CMSC 125: Operating Systems

- □ Instructor: **Joseph Anthony C. Hermocilla**
- □ Email: <u>jchermocilla@up.edu.ph</u>
- **Web:** https://jachermocilla.org



Resources

Book: https://pages.cs.wisc.edu/~remzi/OSTEP/

Slides Template:

https://pages.cs.wisc.edu/~remzi/OSTEP/Educators-Slides/Youjip/



Acknowledgement

This lecture slide set was initially developed for Operating System course in Computer Science Dept. at Hanyang University. This lecture slide set is for OSTEP book written by Remzi and Andrea at University of Wisconsin.

30. Condition Variables

Operating System: Three Easy Pieces

Condition Variables

- □ There are many cases where a thread wishes to <u>check</u> whether a **condition** is true before continuing its execution
- Example:
 - A parent thread might wish to check whether a child thread has *completed*
 - ◆ This is often called a join ()

Condition Variables (Cont.)

A Parent Waiting For Its Child

```
void *child(void *arg) {
            printf("child\n");
            // XXX how to indicate we are done?
            return NULL;
        int main(int argc, char *argv[]) {
            printf("parent: begin\n");
            pthread t c;
            Pthread create(&c, NULL, child, NULL); // create child
10
            // XXX how to wait for child?
11
12
            printf("parent: end\n");
13
            return 0;
14
```

What we would like to see here is:

```
parent: begin
  child
  parent: end
```

Parent waiting fore child: Spin-based Approach

```
volatile int done = 0;
        void *child(void *arg) {
             printf("child\n");
             done = 1;
             return NULL;
         int main(int argc, char *argv[]) {
10
             printf("parent: begin\n");
11
             pthread t c;
12
             Pthread create (&c, NULL, child, NULL); // create child
13
             while (done == 0)
14
                 ; // spin
15
            printf("parent: end\n");
16
            return 0;
17
```

This is hugely <u>inefficient</u> as the parent spins and wastes CPU time.

How to wait for a condition

What is a Condition Variable?

- An **explicit queue** that threads can put themselves on when some state of execution(the **condition**) is not as desired (by waiting on the condition)
 - Waiting on the condition
 - A threads put itself in the queue until another thread signals, ensures that thread does not spin
 - **Signaling** on the condition
 - Some other thread, *when it changes said state(condition)*, can wake one of those waiting threads and allow them to continue

Definition and Routines

Declare condition variable

```
pthread cond t c;
```

Proper initialization is required

Operation (the POSIX calls)

- The wait() call takes a <u>mutex</u> as a parameter(assumed to be locked) because
 - The wait() call releases the lock and puts the calling thread to sleep
 - When the thread wakes up, it must re-acquire the lock

Parent waiting for Child: Use a condition variable

```
int done = 0;
        pthread mutex t m = PTHREAD MUTEX INITIALIZER;
        pthread cond t c = PTHREAD COND INITIALIZER;
        void thr exit() {
                 Pthread mutex lock(&m);
                 done = 1;
                 Pthread cond signal(&c);
                 Pthread mutex unlock(&m);
10
11
12
        void *child(void *arg) {
13
                 printf("child\n");
14
                 thr exit();
15
                 return NULL;
16
17
18
        void thr join() {
19
                 Pthread mutex lock(&m);
20
                 while (done == 0)
21
                          Pthread cond wait(&c, &m);
22
                 Pthread mutex unlock(&m);
23
24
```

Parent waiting for Child: Use a condition variable

```
(cont.)
25
        int main(int argc, char *argv[]) {
                 printf("parent: begin\n");
26
27
                 pthread t p;
                 Pthread_create(&p, NULL, child, NULL);
28
29
                 thr join();
                 printf("parent: end\n");
30
31
                 return 0;
32
```

Parent waiting for Child: Use a condition variable

■ Parent:

- Create the child thread and continues running itself
- Call into thr join() to wait for the child thread to complete
 - Acquire the lock
 - Check if the child is done
 - Put itself to sleep by calling wait ()
 - Release the lock

Child:

