

Technical Report of *Chinese Morphological
Analyzer(Chen)*

Vernkin Smith

December 18, 2009

Abstract

Practical results show that performance of statistic segmentation system outperforms that of hand-crafted rule-based systems. And the evaluation shows that the accuracy drop caused by out-of-vocabulary (OOV) words is at least five times greater than that of segmentation ambiguities. The better performance of OOV recognition the higher accuracy of the segmentation system in whole, and the accuracy of statistic segmentation systems with character-based tagging approach outperforms any other word-based system. This Report is about a supervised machine-learning approach to Character-based Chinese word segmentation. A maximum entropy tagger is trained on manually annotated data to automatically assign to Chinese characters, or *hanzi*, tags that indicate the position of a *hanzi* within a word.

Changes

Date	Author	Notes
2009-09-10	Vernkin	Initial version
2009-09-11	Vernkin	Add Change Log Section and Wisenut QC's Experiment Section for Experiments Chapter.
2009-09-11	Vernkin	Update the Conclusion Chapter basing QC's experiment and Project Schedule.
2009-09-25	Vernkin	Update the Segment Tagger Chapter by Configurable Segmentation and Guidance Chapter.
2009-10-16	Vernkin	Update some outdated examples.
2009-10-22	Vernkin	Add POS Tag Set Appendix.
2009-11-06	Vernkin	Update the "Compile the Source" Section by adding an easier approach.

Contents

1	Overview	4
1.1	A Decade Review of Chinese Word Segmentation	4
1.2	Main Difficulties in Chinese Segmentation	4
2	Introduce to Character-based Chinese Segmentation	7
3	Maximum Entropy Modeling	9
3.1	The Modeling Problem	9
3.2	Parameter Estimation	11
3.3	Usage of the MaxEnt Model	11
3.3.1	Representing Features	11
3.3.2	Create a Maxent Model Instance	12
3.3.3	Adding Events to Model	12
3.3.4	Training the Model	13
3.3.5	Using the Model	14
4	POS Tagger	15
4.1	The Tagging Model	15
4.2	Feature Selection	16
5	Segment Tagger	17
5.1	POC (Position of Character)	17
5.2	POC Tagger	17
5.3	Types of Characters	19
5.4	Post-Processing	22
6	Experiments	24
6.1	Testing environment	24
6.2	Dataset	24
6.3	Experiment Result	25
6.4	Wisnut QC's Experiment	26
6.5	Detail of Error Segmentation	27
6.5.1	ABC to A/BC	27
6.5.2	A/BC to ABC	28

6.5.3	AB/C to A/BC	28
6.6	Entity Detection Error	29
7	Conclusion	30
7.1	Quality and Performance	30
7.2	What Affects the Quality	30
7.2.1	Model Training	31
7.2.2	Feature Set	31
7.2.3	Dictionary	31
7.3	Future work to do	31
8	Guidance to use the library	33
8.1	Model Directory Layout	33
8.2	Interface description	34
8.3	How to use the interface	35
8.4	Compile the Source	37
8.5	Run the Trainer	37
8.6	Run the Demo	38
A	Appendix - Project schedules and milestones	40
B	Appendix - POS Tag Set	42
	References	44
	Index	45

Chapter 1

Overview

1.1 A Decade Review of Chinese Word Segmentation

During the last decade, especially since the First International Chinese Word Segmentation Bakeoff was held in July 2003, the study is automatic Chinese word segmentation has been greatly improved. Those improvements could be summarized as following: (1) on the computation sense Chinese words in read text that have been well-defined by "segmentation guidelines + lexicon + segmented corpus"; (2) practical results show that performance of statistic segmentation system outperforms that of hand-crafted rule-based systems; (3) the evaluation shows than the accuracy drop caused by out-of-vocabulary (OOV) words is at least five times greater than that of segmentation ambiguities; (4) the better performance of OOV recognition the higher accuracy of the segmentation system in whole, and the accuracy of statistic segmentation systems with character-based tagging approach outperforms any other word-based system.

1.2 Main Difficulties in Chinese Segmentation

It is generally agreed among researchers that word segmentation is a necessary first step in Chinese language processing. However, unlike English text in which sentences are sequences of words delimited by white spaces, in Chinese text, sentences are represented as strings of Chinese characters or *hanzi* without similar natural delimiters. Therefor, the first step in a Chinese language processing task is to identify the sequence of words in a sentence and mark boundaries in appropriate places. This may sound simple

enough but in reality identifying words in Chinese is a non-trivial problem that has drawn a large body of research in the Chinese language processing community.

It is easy to demonstrate that the lack of natural delimiters itself is not the heart of the problem. In a hypothetical language where all words are represented with a finite set of symbols, if one subset of the symbols always start a word and another subset, mutually exclusive from the previous subset, always end a word, identifying words would be a trivial exercise. Nor can the problem be attributed to the lack of inflectional morphology. Although it is true in Indo-European languages inflectional affixes can generally be used to signal word boundaries, it is conceivable that a hypothetical language can use symbols other than inflectional morphemes to serve the same purpose. Therefore the issue is neither the lack of natural word delimiters nor the lack of inflectional morphemes in a language, rather it is whether the language has a way of unambiguously signaling the boundaries of a word.

The real difficulty in automatic Chinese word segmentation is the lack of such unambiguous word boundary indicators. In fact, most hanzi can occur in different positions within different words. The examples in Table 1 show how the Chinese character 产 (“produce”) can occur in four different positions. This state of affairs makes it impossible to simply list mutually exclusive subsets of hanzi that have distinct distributions, even though the number of hanzi in the Chinese writing system is in fact finite. As long as a hanzi can occur in different word-internal positions, it cannot be relied upon to determine word boundaries as they could be if their positions were more or less fixed.

Table 1. A hanzi can occur in multiple word-internal positions

Position	Example
Left	产生 ’to come up with’
Word by itself	产小麦 ’to grow wheat’
Middle	生产线 ’assembly line’
Right	生产 ’to produce’

The fact that a hanzi can occur in multiple word-internal positions leads to ambiguities of various kinds. For example, 文 can occur in both word-initial and word-final positions. It occurs in the word-final position in 日文 (“Japanese”) but in the word-initial position in 文章 (“article”). In a sentence that has a string “日文章”, as in (1a), an automatic segmenter would face the dilemma whether to insert a word boundary marker between 日 and 文, thus grouping 文章 as a word, or to mark 日文 as a word, to the exclusion of 章. The same scenario also applies to 章, since like 文, it can also occur in both word-initial and word-final positions.

1. (a): Segmentation 1

日文 章魚 怎么 说?

Japanese octopus how say

“How to say octopus in Japanese?”

1. (b): Segmentation 2

日 文章 魚 怎么 说?

Japan article fish how say

Ambiguity also arises because some hanzi should be considered to be just word components in certain contexts and words by themselves in others. For example, 魚 can be considered to be just a word component in 章魚. It can also be a word by itself in other contexts. Presented with the string 章魚 in a Chinese sentence, a human or automatic segmenter would have to decide whether 魚 should be a word by itself or form another word with the previous hanzi. Given that 日, 文章, 章魚, 魚 are all possible words in Chinese, how does one decide that 日文 章魚 is the right segmentation for the sentence in (1) while 日 文章 魚 is not? Obviously it is not enough to know just what words are in the lexicon. In this specific case, a human segmenter can resort to world knowledge to resolve this ambiguity, knowing that 日 文章 魚 would not make any kind of real-world sense.

