



Subject Areas:

paleontology, ecology, body size,
migration, nursery

Keywords:

sand tiger, metapopulation, Eocene,
Gulf of Mexico, Arctic, Antarctic,
Delaware Bay, latitudinal gradient,
body size

Author for correspondence:

Sora Kim

e-mail: skim380@ucmerced.edu

Decoding the dynamics of dental distributions: insights from shark demography and dispersal

Sora L. Kim^{1,2,*}, Justin D. Yeakel^{1,*},

Meghan A. Balk³, Jaelyn J. Eberle⁴, Sarah
Zeichner^{2,5}, Dina Fieman⁶, Jürgen Kriwet⁷

¹School of Natural Science, University of California
Merced, ²Department of Geophysical Sciences, University of
Chicago, ³National Ecological Observatory Network, ⁴Department
of Geological Sciences and Museum of Natural History, University
of Colorado, ⁵Division of Geological and Planetary Sciences,
California Institute of Technology, ⁶School of Geography,
Environment, and Earth Sciences, Victoria University of Wellington,
⁷Department of Paleontology, University of Vienna *Contributed
equally

Shark teeth are the most abundant vertebrate fossil, and because tooth size generally correlates with body size, their accumulations document the size structure of populations. Understanding how ecological and environmental processes influence size structure, and how this extends to influence these dental distributions, may offer a window into the ecological and environmental dynamics of past and present shark populations. Here we examine the dental distributions of sand tigers, including extant *Carcharias taurus* and extinct *Striatolamia macrora*, to reconstruct the size structure for a contemporary locality and four Eocene localities. We compare empirical distributions against expectations from a population simulation to gain insight into potential governing ecological processes. Specifically, we investigate the influence of dispersal flexibility to and from protected nurseries. We show that changing the flexibility of initial dispersal of juveniles from the nursery and annual migration of adults to the nursery explains a large amount of dental distribution variability. Our framework predicts dispersal strategies of an extant sand tiger population, and supports nurseries as important components of sand tiger life history in both extant and Eocene populations. These results suggest nursery protection may be vital for shark conservation with increasing anthropogenic impacts and climate change.

1. Introduction

Sharks have been a cornerstone of oceanic communities for hundreds of millions of years, a rare constant in a sea of change. The enormous spatial and temporal dominance of shark species suggests considerable ecological plasticity, which has likely contributed to their evolutionary success and may be key to understanding the ongoing and future effects of climate change on this diverse group [1]. Documenting the success of sharks as marine predators has followed a trail of fossilized teeth, accumulating in ocean sediments and indirectly recording their ecological variability as well as the oceanic conditions in which they lived. While fossil shark teeth assemblages have been used to elucidate water temperature and salinity [2] as well as species' age distributions [3], ontogenetic stages [4], and the presence of nurseries [5,6], the ecological mechanisms driving population size structure remain enigmatic even in extant populations. Given tooth size scales allometrically with body size [7], accumulations of shark teeth within narrow temporal windows may provide insight into the functioning of shark populations and communities.

Body size has an enormous influence on the structure and functioning of marine communities [8]. Following birth, individuals must acquire enough energy to both build and maintain somatic tissue, achieving reproductive maturity and eventually reaching an asymptotic body size [9]. Because the majority of shark species are ectothermic, the rate at which individuals grow is constrained not only by resource availability [10], but also by water temperature [11]. As temperature varies seasonally and spatially, shark species that migrate between regions are subject to changing growth rates as they transition from juvenile to adult size classes [12], and some species may integrate behaviors that take advantage of differentials in resources and temperature to escape smaller body sizes more quickly. For example, many contemporary shark species give birth in warmer estuarine environments where resources are plentiful and large predators are rare, whereupon individuals migrate to colder pelagic environments as they grow [13]. Such life history processes, especially those contributing to dispersal over time and space, will affect their imprint on the dental distributions left behind, and may be one of the few windows into the ecologies of ancient shark species and their relationships to past climates.

