The Lax Parametrix for the Half Wave Equation

Jacob Denson

April 20, 2023

In this talk, we consider a motivating example that gave rise to much of the general theory of Fourier integral operators: the study of variable-coefficient wave equations. This show a precise example of how Fourier integral operators can be used as a tool to generalize the tools of harmonic analysis we normally use to analyze constant coefficient differential operators like the wave equation, and apply them to variable coefficient analogues.

1 Euclidean Half-Wave Propogators

Let's start with a quick review. Consider a solution u(x,t) to the wave equation

$$(\partial_t^2 - \Delta)u = 0$$

on \mathbb{R}^d . We take Fourier transforms on both sides; if $\hat{u}(\xi, t)$ denotes the Fourier transform of u in the x-variable, then we conclude that

$$(\partial_t^2 + 4\pi^2 |\xi|^2) \cdot \widehat{u}(\xi, t) = 0.$$

This is an ordinary differential equation in the t variable for each fixed ξ , which we can solve, given that u(x,0) = f(x), and $\partial_t u(x,0) = g(x)$, to write

$$\widehat{u}(\xi,t) = \widehat{f}(\xi)\cos(2\pi t|\xi|) + \widehat{g}(\xi)\frac{\sin(2\pi t|\xi|)}{2\pi|\xi|}.$$

It is often natural to write this as

$$\left(\frac{\hat{f}(\xi) + \hat{g}(\xi)}{2}\right) e^{2\pi i t |\xi|} + \left(\frac{\hat{f}(\xi) - i(2\pi |\xi|)^{-1} \hat{g}(\xi)}{2}\right) e^{-2\pi i t |\xi|}.$$

If we write u = v + w, where

$$\widehat{v}(\xi,t) = \left(\frac{\widehat{f}(\xi) + \widehat{g}(\xi)}{2}\right) e^{2\pi i t |\xi|} \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{w}(\xi,t) = \left(\frac{\widehat{f}(\xi) - i |\xi|^{-1} \widehat{g}(\xi)}{2}\right) e^{-2\pi i t |\xi|},$$

then we have decomposed u into the sum of solutions to the half-wave equations

$$\left(\partial_t - i\sqrt{-\Delta}\right)v = 0$$
 and $\left(\partial_t + i\sqrt{-\Delta}\right)w = 0$.

The two operators $\partial_t - i\sqrt{-\Delta}$ and $\partial_t + i\sqrt{-\Delta}$ are identical, up to a time-reversal symmetry, so we focus on solutions to the equation $\partial_t - i\sqrt{-\Delta} = 0$. Solutions to the half-wave equation behave similarly to solutions to the wave equation, with one notable exception: the wave equation has a finite speed of propogation, whereas the half-wave equation does not.

The fact that the half-wave equation $(\partial_t - i\sqrt{-\Delta})u = 0$ is a first-order operator makes the Cauchy problem somewhat simpler to study, since we need less initial data than in the wave equation. We can therefore define operators $e^{it\sqrt{-\Delta}}$ such that

$$v(x,t) = (e^{it\sqrt{-\Delta}}v_0)(x).$$

We now briefly look at these operators, and the solution operator

$$Sf(x,t) = (e^{it\sqrt{-\Delta}}f)(x)$$

to the half-wave equation, from the perspective of FIO theory. Let's start with the operators $\{e^{it\sqrt{-\Delta}}\}$. We can write

$$e^{it\sqrt{-\Delta}}f(x) = \int e^{2\pi i(t|\xi| + \xi \cdot x)} \widehat{f}(\xi) d\xi$$
$$= \int e^{2\pi i(t|\xi| + \xi \cdot (x - y))} f(y) dy d\xi$$
$$= \int a(x, y, \xi) e^{2\pi i \phi_t(x, y, \xi)} f(y) dy d\xi,$$

where $a(x, y, \xi) = 1$, and $\phi_t(x, y, \xi) = t|\xi| + \xi \cdot (x - y)$. The function a is a symbol of order zero, and the function ϕ_t is a non-degenerate phase function with canonical relation defined by the equations

$$\left\{ x = y + t \frac{\xi}{|\xi|} \text{ and } \xi = \eta \right\},$$

which, for a fixed y, we can think of as consisting of the sphere of radius t at y, and all *outward* pointing cotangent vectors on this sphere. Thus the operators $e^{it\sqrt{-\Delta}}$ are Fourier integrals of order 0.

The solution operator S is very similar, since we have

$$Sf(x,t) = e^{it\sqrt{-\Delta}}f(x)$$

$$= \int e^{2\pi i(t|\xi| + \xi \cdot (x-y))} f(y) dy d\xi$$

$$= \int a(x,t,y,\xi)e^{2\pi i\phi(x,t,y,\xi)} f(y) dy d\xi.$$

The function $a(x,t,y,\xi)=1$ here is still a symbol of order zero, and the phase $\phi(x,t,y,\xi)=t|\xi|+\xi\cdot(x-y)$ is non-degenerate, so S is a Fourier integral operator from \mathbb{R}^d to $\mathbb{R}^d\times\mathbb{R}$, of order -1/4, with canonical relation defined by the three equations

$$\left\{x=y+t\frac{\xi}{|\xi|} \text{ and } \xi=\eta \text{ and } \tau=|\xi|\right\}.$$

In this talk, we will construct approximate solutions (parametrices) for variablecoefficient analogues of the half-wave equation using Fourier integral operators.

