

AUTOMATIC MUSIC ACCOMPANIMENT BASED ON AUDIO-VISUAL SCORE FOLLOWING

Akira Maezawa, Kazuhiko Yamamoto
Yamaha Corporation

ABSTRACT

We present an automatic accompaniment system for synchronizing a player piano to human performers, by recognizing both visual and auditory cues. To this end, we extend score following technique to incorporate audio and visual cue detector. The system generates player piano output and visual cues based on the predicted playback position. The playback position is obtained by coupling the predicted position of human player and a generative model of the accompaniment's tempo track. We present a video demonstration of the system used in a real-life concert.

1. INTRODUCTION

Automatic accompaniment is a method to generate accompaniment to a known piece of music, in such a way that it is synchronized to human musicians. The key issue is to achieve this is to model the process by which human musicians coordinate their timing in a music ensemble. Typically, a musician would use auditory cues, visual cues to track other members in the ensemble. Then, he/she would play the assigned part such that it is synchronized to the ensemble while maintaining musicality. When necessary, the musician would provide physical gestures.

We present a system that mimics these capabilities. To recognize auditory and visual cues, we use score following techniques that incorporates not only audio but visual cue detection as well. To generate auditory and visual response, we generate accompaniment using player piano and create graphics system that displays cue gestures when necessary.

2. METHOD

Our system comprises of multimodal score following module, ensemble timing coordination module, and output generation module.



© Akira Maezawa, Kazuhiko Yamamoto. Licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0).
Attribution: Akira Maezawa, Kazuhiko Yamamoto. "automatic music accompaniment based on audio-visual score following", Extended abstracts for the Late-Breaking Demo Session of the 17th International Society for Music Information Retrieval Conference, 2016.

2.1 Score following

We segment the music score into R segments, and treats it as one "super" state. The sHMM expresses the segment r , the duration n (in frames) that is used to play the segment, and the current frame l within each segment. It then expresses the transition of state variables (r, n, l) as follows:

1. Self-transition: p
2. Transition from $(r, n, l < n)$ to $(r, n, l + 1)$: $1 - p$
3. Transition from $(r, n, n - 1)$ to $(r + 1, n', 0)$: $(1 - p) \frac{1}{2\lambda^{(T)}} e^{-\lambda^{(T)}|n' - n|}$.

This kind of model is capable of expressing smoothness of tempo curve through $\lambda^{(T)}$, while allowing for some deviations from the ideal duration, expressed through p . In this respect, it can be thought of as using the best of the worlds of an explicit-duration HMM [1] and a left-to-right HMM approach [7]. Each state (r, n, l) has a corresponding position of the score, denoted $\tilde{s}(r, n, l)$ is associated.

Based on these parameters, let us express the likelihood of observing the auditory cues. For each position s of the score, we define the mean normalized constant-Q transform (CQT) that is observed, $\bar{c}_s \in \mathbb{R}^F$, and its normalized half-wave rectified first-order difference, $\Delta\bar{c}_s \in \mathbb{R}^F$. We also define the respective inverse variances $\kappa_s^{(c)}$ and $\kappa_s^{(\Delta c)}$. Then, the likelihood of observing the normalized CQT $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{R}^F$ and the normalized Δ CQT $\Delta\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{R}^F$ is computed as follows:

$$p(\mathbf{c}, \Delta\mathbf{c} | s = \tilde{s}(r, n, l), \lambda, \{\bar{c}_s\}_{s=1}^S, \{\Delta\bar{c}_s\}_{s=1}^S) \\ = \text{vMF}(\mathbf{c} | \bar{c}_s, \kappa_s^{(c)}) \text{vMF}(\Delta\mathbf{c} | \Delta\bar{c}_s, \kappa_s^{(\Delta c)}). \quad (1)$$

Here, $\text{vMF}(\cdot)$ is the von Mises-Fisher distribution.

\bar{c} and $\Delta\bar{c}$ is generated by analyzing the score data and expressing the expected CQT as a weighted sum of spectral bases. Using audio data alone, this model achieves piecewise precision of 96% for chamber music in RWC classical music database [4].

Next, let us discuss the computation of visual cues. Since visual information is important when auditory cues are unavailable [3], detected visual cues are integrated into the observation likelihood. [5] To detect visual cues, we place cameras in front of human musicians and look for a large vertical movement by analyzing the optical flow. An upward motion that is above a given threshold is detected as the start of a cue. To incorporate the cue, the score is marked with annotations $\{\hat{q}_i\}$ that indicate where visual

cues are expected. When a cue is detected, we set the likelihood of score positions $\cup[\hat{q}_i - \tau, \hat{q}_i]$ to zero, which prevents the tracked result to be at slightly before the annotated cue positions.

Given this kind of segmental HMM, delayed-decision forward algorithm is used to compute the posterior distribution, the mode of which is then approximated as a Normal distribution with mean μ_t and variance σ_t^2 .

2.2 Ensemble timing coordination

In order to generate the proper timing for the accompaniment, it is important to both consider the generative model of human players and the machine, similar in spirit to [8].