Chapter 2

Introduce to Character-based Chinese Segmentation

Follow the *Overview* and *Abstract*, this chapter would introduce Character-based Chinese Segmentation. The Maximum Entropy Modeling (see chapter-3) used in the segmentation and more detail see the following chapters. In this chapter, we first formalize the idea of tagging *hanzi* (Chinese Character) based on their word-internal positions and describe the tag set we used.

First we convert the manually segmented words in the corpus into a tagged sequence of Chinese characters. To do this, we tag each character with one of the two tags, *B* or *E* depending on its position within a word. It is tagged *B* if it occurs on the left boundary of a word, and forms a word with the character(s) on its right. It is tagged *B* if it occurs on the beginning position of a word, and tagged with *E* in other cases (In the non-beginning position of a word). We call such tags as position-of-character (POC) tags to differentiate them from the more familiar part-of-speech (POS) tags. For example, the manually segmented string in (2a) will be tagged as (2b):

Example Sentence 2:

(a) 上海 计划 到 本 世纪 末 实现 人均 国内 生产 总值 五千 美元

(b) 上/B 海/E 计/B 划/E 到/B 本/B 世/B 纪/E 末/B 实/B 现/E 人/B 均/E 国/B 内/E 生/B 产/E 总/B 值/E 五/B 千/E 美/B 元/E

(c) Shanghai plans to reach the goal of 5,000 dollars in per capita GDP by the end of the century.

Given a manually segmented corpus, a POC-tagged corpus can be derived trivially with perfect accuracy. The reason why use such POC-tagged sequences of characters instead of applying *n*-gram rules to segmented corpus

directly[Palmer, 1997[5]; Hockenmaier and Brew, 1998[3]; Xue, 2001[6]] is that they are much easier to manipulate in the training process. In addition, the POC tags reflect our observation that the ambiguity problem is due to the fact that a hanzi can occur in different word-internal positions and it can be resolved in context. Naturally, while some characters have only one POC tag, most characters will receive multiple POC tags, in the same way that words can have multiple POS tags. Table 2 shows how all four of the POC tags can be assigned to the character 产 (“produce”):

Table 2. A character can receive as many as two tags

Position	Tag	Example
Beginning	B	产生 ‘to come up with’
Non-Beginning	E	生产线 ‘assembly line’
Non-Beginning	E	生产 ‘to produce’

If there is ambiguity in segmenting a sentence or any string of hanzi, then there must be some hanzi in the sentence that can receive multiple tags. For example, each of the first four characters of the sentence in (1) would have two tags. The task of the word segmentation is to choose the correct tag for each of the hanzi in the sentence. The eight possible tag sequences for (1) are shown in (3a), and the correct tag sequence is (3b).

Example Sentence 3:

(a) 日/B,E 文/B,E 章/B,E 鱼/B,E 怎/B 么/E 说/B ?

(b) 日/B 文/E 章/B 鱼/E 怎/B 么/E 说/B ?

Also like POS tags, how a character is POC-tagged in naturally occurring text is affected by the context in which it occurs. How a character is tagged is affected by the surrounding characters. For example, 关(“close”) should be tagged E if the previous character is 开(“open”) and neither of them forms a word with other characters, while it should be tagged B if the next character is 心(“heart”) and neither of them forms a word with other characters. This state of affairs closely mimics the familiar POS tagging problem and lends itself naturally to a solution similar to that of POS tagging. The task is one of ambiguity resolution in which the correct POC tag is determined among several possible POC tags in a specific context. Our next step is to train a maximum entropy model on the perfectly POC-tagged data derived from a manually segmented corpus to automatically POC-tag unseen text.

Chapter 3

Maximum Entropy Modeling

This chapter provides a brief introduction to Maximum Entropy Modeling. The Advantage of maximum entropy model includes: Based on features, allows and supports feature induction and feature selection; offers a generic framework for incorporating unlabeled data; only makes weak assumptions; gives flexibility in incorporating side information; natural multi-class classification.

Maximum Entropy (ME or maxent for short) model is a general purpose machine learning framework that has been successfully applied in various fields including spatial physics, computer vision, and Natural Language Processing (NLP). This introduction will focus on the application of maxent model to NLP tasks. However, it is straightforward to extend the technique described here to other domains.

3.1 The Modeling Problem

The goal of statistical modeling is to construct a model that best accounts for some training data. More specific, for a given empirical probability distribution \tilde{p} , we want to build a model p as close to \tilde{p} as possible.

Of course, given a set of training data, there are numerous ways to choose a model p that accounts for the data. It can be shown that the probability distribution of the form 3.1 is the one that is closest to \tilde{p} in the sense of Kullback-Leibler divergence, when subjected to a set of feature constraints:

$$p(y | x) = \frac{1}{Z(x)} \exp \left[\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i f_i(x, y) \right] \quad (3.1)$$

Here $p(y | x)$ denotes the conditional probability of predicting an *outcome* y on seeing the *context* x . $f_i(x, y)$'s are feature functions (described in detail later), λ_i 's are the weighting parameters for $f_i(x, y)$'s. k is the number of features and $Z(x)$ is a normalization factor (often called partition function) to ensure that $\sum_y p(y|x) = 1$.

ME model represents evidence with binary functions¹ known as *contextual predicates* in the form:

$$f_{cp,y'}(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } y = y' \text{ and } cp(x) = \text{true} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (3.2)$$

Where cp is the contextual predicate that maps a pair of *outcome* y and *context* x to $\{\text{true}, \text{false}\}$.

The modeler can choose arbitrary feature functions in order to reflect the characteristic of the problem domain as faithfully as possible. The ability of freely incorporating various problem-specific knowledge in terms of feature functions gives ME models the obvious advantage over other learn paradigms, which often suffer from strong feature independence assumption (such as naive bayes classifier).

For instance, in part-of-speech tagging, a process that assigns part-of-speech tags to words in a sentence, a useful feature may be (DET is DETERMINER for short):

$$f_{prev_tag_DET, NOUN}(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } y = NOUN \text{ and } prev_tag_DET(x) = \text{true} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

which is *activated* when previous tag is DETERMINER and current word's tag is NOUN. In Text Categorization task, a feature may look like (RO is ROMANTIC for short):

$$f_{doc_has_RO, love_story}(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } y = love_story \text{ and } doc_has_RO(x) = \text{true} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

which is *activated* when the term ROMANTIC is found in a document labeled as type:love_story.

Once a set of features is chosen by the modeler, we can construct the corresponding maxent model by adding features as constraints to the model and adjust weights of these features. Formally, We require that:

$$E_{\tilde{p}} < f_i > = E_p < f_i >$$

Where $E_{\tilde{p}} < f_i > = \sum_x \tilde{p}(x, y) f_i(x, y)$ is the empirical expectation of feature $f_i(x, y)$ in the training data and $E_p < f_i > = \sum_x p(x, y) f_i(x, y)$ is the

feature expectation with respect to the model distribution p . Among all the models subjected to these constraints there is one with the Maximum Entropy, usually called the Maximum Entropy Solution.

3.2 Parameter Estimation

Given an exponential model with n features and a set of training data (empirical distribution), we need to find the associated real-value weight for each of the n feature which maximize the model's log-likelihood:

$$L(p) = \sum_{x,y} \tilde{p}(x,y) \log p(y | x) \quad (3.3)$$

Selecting an optimal model subjected to given constraints from the exponential (log-linear) family is not a trivial task. There are two popular iterative scaling algorithms specially designed to estimate parameters of ME models of the form 3.1: *Generalized Iterative Scaling* [Darroch and Ratcliff, 1972][1] and *Improved Iterative Scaling* [Della Pietra et al., 1997][2].

