

CSc 346

Object Oriented Programming

Ken Gamradt

Spring 2024

Chapter 6 – Part 3

Inheriting from classes

- The Person type (class) created previously derived (inherited) from object (System.Object)
- Modify its contents to define a class named Employee that derives from Person

27 references

```
public class Person : object { ... }
```

1 reference

```
public class Employee : Person { ... }    // inherits all Person class members
```

- add statements to create an instance of the Employee class

```
Employee john = new() {  
    Name = "John Jones",  
    Born = new(year: 1990, month: 7, day: 28,  
        hour: 0, minute: 0, second: 0, offset: TimeSpan.Zero)  
};  
john.WriteLine();
```

Extending classes to add functionality

- Add statements to define two properties for an employee code and the date they were hired

```
2 references
public class Employee : Person {
    1 reference
    public string? EmployeeCode { get; set; }
    2 references
    public DateOnly HireDate { get; set; }
}
```

- Add statements to set John's employee code and hire date

```
john.EmployeeCode = "JJ001";
john.HireDate = new(year: 2014, month: 11, day: 23);
WriteLine($"{john.Name} was hired on {john.HireDate:dd/MM/yy}");
```


Hiding (Replacing) members

- Add statements to redefine the WriteToConsole method

3 references

```
public class Employee : Person {
```

1 reference

```
    public string? EmployeeCode { get; set; }
```

3 references

```
    public DateOnly HireDate { get; set; }
```

1 reference

```
    public new void WriteToConsole() { // deliberately replacing the old method
```

```
        WriteLine(format: "{0} was born on {1:dd/MM/yy} and hired on {2:dd/MM/yy}.",
```

```
            arg0: Name,
```

```
            arg1: Born,
```

```
            arg2: HireDate);
```

```
    }
```

```
}
```

Understanding the `this` and `base` keywords

- **this:**
 - Represents the current object instance
 - E.g., in the `Person` class instance members, you could use the expression `this.Born` to access the `Born` field of the current object instance
 - You rarely need to use it, since the expression `Born` would also work
 - It is only when there is a local variable also named `Born` that you would need to use `this.Born`, to explicitly say you are referring to the field, not the local variable
- **base:**
 - Represents the base class that the current object inherits from
 - E.g., anywhere in the `Person` class, you could use the expression `base.ToString()` to call the base class implementation of that method

Overriding members

- Rather than hiding a method, it is usually better to **override** it
- You can only override if the base class chooses to allow overriding, by applying the **virtual** keyword to any methods that should allow overriding
- add a statement to write the value of the john variable to the console using its string representation

```
public override string ToString() {           // base class must specify virtual
    return $"{Name} is a {base.ToString()}";
}
```

- The **base** keyword allows a **subclass** to access members of its **superclass**
 - The **base class** that it inherits or derives from

Inheriting from abstract classes

- Previously you learned about interfaces that can define a set of members that a type must have to meet a basic level of functionality
- Their main limitation is that until C# 8.0 they could not provide any implementation of their own
- You can use **abstract classes** as a sort of halfway house between a **pure interface** and a **fully implemented class**
- When a class is marked as **abstract**, it **cannot be instantiated** because you are indicating that the class is not complete
- It needs more implementation before it can be instantiated

Inheriting from abstract classes

- Let's compare the two types of interface and two types of class

```
public interface INoImplementation {    // C# 1.0 and later
    void Alpha();                      // must be implemented by derived type
}

public interface ISomeImplementation { // C# 8.0 and later
    void Alpha();                      // must be implemented by derived type
    void Beta() {
        ...
    }
}
```


Inheriting from abstract classes

- Let's compare the two types of interface and two types of class

```
public abstract class PartiallyImplemented { // C# 1.0 and later
    public abstract void Gamma();    // must be implemented by derived type
    public virtual void Delta() {    // can be overridden
        // implementation
    }
}

public class FullyImplemented : PartiallyImplemented, ISomeImplementation {
    public void Alpha() {
        // implementation
    }
    public override void Gamma() {
        // implementation
    }
}
```

Inheriting from abstract classes

- Let's compare the two types of interface and two types of class

```
// you can only instantiate the fully implemented class  
FullyImplemented a = new();
```

```
// all the other types give compile errors  
PartiallyImplemented b = new();           // compile error!  
ISomeImplementation c = new();           // compile error!  
INoImplementation d = new();             // compile error!
```


Choosing between an interface and an abstract class

- Which should you pick?
- Now that an interface can have default implementations for its members, is the abstract keyword for a class obsolete?
- Well, let's think about a real example. Stream is an abstract class
 - Would or could the .NET team use an interface for that today?
- Every member of an interface must be public
 - An abstract class has more flexibility in its members' access modifiers
- Another advantage of an abstract class over an interface is that serialization often does not work for an interface
- So, no, we still need to be able to define abstract classes