2 Variable-Coefficient Half-Wave Equations

Our goal is to consider variable coefficient analogues of the half-wave operator $\partial_t - 2\pi i \sqrt{-\Delta}$. A natural variable-coefficient generalization of such an operator would be

$$L = \partial_t - 2\pi i P,$$

where P is a first order $pseudodifferential\ operator$, i.e. a Fourier integral operator given by the expression

$$Pf(x) = \iint_{\mathbb{R}_y^d \times \mathbb{R}_\xi^d} P(x, \xi) e^{2\pi i \xi \cdot (x - y)} f(y) \ dy \ d\xi,$$

where P is a symbol of order zero in the ξ -variable. We are not interested in the study of the existence or uniqueness of solutions to this PDE, but the problem of regularity, e.g. the mapping properties of the solution and propogator operators in L^p norms or Sobolev spaces. We thus refer to the literature on hyperbolic equations, which states that if P is a formally positive operator¹ given by an elliptic symbol, which is classical² of order one. Under these assumptions, for any compact set K, there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that smooth solutions

$$u: \mathbb{R}^d \times [-\varepsilon, +\varepsilon] \to \mathbb{R}$$

to the half-wave equation exist, and (via energy type arguments) are the unique such solutions in $L^{\infty}_t L^2_x$ to solve the wave equation with some initial condition given by a smooth, compactly supported function on K. We are interested in finding integral expressions which give approximate expressions for u, which are sufficient to address regularity problems.

By an integral expression 'approximating' solutions to the half-wave equation, we mean finding a parametrix A for the solution operator S to the Cauchy problem Lu=0, such that A has a good integral expression (as a Fourier integral operator). A parametrix is an operator A such that R=A-S is a smoothing operator, i.e. a Schwartz operator whose kernel is a smooth function. The mapping properties of the operator S with respect to Sobolev norms then immediately reduces to the mapping properties of the operator S, because the operator S has trivial mapping properties. For example, if S is a compactly supported distribution, then S is a smooth function, and moreover, S maps any compactly supported function in one Sobolev space continuously into a function locally lying in S other Sobolev space, i.e. mapping S continuously into S in S in S is a smooth function of S in S in

¹A Schwartz operator P is formally positive if for any $f \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d), \langle Pf, f \rangle \geqslant 0$.

²A symbol of order μ is classical if we have an asymptotic expansion of symbols of the form $P \sim \sum p_{\mu-j}$, where p_k is a smooth, homogeneous function of order k.

The reason parametrices arise is that in many variable coefficient problems, it is often possible to find operators A which can be expressed in a simple manner, whereas none may exist for S. This in particular arises from the perspective of harmonic analysis, since it is often the case that we can find good approximations to solutions to partial differential equations for high frequency data, but such that these approximations tend not to work so well for low frequency data. From the perspective of parametrices, this is not a problem since low frequency data is automatically smooth, and thus does not need to be approximated as well as high frequency data, which must be approximated to an extent good enough that the approximation differs from the true solution by something smooth.

The main example of a half-wave equation to which we can apply our method are obtained by considering some non-flat Riemannian metric g, and considering the resulting equation

$$\partial_t - 2\pi i \sqrt{-\Delta_g}$$

where

$$\Delta_g f = |g|^{-1/2} \sum_i \partial_i \{ |g|^{1/2} g^{ij} \partial_j f \}$$

is the Laplace-Beltrami operator. Similar equations are obtained in quantum mechanics, Schrödinger-type equations of the form $\partial_t = iP(x, D)$, describing the behaviour of a classical mechanical system described by the *Hamiltonian* $P(x, \xi)$, i.e. the system

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = \frac{\partial P}{\partial \xi}$$
 and $\frac{d\xi}{dt} = -\frac{\partial P}{\partial x}$

at a quantum scale. However, the principal part of P will generally be homogeneous of degree two in the ξ -variable, since kinetic energy is often a quadratic form in the momentum variables. One can use the methods described here to construct approximate solutions to these equations. But the resulting operators, given by oscillatory integrals, will not have phases that are homogeneous of degree one, a necessary part of the system of Fourier integral operator theory, and so the methods here do not directly apply. Nonetheless, the physical intuition behind the Schrödinger equation will be helpful for the construction of the parametrices we construct here. Indeed, we will see that the 'semiclassical' behaviour of the Schrödinger equation at large scales is analogous to the analytical expressions we will obtain for our solutions, associated with a suitable Hamiltonian equation. Indeed, the methods we used here, first applied to the half wave equation in the 1960s, really have their root in the methods of quantum physicists of the 1920s, with their WKB method for approximating solutions to the Schrödinger equation. One could even argue that the roots of these methods emerged even earlier, in the analytical methods of geometric optics discovered by Fresnel and Airy in the 1800s.

3 High-Frequency Asymptotic Solutions

Fix $x_0 \in K$, as well as three quantities 0 < r < R, $\varepsilon > 0$, to be specified later. Our goal is to find a general family of 'high-frequency asymptotic solutions' to the half-wave equation, supported on the ball $B_R(x_0) = \{x : |x - x_0| \le R\}$, for $|t| \le \varepsilon$, given some initial conditions supported on the smaller ball $B_r(x_0)$.

Let us describe what we mean by 'high-frequency asymptotic solutions'. Fix an expression of the form

$$u_{\lambda}(x,t) = e^{2\pi i \lambda \phi(x,t)} a(x,t,\lambda),$$

where a is a classical symbol of order zero in the λ variable, defined for $|t| \leq \varepsilon$, and with $\sup_x(u_\lambda) \subset B_R(x_0)$, and where ϕ is a smooth, real-valued function, such that $\nabla_x \phi(x,t) \neq 0$ on the support of a. This latter condition is necessary to interpret u_λ as a function 'oscillating at a magnitude λ '. Indeed, if the condition is true, stationary phase shows that the Fourier transform of u_λ rapidly decays outside the annulus of frequencies $|\xi| \sim \lambda$. As $\lambda \to \infty$, the solution u_λ thus begins to oscillate more and more rapidly.