Recently, another general purpose optimization method *Limited-Memory Variable Metric* (L-BFGS for short) method has been found to be especially effective for maximum entropy parameters estimating problem [Malouf, 2003][4]. L-BFGS is the default parameter estimating method in the implementation.

3.3 Usage of the MaxEnt Model

This section covers the basic steps required to build and use a Conditional Maximum Entropy Model.

3.3.1 Representing Features

The mathematical representation of a feature used in a Conditional Maximum Entropy Model can be written as:

$$f_{cp,y'}(x,y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } y = y' \text{ and } cp(x) = true \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (3.4)$$

where cp is the *contextual predicate* which maps a pair of *outcome* y and *context* x into $\{true, false\}$.

This kind of math notation must be expressed as features of literal string in order to be used in this toolkit. So a feature in part-of-speech tagger which has the form (DET is DETERMINER for short):

$$f_{prev_tag_DET,NOUN}(x,y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } y = NOUN \text{ and } prev_tag_DET(x) = true \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

can be written as a literal string: “tag-1=DETERMINER_NOUN”.

3.3.2 Create a Maxent Model Instance

A *maxent* instance can be created by calling its constructor:

```
#include <maxent/maxentmodel.hpp>
using namespace maxent;
MaxentModel m;
```

This will create an instance of `MaxentModel` class called `m`. Please note that all classes and functions are in the namespace `maxent`. For illustration purpose, the include and using statements will be ignored intentionally in the rest of this section.

3.3.3 Adding Events to Model

Typically, training data consists of a set of events (samples). Each event has a *context*, an *outcome*, and a *count* indicating how many times this event occurs in training data.

Remember that a *context* is just a group of *contextpredicates*. Thus an event will have the form:

$$[(predicate_1, predicate_2, \dots, predicate_n), outcome, count]$$

Suppose we want to add the following event to our model:

$$[(predicate_1, predicate_2, predicate_3), outcome1, 1]$$

We need to first create a context:

```
std::vector<std::string> context;
context.append("predicate1");
context.append("predicate2");
context.append("predicate3");
. . .
```

It's possible to specify feature value (must be non-negative) in creating a context:

```
std::vector<pair<std::string, float> > context;
context.append(make_pair("predicate1",1.0));
context.append(make_pair("predicate2",2.0));
context.append(make_pair("predicate3",3.0));
. . .
```

Before any event can be added, one must call `begin_add_event()` to inform the model the beginning of training.

```
m.begin_add_event();
```

Now we are ready to add events:

```
m.add_event(context, "outcome1", 1);
```

The third argument of `add_event()` is the count of the event and can be ignored if the count is 1.

One can repeatedly call `add_event()` until all events are added to the model.

After adding the last event, `end_add_event()` must be called to inform the model the ending of adding events.

```
m.end_add_event();
```

3.3.4 Training the Model

Train a Maximum Entropy Model is relatively easy. Here are some examples:

```
// train the model with default training method
m.train();
// train the model with 30 iterations of L-BFGS method
m.train(30, "lbfgs");
// train the model with 100 iterations of GIS method and apply
// Gaussian Prior smoothing with a global variance of 2
m.train(100, "gis", 2);
// set terminate tolerance to 1E-03
m.train(30, "lbfgs", 2, 1E-03);
```

The training methods can be either “gis” or “lbfgs” (default). Also, if `m.verbose` is set to 1 (default is 0), training progress will be printed to stdout.

You can save a trained model to a file and load it back later:

```
m.save("new_model");  
m.load("new_model");
```

A file named `new_model` will be created. The model contains the definition of context predicates, outcomes, mapping between features and feature ids and the optimal parameter weight for each feature.

If the optional parameter `binary` is true and the library is compiled with `zlib` support, a compressed binary model file will be saved which is much faster and smaller than plain text model. The format of model file will be detected automatically when loading:

```
m.save("new_model", true); //save a (compressed) binary model  
m.load("new_model");      //load it from disk
```

3.3.5 Using the Model

The use of the model is straightforward. The `eval()` function will return the probability $p(y|x)$ of an *outcome* y given some *context* x :

```
m.eval(context, outcome);
```

`eval_all()` is useful if we want to get the whole conditional distribution for a given context:

```
std::vector<pair<std::string, double> > probs;  
m.eval_all(context, probs);
```

`eval_all()` will put the probability distribution into the vector `probs`. The items in `probs` are the outcome labels paired with their corresponding probabilities. If the third parameter `sort_result` is true (default) `eval_all()` will automatically sort the output distribution in descendant order: the first item will have the highest probability in the distribution.

Chapter 4

POS Tagger

This Chapter discusses the steps involved in building a Part-of-Speech (POS) tagger using Maximum Entropy Model in detail.

4.1 The Tagging Model

The task of POS tag assignment is to assign correct POS tags to a word stream (typically a sentence). The following table lists a word sequence and its corresponding tags:

To attack this problem with the Maximum Entropy Model, we can build a conditional model that calculates the probability of a tag y , given some contextual information x :

$$p(y|x) = \frac{1}{Z(x)} \exp \left[\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i f_i(x, y) \right]$$

Thus the possibility of a tag sequence $\{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n\}$ over a sentence $\{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n\}$ can be represented as the product of each $p(y|x)$ with the assumption that the probability of each tag y depends only on a limited context information x :

$$p(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n | w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n) \approx \prod_{i=1}^n p(y_i | x_i)$$

Given a sentence $\{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n\}$, we can generate K highest probability tag sequence candidates up to that point in the sentence and finally select the highest candidate as our tagging result.

4.2 Feature Selection

We select features used in the tagging model by applying a set of feature templates to the training data. The features are (index 0 is current word, negative value is previous index while positive index is latter index):

1. A Single Word: (C_i) , $i \in [-2, 1]$;
2. Two Adjacent words: (C_i, C_{i+1}) , $i \in [-2, 0]$;
3. The previous and latter words: (C_{-1}, C_1) ;
4. The previous, latter and current words: C_{-1}, C_0, C_1 ;
5. The previous two POS: T_{-2}, T_{-1} .

The following table is the features which are selected from the actual sentence:

The Sentence for the example:

日文(Japanese)/N 章鱼(Octopus)/N 怎么(How to)/R 说(Say)/V ?/W,

In English: How to say octopus in Japanese?

(the words in the braces are English meanings for Chinese words, doesn't appear in the original sentence).

Bound indicates that value is no available. The following is the example of features:

For word 日文 (Fully):
$C_{-2} = \text{Bound}$
$C_{-1} = \text{Bound}$
$C_0 = \text{日文}$
$C_1 = \text{章鱼}$
$C_{-2,-1} = \text{Bound, Bound}$
$C_{-1,0} = \text{Bound, 日文}$
$C_{0,1} = \text{日文, 章鱼}$
$C_{-1,1} = \text{Bound, 日文}$
$C_{-1,0,1} = \text{Bound, 日文, 章鱼}$
$T_{-2,-1} = \text{Bound, Bound}$
For word 文章 (Partial):
$C_{-0} = \text{文章}$
$C_{-1} = \text{日文}$

Chapter 5

Segment Tagger

This Chapter discusses the steps involved in building a Segment Tagger tagger using Maximum Entropy Model in detail. Compared with POS, Segment Tagger uses POC (Position of Character)

5.1 POC (Position of Character)

There are two POC tags: B (the beginning position of the word) and E (the non-beginning position of the word). See the following example:

Table 5.1: The two POC tags of the Character 产.