Preventing inheritance and overriding

- You can prevent another developer from inheriting from your class by applying the **sealed** keyword to its definition

```
public sealed class ScroogeMcDuck { }
```

- An example of sealed in .NET is the string class
- Microsoft has implemented some extreme optimizations inside the string class that could be negatively affected by your inheritance

Preventing inheritance and overriding

- You can prevent someone from further overriding a virtual method in your class by applying the **sealed** keyword to the method

```
public class Singer {  
    // virtual allows this method to be overridden  
    public virtual void Sing() {  
        WriteLine("Singing...");  
    }  
}  
  
public class LadyGaga : Singer {  
    // sealed prevents overriding the method in subclasses  
    public sealed override void Sing() {  
        WriteLine("Singing with style...");  
    }  
}
```

Understanding Polymorphism

- You have now seen two ways to change the behavior of an inherited method
 - We can hide it using the **new** keyword
 - **non-polymorphic inheritance**
 - We can override it using the **virtual/override** keywords
 - **polymorphic inheritance**
- Both ways can access members of the base or superclass by using the **base** keyword
- What is the difference?
- It all depends on the type of variable holding a reference to the object
 - E.g., a variable of the **Person** type can hold
 - a reference to a **Person** class
 - any type that derives from **Person**

Understanding Polymorphism

- When a method is hidden with **new**, the compiler is not smart enough to know that the object is an Employee
 - It calls the WriteToConsole method in Person
- When a method is overridden with **virtual** and **override**, the compiler is smart enough to know that although the variable is declared as a Person class, the object itself is an Employee class
 - Employee implementation of ToString is called

| Variable type | Member modifier | Method executed | In class |
|---------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------|
| Person | | WriteToConsole | Person |
| Employee | new | WriteToConsole | Employee |
| Person | virtual | ToString | Employee |
| Employee | override | ToString | Employee |

Abstract/Concrete Inheritance/Polymorphism Example

```
namespace Gamradt3;
```

5 references

```
public abstract class Base
{
    3 references
    public int One { get; set; }
    2 references
    public Base(int One = 5) {
        this.One = One;
    }
    1 reference
    public Base(Base instance) {
        One = instance.One;
    }
    public override string? ToString()
    {
        return base.ToString()
        // + string describing Base class data
        ;
    }
}
```

```
namespace Gamradt3;
```

1 reference

```
public class Derived : Base
{
    4 references
    public double Two { get; set; }
    0 references
    public Derived() : base() {
        this.Two = 7.5;
    }
    0 references
    public Derived(int One, double Two = 7.5) : base(One) {
        this.Two = Two;
    }
    0 references
    public Derived(Derived instance) : base(instance) {
        Two = instance.Two;
    }
    public override string? ToString()
    {
        return base.ToString()
        // + string describing Derived class data
        ;
    }
}
```


Casting with inheritance hierarchies

- Casting between types is subtly different from converting between types
- Casting is between similar types
 - between a 16-bit integer and a 32-bit integer
 - between a superclass and one of its subclasses
- Converting is between dissimilar types, such as between text and a number

Implicit casting

- In the previous example, you saw how an instance of a derived type can be stored in a variable of its base type (or its base's base type, ...)
- When we do this, it is called **implicit casting**

Explicit casting

- Going the other way is an explicit cast, and you must use parentheses around the type you want to cast into as a prefix to do it

Employee explicitAlice = aliceInPerson;

 (local variable) **Person** aliceInPerson

'aliceInPerson' is not null here.

CS0266: Cannot implicitly convert type 'Packt.Shared.Person' to 'Packt.Shared.Employee'. An explicit conversion exists (are you missing a cast?)

[Show potential fixes](#) (Alt+Enter or Ctrl+.)

- Change the statement to prefix the assigned variable named with a cast to the Employee type

Employee explicitAlice = (**Employee**)aliceInPerson;

Avoiding casting exceptions

- The compiler is now happy
- Because `aliceInPerson` might be a different derived type, like `Student` instead of `Employee`, we need to be careful
- In a real application with more complex code, the current value of this variable could have been set to a `Student` instance, and then this statement would throw an **`InvalidCastException`** error

Using is to type check

- We can handle this by writing a try statement, but there is a better way
- We can check the type of an object using the **is** keyword

```
if (aliceInPerson is Employee) {  
    WriteLine($"{nameof(aliceInPerson)} IS an Employee");  
    Employee explicitAlice = (Employee)aliceInPerson;  
    // safely do something with explicitAlice  
}
```

Using is to type check

- You can simplify the code further using a declaration pattern and this will avoid needing to perform an explicit cast

```
if (aliceInPerson is Employee explicitAlice) {  
    WriteLine($"{nameof(aliceInPerson)} IS an Employee");  
    // safely do something with explicitAlice  
}
```

- What if you want to execute a block of statements when Alice is not an employee?