In a lemma shortly following this discussion, we will show that for any choice of a and ϕ as above, there exists a symbol b of order 1 such that

$$Lu_{\lambda}(x,t) = e^{2\pi i \lambda \phi(x,t)} b(x,t,\lambda).$$

For some choices of a and ϕ , it might be true that the higher order parts of b are eliminated, i.e. so that b is of order much smaller than 1. If a and ϕ are chosen in a very particular way, it might be true that all finite order parts of b are eliminated, so that b is a symbol of order $-\infty$. In such a situation, we say $\{u_{\lambda}\}$ is a 'high-frequency asymptotic solution' to the wave equation. If this is the case, then

$$|\partial_x^{\alpha} \partial_t^{\beta} \{Lu_{\lambda}\}| \lesssim_{\alpha,\beta,N} \lambda^{-N}$$
 for all $N > 0$,

which justifies that u_{λ} behaves like a solution to the half-wave equation as $\lambda \to \infty$. We will prove the following 'Cauchy-type' initial value problem for high-frequency asymptotic solutions to the equation, given that our phase satisfies an *eikonal equation*.

Theorem 1. Fix (x_0, ξ_0) , and suppose φ is a smooth-real valued function on $B_R(x_0)$, solving the eikonal equation

$$p(x, \nabla_x \varphi(x)) = p(x_0, \xi_0),$$

where p is the principal symbol of P, such that $(\nabla_x \varphi)(x) = \xi_0$. Set $\phi(x,t) = \varphi(x) + t \cdot p(x_0, \xi_0)$. Then there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ and r > 0 such that any classical symbol $a(x,0,\lambda)$ of order zero, supported on $|x-x_0| \le r$, extends to a unique classical symbol $a(x,t,\lambda)$ of order zero, supported on $|x-x_0| \le R$ and defined for $|t| \le \varepsilon$, such that the associated family of functions

$$u_{\lambda}(x,t) = e^{2\pi i \lambda \phi(x,t)} a(x,t,\lambda)$$

are high-frequency asymptotic solutions to the half-wave equation.

In order to prove this result, we need to obtain some formulas that tell us what the symbol b looks like, whose existence was postulated above, in terms of the phase ϕ , the operator P, and the symbol a. In order to prove the theorem above, we'll construct a recursively by slowly fixing the contributions of the higher order parts of a. One then studies the lower order terms separately, so it is wise to make a study of the functions

$$u_{\lambda}(x,t) = e^{2\pi i \lambda \phi(x,t)} a(x,t,\lambda),$$

where a is a classical symbol of some arbitrary order μ , rather than just a symbol of order zero. This is done in the following Lemma, whose proof is a somewhat technical application of stationary phase, and can be relegated to a second reading of these notes.

Lemma 2. Write $P(x,\xi) \sim p(x,\xi) + p_0(x,\xi) + p_-(x,\xi)$, where p is the principal symbol, homogeneous of order one, p_0 is homogeneous of order zero, and p_- is a symbol of order -1. Consider

$$u_{\lambda}(x,t) = e^{2\pi i \lambda \phi(x,t)} a(x,t,\lambda),$$

where a and ϕ are as above, i.e. a is a symbol of order μ . Then $e^{-2\pi i\lambda\phi(x)}Lu_{\lambda}$ is a classical symbol of order $\mu+1$, with principal symbol

$$2\pi i \lambda \Big(\partial_t \phi - p(x, \nabla_x \phi)\Big) a_\mu,$$

and with order μ part given by

$$2\pi i \lambda \Big(\partial_t \phi - p(x, \nabla_x \phi) \Big) a_{\mu-1}$$

+ $\partial_t a_{\mu} - (\nabla_{\xi} p)(x, \nabla_x \phi) \cdot (\nabla_x a_{\mu}) - is \cdot a_{\mu},$

for a smooth, real-valued function s depending only on ϕ , p_1 , and p_0 .

Remark. The result of this lemma shows why we must choose φ to satisfy the eikonal equation, i.e. so that the principal symbol of $e^{-2\pi i\lambda\phi(x)}Lu_{\lambda}$ vanishes.

Proof. Let us temporarily write terms without the t variable, since P is a pseudodifferential operator only in the x variables, and so the t variable won't come into effect in the argument. We write

$$P\{u_{\lambda}\}(x) = \int P(x,\xi)a(y,\lambda)e^{2\pi i[\xi\cdot(x-y)+\lambda\phi(y)]} dy d\xi.$$

This integral has a unique, non-degenerate stationary point when y = x, and when $\xi = \lambda \nabla_x \phi(x)$. Fix C > 0, and suppose

$$(1/C) \leq |\nabla_x \phi(x)| \leq C$$

for all x on the support of a. Consider a smooth function χ such that

$$\chi(v) = 1$$
 for $1/2C \le |v| \le 2C$,

and vanishing outside a neighborhood of this set. Write

$$\phi(y) - \phi(x) = \nabla_x \phi(x) \cdot (y - x) + \phi_R(x, y).$$

Write

$$P_{\lambda}(x,\xi) = \chi(\xi/\lambda)P(x,\xi).$$

The theory of non-stationary phase, i.e. integrating by parts sufficiently many times, can be used to show that

$$\begin{split} e^{-2\pi i\lambda\phi(x)}(P-P_{\lambda})\{u_{\lambda}\}(x,\lambda) \\ &= \lambda^{d} \int a(y,\lambda)(P-P_{\lambda})(x,\lambda\xi)e^{2\pi i\lambda[\xi\cdot(x-y)+\phi_{R}(x,y)]} \; dy \; d\xi \end{split}$$

is a symbol of order $-\infty$ in the λ variable. Thus it suffices to analyze the quantities

$$\begin{split} e^{-2\pi i\lambda\phi(x)}P_{\lambda}u_{\lambda}(x) &= \int P_{\lambda}(x,\xi)e^{2\pi i[(\xi-\lambda\nabla_{x}\phi(x))\cdot(x-y)+\lambda\phi_{R}(x,y)]}a(y,\lambda)\;dy\;d\xi\\ &= \int P_{\lambda}(x,\lambda\nabla_{x}\phi(x)+\xi)e^{2\pi i[\xi\cdot(x-y)+\lambda\phi_{R}(x,y)]}a(y,\lambda)\;dy\;d\xi. \end{split}$$