Tag	Example
B	产生 'to come up with'
E	生产线 'assembly line'

5.2 POC Tagger

The POC tagger here uses the same probability model as the POS tagger. The probability model is defined over $H \times T$, where H is the set of possible *contexts* or "*histories*" and T is the set of possible tags. The model's joint probability of a history h and a tag t is defined as

$$p(h, t) = \pi \mu \prod_{j=1}^k \alpha_j^{f_j(h, t)} \quad (5.1)$$

Where π is a normalization constant, $\{\mu, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k\}$ are the model parameters and $\{f_1, \dots, f_k\}$ are known as features, where $f_j(h, t) \in \{0, 1\}$. Each

feature f_j has a corresponding parameter α_j , that effectively serves as a "weight" of this feature. In the training process, given a sequence of characters $\{c_1, \dots, c_k\}$ and their POC tags $\{t_1, \dots, t_k\}$ as training data, the purpose is to determine the parameters $\{\mu, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k\}$ that maximize the likelihood of the training data using p :

$$L(P) = \prod_{i=1}^n P(h_i, t_i) = \prod_{i=1}^n \pi \mu \prod_{j=1}^k \alpha_j^{f_j(h_i, t_i)} \quad (5.2)$$

The success of the model in tagging depends to a large extent on the selection of suitable features. Given (h, t) , a feature must encode information that helps to predict t . The features used are instantiations of the feature templates. Feature templates (1) to (3) for common characters and (1) for special characters represent character features while (2) for special characters represents tag features. $C_{-2} \dots C_1$ are characters and $T_{-1} \dots T_1$ are POC tags.

There are two groups of feature templates basing on the context. The C represents character array, and $C[0]$ is the current character, $C[1]$ is the previous character and so on. The T represents the characters' types array (type see section-5.3), and $T[0]$ is the type of the current character.

The default feature set(eight features) is:

1. The single character group: (C_i) , $i \in [-2, 1]$;
2. The adjacent two characters group: (C_i, C_{i+1}) , $i \in [-2, 0]$;
3. The previous and next characters (C_{-1}, C_1) .

The feature set for special characters (like digits, letters) (four features) is:

1. The next character C_1 ;
2. The Single Type group: (T_i) $i \in [-1, 1]$.

In general, given (h, t) , these features are in the form of co-occurrence relations between t and some type of context h , or between t and some properties of the current character. For example,

$$f_i(h_i, t_i) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } t_{i-1} = B \text{ \& } t_i = E \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

This feature will map to 1 and contribute towards $p(h_i, t_i)$ if c_{i-1} is tagged B and c_i is tagged E .

The feature templates encode three types of contexts. First, features based on the current and surrounding characters are extracted. Given a character in a sentence, this model will look at the current character, the previous two and next characters. For example, if the current character is 们 (plural marker), it is very likely that it will occur in the non-begin position of a word, thus receiving the tag E . On the other hand, for other characters, they might be equally likely to appear on the beginning of a word. In those cases where it occurs within a word depends on its surrounding characters. For example, if the current character is 爱 (“love”), it should perhaps be tagged B if the next character is 护 (“protect”). However, if the previous character is 热 (“warm”), then it should perhaps be tagged E . Second, for special characters, features based on the previous tags (2) are extracted. Information like this is useful in predicting the POC tag for the current character just as the POS tags are useful in predicting the POS tag of the current word in a similar context. When the training is completed, the features and their corresponding parameters will be used to calculate the probability of the tag sequence of a sentence when the tagger tags unseen data. Given a sequence of characters c_1, \dots, c_n , the tagger searches for the tag sequence t_1, \dots, t_n with the highest probability

$$P(t_1, \dots, t_n \mid C_1, \dots, C_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n P(t_i \mid h_i) \quad (5.3)$$

And the conditional probability of for each POC tag t given its history h is calculated as

$$P(t \mid h) = \frac{p(h, t)}{\sum_{t' \in T} p(h, t')} \quad (5.4)$$

5.3 Types of Characters

All the types of characters are list below:

Table 5.2: The types of Characters

INIT	the initial type, used for the algorithm
DIGIT	the digit character, like "0" and "1"
CHARDIGIT	the digit character represents in the Chinese characters, like "一(one)"
PUNC	the punctuation character, like "." and ","
LETTER	the letter character, like "a" and "D"
SPACE	the space characters, like " "
DATE	the character represents a date, like "年 (year)"
OTHER	other character, like Chinese character "人 (man)"

The basic rules to combine special strings:

1. digits are digits.
2. punctuations are punctuations.
3. other characters are other characters.
4. any combination of digits, hyphens and letters or simple letters and letters.

The class `CMA_CType` is the class to manipulate characters with different encodings (utf8, gb18030, gb2312 and big5). Each encoding has a subclass of the `CMA_CType` (they are at least different at detecting the bound of a character). To identify the type of a character, besides that character, the type of the previous character and next character are all required. It is because some characters' type varies under different context. For example, "分"(cent) in the "五分之一"(= 1/5) is a number, and the type of the previous character is number("五"(five)) and next character must be "之"(of somebody).

The rules for `CMA_CType` are defined under a configuration XML file, with default name "*poc.xml*" under the model directory. This XML file is given and it is *NOT* suggested to modify this file if you are not familiar with its content. The encoding for *poc.xml* should be the same as the models are its encoding attribute.

The root elements for the *poc.xml* is *cma*, it have two children: *entities* and *rules*.

The *entities* element is the pre-defined characters lists of each character type. It includes *digit*, *chardigit*, *letter*, *punctuation*, *space* and *sentenceseparator*. All the naming are fixed and are all in the lower cases. Here type *sentenceseparator* represents the sentence separators, the *cma::Analyzer::splitSentence()* function would use this attributes. There are two types that not listed in the *entities*. They are *date* and *other* which are used in the *rules*.

The example for the *digit* element, it contains the half-width and full-width digits:

```
<digit><![CDATA[01234567890]]></digit>
```

The *rules* element contains some rule to detect the type of the specific characters. The rules element contains several rule elements.

In a *rule* element, first it contains the *char* text node which represents the what are current characters for current rule. And the rule element contains at least one *condition* elements.

In a *condition* elements, it have six types of children.

- *type* element is REQUIRED, it represents the type of the specific character if the context match the current condition.
- *pretype* represents the types of the previous character, multiple types are separated by comma, like "digit, chardigit".
- *noptype* represents the types that previous character *SHOULD NOT* have, its format see *pretype*.
- *nexttype* represents the types of the next character, its format see *pretype*.
- *nonexttype* represents the types that next character *SHOULD NOT* have, its format see *pretype*.
- *nextchar* represents the character list of the next character.

Except the *type* element, a condition is match if all the constraints (other five elements) are satisfied.

The example for a *rule* is:

```
<rule>
  <char><![CDATA[di4]]></char>
  <condition>
    <type>chardigit</type>
    <noptype>chardigit</noptype>
    <nexttype>chardigit</nexttype>
  </condition>
  <condition>
    <type>digit</type>
    <noptype>digit</noptype>
    <nexttype>digit</nexttype>
  </condition>
</rule>
```

The *di4* above is "第" (No.), it includes two conditions: if previous type is not *chardigit* and next type is *chardigit*, it is type is *chardigit*, like "第1" (No. 1); or if previous type is not *digit* and next type is *digit*, its type is *digit*, like "第一" (No. 1);

For more examples, please review the *poc.xml* directly.

