# Lecture 15

Quantitative Political Science

Prof. Bisbee

**Vanderbilt University** 

Lecture Date: 2023/10/31

Slides Updated: 2023-12-23

### Agenda

- 1. Moving from description to inference
- 2. Unbiasedness
- 3. OVB

#### Inference

- Thus far,  $\hat{y_i} = \hat{eta}_0 + \hat{eta}_1 x_i$  is a description of our data
  - $\circ$   $\hat{eta}_0$  and  $\hat{eta}_1$  are just like the empirical mean or empirical variance
- But we might want to learn about these parameters in the population
  - $\circ$  Just like we use  $ar{Y}$  to learn about  $\mu$ , we want to find estimators for  $eta_0$  and  $eta_1$
- As before, we want to find **unbiased** and **low variance** estimators

#### Unbiasedness

• If we can accept four assumptions, we can use  $\hat{\beta}_0$  and  $\hat{\beta}_1$  as unbiased estimators for the population parameters

Assumption 1. The relationship between x and y is linear in its parameters, and it is probabilistic

- ullet Relationship is not changing over values of x
- True values are defined by  $y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i + u_i$ : **error**  $u_i$  means that the relationship between y and x is never **deterministic**. In the population, there is some amount of error.
- Note that  $\hat{u}_i$  is the **residual** from our sample, whereas  $u_i$  is the inherent error. This relationship is probabilistic.

#### Unbiasedness

Assumption 2. sample of x and y is **i.i.d.** 

Assumption 3.  $VAR(X) \neq 0$ 

Assumption 4. u has an expected value of zero, no matter what value x takes on

- E(u|x)=0: "zero conditional mean". VERY strong assumption. Requires other things that predict y are **not** correlated with x.
- I.e.,  $income = \beta_0 + \beta_1 education + u$ . We know income is predicted by more than education. But in this specification, we are assuming that these other factors are uncorrelated with education.
- Equivalent to writing cov(u,x)=0. But we can't test with  $corr(\hat{u}_i,x_i)$  in the sample! This will always be true by construction based on how we calculate  $\hat{\beta}_0$  and  $\hat{\beta}_1$ !

$$ullet$$
  $\hat{eta}_1=rac{S_{xy}}{S_{xx}}=rac{\sum(x_i-ar{x})(y_i-ar{y})}{\sum(x_i-ar{x})^2}$ 

- If VAR(x)=0, this is not defined (hence Assumption 3)
- Note that we can rewrite the numerator as

$$egin{aligned} \sum (x_i-ar{x})(y_i-ar{y}) &= \sum (x_i-ar{x})y_i - \sum (x_i-ar{x})ar{y} \ &= \sum (x_i-ar{x})y_i - [\sum x_iar{y} - \sum ar{x}ar{y}] \ &= \sum (x_i-ar{x})y_i - [nar{x}ar{y} - nar{x}ar{y}] \ &= \sum (x_i-ar{x})y_i \end{aligned}$$

• So

$$egin{aligned} \hat{eta}_1 &= rac{\sum (x_i - ar{x}) y_i}{\sum (x_i - ar{x})^2} \ &= rac{\sum (x_i - ar{x}) (eta_0 + eta_1 x_i + u_i)}{SST_x} \ &= rac{eta_0 \sum (x_i - ar{x}) + eta_1 \sum (x_i - ar{x}) x_i + \sum (x_i - ar{x}) u_i)}{SST_x} \end{aligned}$$

• Note that  $\sum (x_i-ar x)=\sum x_i-\sum ar x=nar x-nar x=0$ , so the first part of the numerator drops out.

$$\hat{eta}_1 = rac{eta_1 \sum (x_i - ar{x}) x_i + \sum (x_i - ar{x}) u_i)}{SST_x}$$

Let's dig into the second and third parts in order

$$\sum (x_i - \bar{x})x_i = \sum (x_i^2 - x_i\bar{x})$$

$$= \sum x_i^2 - \bar{x} \sum (x_i)$$

$$= \sum x_i^2 - n(\bar{x})^2$$

$$= \sum x_i^2 - 2n(\bar{x})^2 + n(\bar{x})^2$$

$$= \sum x_i^2 - 2\bar{x} \sum x_i + \sum (\bar{x})^2 \text{:: since } n\bar{x} = \sum x_i \text{ and } n(\bar{x})^2 = \sum (\bar{x})^2$$