Using a Taylor expansion, we can write

$$P_{\lambda}(x, \lambda \nabla_{x} \phi(x) + \xi) = \sum_{|\alpha| < N} (\partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} P)(x, \lambda \nabla_{x} \phi(x)) \cdot \xi^{\alpha} + R_{N}(x, \xi, \lambda),$$

where R_N vanishes of order N as $\xi \to 0$. Using the remainder formula for the Taylor expansion, and the support properties of P_{λ} , for all multi-indices α we have

$$|(\partial_{\xi}^{\alpha}R_N)(x,\xi,\lambda)| \lesssim_{\alpha} \lambda^{1-|\alpha|},$$

where the implicit constant is uniform in ξ and λ , and locally uniform in x. But then the stationary phase formula tells us that

$$\int R_N(x,\xi,\lambda)e^{2\pi i[\xi\cdot(x-y)+\lambda\phi_R(x,y)]}a(y,\lambda)\ dy\ d\xi$$

is a symbol of order $\mu + 1 - \lceil N/2 \rceil$ in the λ variable. Conversely, if we let

$$a_R(x, y, \lambda) = e^{2\pi i \lambda \phi_R(x, y)} a(y, \lambda),$$

we calculate that

$$\int (\partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} P)(x, \lambda \nabla_{x} \phi(x)) \cdot \xi^{\alpha} e^{2\pi i [\xi \cdot (x-y) + \lambda \phi_{R}(x,y)]} a(y, \lambda) \, dy \, d\xi$$
$$= (\partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} P)(x, \lambda \nabla_{x} \phi(x)) (D_{y}^{\alpha} a_{R})(x, x, \lambda).$$

Thus we conclude that, modulo order $\mu + 1 - \lceil N/2 \rceil$ symbols in the λ variable,

$$e^{-2\pi i\lambda\phi(x)}Pu_{\lambda}(x) = \sum_{|\alpha| < N} (\partial_{\xi}^{\alpha}P)(x,\lambda\nabla_{x}\phi(x))(D_{y}^{\alpha}a_{R})(x,x,\lambda).$$

The formula is very simple for N=1, which allows us to work modulo symbols of order μ , but we must work with N=3, which is slightly more complicated, because we wish to work modulo symbols of order $\mu-1$. For $|\alpha| \le 1$, we have

$$(D_u^{\alpha} a_R)(x, x, \lambda) = (D_x^{\alpha} a)(x, \lambda).$$

For $|\alpha| = 2$, we have

$$(D_y^{\alpha} a_R)(x, x, \lambda) = \left((2\pi i \lambda)(D_x^{\alpha} \phi)(x) \right) a(x, \lambda) + (D_x^{\alpha} a)(x, \lambda)$$
$$= \lambda(\partial_x^{\alpha} \phi)(x) a(x, \lambda) + (D_x^{\alpha} a)(x, \lambda).$$

Thus summing up all the terms, modulo symbols of order $\mu - 1$, we find

$$e^{-2\pi i\lambda\phi(x)}Pu_{\lambda}(x) = \left(\sum_{|\alpha|\leq 1} (\partial_{\xi}^{\alpha}P)(x,\lambda\nabla_{x}\phi(x))(D_{x}^{\alpha}a)(x,\lambda)\right) + \sum_{|\alpha|=2} \lambda(\partial_{x}^{\alpha}\phi)(x)(\partial_{\xi}^{\alpha}P)(x,\lambda\nabla_{x}\phi(x))a(x,\lambda).$$

The order $\mu + 1$ part of this sum is

$$p(x, \lambda \nabla_x \phi(x)) \cdot a_\mu(x, \lambda).$$

The order μ part is

$$\left(p_0(x, \nabla_x \phi(x)) + \sum_{|\alpha|=2} (\partial_x^{\alpha} \phi)(x)(\partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} p)(x, \nabla_x \phi(x))\right) \cdot a_{\mu}(x, \lambda)
+ (\nabla_{\xi} p)(x, \lambda \nabla_x \phi(x)) \cdot (D_x a_{\mu})(x, \lambda)
+ p(x, \lambda \nabla_x \phi(x)) \cdot a_{\mu-1}(x, \lambda).$$

Putting these formulas together with the terms corresponding to $\partial_t \{Lu_{\lambda}\}$, calculated simply using the product rule, and then splitting apart the homogeneous parts of the sum of various orders, we obtain the required result.

We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 1. Notice that because the phase ϕ is chosen according to the assumptions of Theorem 1, i.e. in terms of a solution to the eikonal equation, regardless of the symbol a, the order 1 part of b vanishes, because

$$\partial_t \phi = p(x_0, \xi_0) = p(x, \nabla_x \phi).$$

Let us see what conditions are required in order to conclude that b is a symbol of order -1. Looking at the terms guaranteed by Lemma 1, we see that we must have

$$\partial_t a_0 - (\nabla_{\varepsilon} p)(x, \nabla_x \phi) \cdot (\nabla_x a_0) - is \cdot a_0 = 0.$$

We note that the contribution to the order μ part of the symbol depending on the symbol a_{-1} implied in Lemma 1 disappears because ϕ was chosen via the eikonal equation. If we consider the *real* vector field

$$X(x,t) = \partial_t - (\nabla_{\varepsilon} p)(x, \nabla_x \phi) \cdot \nabla_x,$$

defined on $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}$, then the equation above becomes

$$X\{a_0\} = isa_0.$$

This is a transport equation, which can be solved using the methods of characteristics. In particular, there exists v > 0 such that the speed of propogation of the transport equation is bounded by v for $|x - x_0| \le R$. This quantity depends only on properties of X, and thus the principal symbol p. Thus for any $\varepsilon > 0$, if we choose $R > r + v\varepsilon$, then the solution to the transport equation exists, is uniquely determined, and is supported on

$$\Sigma(x_0, r, v) = \{(x, t) : |x - x_0| \le r + v|t|\}.$$

for $|t| \leq \varepsilon$, provided that the initial values of a_{μ} are fixed, and compactly supported on $|x - x_0| \leq r$.