And the class `CMA_WType` uses rules to combine special strings to identify the type of the word.

5.4 Post-Processing

This processing is invoked after segmenting using MaxEnt Model.

The post processing use the forward direction maximum matching to combine the successive words if necessary. The basic unit for the post-processing is the words from the segmenting using MaxEnt Model. And those word wouldn't be divided into small parts in the post-processing.

For example, one segmented result is A/B/C/D/E/F/G (A to F represents a word), and words AB, ABCG, CDE exist in the dictionary. The steps of Post-Processing for this example are:

1. Search via VTrie, start with A, moreLong is true (dictionary exists words like A*) and exists is false (word A not exists). If the moreLong is true, search via VTrie will continue based on the previous result (that is, search nodes just under A in the VTrie).
2. For B, moreLong is true and exists is true (AB is in the dictionary). Record longest existent word found, and this step is recording AB. As mention above, continue to search based on the result of the B.
3. For C, moreLong is true and exists is false. Thus continue to search but no record ABC hear.
4. For D, moreLong is false, thus it is time to find the best word find from the previous several steps. The best word here is the longest found word record so far and AB is for this case. Thus, the new search via VTrie will begin with C (just following AB).
5. For C and D, moreLong is true. For E, moreLong is false but exists in the dictionary, thus CDE will combined as one word and search begins with F.
6. For F, moreLong is false, no matter whether exists in the dictionary(as it is first search via VTrie), F would be regarded as one word and next search begin with G.

7. And Continues with all the rules mentioned above.

Chapter 6

Experiments

6.1 Testing environment

Table 6.1: Computer Environment

Platform	Fedora 8.04 Kernel Linux 2.6.24-21-generic
Memory	3.7GB
CPU	Double Intel(R) Core(TM) 2 Duo CPU E6550 @ 2.33GHz

6.2 Dataset

The Test Datasets include two dataset: PFR (From *PeopleDaily* Newspapers) is for the testing while development, and CTB is for the final test. For each dataset, the training set and test set extracted from the dataset randomly.

Table 6.2: Dataset Statistics

Dataset	#Training Set	#Test Set	OOV rate
PFR	5.5m	502k	0.027
CTB	1.4m	173k	—

The size of the Training Set and Test Set is the size of the raw text, that is, the size doesn't include the pos. For the Training Set with POS tagged, the size is 9.7m for PFR and 3.1m for the CTB.

6.3 Experiment Result

The segmentation and pos tagging results are shown in table 6.3.

Table 6.3: Segmentation And POS Tagging Result

Corpus	R	P	F	POS Accuracy	Execute Time
PFR	0.947	0.960	0.953	0.965	3.9s
CTB(1)	0.900	0.899	0.899	0.901	1.31s
CTB(2)	0.925	0.954	0.939	0.934	1.32s

In the Table 6.3, the meanings of columns are:

- R (Recall) is defined as the number of correctly segmented words divided by the total number of words in the gold standard.
- P (Precision) is defined as the number of correctly segmented words divided by the total number of words in our segmentation result.
- F (F-score) is defined as $F = \frac{2 * R * P}{R + P}$
- $POS_{Accuracy}$ is defined as the $POS_{accuracy} = \frac{Correct\ Tagged\ POS}{Total\ Correct\ POS}$
- $ExecuteTime$ is the time used to execute the segmentation and POS tagging.

From the experiment result, the PFR gains highest scores is because some adjustments are used while developing with the PFR. Hence, CTB test result represents more general purpose.

The testing of the CTB include two parts (labeled as CTB(1) and CTB(2)). The only difference between them is that the system dictionary (include the word and POS taggers) in the CTB(2) includes all the words in the Training Set while CTB(1) does not. The only effect of the system dictionary is in the post-processing of the segmentation (combine the successive words if necessary). From the result, the quality of the dictionary obviously affects the segmentation detail. In the CTB(2), the precision achieves the goal. As the dictionary for CTB training dataset is limited (analysed by the error segmentation statistics, lots of frequent-used words are not included). So the proper estimation for the precision of the CTB is 94%.

If the segmentation procedure is without Post-Processing (see section 5.4) of the word segmentation, the precision for the PFR and CTB (CTB(1) and CTB(2) are the same in this case) are 84.2% and 81.2% respectively, and it proves that the Post-Processing is efficient for the precision of the word segmentation.

More detail about error segmentation would be included in the "Detail of Error Segmentation" section.

6.4 Wisenut QC's Experiment

The Test Corpus used by the Wisenut QC is from the SIGHAN¹.

Table 6.4: Test Corpus Information

Name	Sentences	Words
AS (Academia SINICA)	14,432	122,610
CITYU (Hong Kong City University)	1,492	40,936
MSR (Microsoft Research)	3,985	106,873
PKU (Beijing University)	1,944	104,372

According to above table, the CITYU test corpora is much smaller than others, and AS test corpora has many short sentences.

The test results are:

Model	Evaluation Unit	Test Corpus			
		AS	CITYU	MSR	PKU
AS	P	0.940	0.926	0.913	0.929
	R	0.962	0.944	0.945	0.937
	F	0.951	0.935	0.929	0.933
	SA	0.767	0.394	0.372	0.322
CITYU	P	0.931	0.938	0.912	0.919
	R	0.954	0.960	0.927	0.934
	F	0.942	0.950	0.927	0.926
	SA	0.737	0.471	0.355	0.290
MSR	P	0.919	0.912	0.933	0.935
	R	0.938	0.927	0.959	0.934
	F	0.928	0.919	0.946	0.935
	SA	0.690	0.328	0.452	0.275
PKU	P	0.918	0.914	0.923	0.953
	R	0.940	0.931	0.953	0.962
	F	0.929	0.922	0.938	0.958
	SA	0.690	0.349	0.409	0.428
ICWB	P	0.939	0.928	0.929	0.940
	R	0.961	0.945	0.957	0.944
	F	0.950	0.936	0.943	0.942
	SA	0.764	0.395	0.441	0.333

¹<http://www.sighan.org/bakeoff2005/>

In the 'Evaluation Unit' column, SA indicates the Sentences Accuracy, and $SA = \frac{True_Sentences}{Total_Sentences}$

There are five models, AS, CITYU, MSR and PKU models are trained from their associated training corpus separately, and them only contains Simplified or Traditional Chinese.

ICWB models is so-called general-purpose model, its training corpus is from the combination of all the four training corpus, therefor ICWB supports both Simplified and Traditional Chinese. As the limitation of memory, training ICWB model has to set feature cutoff value larger, thus the expression occurs less than 10 times would not be recorded in the model.

The dictionaries are mainly from the dictionary of SIGHAN directly, and all the five models share the same dictionaries.

According to the Wisenut QC's result, The model for associated test corpora (like AS model for AS test corpora) gain the best score. For such associated pairs, compare the F-Score, expect the MSR model (0.946), others have passed 0.950, and highest one is PKU (0.958).

Then compare the general-purpose corpus with associated pairs, almost gain the same score with the MS and MSR model, but lower about 1.5% with CITYU and PKU. It can be concluded that expressions in CITYU and PKU are little complicated (as some would be ignored in the training).

For SA , it is mainly affected by the average length of sentences, and it is just from reference here.

6.5 Detail of Error Segmentation

The example for this section is segmentation result the CTB(1).

The name of the sub-section is the error division cases, such as "ABC to A/BC", where the former case (ABC) is the correct case and the latter one is the wrong case (A/BC).

