Judicial Administration

COURTHOUSE SECURITY

Funding history at a glance:

FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012	Increase	%	
Actual	Actual	Actual	Budgeted	Recommended	(Decrease)	Change	
\$19,615	\$20,594	\$14,073	\$70,154	\$239,975	\$169,821	242.07	

Includes:

- 1. Supervisory position to oversee Courthouse security
- 2. Two part-time civilian employees to work the security checkpoint at all times that the Courthouse is open;
- 3. Metal detector and x-ray machine
- 4. Checkpoint and turnstiles
- 5. Courthouse entrance modifications
- 6. Entry door modifications
- 7. Intrusion detection system and off-site monitoring
- 8. Security fencing

NOTES:

1. Courthouse security fees are assessed on each traffic and criminal case and held in the General Fund – they are specifically earmarked for Courthouse security and may not be used for anything else. Of the unappropriated General Fund balance, Courthouse Security Fees account for approximately \$548,000. This is the revenue stream for the equipment purchases and ongoing personnel costs described above. We generally collect between \$112,000 to \$125,000 annually.

- BUDGET-

EXPENSE

ACCOUNTING PERIOD 2011/01

PAGE 1 GLO67H

		Prior Years		Current Year			2011/2012 Budget Year			
			Expenditure 2008/2009	Expenditure 2009/2010	Adopted Budget	Actual Dn 2011/01		Department Request	County Admin Reconnends	Adopted Budget
021750	* COURTHOUSE SECURITY *									
021750-1100	SALARIES & WAGES REGULAR				29,843			30,241	30,241	
021750-1300	PART-TIME SALARIES	17,293	17,998	12,406	21,814	29,883		78,104	78,104	
021750-2100	FICA	1,323	1,377		3,952	2,286		8,288	8,288	
021750-2210	RETIREMENT				3,367			3,411	3,411	
021750-2215	RETIREMENT - EMPLOYEE SHARE				1,493			1,512	1,512	
021750-2300	HOSPITAL PLAN				8,328			9,084	9,084	
021750-2400	GROUP INSURANCE				332			85	85	
021750-2600	UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE	33	40	62	55	88		150	150	
021750-2700	HORKER'S COMPERSATION	966	1,179	656	970	611		1,000	1,000	
021750-6011	UNIFORMS									
021750-8201	EQUIPMENT							108,100	108,100	
	TOTAL DEPARTMENT	19,615	20,594	14,073	70,154	32,868		239,975	239,975	
TOTAL - X	COURTHOUSE SECURITY *	19,615	20,594	14,073	70,154	32,868		239,975	239,975	
TOTAL FOR F	ОКИ	19,615	20,594	14,073	70,154	32,868		239,975	239,975	
FINAL TOT	AL	19,615	20,594	14,073	70,154	32,868		239,975	239,975	

			YEARS	SALAI		2010-2011	PROPOSED
NAME	POSITION	DATE HIRED	OF SERVICE **	GRADE 2011	HIGH	CURRENT	PAY PLAN 2011-2012 ACTUAL COST
	COURTHOUSE SECURITY						
VACANT	DEPUTY SHERIFF/COURT SECURITY	N/A	N/A	21 29,8	43 46,25	7 29,843	30,241

^{**} To calculate years of service, employee must be employed prior to September of any year.

Sec. 1-13.1. Assessment for the funding of courthouse security personnel.

It is hereby assessed the sum of ten dollars (\$10.00) costs in each and every criminal and traffic case in which the defendant is convicted in the Southampton County General District Court, the Southampton County Juvenile and Domestic Relations Court, and the Circuit Court of Southampton County, Virginia, which shall be collected by the clerk of the court in which the case is heard and remitted to the Treasurer of the County of Southampton to be held by said treasurer subject to appropriation by the Board of Supervisors of Southampton County for the funding of courthouse security personnel.

The assessment provided for herein shall be in addition to any other fees prescribed by law. (Ord. of 5-28-02(1); Ord. of 4-23-07)

COURTHOUSE SECURITY IMPROVEMENTS

The Southampton County Courthouse is the only courthouse in a 50 mile radius that has not received security improvements. In September of 2008 Moseley Architects identified a number of security improvements.