We have shown the existence of and uniqueness of a_0 in order for b to be a symbol of order -1. We will obtain the existence and uniqueness of the remaining parts of the symbol a be a recursive procedure, i.e. showing by induction that for all $n \ge 0$, there exists a unique choice of a_{-n} given initial conditions such that b is a symbol of order -n-1. To prove this, we consider the additional inductive hypothesis that a_0, \ldots, a_{1-n} are supported on $\Sigma(x_0, r, v)$. The hypotheses hold for n = 0. Assuming the hypothesis for n = k - 1. Define

$$u_{\lambda,k} = e^{2\pi i \lambda \phi(x,t)} \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} a_{-j} \right\},\,$$

By our inductive hypothesis, the function

$$e^{-2\pi i\lambda\phi(x,t)}L\{u_{\lambda k}\}$$

is a symbol of order -k. Let us denote the principal part of this symbol by c_k . Lemma 1 now applies to the quantity

$$e^{-2\pi i\lambda\phi(x,t)}L\left\{\sum_{j=k}^{\infty}a_{-j}\right\}$$

with $\mu = -k$. We conclude that the quantity is a symbol of order 1 - k. The choice of ϕ implies the principal symbol of order 1 - k actually vanishes for $|x - x_0| \leq R$. The order -k part is given by

$$\partial_t a_{-k} - (\nabla_{\varepsilon} p)(x, \nabla_x \phi) \cdot (\nabla_x a_{-k}) - isa_{-k}$$

But this means the order -k part of b vanishes provided that

$$\partial_t a_{-k} - (\nabla_{\varepsilon} p)(x, \nabla_x \phi) \cdot (\nabla_x a_{-k}) - isa_{-k} + c_k = 0.$$

We can write this as

$$X\{a_{-k}\} - isa_{-k} + c_k = 0.$$

This is the same transport equation as we dealt with in the case n=0, except the equation is now non-homogeneous. This causes us no worry because c_k is, by the inductive hypothesis, supported on $\Sigma(x_0,r,v)$, and so the finite speed of propogation guarantees that a_{-k} is uniquely determined for $|t| \le \varepsilon$ provided it's initial conditions are supported on $|x-x_0| \le r$, and moreover, that this unique solution is supported on $\Sigma(x_0,r,v)$. We have thus verifies the inductive hypothesis for n=k, completing the inductive argument. But this is sufficient to justify the result of the Theorem.

4 Construction of the Parametrix

By finding asymptotic solutions to the half-wave equation in the generality above, we've essentially gotten the idea of constructing the parametrix to the half-wave equation – the idea now is to take a general input, break it up into the superposition of wave packets that are localized in space and frequency, and then apply the asymptotic solution constructed above for each of these wave packets, which behaves better as these wave packets are localized to higher and higher frequencies.

It's best to break down our solution into a *continuous* superposition of wave packets rather than the usual discrete decomposition that comes up in decoupling theory. Let's review a simple approach, due to Gabor, which won't quite work for our purposes, but gives us intuition for how the continuous superposition comes about. Consider the *Gabor transform*

$$Gf(x_0, \xi_0) = \int f(x)\eta(x - x_0)e^{-2\pi ix \cdot \xi_0} dx,$$

where η is some fixed, non-negative, function η supported on the set $\{|x| \leq r\}$, and with

$$\int \eta(x)^2 dx = 1.$$

This is a unitary transformation, with adjoint given by

$$(G^*h)(x) = \iint h(x_0, \xi_0) \eta(x - x_0) e^{2\pi i x \cdot \xi_0} dx_0 d\xi_0,$$

so we obtain a 'localized Fourier inversion formula'

$$f(x) = \int Gf(x_0, \xi_0) \eta(x - x_0) e^{2\pi i x \cdot \xi_0} dy d\xi d\lambda,$$

which expresses f as a superposition of the wave packets

$$x \mapsto \eta(x - x_0)e^{2\pi i x \cdot \xi_0}$$
.

This approach doesn't quite work for our purposes, since our choice of asymptotic solutions to the half-wave equation leads us to try and decompose a given initial condition into wave packets of the form

$$x \mapsto s(x, x_0, \xi_0) e^{2\pi i \varphi(x, x_0, \xi_0)}.$$

for some function s, where φ satisfies the eikonal equation as in Theorem 1. The fact that we can always choose a solution to the half-wave equation satisfying $\varphi(x, x_0, \xi_0) \approx (x - x_0) \cdot \xi_0$ intuitively shows that this family of wave packets is enough to represent all frequencies, and that a similar approach should work as for the Gabor transform.

The trick here is to consider an inversion formula of the form

$$f(x) = \iiint s(x, x_0, \xi_0) e^{2\pi i \varphi(x, x_0, \xi_0)} f(x_0) \ dx_0 \ d\xi_0,$$

which, if held, would imply we could decompose an arbitrary function f into wave packets. We claim that we can use the *equivalence of phase theorem* to find a symbol a of order zero such that this inversion formula holds. Indeed, suppose we can choose φ to solve the eikonal equation, subject to the constraint that $\varphi(x, x_0, \xi_0) \approx (x - x_0) \cdot \xi_0$, in the sense that for $|x - x_0| \leq R$,

$$(\nabla_{\xi_0}\varphi)(x, x_0, \xi_0) = 0$$
 if and only if $x = x_0$,

$$(\nabla_x \varphi)(x_0, x_0, \xi_0) = \xi_0$$
 and $(\nabla_{x_0} \varphi)(x_0, x_0, \xi_0) = -\xi_0$.