6.5.1 ABC to A/BC

This situations mainly because the dictionary didn't contains the word ABC. In the column *DictionaryExists*, the N in the braces next to a word indicates that word doesn't exist in the dictionary while Y exists.

Correct Division	Error Division	Dictionary Exists
法规性	法/规性	法规性(N) 法(N) 规性(N)
资质证	资质/证	资质证(N) 资质(Y) 证(N)

6.5.2 A/BC to ABC

This situation occurs for two reasons, one is error segmentation when using MaxEnt model and the other is error combination in the post-processing.

No.	Correct Division	Error Division	Dictionary Exists
1	当/到	当到	当(Y) 到(Y) 当到(N)
2	马/上	马上	马(Y) 上(Y) 马上(Y)
3	全/国	全国	全(Y) 国(Y) 全国(Y)

Case 1th is the error segmentation from MaxEnt Model.

Case 2nd is the error combination. The whole sentence is "他(He) 从(From) 马(Horse) 上(Up) 掉(Drop) 下来(Down)", the complete English sentence is "He drop down from the horse". When combined as 马上(immediately), it represents totally different meanings. Hence case 2th is the error segmentation. Case 2nd is rarely in the reality. And the longer a word's length, the less possibility error segmentation.

But for case 3, it is correct division for both two cases (that is, both two situations could be found in the corpus). This case is because some inconsistent segmentation guidelines. This case depends on the quality of dataset. The frequent-used words are mostly likely seen in this case.

6.5.3 AB/C to A/BC

These situations are crossing ambiguities and are most complicated. And mainly because the error segmentation using MaxEnt model.

No.	Correct Division	Error Division	Dictionary Exists
1	甲肝/流行	甲/肝流/行	甲肝(N) 流行(Y) 甲(N) 肝流(N) 行(N)
2	其/销售	其销/售	其(Y) 销售(N) 其销(N) 售(N)

As shown, most of words in these situation doesn't in the dictionary. Moreover, these are not words in the reality. The MaxEnt model focuses on the location of the character in a word, and do not care whether the words exists.

6.6 Entity Detection Error

The entities include numbers (in Chinese form, like ”二十二 (twenty two)”), people name, organization names (Like ”中华人民共和国 (People’s Republic of China)”), place names (Like ”黄埔江 (Huangpu River)”) and so on.

The CMA don’t have special knowledge to dealt with most cases of the entities. The limited improvement can be done when detecting numbers.

Chapter 7

Conclusion

7.1 Quality and Performance

The quality of CMAC is evaluated by authors on some corpus. In case of corpus CTB, it achieves F-Score of word segmentation as 0.899 to 0.939 (see section 6.3), and in case of corpus PFR, it achieves F-score as 0.953.

And from the Wisenut QC's Experiment (corpus are from SIGHAN), for the associated training corpora and test corpora, the F-Score varies from 0.946 to 0.958. And for the general-purpose, it is 0.945. This result is similar with the estimation result of CTB (0.940).

The execution time for the word segmentation is about 7.30 seconds for 1 Megabyte text.

The Post-Processing (see section 5.4) of the word segmentation is proved efficient for the precision of CMAC's word segmentation.

The evaluation result shows that CMA is capable in realistic usage of Chinese morphological analysis.

7.2 What Affects the Quality

From the experiment result, we could see that the quality is influenced by several factors below.

7.2.1 Model Training

The first factor is the training set as the CMAC is statistical model based segmentation. It is impossible to include all the situations in the training set, but it is suggested that dataset is about 10 to 20 Megabyte and is gained from the real data set randomly. And for the training process, some parameters can be higher to fetch the meaningful features. Also, it is better if the training set and testing set are segmented under some principles.

7.2.2 Feature Set

The second factor is the feature set that MaxEnt model used. From the experiments, it performs better when feature set varies under different context. For example, if the current character is number (in Chinese and English form), it only cares about whether the previous character is number or letter. If the previous character is number, the current character of course should be possible (possible here indicates the next character maybe number too) ending of the previous character. Suppose the feature set is the same, and features likes C_{-2} and $C_{-2,-1}$ are used to estimate the possibility (Beginning or non-beginning of a word) of the current character, and it may result in current character is the beginning of a word, which is a error segmentation.

7.2.3 Dictionary

Improper words in the dictionaries may result in segmentation errors, like the number and its unit are a word in the dictionary. Thus the quality of Dictionary is also importance.

7.3 Future work to do

The future work to do is basically two factors which affect the quality of the CMAC.

Research for the proper feature set under the different context. And it should contains more than two dataset.

Update some entity detection rules. Like some characters can be a part of number when behind the number ("余" itself is not a number and in the "两千余" it is).

Regarding the realistic usage, and comparing with some commercial CMAs, we should select the datasets basing on the applying purpose of the CMA

(For example, for Science articles purpose and Newspapers articles purpose are quite different in the expression forms). Of course it includes the general purpose (like Google).

Chapter 8

Guidance to use the library

8.1 Model Directory Layout

A *Model Directory* contains all the data that CMAC requires. The path the represents the Model Directory is called *modelPath*.

The naming for most files in the *Model Directory* are fixed, the file names begin with "pos" are associated about POS Tagging and "poc" are associated with Segmentation. POS Tagging maybe unavailable for some models as there are no such pos.### model.

Following is the explanation of each standard files.

- *poc.model* is the trained model for Segmentation, *SHOULD NOT* be modified.
- *poc.xml* includes some segmentation rules and definition, modified it by guidance, more details see section-refchartypes.
- *pos.model* is the trained model for POS Tagging, *SHOULD NOT* be modified.
- *pos.pos* is the list of all the POS, *SHOULD NOT* be modified.
- *pos.config* is the configuration file of the POS Tagging, mainly include the POS for some types, modify it by guidance.
- *sys.dic* is the System Dictionary, *SHOULD NOT* be modified.
- *cma.config* is the configuration file for the CMA, you can modify it if necessary. Like the POS delimiter, word delimiter and so on.

- *blackwords* is the words that should not contained in all dictionaries. This file is not exists by default, create this some and add such words in it, with per word per line.

8.2 Interface description

The C++ API of the library is described below:

Class `CMA_Factory` creates instances for CMA, its methods below create instances of `CMA_Factory`, `Analyzer` and `Knowledge`, which are used in the morphological analysis.

```
static CMA_Factory* instance();
virtual Analyzer* createAnalyzer() = 0;
virtual Knowledge* createKnowledge() = 0;
```

Class `Knowledge` manages the linguistic information, its methods below load files of system dictionary (like *sys.dic*), user dictionary, stop-word dictionary, statistical model (like *pos.model*), POS model (like *pos.model*) and configuration file (like *cma.config*).

```
virtual int loadSystemDict(const char* binFileName) = 0;
virtual int loadUserDict(const char* fileName) = 0;
virtual int loadStopWordDict(const char* fileName) = 0;
virtual int loadStatModel(const char* binFileName) = 0;
virtual int loadPOSModel(const char* binFileName) = 0;
virtual int loadConfig(const char* configFile) = 0;
```

There is a much *EASIER* function to initialize the `Knowledge`:

```
virtual int loadModel(const char* encoding, const char* modelPath) = 0;
```

The encoding must be given first and *modelPath* see section-8.1.

