) and draw upon work done in multitude of research areas: Virtual Power Plants in smart electricity markets, carsharing as a new way of sustainable mobility and advanced machine learning methods for forecasting and prediction.

Similar research has been carried out by Kahlen, Ketter, and van Dalen (2018) and Kahlen, Ketter, and Gupta (2017). In their research the authors concentrate on participating in one type of electricity market at a time. As proposed by Kahlen et al. we will take this research further and use the EV VPPs to act on multiple types of electricity markets simultaneously. Moreover we aim to use sophisticated machine learning methods (i.e. recurrent neural networks, ensemble learning) to carry out more accurate forecasts of rental demand and dynamically learn allocation ratios to the individual markets.

There has been work done on using VPPs to bid on multiple energy markets at the same time: He, Chen, Kang, Pinson, and Xia (2016) and Mashhour and Moghaddas-Tafreshi (2011a, 2011b) use stationary storage developed optimal bidding strategies for jointly participating in day-ahead and spinning-reserver markets. We aim to use non-stationary storage (EV batteries) to participate in the continuous intraday market and the secondary reserve market (known as real-time market in the US).

1.3.3 Relevance to Society

- Grid stability through balancing
- Integration of more renewable sources into the energy grid

2 Empirical Setting (1 Pages)

- Describe relevance and mechanisms of car-sharing and electricity market auctions
- Show excerpts of the available data and explain.
- Use same time span for car data as respective market data (!?)
- Timespan: 01.12.2016 - 31.01.2018

2.1 Carsharing Fleets

- EV car fleets
- Environmental Impact: Future of mobility is electric, smart, and eventually autonomous

- Carsharing business model: Flee float
- Car2Go: Stuttgart
- Exemplary Car2Go data is shown in Table 1

Table 1: Car2Go Raw Trip Data from Stuttgart

Number Plate	Latitude	Longitude	Street	Zip Code	Engine Type
S-GO2471	9.19121	48.68895	Parkplatz Flughafen	70692	electric
S-GO2471	9.15922	48.78848	Salzmannweg 3	70192	electric
S-GO2471	9.17496	48.74928	Felix-Dahn-Str.45	70597	electric
S-GO2471	9.17496	48.74928	Felix-Dahn-Str.45	70597	electric
S-GO2471	9.17496	48.74928	Felix-Dahn-Str.45	70597	electric
Number Plate	Interior	Exterior	Timestamp	Charging	State of Charge
S-GO2471	good	good	22.12.2017 20:10	no	94
S-GO2471	good	good	24.12.2017 23:05	no	72
S-GO2471	good	good	26.12.2017 00:40	yes	81
S-GO2471	good	good	26.12.2017 00:45	yes	83
S-GO2471	good	good	26.12.2017 00:50	yes	84

(Fri, Sun, Tue, Tue, Tue)

2.2 Electricity Markets

2.2.1 Operating reserve market

offer higher profits, due to a very low reaction time between contractual agreement and physical delivery. They also bear a higher risk for the fleet. Commitments have to be made one week in advance, where customer demands are uncertain. To not face penalties for unfulfilled commitments, only a conservative estimation of available battery capacity can be made. This leaves a lot of potential EV capacity unused.

¹NEG-NT = Product code for negative secondary control reserve to be provided between the hours of 00:00h and 08:00h as well as between 20:00h and 24:00h from Monday through Friday as well as all day on Saturday, Sunday and public holidays applicable to all of Germany

POS-HT = Product code for positive secondary control reserve to be provided between the hours of 08:00h and 20:00h from Monday through Friday.

Table 2: Anonymized List of Bids of the German Secondary Reserve Market at the 04.12.2017

Product ¹	Capacity Price [EUR/MW]	Energy Price [EUR/MW]	Payment	Offered [MW]	Accepted [MW]
NEG-HT	0	1.1	TSO to bidder	5	5
NEG-HT	0	251	TSO to bidder	15	15
NEG-HT	0	564	TSO to bidder	22	22
...
NEG-NT	0	21.9	Bidder to TSO	5	5
NEG-NT	0	22.4	Bidder to TSO	5	5
...
POS-NT	696.6	1200	TSO to bidder	5	5
POS-NT	717.12	1210	TSO to bidder	10	7

2.2.2 Continuous intraday market

allow participants to continuously trade electricity products up to 15 minutes prior to delivery (in Germany). At this point it is possible to predict customer demand with a high accuracy, which generates the possibility to trade the remaining available capacity with a low risk.

- Balancing vs. Spot Markets
- Market designs

2.2.3 Epex Spot Market: Continuous intraday trading

- Market design
- Exemplary data
- Epex Spot Market: Continuous intraday trading data from 2016-2017.