The Eocene (56–33.9 Ma) is known for its abundant shark fossil record, with archived collections spanning locations that range from the equator to both poles. This time period may represent a deep-time analogue for the current climate crisis [14], perhaps facilitating a better understanding of how contemporary shark species might respond to similar environmental pressures. Sand tigers occupied a nearly continuous latitudinal gradient ranging from the Arctic to Southern Ocean in the Eocene, demonstrating their remarkable plasticity. The sole evidence of their vast geographical distribution and evolutionary success is contained within local collections of fossilized teeth. For example, high-latitude sites such as Banks Island were deltaic, brackish zones in the Canadian Arctic with reduced salinity [2,15] and low shark diversity [16] (figure 1, dark green), whereas sites such as Seymour Island off the Antarctic Peninsula were fully marine habitats [17] with high shark diversity [18] (figure 1, dark blue). Despite these environmental differences, sand tigers (*†Striatolamia macrota*, Agassiz, 1843 and *†Carcharias macrota*; extinct species denoted with [†]) occupied both locales during the Eocene [18,19], in addition to lower latitude environments, notably in the Gulf of Mexico [20] (figure 1, lighter shades). Low latitude Eocene sites exhibit an environmental gradient similar to that of the high latitude sites, but in warmer waters with less seasonal variability. For example, the low latitude Red Hot Truck Stop locality of the Tusahoma and Bashi formations (Fm) in Mississippi was a reduced salinity habitat [21] (figure 1, light green), similar to that of Banks Island in the Arctic, whereas the Whiskey Bridge locality of the Crockett Fm. in Texas reflected a more diverse assemblage characteristic of pelagic communities [20] (figure 1, light blue), bearing greater similarity with Seymour Island in the Southern Ocean. Compellingly, these dental distributions reveal unique and idiosyncratic attributes, which may encode important ecological relationships governing Eocene sand tiger populations.

To what extent can life history dynamics drive accumulations of sand tiger teeth, and is it possible to infer such processes from the distributions themselves? Here we examine sand tiger dental distributions from these four Eocene localities that span high- and low-latitudes, as well as a contemporary sand tiger population near Delaware Bay (figure 1). We observe that shark dental distributions vary not only in terms of means and variance, but that some reveal pronounced bimodality while others do not. We then assess how temperature, seasonality, and dispersal to and from a nursery, or juvenile, site can affect the shapes of dental distributions. To investigate these effects, we constructed a mechanistic model of a two-site shark metapopulation, where one site serves as a coastal nursery (the juvenile site) and the other serves as a pelagic adult habitat (the adult site; figure 2). This framework correctly predicts the size structure of a contemporary sand tiger population, as well as aspects of known life history traits characterizing the dispersal habits of sand tigers occupying the Delaware Bay. Application of our approach to sand tiger populations emphasizes the importance of seasonal adult dispersal as well as the role of juvenile sites serving as nursery localities from the Eocene to the present in both high- and low-latitude localities. That our results support the presence of shark nurseries across a range of oceanic conditions spanning 50 million years lends particular credence to the notion that protecting nursery sites may be vital for shark conservation in the face of future climate change.

2. Methods

(a) Tooth Identification and Measurement

Shark species in the fossil record are largely identified by their tooth morphology [22] due to the poor preservation of cartilaginous skeletons. [†]*Striatolamia macrota* teeth are identified by emphasized striations on the lingual side relative to the smooth labial side [22]. Anterior teeth (A1-2 and a1-2) are recognized by their long and narrow shape and acute angle between two roots, compared to the lateral and posterior teeth that have a short, blade-like appearance [19,22,23]. This tooth position was chosen as a proxy for body size because its large size and distinct morphology compared to other tooth positions within the jaw. Limiting the positions measured from fossil teeth prevents potential for over representation of a single individual within the assemblage. We measured anterior tooth height from the enameloid base to the blade tip of the labial side with digital calipers to an accuracy of 0.1 mm. Every seventh tooth was re-measured for 0.3 mm accuracy. The modern analogue for the Eocene [†]*S. macrota* is the extant sand tiger *C. taurus* based on the similarities in tooth shape throughout the entire dentition [23]. We transformed total length measurements from the 2012 tagging season in Delaware Bay [24,25] to anterior tooth crown height based on the allometric relationships from Shimada et al. [7] and previously applied to fossil [†]*S. macrota* in Kim et al. [3].

For each assemblage, we tested the sample size needed to estimate the mean and standard deviation of the tooth distribution. We then calculated the means and standard deviations of each tooth distribution and performed a power test to calculate the sample size required to estimate the mean and standard deviation within a 95% confidence level and 10% margin of error.