The implementation is as below, *loadUserDict* and *loadStatModel* must be invoked explicitly if necessary.

```
int CMA_ME_Knowledge::loadModel(const char* encoding, const char* modelPath)
{
    //set encoding
    Knowledge::EncodeType encode = Knowledge::decodeEncodeType(encoding);
    assert(encode != Knowledge::ENCODE_TYPE_NUM);
    setEncodeType(encode);

    assert(modelPath);
    string path = formatModelPath(modelPath);
```

```

// load the STAT model
loadStatModel( ( path + "poc").data() );

// load the POS model
ifstream posIn( ( path + "pos.model").data() );
if(posIn)
{
    posIn.close();
    loadPOSModel( ( path + "pos" ).data() );
}

// load the system dictionaries
loadSystemDict( ( path + "sys.dic").data() );

// load the configuration
loadConfig( ( path + "cma.config" ).data() );

return 1;
}

```

Class Analyzer executes the morphological analysis, its methods below execute the morphological analysis based on a sentence, a paragraph and a file separately.

```

virtual void setKnowledge(Knowledge* pKnowledge) = 0;
virtual void setOption(OptionType nOption, double nValue);

virtual int runWithSentence(Sentence& sentence) = 0;
virtual const char* runWithString(const char* inStr) = 0;
virtual int runWithStream(const char* inFileName, const char* outFileName) = 0;

```

Class Sentence saves the analysis results, so that the n-best or one-best results could be accessed.

```

void setString(const char* pString);
const char* getString(void) const;
int getListSize(void) const;
int getCount(int nPos) const;
const char* getLexicon(int nPos, int nIdx) const;
int getPOS(int nPos, int nIdx) const;
const char* getStrPOS(int nPos, int nIdx) const;
double getScore(int nPos) const;
int getOneBestIndex(void) const;

```

8.3 How to use the interface

To use the library, follow the following steps:

1. Include the header files.

```
#include "cma_factory.h"
```

```
#include "analyzer.h"
#include "knowledge.h"
#include "sentence.h"
```

```
using namespace cma;
```

2. Use the name space of the library.

```
using namespace cma;
```

3. Call the interfaces and handle the result.

```
// create instances
CMA_Factory* factory = CMA_Factory::instance();
Analyzer* analyzer = factory->createAnalyzer();
Knowledge* knowledge = factory->createKnowledge();

// Load all the model by specific modelPath and encoding
knowledge->loadModel( "gb18030", "..." );

// Load User Dictionaries and Stop Words if neccessary.
knowledge->loadUserDict("...");
knowledge->loadStopWordDict("...");

// (optional) if POS tagging is not needed, call the function below to turn off the analysis and
// output for POS tagging, so that large execution time could be saved when execute
// Analyzer::runWithSentence(), Analyzer::runWithString(), Analyzer::runWithStream().
analyzer->setOption(Analyzer::OPTION_TYPE_POS_TAGGING, 0);

// (optional) set the number of N-best results,
// if this function is not called, one-best analysis is performed defaultly on
// Analyzer::runWithSentence().
analyzer->setOption(Analyzer::OPTION_TYPE_NBEST, 5);

// set knowledge
analyzer->setKnowledge(knowledge);

// 1. analyze a paragraph
const char* result = analyzer->runWithString("...");
...

// 2. analyze a file
analyzer->runWithStream("...", "...");

// 3. split paragraphs into sentences
string line;
vector<Sentence> sentVec;
while(getline(cin, line)) // get paragraph string from standard input
{
    sentVec.clear(); // remove previous sentences
    analyzer->splitSentence(line.c_str(), sentVec);
    for(size_t i=0; i<sentVec.size(); ++i)
    {
        analyzer->runWithSentence(sentVec[i]); // analyze each sentence
        ...
    }
}
```

```
// destroy instances
delete knowledge;
delete analyzer;
```

8.4 Compile the Source

Two approaches can be used to compile. For the final user, the second approach is recommended.

1. Use the cmake directly.
 1. Using shell, go to the project root directory.
 2. Type "cd build".
 3. Under linux, type "cmake ../source"; Under windows, run in the msys, type "cmake -G 'Unix Makefiles' ../source".
 4. Finally Type "make" to compile all the source
2. Use a script build/build.sh to compile. The usage is:

```
./build.sh [ release | debug | profile | clean ] [ linux | win32 ]
```

The parameters contains four building types (default is *release*) and two platforms (default is *linux*). For the building type, *debug* is compiled with debug information; *release* is for release purpose with optimized code; *profile* will add -pg which used by gprof; and *clean* will clean all temporal files. For the platform, *linux* represents linux platform while *win32* represents windows platform.

If the external program uses the library, simply add all the header files in the *include* directory under the project root directory, and add the lib/libcmac.a into the library for static linking or lib/*.so for dynamic linking.

8.5 Run the Trainer

The dataset have to be trained by the Trainer. The Trainer is a executable file with name camctrainer under directory bin.

The SYNOPSIS for the trainer is:

```
./cmactrainer mateFile modelPath [encoding] [posDelimiter]
```

The Description for the parameters:

- `mateFile` is the material file, it should be in the form `word1/pos1 word2/pos2 word3/pos3 ...`
- `modelPath` is the directory to hold all the output files (include trained model files and dictionaries). More detail see section-8.1
- `encoding` is the encoding of the `mateFile`, and `gb18030` is the default encoding. Support `utf8`, `gb18030`, `gb2312` and `big5` now.
- `posDelimiter` is the delimiter between the word and the pos tag, like `'/'` and `'_'` and default is `'/'`.

Take `"/dir1/dir2"` as the *modelPath*, after the training. The following files are created (All under directory `/dir1/dir2`):

1. *pos.model* is the POS statistical model file.
2. *pos.pos* is the all the POS gained from the training dataset.
3. *sys.dic* is the system dictionary (include words and POS tags) gained from the training dataset. This file is plain text and should be loaded as user dictionary. To convert it to the system dictionary, use `Knowledge::encodeSystemDict(const char* txtFileName, const char* binFileName)`, then the `binFileName` can be loaded as the system dictionary.
4. *poc.model* is the POC statistical model file.

All the files are required to run the CMA.

8.6 Run the Demo

After the training, you can run the demo to segment the file, The Demo is a executable file with name `camcsegger` under directory `bin`.

The SYNOPSIS for the demo is:

```
./camcsegger modelPath inFile outFile [encoding] [posDelimiter]
```

The Description for the parameters:

- modelPath is the directory contains all the trained models, dictionaries and configuration.
- inFile the input file.
- outFile the output file.
- encoding is the encoding of the mateFile, and gb2312 is the default encoding. Only support gb2312 and big5 now.
- posDelimiter is the delimiter between the word and the pos tag, like '/' and '_' and default is '/'.

The result with pos tagging can be found in the outFile.