2.2.4 German secondary operating reserve market

- Market design
- Exemplary data 3

Table 3: Exemplary Spot Market Auction Data

Bid Id	Price
1	7
2	9
3	3

- Secondary operating reserve market data from Germany (<https://regelleistung.net>)

3 Literature Review (1-2 Pages)

3.1 Electric Vehicles, Virtual Power Plants, V2G

Peterson, Whitacre, and Apt (2010)

Mashhour and Moghaddas-Tafreshi, 2011a
 Mashhour and Moghaddas-Tafreshi, 2011b
 Mak, Rong, and Shen, 2013
 Kim, Tabors, Stoddard, and Allmendinger, 2012
 Kara et al., 2015
 He et al., 2016
 Fridgen, Mette, and Thimmel, 2014
 Kahlen et al., 2018

- Kahlen present very conservative results and propose the combination of multiple markets in future work. In their approach the VPPs are mainly used to buy from the markets when electricity is cheap and thus charge their EVs basically for free (Citation). V2G is almost never used.

Kahlen et al., 2017
 Kahlen and Ketter, 2015

3.2 DSS, Intelligent Agents, State of the Art ML Techniques

Avci, Ketter, and van Heck, 2018

3.3 Carsharing (?)

Firnkorn and Müller, 2015

3.4 More Papers

3.4.1 Main Papers

Sioshansi, 2012
 Valogianni, Ketter, Collins, and Zhdanov, 2014
 Vytelingum, Voice, Ramchurn, Rogers, and Jennings, 2011
 Wagner, Brandt, and Neumann, 2016
 Wolfson, Tavor, Mark, Schermann, and Kremer, 2011
 Zhou, Scheller-Wolf, Secomandi, and Smith, 2016

3.4.2 Touching Papers and Conference Papers

Ketter, Collins, and Reddy, 2013

W. K. M. Peters, Collins, and Gupta, 2016

Ketter, Peters, Collins, and Gupta, 2016

Ketter et al., 2016

4 Research Design (1-2 Pages)

The research will be structured using the IS design science principles proposed by Hevner et al. (2004). In Figure 1 the proposed research design is depicted. We will place a special focus on the used methodologies, the developed artifact and the evaluation of the results. Drawing from the *Knowledge Base*, multiple methods will be compared and evaluated against each other and thus emphasising *Research Rigor*. Considering *Business Needs*, we will develop an *Artifact* in form of a decision support system. Evaluating the results with real-world data with a simulation will make sure the *Artifact* is *applicable in the appropriate environment* (i.e. carsharing fleets).




Figure 1: Research Design following Hevner et al., 2004

4.1 Problem relevance: Environmental (People), carsharing (Business)

4.2 Methodologies

Draw upon well researched statistical and machine learning methods: statistical pattern recognition, time-series forecasting and artificial neural networks.

4.2.1 Quantitative Study

- What is the purpose of the study?
 1. EV Capacity Prediction / Demand Prediction
 2. Dynamic VPP Allocation Learning
 3. Determine Bids/Asks/Market: Price Prediction

4.2.2 ML-based Intelligent Agents

4.3 Artifact: Instantiation of an intelligent agent.

- Thus: An intelligent Agent is needed, which dynamically allocates parked, plugged-in EVs to be used as VPP or stay idle, depending whether an EV is likely going to be rented out and how much capacity it has available.

4.4 Evaluation: Event-based simulation using real-world data

-Using real-world data from German electricity markets and trip data from a car-sharing provider.

5 Research Plan (0.5 Page)

6 Wolf Requirements

6.1 MA Proposal

- The proposal depicts the main background and motivation of your research topic.
- Based on the proposal, a concise research question is to be derived and formulated.

- The methodological approach shall be outlined.
- The suggested methods and algorithms shall be listed.
- Please give an overview on the respective data.
- The proposal already has to include relevant literature references.
- Please note that special focus shall be placed on the research question and the respective approach.

6.2 PhD Proposal

Specially attention is paid to related work, data, methods, and analysis, and potential contribution/conclusion.

References

- Avci, E., Ketter, W., & van Heck, E. (2018). Managing electricity price modeling risk via ensemble forecasting: The case of turkey. *Energy Policy*, 390–403. doi:10.1016/j.enpol.2018.08.053
- Bichler, M., Gupta, A., & Ketter, W. (2010). Designing smart markets. *Information Systems Research*, 688–699. doi:10.1287/isre.1100.0316
- Firnkorn, J., & Müller, M. (2015). Free-floating electric carsharing-fleets in smart cities: The dawning of a post-private car era in urban environments? *Environmental Science & Policy*, 30–40. doi:10.1016/j.envsci.2014.09.005
- Fridgen, G., Mette, P., & Thimmel, M. (2014). The value of information exchange in electric vehicle charging. In *35th International Conference on Information Systems* (pp. 1–17).
- He, G., Chen, Q., Kang, C., Pinson, P., & Xia, Q. (2016). Optimal bidding strategy of battery storage in power markets considering performance-based regulation and battery cycle life. *IEEE Transactions on Smart Grid*, 2359–2367. doi:10.1109/tsg.2015.2424314
- Hevner, March, Park, & Ram. (2004). Design science in information systems research. *MIS Quarterly*, 75. doi:10.2307/25148625
- Kahlen, M., & Ketter, W. (2015). Aggregating electric cars to sustainable virtual power plants: The value of flexibility in future electricity markets. In *AAAI* (pp. 665–671).