I feel it is time to revisit these proposed improvements. I am suggesting that the improvements be divided into two phases. The first phase would involve the security for the building. Phase two would be secure parking and entrance for the Judges.

Phase one would establish a single security checkpoint for all persons entering the building. This checkpoint would be operational when ever the building would be open. The checkpoint would include a duty station, metal detector, x-ray machine, standard exist turnstile and wheelchair accessible turnstile. All other points of ingress would be secured, allowing only emergency egress. Additionally, this phase should include a closed circuit camera system, intrusion detection system, and card based access system.

The checkpoint would require staffing by two individuals and the monitoring of the security system would be done by the communications center.

I have identified a source of revenue for staffing and security equipment, which would be courthouse security fee funds. These funds are restricted funds and cannot be used for structural improvements to the building.

The staffing can be accomplished by part-time civilian personnel under supervision of the bailiff. The annual cost for staffing is estimated at \$56,000.00.

The estimated cost of the x-ray machine and metal detector would be \$75,000.00.

As of December 31, 2010, the courthouse security fee fund balance is \$547,933.00.

The security improvement to the building, camera system, intrusion detection system and card based access is estimated cost of \$275,000.00.

While I realize that these are extremely difficult economic times, one single active shooting incident will far exceed these cost.

PHASE ONE

Phase One would cover the lobby checkpoint to offer limited separation of ingress and egress –

a duty station for two (2) security staff

annual cost

\$ 56,000

metal detector and x-ray machine

\$ 32,000

standard exit turnstile and wheelchair accessible

turnstile

\$ 20,000

expand outer and enter exiting covered colonnade to accommodate

checkpoint

\$ 35,000

Phase one would include new screen fencing approximately six feet high and gate constructed at the courtyard area adjacent to the Circuit Court Clerk's Office - \$2,000

Phase one would include equipping the six (6) single entrance exterior doors and two (2) double entrance exterior doors with panic bar emergency release and local alarms @ \$425.00 - \$5,100

Phase one would contract with a commercial alarm company to provide an intrusion detector system for the building - \$ 12,000

Phase one would include the replacement of the existing inoperable intercom system - \$2,000

Phase one would continue to use the present key system and panic/distress button system

Phase One estimated total

\$ 164,100.00

Phase two would move into the closed circuit tv system, a permanent intrusion detector/fire alarm system and electronic security systems.

Phase three would address secure parking and entrance for the judges.

4/01/2011 SOUTHAMPTON COUNTY FUND #-100 * COURTHOUSE SECURITY *

- BUDGET - EXPENSE

ACCOUNTING PERIOD 2010/12

PAGE 1 GL067H

			Prior Years		-	Current	Year	2011/	2012 Budget Ye	ar
		Expenditure 2007/2008	Expenditure 2008/2009	Expenditure 2009/2010	Adopted Budget	Actual · On 2010/12		Request	County Admin Reconnends	Adopted Budget
			And and Date Date and And And And And And		The date first asset (the day that also been day to					** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** **
021750 021750-1100 021750-1300 021750-2100 021750-2210 021750-2215 021750-2300 021750-2400	* COURTHOUSE SECURITY * SALARIES & WAGES REGULAR PART-TIME SALARIES FICA RETIREMENT RETIREMENT - EMPLOYEE SHARE HOSPITAL PLAN GROUF INSURANCE	17,293 1,323	17,998 1,377	12,406 949	29,843 21,814 3,952 3,367 1,493 8,328	29,883 2,286		30 241 78104 8.288 3411 1512 9084 85	30,24 78,04 8,288 3411 1512 9084 85	- Estimated at Dual coverage
021750-2600	UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE	33	40	62	55	88		150	150	
021750-2700 021750-6011 021750-8201	HORKER'S COMPENSATION UNIFORMS ERUIPMENT	966	1,179	656	970	611		108,100	1000	
	TOTAL DEPARTMENT	19,615	20,594	14,073	70,154	32,868		description of the second seco		
TOTAL - * CD	URTHOUSE SECURITY *	19,615	20,594	14,073	70,154	32,868		239,975	239,975	
TOTAL FOR FUND		19,615	20,594	14,073	70,154	32,868		-		
FINAL TOTAL		19,615	20,594	14,073	70,154	32,868		Allegade Tractical Procedure (Inc.) of the Contraction of the		