`if (! (aliceInPerson is Employee))`

`// older C# versions`

`if (aliceInPerson is not Employee)`

`// C# 9.0 and later`

Using as to cast a type

- You can use the **as** keyword to cast
- The **as** keyword returns null if the type cannot be cast

```
// could be null
Employee? aliceAsEmployee = aliceInPerson as Employee;
if (aliceAsEmployee is not null) {
    WriteLine($"{nameof(aliceInPerson)} as an Employee.");
    // Safely do something with aliceAsEmployee
}
```

Inheriting and extending .NET types

- .NET has prebuilt class libraries containing hundreds of thousands of types
- Rather than creating your own completely new types, you can often get a head start by deriving from one of Microsoft's types to inherit some or all of its behavior and then overriding or extending it

Inheriting exceptions

- As an example of inheritance, we will derive a new type of exception

```
3 references
public class PersonException : Exception {
    0 references
    | public PersonException() : base() { }
    0 references
    | public PersonException(string message) : base(message) { }
    0 references
    | public PersonException(string message, Exception innerException)
    |     : base(message, innerException) { }
}
```

- Unlike ordinary methods, **constructors are not inherited**, so we must explicitly declare and explicitly call the base constructor implementations in `System.Exception` to make them available to programmers who might want to use those constructors with our custom exception

Inheriting exceptions

- Add statements to define a method that throws an exception if a date/ time parameter is earlier than a person's date of birth

```
public void TimeTravel(DateTime when) {  
    if (when <= Born) {  
        throw new PersonException("If you travel back in time to a date "  
            + "earlier than your own birth, then the universe will explode!");  
    }  
    else {  
        WriteLine($"Welcome to {when:yyyy}!");  
    }  
}
```


Inheriting exceptions

- Add statements to test what happens when employee John Jones tries to time travel too far back

```
try {  
    john.TimeTravel(when: new(1999, 12, 31));  
    john.TimeTravel(when: new(1950, 12, 25));  
} catch (PersonException ex) {  
    WriteLine(ex.Message);  
}
```

Extending types when you can't inherit

- Previously, we saw how the **sealed** modifier can be used to prevent inheritance
- Microsoft has applied the sealed keyword to the `System.String` class so that no one can inherit and potentially break the behavior of strings
- Can we still add new methods to strings?
- Yes ...
- If we use a language feature named **extension methods**, which was introduced with C# 3.0

Using static methods to reuse functionality

- We can create **static methods** to reuse functionality
 - E.g., Ability to validate that a string contains an email address

```
// To use Regex. namespace Packt.Shared;
using System.Text.RegularExpressions;
0 references
public class StringExtensions {
    0 references
    public static bool IsValidEmail(string input) {
        // Use a simple regular expression to check
        // that the input string is a valid email.
        return Regex.IsMatch(
            input,
            @"[a-zA-Z0-9\.-_]+@[a-zA-Z0-9\.-_]+"
        );
    }
}
```

Using static methods to reuse functionality

- The static IsValidEmail method uses the Regex type to check for matches against a simple email pattern that looks for valid characters before and after the @ symbol

```
string email1 = "pamela@test.com"; // valid      True
string email2 = "ian&test.com";    // not valid   False
WriteLine("{0} is a valid e-mail address: {1}",
    arg0: email1,
    arg1: StringExtensions.IsValidEmail(email1));
WriteLine("{0} is a valid e-mail address: {1}",
    arg0: email2,
    arg1: StringExtensions.IsValidEmail(email2));
```


Using extension methods to reuse functionality

- It is easy to make static methods into extension methods

```
using System.Text.RegularExpressions;

public static class StringExtensions {
    public static bool IsValidEmail(this string input) {
        // use simple regular expression to check that the input string is a valid email
        return Regex.IsMatch(input, @"[a-zA-Z0-9\._-]+@[a-zA-Z0-9\._-]+");
    }
}
```

- These two changes tell the compiler that it should treat the method as one that extends the string type

Categories of custom types and their capabilities

| Type | Instantiation | Inheritance | Equality | Memory |
|-------------------------|---------------|-------------|-----------|--------|
| class | Yes | Single | Reference | Heap |
| sealed class | Yes | None | Reference | Heap |
| abstract class | No | Single | Reference | Heap |
| record or record class | Yes | Single | Value | Heap |
| struct or record struct | Yes | None | Value | Stack |
| interface | No | Multiple | Reference | Heap |

Categories of custom types and their capabilities

- Now let's highlight what is different about the more specialized types of classes:
 - A sealed class does not support inheritance
 - An abstract class does not allow instantiation with new
 - A record class uses value equality instead of reference equality
- We can do the same for other types compared to a “normal” class:
 - A struct or record struct does not support inheritance, it uses value equality instead of reference equality, and its state is stored in stack memory
 - An interface does not allow instantiation with new and supports multiple inheritance