We treat the trajectory of the score position played by humans and the machines as a linear dynamical system, assuming that the score position x changes with a slowly drifting velocity v . We assume such dynamical system exists independently for the human performers and the machine part, and the systems are coupled together to produce the final output timing. When n th instance of note onset of the machine or the human players is detected, the system updates its interval variables.

$$\begin{pmatrix} v_n^{(h)} \\ x_n^{(h)} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \Delta T & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} v_{n-1}^{(h)} \\ x_{n-1}^{(h)} \end{pmatrix} + \epsilon_n^{(h)}, \quad (2)$$

where ΔT is the time elapsed between $n - 1$ th onset and n th onset. The noise is drawn from a correlated Gaussian noise, since the change in tempo correlates with change in expected score position. Then, the system assumes that at the corresponding onset time, observation μ_t (obtained from score following) is observed with probability $\mathcal{N}(x_n^{(h)}, \sigma_t^2)$.

$$\begin{pmatrix} v_n^{(a)} \\ x_n^{(a)} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \beta & 0 \\ \Delta T & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} v_{n-1}^{(a)} \\ x_{n-1}^{(a)} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \beta \bar{v}_n^{(a)} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \epsilon_n^{(a)}. \quad (3)$$

The parameter β controls how strongly the accompaniment part “wants” to play with tempo $\bar{v}_n^{(a)}$. We have previously shown that, in the context of multiple audio alignment, this kind of mean-reverting dynamics is effective at modeling the variability of tempo [6].

Finally, the timing is synchronized by coupling $[v_n^{(p)} x_n^{(p)}]$ and $[v_n^{(a)} x_n^{(a)}]$, yielding in the final output $[v_n, x_n]$:

$$\begin{pmatrix} v_n \\ x_n \end{pmatrix} = \gamma \begin{pmatrix} v_n^{(a)} \\ x_n^{(a)} \end{pmatrix} + (1 - \gamma) \begin{pmatrix} v_n^{(p)} \\ x_n^{(p)} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4)$$

γ is adjusted accordingly to the degree of leadership assumed by the human (as opposed to the machine) at a given point in the music, as leadership role changes dynamically inside a piece [2].

2.3 Output generation

Based on the inferred dynamical system state variable v_n and x_n , the system predicts the current position to play, taking into account the I/O latency. Based on the predicted position, the system sends necessary MIDI message to the

player piano. Furthermore, the accompaniment part contains markers that indicate when the system should provide a visual cue to the performers. When the sequencer encounters this marker, a visualization system generates a nodding-like animation, which the human performers see to coordinate their playing. This kind of cue is relevant when the machine part assumes leadership and wants to express its timing fluctuations (e.g., an agogic accent).

3. DEMONSTRATION

We provide a video demonstration of our method used in a real-life concert setting, which took place on 5/19/2016 in the Sogakudo Hall at the Tokyo University of the Arts. In the demonstration, the Scharoun Ensemble of the Berliner Philharmoniker and Yamaha’s Disklavier player piano plays Schubert’s “Trout” quintet together.

Acknowledgment The concert was supported by the Center of Innovation program from the Japan Science and Technology Agency and the Tokyo University of the Arts.

4. REFERENCES

- [1] Arshia Cont, José Echeveste, Jean-Louis Giavitto, and Florent Jacquemard. Correct automatic accompaniment despite machine listening or human errors in Antescofo. In *Proc. ICMC*, 2012.
- [2] Dorottya Fabian, Renee Timmers, and Emery Schubert, editors. *Expressiveness in music performance*. Oxford University Press, 2014.
- [3] Werner Goebel and Caroline Palmer. Synchronization of timing and motion among performing musicians. *Music Perception: An Interdisciplinary Journal*, 26(5):427–438, 2009.
- [4] Masataka Goto, Hiroki Hashiguchi, Takuichi Nishimura, and Ryuichi Oka. RWC music database: Popular, classical, and jazz music databases. In *Proc. ISMIR*, pages 287–288, 2002.
- [5] Angelica Lim, Takeshi Mizumoto, Louis-Kenzo Cahier, Takuma Otsuka, Toru Takahashi, Kazunori Komatani, Tetsuya Ogata, and Hiroshi G Okuno. Robot musical accompaniment: integrating audio and visual cues for real-time synchronization with a human flutist. In *Proc. IROS*, pages 1964–1969. IEEE, 2010.
- [6] Akira Maezawa, Katsutoshi Itoyama, Kazuyoshi Yoshii, and Hiroshi G. Okuno. Unified inter- and intra-recording duration model for multiple music audio alignment. In *Proc. WASPAA*, pages 1–5, 2015.
- [7] Riccardo Miotto, Nicola Montecchio, and Nicola Orio. Statistical music modeling aimed at identification and alignment. In *Proc. AdMIRe*, pages 187–212, 2010.
- [8] Christopher Raphael. A Bayesian network for real-time musical accompaniment. In *Proc. NIPS*, pages 1433–1439, 2001.