$$= \sum [x_i^2 - 2\bar{x}x_i + (\bar{x})^2]$$

$$= \sum (x_i - \bar{x})^2$$

$$= SST_x$$

- All of this allows us to write  $\hat{eta}_1=rac{eta_1SST_x+\sum(x_i-ar{x})u_i}{SST_x}$  which is the same as  $\hat{eta}_1=eta_1+rac{\sum(x_i-ar{x})u_i}{SST_x}$
- To find the bias, just take the expectation of  $\hat{eta}_1$
- A trick! Law of Iterated Expectations (LIE)
  - Expectation of a conditional expectation is just the expectation
  - $\circ E[E[X|Y]] = E[X]$
  - o Conditional expectation allows us to treat the condition as a constant
- Use to calculate the expectation of  $\hat{\beta}_1$  conditional on  $x{:}\ E[\hat{\beta}_1|x]$

$$egin{aligned} E(\hat{eta}_1) &= E[E[\hat{eta}_1|x]] \ E[\hat{eta}_1|x] &= E\left[eta_1 + rac{\sum (x_i - ar{x})u_i}{SST_x} \mid x
ight] \ &= E[eta_1|x] + E\left[rac{1}{SST_x} \sum (x_i - ar{x})u_i \mid x
ight] \ &= eta_1 + rac{1}{SST_x} E[\sum (x_i - ar{x})u_i|x] \ &= eta_1 + rac{1}{SST_x} \sum (x_i - ar{x}) E[u_i|x] \end{aligned}$$

• Assumption 4:  $E[u_i|x]=0$ , meaning  $E[\hat{eta}_1|x]=eta_1$ , meaning  $E(\hat{eta}_1)=eta_1$ , meaning unbiased!

- Recall that  $\hat{eta}_0 = ar{y} \hat{eta}_1 ar{x}$
- Note that, since  $y_i=eta_0+eta_1x_i+u_i$ ,  $ar{y}=eta_0+eta_1ar{x}+ar{u}$

$$egin{aligned} \hat{eta}_0 &= eta_0 + eta_1 ar{x} + ar{u} - \hat{eta}_1 ar{x} \ &= eta_0 + (eta_1 - \hat{eta}_1) ar{x} + ar{u} \ E(\hat{eta}_0) &= E igg[ eta_0 + (eta_1 - \hat{eta}_1) ar{x} + ar{u} igg] \ &= E(eta_0) + E igg[ (eta_1 - \hat{eta}_1) ar{x} igg] + E(ar{u}) \ &= eta_0 + igg[ E[eta_1] - E[\hat{eta}_1] igg) ar{x} + 0 \ &= eta_0 + (eta_1 - eta_1) ar{x} + 0 \ &= eta_0 \end{aligned}$$

- What if the true relationship is  $y_i = eta_0 + eta_1 x_i + eta_2 z_i + 
  u_i$ ?
- ullet We don't measure / observe / think about z, and model  $y_i=eta_0+eta_1x_i+u_i$
- In practice, we are actually pushing  $eta_2z_i$  into the error term:  $y_i+eta_0+eta_1x_i+(eta_2z_i+
  u_i)$ , meaning  $u_i=eta_2z_i+
  u_i$
- ullet We've just demonstrated that  $\hat{eta}_1=eta_1+rac{\sum(x_i-ar{x})u_i}{SST_x}$  , but now  $u_i=eta_2z_i+
  u_i$
- We can calculate the bias as before, with LIE

$$egin{aligned} \hat{eta}_1 &= eta_1 + rac{\sum (x_i - ar{x})(eta_2 z_i + 
u_i)}{SST_x} \ E(\hat{eta}_1) &= E[E(\hat{eta}_1|x)] \ &= E\Big[eta_1 + rac{\sum (x_i - ar{x})(eta_2 z_i + 
u_i)}{SST_x} \ \Big| \ x\Big] \ &= eta_1 + rac{\sum (x_i - ar{x})E[(eta_2 z_i + 
u_i)]}{SST_x} \ &= eta_1 + eta_2 \Big[z_i rac{\sum (x_i - ar{x})}{SST_x}\Big] \end{aligned}$$

• Note that  $z_i rac{\sum (x_i - \bar{x})}{SST_x} = rac{cov(x,z)}{var(x)}$  which is the slope of the coefficient had we regressed z on x!

- Bias definition:  $B(\hat{ heta}) = E(\hat{ heta}) heta$
- OVB is just a type of bias:

$$egin{aligned} B(\hat{eta}_1) &= E(\hat{eta}_1) - eta_1 \ &= eta_1 + eta_2 rac{cov(x,z)}{var(x)} - eta_1 \ &= eta_2 rac{cov(x,z)}{var(x)} \end{aligned}$$

- We can **sign** OVB with theory (this is what discussants are always doing)
  - $\circ$   $eta_2$  is theorized relationship between z and y
  - $\circ \; cov(x,z)$  is theorized relationship between z and x

- Regress support for Obama (\$y\$) on Democratic PID (\$x\$)
  - Omit African-American race (\$z\$)
- $\beta_2$ ?
- cov(x, z)?
- OVB?