- Print the message "child"
- Call thr_exit() to wake the parent thread
 - Grab the lock
 - o Set the state variable done
 - Signal the parent thus waking it

The importance of the state variable done

```
1  void thr_exit() {
2     Pthread_mutex_lock(&m);
3     Pthread_cond_signal(&c);
4     Pthread_mutex_unlock(&m);
5  }
6
7  void thr_join() {
8     Pthread_mutex_lock(&m);
9     Pthread_cond_wait(&c, &m);
10     Pthread_mutex_unlock(&m);
11 }
```

thr_exit() and thr_join() without variable done

- Imagine the case where the *child runs immediately*
 - The child will signal, but there is <u>no thread asleep</u> on the condition
 - When the parent runs, it will call wait and be **stuck**
 - No thread will ever wake it

Another poor implementation

```
void thr_exit() {
    done = 1;
    Pthread_cond_signal(&c);

void thr_join() {
    if (done == 0)
        Pthread_cond_wait(&c);
}

thread_cond_wait(&c);
}
```

- The issue here is a subtle race condition
 - o The parent calls thr join()
 - The parent checks the value of done
 - It will see that it is 0 and try to go to sleep
 - Just before it calls wait to go to sleep, the parent is <u>interrupted</u> and the child runs
 - The child changes the state variable done to 1 and signals
 - But no thread is waiting and thus no thread is woken
 - When the parent runs again, it sleeps forever

The Producer / Consumer (Bounded Buffer) Problem

Producer

- Produce data items
- Wish to place data items in a buffer

Consumer

Grab data items out of the buffer consume them in some way

- Example: Multi-threaded web server
 - A producer puts HTTP requests into a work queue
 - Consumer threads take requests out of this queue and process them

Bounded Buffer

- □ A bounded buffer is used when you pipe the output of one program into another
 - Example: grep foo file.txt | wc -1
 - The grep process is the producer
 - \bullet The $_{\text{WC}}$ process is the consumer
 - Between them is an in-kernel bounded buffer (a pipe)
 - Bounded buffer is shared resource → Synchronized access is required

The Put and Get Routines (Version 1)

- Only put data into the buffer when count is zero
 - o i.e., when the buffer is *empty*
- Only get data from the buffer when count is one
 - o i.e., when the buffer is full

Producer/Consumer Threads (Version 1)

```
void *producer(void *arg) {
                  int i;
                  int loops = (int) arg;
                  for (i = 0; i < loops; i++) {</pre>
                           put(i);
         void *consumer(void *arg) {
10
                  int i;
                  while (1) {
11
12
                           int tmp = get();
13
                           printf("%d\n", tmp);
14
15
```

- Producer puts an integer into the shared buffer loops number of times
- Consumer gets the data out of that shared buffer

Producer/Consumer: Single CV and If Statement

A single condition variable cond and associated lock mutex

```
int loops; cond_t cond;
        mutex t mutex;
        void *producer(void *arg) {
             int i;
             for (i = 0; i < loops; i++) {</pre>
                 Pthread mutex lock(&mutex);
                                                                // p1
                 if (count == 1)
                                                                // p2
                     Pthread cond wait(&cond, &mutex);
                                                               // p3
                 put(i);
                                                                // p4
                                                               // p5
                 Pthread cond signal (&cond);
                 Pthread mutex unlock(&mutex);
                                                               // p6
13
14
15
        void *consumer(void *arg) {
16
17
             int i;
18
             for (i = 0; i < loops; i++) {</pre>
19
                 Pthread_mutex_lock(&mutex);
                                                                // c1
```

Producer/Consumer: Single CV and If Statement

- p1-p3: A producer waits for the buffer to be empty
- c1-c3: A consumer waits for the buffer to be full
- With just *a single producer* and *a single consumer*, the code works

If we have more than one of producer and consumer?