Appendix A

Appendix - Project schedules and milestones

APPENDIX A. APPENDIX - PROJECT SCHEDULES AND MILESTONES41

Milestone	Start	Finish	In Charge	Description	Status
1 Survey on the project	2009-02-01	2009-02-13	Vernkin	1. Have a general overview of current Chinese Segmentation techniques and their differences. 2. Select a suitable one (Character-based) and do more research on it.	Finished
2 Design the Architecture of CMA	2009-02-16	2009-02-20	Vernkin	1. Basen on WISE KMA Orange, design the Architecture for the MA Systems (CJK). 2. Moreover, design the common Architecture for the CMA. 3. Finally focus on the Architecture for the approach I selected.	Finished
3 Implement CMA and Unit Test	2009-02-23	2009-03-25	Vernkin	1. Implement the Maximum Entropy Model. 2. Implement character-based Segmentation. 3. Integrate all the components into CMA.	Finished
4 Optimization the Segmentation Logic	2009-03-26	2009-04-25	Vernkin	1. Try other features sets; 2. Optimize the code logic to reduce the execute time; 3. List out the error segmentations, classify the reasons and do extra post-segmentation optimization.	Finished
5 Wrap up the Project	2009-04-26	2009-04-30	Vernkin	1. Finished the TR; 2. Review the code and comments.	Finished
6 QC Testing	2009-08-31	2009-09-11	Wisnut QC Team & Vernkin	1. Corporate with QC Team to finish the testing.	Finished
7 Make the Project as a Product	2009-09-14	2009-10-27	Vernkin	1. Organize the System Dictionary; 2. Add the context for some segmentation errors; 3. Optimize some source code; 4. Support Unicode and some project's invoke.	Finished
8 Experiment on integrating iCMA into i-classifier	2009-10-28	2009-11-18	Vernkin	1. Set the build and Run environment of i-classifier; 2. Analyze factors that iCMA may affect i-classifier.	Finished.
9 Be in charge of LA-Manager	2009-11-19	2009-12-19	Vernkin, Kent	1. Be familiar with LA; 2. Refactor LA to support all the language and add ChineseAnalyzer; 3. Update the NGramAnalyzer to support all the language; 4. Help sf1-r team to fix the bugs in the LA; 5. Support case-sensitive Searching in LA; 6. Improve English processing (short term) and add EnglishLanguageAction (long term); 7. Meet other requirements in the LA.	50% Finished.(step 4)

Appendix B

Appendix - POS Tag Set

Tag	English Description	中文解释	Example
A	Adjective.	形容词。	上古, 美丽
AD	Adverbial adjective.	副形词直接作状语的形容词, 形容词代码a和副词代码d并在一起。	不同, 不够
AG	Adjective morpheme.	形语素形容词性语素。形容词代码为a, 语素代码g前面置以A。	举, 乔
AN	Adjective with a Noun function.	名形词具有名词功能的形容词。形容词代码a和名词代码n并在一起。	亲昵, 亮丽
B	Distinguish words.	区别词: 大型一次性短效, 取汉字'别'的声母。	亲自, 人为
C	Conjunction.	连词。	和, 以及
D	Adverb.	副词。	仅仅, 仍旧
DG	Adverb Morpheme.	副语素是副词性语素。副词代码为d, 语素代码g前面置以D。	务, 勉
E	Exclamation.	叹词。	呀, 啊
F	Position.	方位词: 后右下取汉字'方'。	(假期)期间, (本世纪)末
G	Morpheme.	语素绝大多数语素都能作为合成词的'词根', 取汉字'根'的声母。	呱, 咪
H	Pre-access Component.	前接成分。	小(孩子), 老(李)
I	Idiom.	成语。	龙马精神, 欣欣向荣
J	Abbreviation.	简称略语取汉字'简'的声母。	中(国), 美(国)
K	Post-access Component.	后接成分。	(欧美)式, (突发)性
L	Temporal Idiom.	习语: 有意思固定资产操作系统习用语尚未成为成语, 有点'临时性', 取'临'的声母	突围而出, 按捺不住
M	Numeral.	数词。	三万一千, 四百
MQ	Quantifier.	数量词。	(三百)户, (五千)量
NG	Noun Morpheme.	名语素为名词性语素。名词代码为n, 语素代码g前面置以N。	扇, 技
N	Noun.	名词。	桌子, 花瓶
NR	Person's Name.	人名名词为代码n和"人(ren)"的声母并在一起。	爱因斯坦, 周恩来
NS	Location's Name.	地名名词为代码n和处所词代码s并在一起。	上海, 巴黎
NT	Organization's Name.	机构团体'团'的声母为t, 名词代码n和t并在一起。	联合国, 北京奥组委
NX	Foreign Characters.	外文字符英文或英文数字字符串。	Simple, Easy
NZ	Proper Noun.	其他专名'专'的声母的第1个字母为z, 名词代码n和z并在一起。	抄表器, 抑制器
O	Onomatopoeia.	拟声词。	扑通(一声), 朗朗(上口)
P	Preposition.	介词。	本着(公平的原则), 来自(山东)
Q	Quantity.	量词。	(三)杯, (一)枚
R	Pronoun.	代词。	我, 他
S	Space.	处所词。	枯水区, 柜中
TG	Time Morpheme.	时语素时间词性语素。时间词代码为t, 在语素的代码g前面置以T。	汉, 清
T	Time.	时间词。	去年, 今天
U	Auxiliary.	助词。	然, 物
VD	Adverbial Verb.	副动词直接作状语的动词。动词和副词的代码并在一起。	牵头, 狡辩
VG	Verb Morpheme.	动语素动词性语素。动词代码为v。在语素的代码g前面置以V。	狩, 率
VN	The Verb with a noun function.	名动词指具有名词功能的动词。动词和名词的代码并在一起。	玩乐, 玩火
V	Verb.	动词。	玩闹, 环抱
W	Punctuation.	标点符号。	【, ?
X	Non-morpheme Word.	非语素字只是一个符号, 字母x通常用于代表未知数、符号。	瓊, 癆
Y	Modal.	语气词汉字"语"的声母。	的, 着哪
Z	Status Word.	状态词, 取汉字'状'的声母的前一个字母。	酷热, 甜甜
un	Unknown.	未知。	渾, 滷

Bibliography

- [1] J.N. Darroch and D. Ratcliff. Generalized iterative scaling for log-linear models. *The Annals of Mathematical Statistics*, Vol. 43:pp 1470–1480, 1972.
- [2] Stephen Della Pietra, Vincent J. Della Pietra, and John D. Lafferty. Inducing features of random fields. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, 19(4):380–393, 1997.
- [3] Julia Hockenmaier and Chris Brew. Error-driven segmentation of chinese. *Communications of COLIPS*, 1(1):69–84, 1998.
- [4] Robert Malouf. A comparison of algorithms for maximum entropy parameter estimation, 2003.
- [5] David Palmer. A trainable rule-based algorithm to word segmentation. *Proceedings of the 35th Annual Meeting of the Association of Computational Linguistics*, 1997.
- [6] Nianwen Xue. Defining and automatically identifying words in chinese. *Ph.D. thesis, University of Delaware*, 2001.

Index

- API, [33](#)
 - Description, [34](#)
 - Usages, [35](#)
- Compile the Source, [37](#)
- Conclusion, [30](#)
- DataSet, [24](#)
 - CTB, [24](#)
 - PFR, [24](#)
- Evaluation Measure, [25](#)
 - Execute Time, [25](#)
 - F-Score, [25](#)
 - POS Accuracy, [25](#)
 - Precision, [25](#)
 - Recall, [25](#)
- Feature Set, [11](#), [16](#)
- MaxEnt, [9](#)
 - Feature Set, [11](#)
 - Modeling Problem, [9](#)
 - Parameter Estimation, [11](#)
 - Usage, [11](#)
- Model Directory, [33](#)
- OOV, [4](#)
- POC, [7](#), [18](#)
 - poc.xml, [21](#)
- POC Tagger, [18](#)
 - Feature Set, [19](#)
- POS, [7](#)
- POS Tagger, [15](#)
 - Feature Set, [16](#)
 - Tagging Model, [15](#)
- Post-Processing, [22](#)
- Run the Demo, [38](#)
- Run the Trainer, [37](#)
- Segment Tagger, [18](#)
- Segmentation Errors, [27](#)
- Segmentation History, [4](#)
- Types of Characters, [20](#)