Figure axes:
- Y-axis: Medium penalty distribution score change, $i>1$ versus $i=1$
- X-axis: Tight penalty distribution score change, $i>1$ versus $i=1$

Row labels (top to bottom): 200 Inhibitors, 300 Inhibitors, 400 Inhibitors, 500 Inhibitors, 600 Inhibitors

Column labels (left to right): PKIS2-like, Less Selective B, Less Selective C, Binary Inhibitors

Legend:
Significance criteria
$\Delta score > 0.001$, and $p<0.05$ (n=5)
- Significant for Medium Penalty Distributioon
- Significant for Tight Penalty Distribution
- Significant for both

Panel annotations:

Row 200 Inhibitors:
- PKIS2-like: Targets improved: 9.67, std=4.11; $\bar{x}=1.0$ std=0.0; $\bar{x}=1.67$ std=0.47; $\bar{x}=7.0$ std=3.74
- Less Selective B: Targets improved: 18.67, std=4.71; $\bar{x}=4.0$ std=0.82; $\bar{x}=5.33$ std=2.87; $\bar{x}=9.33$ std=3.3
- Less Selective C: Targets improved: 15.67, std=1.25; $\bar{x}=4.0$ std=3.56; $\bar{x}=1.67$ std=1.7; $\bar{x}=10.0$ std=2.83
- Binary Inhibitors: Targets improved: 7.67, std=2.49; $\bar{x}=1.33$ std=1.25; $\bar{x}=1.0$ std=0.82; $\bar{x}=5.33$ std=2.05

Row 300 Inhibitors:
- PKIS2-like: Targets improved: 17.0, std=0.0; $\bar{x}=4.67$ std=0.94; $\bar{x}=2.33$ std=0.47; $\bar{x}=10.0$ std=0.82
- Less Selective B: Targets improved: 21.33, std=2.49; $\bar{x}=4.0$ std=2.16; $\bar{x}=4.0$ std=0.82; $\bar{x}=13.33$ std=2.62
- Less Selective C: Targets improved: 26.33, std=6.8; $\bar{x}=6.67$ std=1.89; $\bar{x}=5.33$ std=2.62; $\bar{x}=14.33$ std=3.09
- Binary Inhibitors: Targets improved: 14.0, std=2.94; $\bar{x}=1.0$ std=0.82; $\bar{x}=2.0$ std=0.82; $\bar{x}=11.0$ std=2.16

Row 400 Inhibitors:
- PKIS2-like: Targets improved: 25.33, std=2.05; $\bar{x}=3.67$ std=0.47; $\bar{x}=5.0$ std=0.0; $\bar{x}=16.67$ std=2.05
- Less Selective B: Targets improved: 25.0, std=1.63; $\bar{x}=2.67$ std=2.49; $\bar{x}=4.33$ std=2.87; $\bar{x}=18.0$ std=2.94
- Less Selective C: Targets improved: 20.33, std=3.4; $\bar{x}=2.0$ std=0.82; $\bar{x}=3.0$ std=2.83; $\bar{x}=15.33$ std=0.47
- Binary Inhibitors: Targets improved: 16.67, std=7.59; $\bar{x}=2.33$ std=2.62; $\bar{x}=2.0$ std=1.41; $\bar{x}=12.33$ std=4.19

Row 500 Inhibitors:
- PKIS2-like: Targets improved: 20.33, std=2.87; $\bar{x}=1.33$ std=0.94; $\bar{x}=1.33$ std=0.47; $\bar{x}=17.67$ std=3.3
- Less Selective B: Targets improved: 26.0, std=4.97; $\bar{x}=4.33$ std=0.94; $\bar{x}=2.67$ std=1.7; $\bar{x}=19.0$ std=2.94
- Less Selective C: Targets improved: 29.33, std=4.5; $\bar{x}=3.67$ std=1.25; $\bar{x}=4.0$ std=2.16; $\bar{x}=21.67$ std=3.3
- Binary Inhibitors: Targets improved: 25.67, std=3.3; $\bar{x}=3.0$ std=1.63; $\bar{x}=2.33$ std=1.89; $\bar{x}=20.33$ std=1.25

Row 600 Inhibitors:
- PKIS2-like: Targets improved: 24.33, std=0.47; $\bar{x}=4.0$ std=0.82; $\bar{x}=1.67$ std=0.94; $\bar{x}=18.67$ std=2.05
- Less Selective B: Targets improved: 28.67, std=4.78; $\bar{x}=0.67$ std=0.47; $\bar{x}=4.67$ std=3.4; $\bar{x}=23.33$ std=2.05
- Less Selective C: Targets improved: 35.67, std=2.49; $\bar{x}=5.67$ std=2.62; $\bar{x}=5.0$ std=1.63; $\bar{x}=25.0$ std=0.82
- Binary Inhibitors: Targets improved: 29.33, std=1.7; $\bar{x}=3.33$ std=1.89; $\bar{x}=0.67$ std=0.94; $\bar{x}=25.33$ std=3.09