Thread Trace: Broken Solution (Version 1)

	T_{c1}	State	T_{c2}	State	T_p	State	Count	Comment
	c1	Running		Ready		Ready	0	
	c2	Running		Ready		Ready	0	
	c3	Sleep		Ready		Ready	0	Nothing to get
		Sleep		Ready	p1	Running	0	
		Sleep		Ready	p2	Running	0	
		Sleep		Ready	p4	Running	1	Buffer now full
		Ready		Ready	p5	Running	1	T_{c1} awoken
		Ready		Ready	р6	Running	1	
c3 is skipped since		Ready		Ready	p1	Running	1	
		Ready		Ready	p2	Running	1	
		Ready		Ready	р3	Sleep	1	Buffer full; sleep
count is 1 —		Ready	c1	Running		Sleep	1	T_{c2} sneaks in
COUNT IS 1		Ready	c2	Running		Sleep	1	
		Ready	c4	Running		Sleep	0	and grabs data
		Ready	c5	Running		Ready	0	T_p awoken
		Ready	c6	Running		Ready	0	
	c4	Running		Ready		Ready	0	Oh oh! No data

Thread Trace: Broken Solution (Version 1)

- **□** The problem arises for a simple reason:
 - After the producer woke T_{c1} , but before T_{c1} ever ran, the state of the bounded buffer *changed by* T_{c2}
 - There is no guarantee that when the woken thread runs, the state will still be as desired \rightarrow Mesa semantics
 - Virtually every system ever built employs *Mesa semantics*
 - Hoare semantics provides a stronger guarantee that the woken thread will run immediately upon being woken

Producer/Consumer: Single CV and While

- \Box Consumer T_{c1} wakes up and re-checks the state of the shared variable
 - If the buffer is empty, the consumer simply goes back to sleep

```
cond t cond;
        mutex t mutex;
        void *producer(void *arg) {
             int i;
             for (i = 0; i < loops; i++) {</pre>
                 Pthread mutex lock(&mutex);
                                                              // p1
                while (count == 1)
                                                              // p2
                     Pthread cond wait(&cond, &mutex);
                                                              // p4
10
                put(i);
11
                                                             // p5
                Pthread cond signal(&cond);
12
                 Pthread mutex unlock(&mutex);
                                                              // p6
13
14
15
```

Producer/Consumer: Single CV and While

```
(Cont.)
16
        void *consumer(void *arg) {
             int i;
             for (i = 0; i < loops; i++) {</pre>
18
19
                 Pthread mutex lock(&mutex);
                                                               // c1
                 while (count == 0)
20
21
                     Pthread cond wait(&cond, &mutex);
22
                 int tmp = get();
                                                               // c4
23
                 Pthread cond signal(&cond);
                                                              // c5
                 Pthread_mutex_unlock(&mutex);
                                                               // c6
                 printf("%d\n", tmp);
25
26
27
```

- A simple rule to remember with condition variables is to always use while loops
- However, this code still has a bug (next page)

Thread Trace: Broken Solution (Version 2)

T_{c1}	State	T_{c2}	State	T_p	State	Count	Comment
c1	Running		Ready		Ready	0	
c2	Running		Ready		Ready	0	
(c3)	Sleep		Ready		Ready	0	Nothing to get
	Sleep	c1	Running		Ready	0	
	Sleep	c2	Running		Ready	0	
	Sleep	(c3)	Sleep		Ready	0	Nothing to get
	Sleep		Sleep	p1	Running	0	
	Sleep		Sleep	p2	Running	0	
	Sleep		Sleep	p4	Running	1	Buffer now full
	Ready		Sleep	p5	Running	1	T_{c1} awoken
	Ready		Sleep	р6	Running	1	
	Ready		Sleep	p1	Running	1	
	Ready		Sleep	p2	Running	1	
	Ready		Sleep	р3	Sleep	1	Must sleep (full)
c2	Running		Sleep		Sleep	1	Recheck condition
c4	Running		Sleep		Sleep	0	T_{c1} grabs data
c5	Running		Ready		Sleep	0	Oops! Woke T_{c2}

Thread Trace: Broken Solution (Version 2) (Cont.)