[†]*Striatolamia macrota* teeth from Banks Island are curated at the Canadian Museum of Nature (Ottawa, ON Canada); Seymour Island are curated at the University of California Museum of Paleontology (UCMP; Berkeley, CA USA), Paleontological Research Institute (PRI; Ithaca, NY USA), and Swedish Natural History Museum (NRM; Stockholm, Sweden); Red Hot Truck Stop locality are curated at the Carnegie Museum of Natural History (CM; Pittsburgh, PA); and Whiskey Bridge locality are curated at the Whiteside Museum of Natural History (WMNH; Seymour, TX). Locality descriptions are provided in Supplementary Materials Appendix I.

(b) Population simulation

To explore specific ecological mechanisms that may be responsible for the observed dental distributions, we employed a process-based model allowing us to incorporate likely physiological

and ecological constraints influencing shark populations. We constructed a two-site size-class model that tracks female shark populations over time, where one of the two sites is designated a juvenile site, or nursery, and the other is designated an adult site (figure 2). Because there is dispersal from the juvenile to adult site, and from the adult to juvenile site, each locality hosts a complex size-structure formed from a mixture of younger and older shark individuals, and it is this mixture from which accumulated tooth distributions are derived. See Supplementary Materials Appendix II for a detailed description of the population simulation.

We considered four key dynamics influencing changes in population size for both sites: reproduction, somatic growth, mortality, and dispersal between sites. In our framework, reproduction takes place only at the juvenile site, whereas mortality occurs at both sites. The per-capita reproductive rate r was thus set to $r = 0$ at the adult site, and $r = 0.47 \times 10^{-7}$ female inds/s [26] at the juvenile site, independent from time of year or water temperature. The per-capita mortality rate was assumed to be constant across size classes within both juvenile and adult sites at $\mu = 5.71 \times 10^{-9}$ inds/s [11]. Shark individuals were assumed to increase in mass m (g) following the growth trajectory described by West et al. [9] as a function of metabolic rate, varying with temperature. Accordingly, shark individuals grow more quickly in warm environments, reaching the asymptotic mass M at a younger age. Because there is not significant sexual dimorphism among sand tigers [27], our model considers only the population dynamics of females.

In our two-site model, juveniles disperse to the adult site once they have reached a particular mass, and adult females migrate annually from the adult to juvenile site to reproduce. The initial dispersal of juveniles to the adult site and annual dispersal of adults to the juvenile site are considered separately because we assume these events are mass-dependent and time-dependent, respectively. As offspring grow in size to maturation m_j , their migration rate to the adult site increases sigmoidally. The juvenile dispersal window ξ_j describes the flexibility of this mass threshold: a smaller dispersal window (low ξ_j) means that initial dispersal of juveniles to the adult site operates around a strict mass threshold m_j , whereas a large juvenile dispersal window (high ξ_j) means that initial dispersal of juveniles to the adult site is flexible around m_j . We assume that individuals occupying the adult site disperse back to the juvenile site to reproduce annually, such that the adult dispersal rate is a function of time. The adult dispersal window ξ_a describes the flexibility of this annual dispersal: a smaller dispersal window (low ξ_a) means that annual adult dispersal to the juvenile site operates around a strict peak day, whereas a large adult dispersal window (high ξ_a) means that annual adult dispersal to the juvenile site is flexible. We note that the resolution and range of juvenile and adult dispersal windows had to be adjusted from site to site to account for simulation limitations related to population dynamics in different temperature environments. As individuals grow and disperse over time, they drop teeth at a constant rate [28], such that the accumulation of differently-sized dentition mirrors the size-distribution of sharks visiting each site.

(c) Comparing observed and simulated dental distributions

Our overall goal is to use the known conditions generating simulated dental distributions to gain insight into the unknown conditions generating empirical dental distributions. Specifically, we aim to evaluate *i*) whether an observed distribution is better described as a juvenile versus adult site, and *ii*) which dispersal strategy – strict versus flexible juvenile and adult dispersal windows – may have contributed to the observed distributional geometry. To compare simulated dental distributions to those observed from contemporary and Eocene localities, we first parameterized the model with estimated winter minimum and summer maximum mean ocean temperatures for both juvenile and adult sites. See Supplementary Materials Appendix III for site temperature estimates. We then simulated dental distributions for both juvenile and adult sites across all combinations of (ξ_j, ξ_a) . Because simulated distributions were both non-normal and multi-modal, to compare distributions we compared means, standard deviations, and both the presence/absence and numerically-estimated values of one or multiple modes – into a single