These three assumptions imply precisely that the phase on the right hand side is non-degenerate, and is associated with the Lagrangian distribution

$$\Delta = \left\{ x = x_0 \text{ and } \xi = \xi_0 \right\}.$$

This is also the Lagrangian distribution associated with the phase function $(x, x_0, \xi_0) \mapsto (x - x_0) \cdot \xi_0$, and we can write

$$f(x) = \int e^{2\pi i(x-x_0)\cdot\xi_0} f(x_0) \ dx_0 \ d\xi_0.$$

The equivalence of phase function theorem thus implies that there exists a symbol s of order zero³ such that

$$f(x) = \int e^{2\pi i(x-x_0)\cdot\xi_0} f(x_0) dx_0 d\xi_0 = \int s(x,x_0,\xi_0) e^{2\pi i\varphi(x,x_0,\xi_0)} f(x_0) dx_0 d\xi_0.$$

³As a remark, if one looks more carefully at the proof of the equivalence of phase theorem, we see that the principal symbol of s is the constant function $(x, x_0, \xi_0) \mapsto 1$.

The equivalence of phase function theorem does not guarantee that

$$\sup_{(x,x_0)} s \subset \{(x,x_0) : |x-x_0| \lesssim r\},\$$

but we can always replace s by the function $(x, x_0, \xi_0) \mapsto \eta(x - x_0)s(x, x_0, \xi_0)$, where η is equal to one in a neighborhood of the origin, and is supported on $|x| \leq r$. The cost of doing this, however, is that the equation

$$f(x) = \int s(x, x_0, \xi_0) e^{2\pi i \varphi(x, x_0, \xi_0)} f(x_0) dx_0 d\xi_0$$

will now only hold *modulo a smoothing operator*, which (since we're constructing a parametrix), causes us no issues.

The rest of the construction is rather easy. If we set

$$a(x, x_0, \xi_0, \lambda) = s(x, x_0, \lambda \xi_0),$$

then a is a symbol of order zero in the λ variable. Theorem 1 allows us to find asymptotic solutions

$$a(x,t,x_0,\xi_0,\lambda)$$

to the wave equation as $\lambda \to \infty$. Our parametrix A is now defined by setting

$$Af(x,t) = \int a\left(x,t,x_0,\frac{\xi_0}{|\xi_0|},|\xi_0|\right) e^{2\pi i\phi(x,t,x_0,\xi_0)} f(x_0) \ dx_0 \ d\xi.$$

The inversion formula we constructed in the previous paragraph implies that $A_0 - I$ is a smoothing operator, where $A_0 f(x) = A f(x,0)$. And the fact that a gives high-frequency asymptotic solutions to the half-wave equation for each fixed x_0 and ξ_0 implies that the kernel of $L \circ A$ can be written as

$$\int b\left(x, t, x_0, \frac{\xi_0}{|\xi_0|}, |\xi_0|\right) e^{2\pi i \phi(x, t, x_0, \xi_0)} d\xi_0,$$

where b is a symbol of order $-\infty$ in $|\xi_0|$. But this is sufficient to conclude that $L \circ A$ is a smoothing operator.

These facts immediately justify that A is a parametrix for the solution operator S to the half-wave equation. Indeed, if we let $L \circ A$ have smooth kernel K, and we let $A_0 - I$ have smooth kernel K', then Duhamel's principle implies a kernel relation of the form

$$A(x,t,y) = S(x,t,y) - K'(x,t,y) + \int_0^t (e^{isP}K)(x,s,y) \ ds.$$

It is simple to see that the integral on the right hand side is a smooth function of x, y, and t for $|t| \le \varepsilon$. Thus we see that A - S is smoothing, and so A is a parametrix. Fantastic!

5 Hamilton-Jacobi Theory

We have constructed a parametrix of the form

$$Af(x,t) = \int a(x,t,x_0,\xi_0)e^{2\pi i\phi(x,t,x_0,\xi_0)}f(x_0) d\xi_0.$$

where a is a symbol of order zero, and ϕ is a non-degenerate phase function. Thus A is a Fourier integral operator of order -1/4. But what is it's canonical relation? To answer this, we must return back to one of our assumptions at the beginning of these notes, namely, that we can choose a function φ which satisfies the eikonal equation

$$p(x_0, \xi_0) = p(x, \nabla_x \varphi(x)).$$

The Hamilton-Jacobi theory used to prove the existence and uniqueness of solutions to this equation will give us more information about the behaviour of the phase φ , which will in turn allow us to find the canonical relation of A. Since A is a parametrix for the solution operator S, the wavefront set of S is equal to the wavefront set of A, so this will tell us where the singularities of the solutions to the half-wave equation concentrate.

So let's describe the story of Hamilton-Jacobi theory used to construct solutions to the Eikonal equation. We begin with the introduction of the Hamiltonian vector field

$$H = \sum_{j} \frac{\partial p}{\partial \xi_{j}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}} - \frac{\partial p}{\partial x_{j}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_{j}}$$

on $T^*\mathbb{R}^d$. We will call the integral curves of this Hamiltonian vector field the bicharacteristics of p.

For a given x_0 and ξ_0 , let $\lambda = p(x_0, \xi_0)$. Then $\lambda \neq 0$, and the ellipticity of p implies that the set

$$\Sigma_{\lambda} = \{(x, \xi) : p(x, \xi) = \lambda\}$$

is a hypersurface of dimension 2d-1. The eikonal equation we must solve states precisely that

$$(x, \nabla_x \varphi(x)) \in \Sigma_\lambda$$

for $|x-x_0| \leq R$, with $\nabla_x \varphi(x_0) = \xi_0$. This is an underdetermined equation, i.e. for each x, there is a d-1 dimensional family of choices of $\nabla_x \varphi(x)$ such that this equation is true, i.e. the elements of the surface $\Sigma_\lambda \cap T_x^* \mathbb{R}^d$. However, if we perscribe that $\varphi(x) = 0$ whenever $(x-x_0) \cdot \xi_0 = 0$, i.e. x lies on the hyperplane $x_0 + V$, where

$$V = V_{\xi_0} = \{x : x \cdot \xi_0 = 0\}.$$

By specifying φ on $x_0 + V$, we should fix the issue that the problem is underdetermined, and we would should therefore expect to get a unique solution to the equation.

