Let us define m variables which denotes the number of times each button was toggled. Variables:

 $C = C_1, C_2...C_j, ..., C_m$ , where  $C_j$  is a non-negative integer.

Light i stays lit if it was toggled odd number of times. Hence, for each of the i light bulbs, we have the following constraint (total n constraints):

Factors:  $f_1, f_2, ..., f_n$  with each  $f_i = 1$ 

where each factor/constraint is:

$$f_i(C) = [(\sum_{k=1}^{m} C_k * 1[i\epsilon T_k])\%2 = 1]$$

Though we sum over all buttons, the indicator function is essentially ensuring that the summation is only performed over a subset of buttons where for each of these buttons k,  $i\epsilon T_k$ . Hence, we can also write the same as:

$$f_i(C) = \left[ \left( \sum_{k: \text{ such that } i \in T_k}^m C_k \right) \% 2 = 1 \right]$$

Let this subset by denoted by  $S_i$ . Then  $S_i$  is the scope of  $f_i$  and  $|S_i|$  is its arity.

Also, note that the real count of how many times a button was toggled does not matter. What matters is whether the button was toggled odd or even number of times which can be used as an additional optional info to aid solving the CST. In that case, the variables will just be binary valued (0 or 1).

i) Checking all possible assignments:

$X_1$	$X_2$	$X_3$	$t_1 = (X_1 \oplus X_2)$	$t2 = (X_2 \oplus X_3)$	W = t1 * t2
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	1	0	1	0
0	1	0	1	1	1
0	1	1	1	0	0
1	0	0	1	0	0
1	0	1	1	1	1
1	1	0	0	1	0
1	1	1	0	0	0

The product of the factors is 1 for 2 assignments. Hence, there are two consistent assignments mentioned above.

1. 
$$X_1 = 0, X_2 = 1, X_3 = 0$$

2. 
$$X_1 = 1, X_2 = 0, X_3 = 1$$

#### ii) Call stack:

backtrack({}, 1, {x1:{0, 1}, x2:{0, 1}, x3: {0, 1}})
backtrack({x1:0}, 1, {x2:{0, 1}, x3: {0, 1}})
backtrack({x1:0, x3:0}, 1, {x2:{0, 1}})
backtrack({x1:0, x3:0, x2:1}, 1, {})
backtrack({x1:0, x3:1}, 1, {x2:{0, 1}})
backtrack({x1:1}, 1, {x2:{0, 1}}, x3: {0, 1}})
backtrack({x1:1, x3:0}, 1, {x2:{0, 1}})
backtrack({x1:1, x3:1}, 1, {x2:{0, 1}})
backtrack({x1:1, x3:1}, 1, {x2:{0, 1}})
backtrack({x1:1, x3:1}, 1, {x2:{0, 1}})

Total number of calls = 9

## iii) Call stack:

Total number of calls = 7.

# Question 2.a, Scheduling, CS221

Let us take few auxiliary variables  $S_1, S_2$  and  $S_3$  such that  $S_i$  is supposed to hold the pair denoting the sum till i-1 elements and i elements.

Hence, 
$$S_i[1] = S_i[0] + X_i$$
  
Also,  $S_i[0] = S_{i-1}[1]$ 

Also,  $S_1[0] = 0$  since it is the sum of 0 elements by definition.

To summarize,

Auxiliary Variables:  $S_1, S_2, S_3$ 

Unary Factors:

$$-S_1[0] = 0$$

$$-S_3[1] \leq K$$

Binary Factors:

$$-S_2[0] = S_1[1]$$

$$-S_3[0] = S_2[1]$$

$$-S_1[1] = S_1[0] + X_1$$

$$-S_2[1] = S_2[0] + X_2$$

$$-S_3[1] = S_3[0] + X_3$$

It is easy to verify this works since  $S_i[1]$  is fetching the sum of first i elements and we restrict  $S_3[1]$  to be  $\leq K$ . Further, we copy  $S_{i-1}[1]$  to  $S_i[0]$  so that all our factors are binary factors so as to prevent creation of 3-ary factor (between previous sum, current term and new sum).

### **Profile**

minUnits 3 maxUnits 6

register Spr2020

register Sum2020

register Aut2020

register Win2020

taken CS124

taken CS229

taken CS107

taken CS103

taken CS106X

taken CS106B

taken CS210A

request CS399 # all (1, 9)

request CS221 # Aut,Sum (3,4)

request CS224N # Aut (3, 4)

request CS224S # Spr (2, 4) cannot fulfill due to dependency on cs221 and cs224n

request CS210B in Spr2020 after CS221 # Spr(3, 4) cannot fulfill due to dependency on cs221

request CS223A # Win (3)

#### The best schedule is:

Quarter	Units	Course
Spr2020	6	CS399
Sum2020	4	CS221
Aut2020	4	CS224N
Win2020	3	CS223A

Key things to note about this schedule are:

- 1. CS339 is chosen for first quarter with 6 credits.
- 2. CS224S and CS210B cannot be fulfilled because they depend on CS221 and CS221 can be taken earliest in Sum2020 but these two courses are only available in Spr2020 (Constraint added from Bulletin and request).
- 3. CS221 is scheduled in Sum2020 so that CS224N can be scheduled in Aut2020 otherwise the dependency could not have been satisfied.

# Question 4.a, Scheduling, CS221

Since the size of the factors may be as large as n, the tree-width will be at least n in such cases. Tree-width cannot be greater than n since that is the number of variables. Hence, tree-width is n in the worst case.