error term

$$\epsilon_{j,a}(\xi_j, \xi_a) = \sum_{k=1}^4 \left| w_k^{\text{sim}}(\xi_j, \xi_a) - w_k^{\text{obs}} \right| / w_k^{\text{obs}}, \quad (2.1)$$

for juvenile and adult sites, where $w_k^{\text{sim}}(\xi_j, \xi_a)$ and w_k^{obs} are the measured values for the features described above with respect to simulated and observed tooth distributions respectively, given the simulated dispersal windows (ξ_j, ξ_a) . Accordingly, the simulated juvenile or adult site dental distribution with a lower ϵ will indicate a better match for the observed dental distribution, and the particular combination of (ξ_j, ξ_a) that results in the lower ϵ will point to the best-fit dispersal strategy.

3. Results and Discussion

(a) Discerning distributions of dentition

The life history and movement of extant sand tigers (*Carcharias taurus*) has been examined extensively in the western Atlantic, in particular the population near Delaware Bay. A long-standing tagging program led by Delaware State University and University of Delaware between 2007 to 2015 recorded biological information such as fork length, total length, sex, and maturity state, as well as annual/seasonal movement data via acoustic and satellite tagging [24,25]. Occupation of the Delaware Bay by sand tigers correlates strongly with temperature, with juveniles arriving approximately one month prior to adults [24,25]. The residence time for the majority of individuals on the order of 150 days and sharks disperse from the site once the temperatures decrease in October [24,25]. Tooth length was estimated from empirical measures of body length based on well-known allometric relationships [7]. We found the estimated mean anterior tooth crown height for the Delaware Bay population to be 18.92 mm with a maximum of 26.11 mm, which corresponds with actual total body length of 213 cm and 295 cm, respectively. Previous work based on 96 sand tiger individuals revealed the asymptotic body length for this species to be 296 cm [27], similar to the maximum size estimated in the Delaware Bay.

The Eocene sites explored here are well-known and iconic in the paleontological literature. The Eureka Sound Fm. on Banks Island (Canada, figure 1, dark green) and La Meseta Fm. on Seymour Island (Antarctica, figure 1, dark blue) are the most fossiliferous high latitude Eocene sites, with previous studies focused on sedimentology, flora, and fauna [29,30]. In both sites, the extinct sand tigers are well represented as † *S. macrota* and another † *Carcharias* species [18,19]. The geology of the Eureka Sound Fm. on Banks Island (Canada) points to a coastal deltaic environment with low shark diversity during the Eocene [19], whereas the La Meseta Fm. on Seymour Island (Antarctica) is noted for its rich and diverse marine assemblage that includes 35 species of sharks [18]. Among low-latitude Eocene sites, the Bashi/Tuscahoma Fm. at the Red Hot Truck Stop (MS) is largely known for its mammalian record, and is preserved in a lithology that suggests a large-scale, fluvial-dominated deltaic system [21] (figure 1, light green). Fully marine habitats are rare across the Eocene Gulf of Mexico, however the Crockett Fm. at Whiskey Bridge (TX) is one of the most fossiliferous Eocene marine sites known [31] (figure 1, light blue). While the Red Hot Truck Stop and Whiskey Bridge localities are relatively proximal along the Gulf, they are not necessarily contemporaneous as the Bashi/Tuscahoma Fm. spans the Paleocene-Eocene Thermal Maximum [21] whereas the Crockett Fm. is Middle Eocene [31], and likely represent distinct sand tiger populations.