If we can prove such a solution is unique, we can then define a function $\varphi(x, x_0, \xi_0)$ consisting of all the solutions to the equation. By uniqueness, φ is homogeneous in the ξ_0 variable, i.e.

$$\varphi(x, x_0, \lambda \xi_0) = \lambda \varphi(x, x_0, \xi_0),$$

since the right hand side solves the eikonal equation by the homogeneity of p. This implies by Euler's homogeneity relation that

$$\varphi(x, x_0, \xi_0) = \xi_0 \cdot (\nabla_{\xi_0} \varphi)(x, x_0, \xi_0).$$

This implies that $\nabla_{\xi_0}\varphi(x,x_0,\xi_0)$ can only vanish if $\varphi(x,x_0,\xi_0)$, i.e. if $x \in x_0 + V_{\xi_0}$. Since $\varphi(x_0,x_0,\xi) = 0$ for all ξ , we do have $\nabla_{\xi_0}\varphi(x_0,x_0,\xi_0) = 0$, but this is the only such value, since if $0 < |x - x_0| \le R$,

$$|\varphi(x, x_0, \xi_0 + \delta(x - x_0))| \gtrsim_{\xi_0} \delta|x - x_0|,$$

and so $|\nabla_{\xi_0} \varphi(x, x_0, \xi_0)| \gtrsim_{\xi_0} |x - x_0|$.

To prove that φ is unique, we have to do some geometry. Recall the Poisson bracket

$$\{f,g\} = \sum \frac{\partial f}{\partial \xi_i} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial g}{\partial \xi_i} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} = \omega \left(df, dg\right),\,$$

where ω is the Lagrangian 2-form defined on $T^*(\mathbb{R}^d_x \times \mathbb{R}^d_\xi)$. We note that the Hamiltonian vector field H defined above was defined precisely so that for any smooth function f,

$$Hf = \{p, f\},$$

where Hf denotes differentiation in the direction of the Hamiltonian vector field. The fact that ω is antisymmetric implies that $Hp = \{p, p\} = 0$, which means precisely that p is constant on its bicharacteristics. This implies that Σ_{λ} is a coisotropic submanifold of $T^*\mathbb{R}^d$, i.e. $(T_{(x,\xi)}\Sigma_{\lambda})^{\perp} \subset T_{(x,\xi)}\Sigma_{\lambda}$ for each $(x,\xi) \in \Sigma_{\lambda}$, where the annihilator \bot is defined with respect to the Lagrangian form.

The assumption that

$$\varphi(x) = 0$$
 for all $x \in x_0 + V$

implies that for all $x \in x_0 + V$, $\nabla_x \varphi(x)$ is a multiple of ξ_0 . But if φ satisfies the eikonal equation, we conclude that we must actually have

$$\nabla_x \varphi(x) = \frac{p(x_0, \xi_0)}{p(x, \xi_0)} \xi_0 \quad \text{for all } x \in x_0 + V.$$

Consider the d-1 dimensional surface

$$\Pi = \left\{ \left(x, \frac{p(x_0, \xi_0)}{p(x, \xi_0)} \xi_0 \right) : x \in x_0 + V \right\}$$

We note that Π is an *isotropic* manifold, because the tangent space to Π at each point is a subspace of $V \oplus \mathbb{R} \xi_0$, a Lagrangian subspace of $\mathbb{R}^d_x \times \mathbb{R}^d_\xi$.

Now at (x_0, ξ_0) , the Hamiltonian vector field moves in the x-plane in the direction of the vector $\nabla_{\xi} p$. By Euler's homogeneous function theorem,

$$\xi_0 \cdot (\nabla_{\xi} p)(x_0, \xi_0) = p(x_0, \xi_0) \neq 0,$$

which tells us the Hamiltonian vector field moves transverse to Π in a neighborhood of x_0 . But that means that the union of all the bicharacteristics that pass through Π is a d dimensional manifold Λ . It is actually a Lagrangian manifold contained in Σ_{λ} . Moreover, we see that Λ is a Lagrangian section, i.e. the projection map $\Lambda \to \mathbb{R}^d_x$ is a submersion. The theory of Lagrangian sections implies the existence of R > 0, and a unique real-valued function φ defined for $|x - x_0| \leq R$, such that $\varphi(x_0) = 0$, $\nabla \varphi(x_0) = \xi_0$, and such that $(x, \nabla \varphi(x)) \in \Lambda$ for $|x - x_0| \leq R$. And the fact that $\nabla_x \varphi(x)$ is a multiple of ξ_0 as we move along $x_0 + V$ implies from this that φ vanishes on $x_0 + V$. Thus we've proved the existence of a solution to the eikonal equation.

How about uniqueness? If φ is any solution satisfying the required initial conditions, then the section $\tilde{\Lambda} = \{(x, \nabla_x \varphi(x))\}$ is a Lagrangian submanifold of $T^*\mathbb{R}^d$, contained in Σ , and containing Π . But Λ is the unique such Lagrangian submanifold of $T^*\mathbb{R}^d$, since any coisotropic manifold has a unique foliation into Lagrangian submanifolds. Thus $\Lambda = \tilde{\Lambda}$, and the uniqueness of the parameterization of Lagrangian sections implies that φ is the phase function constructed in the last paragraph.

Notice how this geometry gives us a useful characterization of φ . To calculate $\nabla_x \varphi(x)$, it suffices to find the unique point on Λ that lies above x, and the projection onto the ξ -variable will give the gradient. We will now use this property to characterize the canonical relation of the parametrix A.

Theorem 3. Let $\{\Phi_t\}$ denote the phase flow corresponding to the Hamiltonian vector field H. Then the canonical relation of the parametrix A is equal to

$$C = \{(x, \xi, t, \tau, x_0, \xi_0) : (x, \xi) = \Phi_{-t}(x_0, \xi_0) \text{ and } \tau = \lambda \},$$

where $\lambda = p(x_0, \xi_0)$.