T_{c1}	State	T_{c2}	State	T_p	State	Count	Comment
			•••		•••		(cont.)
c6	Running		Ready		Sleep	0	
c1	Running		Ready		Sleep	0	
c2	Running		Ready		Sleep	0	
c 3	Sleep		Ready		Sleep	0	Nothing to get
	Sleep	c2	Running		Sleep	0	
	Sleep	c3	Sleep		Sleep	0	Everyone asleep

• A consumer should not wake other consumers, only producers, and vice-versa

The single Buffer Producer/Consumer Solution

- Use two condition variables and while
 - Producer threads wait on the condition empty, and signals fill
 - Consumer threads wait on fill and signal empty

The single Buffer Producer/Consumer Solution

```
(Cont.)
16
        void *consumer(void *arg) {
17
             int i;
             for (i = 0; i < loops; i++) {</pre>
18
19
                 Pthread mutex lock(&mutex);
                 while (count == 0)
20
                     Pthread cond wait(&fill, &mutex);
22
                 int tmp = get();
23
                 Pthread cond signal (&empty);
24
                 Pthread mutex unlock(&mutex);
                 printf("%d\n", tmp);
25
26
27
```

The Final Producer/Consumer Solution

- \blacksquare More **concurrency** and **efficiency** \rightarrow Add more buffer slots
 - Allow concurrent production or consuming to take place
 - Reduce context switches

```
int buffer[MAX];
        int fill = 0;
        int use = 0;
        int count = 0;
        void put(int value) {
             buffer[fill] = value;
             fill = (fill + 1) % MAX;
             count++;
10
11
12
         int get() {
13
             int tmp = buffer[use];
14
             use = (use + 1) % MAX;
15
             count--;
16
             return tmp;
17
```

The Final Put and Get Routines

The Final Producer/Consumer Solution (Cont.)

```
cond t empty, fill;
        mutex t mutex;
        void *producer(void *arg) {
             int i;
             for (i = 0; i < loops; i++) {</pre>
                 Pthread mutex lock(&mutex);
                                                               // p1
                 while (count == MAX)
                                                               // p2
                     Pthread cond wait(&empty, &mutex);
                                                               // p3
                                                               // p4
                 put(i);
                 Pthread cond signal(&fill);
                                                               // p5
12
                 Pthread mutex unlock(&mutex);
                                                               // p6
13
14
15
16
        void *consumer(void *arg) {
17
             int i;
18
             for (i = 0; i < loops; i++) {</pre>
19
                 Pthread mutex lock(&mutex);
                                                               // c1
                 while (count == 0)
20
                                                               // c2
21
                     Pthread cond wait(&fill, &mutex);
                                                               // c3
                 int tmp = get();
                                                               // c4
```

The Final Producer/Consumer Solution (Cont.)

The Final Working Solution (Cont.)

- p2: A producer only sleeps if all buffers are currently filled
- c2: A consumer only sleeps if all buffers are currently empty

Covering Conditions

- Assume there are zero bytes free
 - Thread T_a calls allocate (100).
 - Thread T_b calls allocate (10).
 - Both T_a and T_b wait on the condition and go to sleep.
 - Thread T_c calls free (50).

Which waiting thread should be woken up?

Covering Conditions (Cont.)

```
// how many bytes of the heap are free?
        int bytesLeft = MAX_HEAP_SIZE;
        // need lock and condition too
        cond t c;
        mutex t m;
        void *
        allocate(int size) {
10
            Pthread mutex lock(&m);
            while (bytesLeft < size)</pre>
11
12
                Pthread cond wait(&c, &m);
13
            void *ptr = ...;
                                        // get mem from heap
14
            bytesLeft -= size;
15
            Pthread mutex unlock(&m);
16
            return ptr;
17
18
19
        void free(void *ptr, int size) {
20
            Pthread mutex lock(&m);
21
            bytesLeft += size;
22
            Pthread cond signal(&c); // whom to signal??
23
            Pthread mutex unlock(&m);
24
```

Covering Conditions (Cont.)

- Solution (Suggested by Lampson and Redell)
 - Replace pthread cond signal() with pthread cond broadcast()
 - pthread cond broadcast()
 - Wake up **all waiting threads**
 - Cost: too many threads might be woken
 - Threads that shouldn't be awake will simply wake up, re-check the condition, and then go back to sleep