The large sample sizes of sand tiger teeth from the Eocene sites allows for population-level analyses in the fossil record, which is rare for vertebrate fossil assemblages. We measured a total of 1,053 anterior fossil sand tiger teeth across the four fossil sites (see Supplemental Table), with distinct distributional geometries characterizing each locality (figure 1). The Banks Island collection consisted of 397 anterior teeth with a mean \pm SD crown height of 13.70 mm \pm 3.41 (median = 14.10 mm). The Seymour Island collections consisted of 450 anterior teeth with mean crown height of 19.61 mm \pm 6.39 (median = 18.00 mm). The Red Hot Truck Stop collection

included 284 anterior teeth with mean crown height of $12.62 \text{ mm} \pm 3.82$ (median = 12.10 mm). Finally, the Whiskey Bridge collection included 158 anterior teeth with mean crown height of $22.51 \text{ mm} \pm 4.59$ (median = 22.55 mm). These sample sizes far exceed that required to estimate the distribution moments for each locality (Banks Island, $n = 24$; Seymour Island, $n = 41$; Red Hot Truck Stop, $n = 35$; Whiskey Bridge, $n = 16$). We find that the observed dental distributions characterizing each site are significantly different when compared against each other (one-way ANOVA: $df = 3$, $F = 283.74$, $p < 0.0001$; posthoc Tukey HSD: $p = 0.001$).

(b) A contemporary dental distribution predicts known dispersal strategies

The results of our population simulation reveal that changes in the initial dispersal of younger sharks from the juvenile site to the adult site, and of older sharks from the adult to juvenile site, can drastically change the shape of dental distributions within both sites (figure 3). While it is relatively straightforward to show how different life history characteristics may influence distributions of accumulated shark teeth by forward-simulation, it is a more difficult prospect to start with a distribution and attempt to back-calculate some understanding of the potential ecological drivers from which it emerged. We next examine whether and to what extent we can gather ecological insight into dispersal strategies from a well-known contemporary sand tiger population based on our established framework.

Extant sand tiger sharks (*C. taurus*) are highly migratory along the continental shelf of the western margin of the Atlantic Ocean [24,25,32,33]. In the Delaware Bay, sand tigers aggregate in the summer to fall, and include a mixture of both juvenile and adult size classes [24,25]. The proposed nursery for sand tigers in the western Atlantic is the Plymouth, Kingston, Duxbury Bay (MA) where individuals span 78–104 cm (ATCH range $8.3 \text{--} 10.4 \text{ cm}$) [32], substantially smaller and younger than individuals in Delaware Bay. As such, the Delaware Bay aggregate is thought to represent a mixed age population at an adult site, where dispersal to the the Bay corresponds closely with seasonal temperature [25]. Acoustic tagging efforts indicate a gradual arrival of sand tigers, where juveniles begin arriving in early May with adults arriving approximately one month later [24]. In contrast to their arrival, the departure window at Delaware Bay is more tightly constrained from early to mid-October [24,25]. Most individuals are present in the Bay for ca. 150 days, which is roughly a 40 day standard deviation around the peak migration time [24]. This well-studied modern sand tiger population at Delaware Bay thus provides a distinct opportunity to examine whether certain aspects of the well-known life-histories can be disentangled from the distributions alone.

We systematically compared the dental distributions produced by our population simulation across values of ξ_j and ξ_a for both juvenile and adult sites against the empirical distribution from the Delaware Bay by estimating error in model fit ϵ (equation 2.1). We compare the model fit error for both the simulated juvenile and adult sites (ϵ_j versus ϵ_a) by first investigating whether our framework was capable of detecting if the Delaware Bay population more likely represented a juvenile versus adult site. Our assessment reveals that the minimal error for the juvenile site is $\epsilon_j = 0.6$, whereas the minimal error for the adult site is $\epsilon_a = 0.25$ (figure 4). This suggests that the Delaware Bay population represents an adult rather than juvenile population, confirming what is already understood [24,32], but more importantly – at broad strokes – validating the usefulness of our approach.

Except for the scenarios where dental distributions are very similar (high ξ_j and ξ_a) or bimodal (high ξ_j and low ξ_a), site identity is relatively straightforward to distinguish based on differences in tooth size means. A more rigorous assessment of our approach is to examine whether the best fit parameterization of dispersal windows (ξ_j, ξ_a) correlates with our understanding of the Delaware Bay system. We find that, given the adult site identification of the Delaware Bay population, the best fit dispersal window parameterization indicates a strict mass at which juveniles leave for the adult site ($\xi_j = 1$) and a flexible temporal window describing the annual adult migration ($\xi_a = 40$). Importantly, the temporal range that describes the arrival of adults to Delaware Bay – a standard deviation of ca. 40 days around the peak arrival date [24] –

closely matches the expected adult dispersal window predicted by our best-fit model distribution (figure 4). And while we do not have information on the range of mass classes initiating first dispersal to Delaware Bay, the low juvenile dispersal window provides indirect support for the Plymouth, Kingston, Duxbury Bay juvenile site serving as a nursery where pups remain until a strict size threshold is reached. By matching the observed Delaware Bay dental distribution to those simulated from established conditions, we suggest that our framework provide particular insight into central life history characteristics governing sand tiger dispersal.