Proof. The set \mathcal{C} is a 2d dimensional submanifold of $T^*(\mathbb{R}^d_x \times \mathbb{R}^d_{x_0})$. Thus it suffices to show that \mathcal{C} is contained in the canonical relation A. So fix $(x, \xi, t, \tau, x_0, \xi_0) \in \mathcal{C}$. Recall that

$$\phi(x, t, x_0, \xi_0) = \varphi(x, x_0, \xi_0) + tp(x_0, \xi_0).$$

Thus we immediately see that

$$\nabla_t \phi(x, t, x_0, \xi_0) = p(x_0, \xi_0) = \lambda. \tag{1}$$

Let Λ , Π , and Σ_{λ} be the submanifolds of $T^*\mathbb{R}^d_x$ we have discussed earlier in the section. Then $(x, \nabla_x \varphi(x, x_0, \xi_0)) \in \Lambda$, and $(x, \xi) \in \Lambda$ because the equation $(x, \xi) = \Phi_{-t}(x_0, \xi_0)$ implies it lies on the bicharacteristic of p passing through (x_0, ξ_0) . Because Λ is a section, we conclude that

$$\nabla_x \phi(x, t, x_0, \xi_0) = \xi. \tag{2}$$

We note that if $x_1 \in x_0 + V$, then the uniqueness of solutions to the eikonal equation implies that

$$\varphi(x, x_1, \xi_0) = \frac{p(x_1, \xi_0)}{p(x_0, \xi_0)} \cdot \varphi(x, x_0, \xi_0).$$

TODO: Prove $\nabla_{x_0} \phi$ properties.

$$\nabla_{x_0} \phi(x, t, x_0, \xi_0) = -\xi_0. \tag{3}$$

Finally, we come to show that $\nabla_{\xi_0} \phi(x, t, x_0, \xi_0) = 0$. Set $(x(t), \xi(t)) = \Phi_t(x_0, \xi_0)$. Then $x(0) = x_0$, and

$$\nabla_{\xi_0} \phi(x_0, t, x_0, \xi_0) = \nabla_{\xi_0} \varphi(x_0, x_0, \xi_0) = 0.$$

Let

$$F(t) = \nabla_{\xi_0} \phi(x(t), t, x_0, \xi_0).$$

Then F(0) = 0, and the chain rule implies that

$$F'_{j}(t) = \sum_{k} \left[\frac{\partial^{2} \varphi}{\partial x_{k} \partial \xi_{0}^{j}}(x(t), t, x_{0}, \xi_{0}) \frac{dx_{k}(t)}{dt} \right] + \frac{\partial p}{\partial \xi_{j}}(x_{0}, \xi_{0}).$$

But

$$\frac{dx_k(t)}{dt} = -\frac{\partial p}{\partial \xi_k}(x(t), \xi(t)).$$

Since

$$p(x, \nabla_x \varphi(x(t), x_0, \xi_0)) = p(x_0, \xi_0),$$

taking derivatives on both sides in ξ_0 implies that for each j,

$$\sum_{k} \frac{\partial p}{\partial \xi_k}(x(t), x_0, \xi_0) \frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial \xi_0^j \partial x_k}(x(t), x_0, \xi_0) = \frac{\partial p}{\partial \xi_j}(x_0, \xi_0).$$

Substituting this into the equation for F'_j , together with the value of dx(t)/dt, we conclude that $F'_j(t) = 0$. But this implies that F(t) = 0 for all t, and so in particular, for $(x, \xi, t, \tau, x_0, \xi_0) \in \mathcal{C}$,

$$\nabla_{\xi_0} \phi(x, t, x_0, \xi_0) = 0. \tag{4}$$

But combinining (1), (2), (3), and (4) implies that C is contained in the canonical relation, as was required to be shown.

Let us consider a particular example, i.e. the Laplace-Beltrami operator

$$P = \sqrt{-\Delta_g}$$

introduced in Section 2. The principal symbol of this equation is given by $p(x,\xi) = |\xi|_g$, the length of the covector ξ with respect to the Riemannian metrix g. If we plug this principal symbol into the Hamilton-Jacobi theory above, we see that the bicharacteristics of the Hamiltonian vector field H are precisely the integral curves of the geodesic flow in $T^* \mathbb{R}^d$. Thus we conclude that the wavefront set of the parametrix for the half-wave operator is precisely the 'geodesic light cone'

$$\{(x,\xi,t,\tau,x_0,\xi_0): (x,\xi) = \exp_{x_0}(-t\xi_0) \text{ and } \tau = |\xi_0|_g\},\$$

where $\exp_{x_0}: T_{x_0}^* \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$ denotes the geodesic map for cotangent inputs. Notice also that in this circumstance, the real-vector field X for which we solve the transport equation is given by

$$X = \partial_t - v(x, x_0, \xi_0) \cdot \nabla_x,$$

where $v(x, x_0, \xi_0)$ is the tangent vector dual to ξ , i.e. the tangent vector to the geodesic in the direction ξ_0 starting at some point on the hyperplane through x_0 orthogonal to ξ_0 . We thus see from this equation that the microsupport of the symbol $a(x, t, x_0, \xi_0)$ lies on a O(r) neighborhood of $\exp_{x_0}(-t_0\xi_0)$.

Let's return to the sources of inspiration for the parametrix construction. In the study of quantum physics, scientists were lead to the study of the equation

$$\partial_t = iP(x, D),$$

where P described the motion of a classical system. It is a heuristic in quantum physics that $high\ energy$ wave packets behave like their classical counterpart (for what is classical physics but the behaviour of objects at a scale much larger than the quantum realm, such that any significant motion carries with it an absurdly high amount of energy from the quantum perspective). The canonical relation we specified above gives a mathematical precise formulation of this type of heuristic; for high frequency data, the solution to the half-wave equation propagtes along the Hamiltonian vector fields corresponding to the principal symbol of the symbol P.

References