- Kahlen, M., Ketter, W., & Gupta, A. (2017). Fleetpower: Creating virtual power plants in sustainable smart electricity markets.
- Kahlen, M., Ketter, W., & van Dalen, J. (2018). Electric vehicle virtual power plant dilemma: Grid balancing versus customer mobility. *Production and Operations Management*.
- Kara, E. C., Macdonald, J. S., Black, D., Bérge, M., Hug, G., & Kiliccote, S. (2015). Estimating the benefits of electric vehicle smart charging at non-residential locations: A data-driven approach. *Applied Energy*, 515–525. doi:10.1016/j.apenergy.2015.05.072
- Ketter, W., Collins, J., & Reddy, P. (2013). Power tac: A competitive economic simulation of the smart grid. *Energy Economics*, 262–270. doi:10.1016/j.eneco.2013.04.015
- Ketter, W., Peters, M., Collins, J., & Gupta, A. (2016). Competitive benchmarking: An is research approach to address wicked problems with big data and analytics. *MIS Quarterly*, 1057–1080. doi:10.25300/misq/2016/40.4.12
- Kim, E. L., Tabors, R. D., Stoddard, R. B., & Allmendinger, T. E. (2012). Carbi-trage: Utility integration of electric vehicles and the smart grid. *The Electricity Journal*, 16–23. doi:10.1016/j.tej.2012.02.002
- Mak, H.-Y., Rong, Y., & Shen, Z.-J. M. (2013). Infrastructure planning for electric vehicles with battery swapping. *Management Science*, 1557–1575. doi:10.1287/mnsc.1120.1672
- Mashhour, E., & Moghaddas-Tafreshi, S. M. (2011a). Bidding strategy of virtual power plant for participating in energy and spinning reserve markets-part i: Problem formulation. *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, 949–956. doi:10.1109/tpwrs.2010.2070884
- Mashhour, E., & Moghaddas-Tafreshi, S. M. (2011b). Bidding strategy of virtual power plant for participating in energy and spinning reserve markets-part II: Numerical analysis. *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, 957–964. doi:10.1109/tpwrs.2010.2070883
- Peters, M., Ketter, W., Saar-Tsechansky, M., & Collins, J. (2013). A reinforcement learning approach to autonomous decision-making in smart electricity markets. *Machine learning*, 5–39.
- Peters, W. K. M., Collins, J., & Gupta, A. (2016). A multiagent competitive gaming platform to address societal challenges. *MIS Quarterly*, 447–460. doi:10.25300/misq/2016/40.2.09

- Peterson, S. B., Whitacre, J., & Apt, J. (2010). The economics of using plug-in hybrid electric vehicle battery packs for grid storage. *Journal of Power Sources*, 2377–2384. doi:10.1016/j.jpowsour.2009.09.070
- Sioshansi, R. (2012). The impacts of electricity tariffs on plug-in hybrid electric vehicle charging, costs, and emissions. *Operations Research*, 506–516. doi:10.1287/opre.1120.1038
- Valogianni, K., Ketter, W., Collins, J., & Zhdanov, D. (2014). Effective management of electric vehicle storage using smart charging. In *Aaai* (pp. 472–478).
- Vytelingum, P., Voice, T. D., Ramchurn, S. D., Rogers, A., & Jennings, N. R. (2011). Theoretical and practical foundations of large-scale agent-based micro-storage in the smart grid. *Journal of Artificial Intelligence Research*, 765–813.
- Wagner, S., Brandt, T., & Neumann, D. (2016). In free float: Developing business analytics support for carsharing providers. *Omega*, 4–14. doi:10.1016/j.omega.2015.02.011
- Wolfson, A., Tavor, D., Mark, S., Schermann, M., & Krcmar, H. (2011). Better place: A case study of the reciprocal relations between sustainability and service. *Service Science*, 172–181. doi:10.1287/serv.3.2.172
- Zhou, Y. (, Scheller-Wolf, A., Secomandi, N., & Smith, S. (2016). Electricity trading and negative prices: Storage vs. disposal. *Management Science*, 880–898. doi:10.1287/mnsc.2015.2161