(c) Deciphering life history and dispersal in the Eocene

Understanding the nature of shark communities in response to documented changes in Eocene climate may provide insight into the future of shark populations in our changing oceans. Because our primary window into these systems is through the lens of accumulated teeth, interpreting dental distributions from an ecological perspective may permit disentangling aspects of their ecologies, such as life history mediated dispersal behaviors. We thus use our framework to examine the underlying ecological constraints potentially driving the accumulation of dental distributions at two high latitude and two low latitude Eocene sites.

Our evaluation of sand tiger dental distributions from both high- and low-latitude brackish and marine sites aligns with paleontological reconstructions of site habitat. For high-latitude locations, we observe that the Banks Island (Canada) site better fits the simulated juvenile ($\epsilon_j = 0.62$) relative to adult site ($\epsilon_a = 1.32$), whereas the Seymour Island (Antarctica) site better fits the simulated adult ($\epsilon_a = 0.29$) relative to juvenile site ($\epsilon_j = 0.31$), though this latter difference is negligible (rows 1-2, figure 5). In comparison, the fossil shark tooth-bearing strata of Banks Island (Eureka Sound Fm.) dates to the early-middle Eocene [19], and is reconstructed to be a channel or mouth bar deposit of a delta front [34]. The presence of sand tiger teeth in unconsolidated sands, a fossilized crocodyliform fossil [35], and paleosalinity reconstructed to be much lower than today's Arctic surface waters [2,15], all point to a mild and brackish estuarine environment [36,37], supporting our prediction of this site serving as a nursery location (row 1, figure 5). In contrast, the faunal composition and geochemistry of the Seymour Island locality (La Meseta Fm.) suggest typical marine conditions [3,17,18]. This site encompasses seven stratigraphic biostratigraphy units that span middle to late Eocene; although the shark assemblage changes across the formation [18], *S. macrota* is the most abundant spanning ca. 45-41 My and demonstrate relatively stable oceanographic conditions [3]. While our simulations narrowly support this locality serving as an adult site, the plateaued error surface precludes a clear interpretation (row 2, figure 5).

For low-latitude localities, we observe that the Red Hot Truck Stop (MS) better fits simulated juvenile ($\epsilon_j = 0.21$) compared to adult sites ($\epsilon_a = 1.96$), whereas Whiskey Creek (TX) better fits simulated adult ($\epsilon_a = 1.03$) compared to juvenile sites ($\epsilon_j = 2.03$) (rows 3-4, figure 5). Palynofloral reconstruction of the Red Hot Truck Stop locality (Bashi/Tuscahoma Fm.) supports a paratropical climate [38] characterized by a large fluvial-dominated deltaic system in an estuarine habitat that spans the Paleocene/Eocene Thermal Maximum [21]. In contrast, the Whiskey Creek locality (Crockett Fm.) is dated to the early part of the Middle Eocene Climate Optimum [31] and represents a shallower marine habitat with normal salinity [39]. This sub-tropical climate supported at least three species of sand tigers (*Carcharias cuspidata*, *C. hopei*, and *S. macrota*) [20,39] found within the Stone City Member of the Crockett Fm. Taken together, these reconstructions support the notion that Red Hot Truck Stop and Whiskey Creek represent juvenile and adult sites respectively, as predicted by our framework.

(d) Dental distributions support the importance of shark nurseries

The contemporary Delaware Bay sand tiger population as well as those from all Eocene sites except the Seymour Island marine locality point to a small juvenile dispersal window, meaning that a strict size threshold initiates first dispersal to the adult site (figure 5). With regard to the Seymour Island locality, we observe that, for both simulated juvenile and adult sites, the error

surface uniquely plateaus across a large range of potential (ξ_j, ξ_a) values providing similarly good fits (row 2 in figure 5). This error surface limits our ability to either interpret whether Seymour Island better represents a juvenile versus adult site or to confidently estimate the size of either dispersal window. However, elevated juvenile and adult dispersal windows in the Antarctic may not be surprising, as this site is known to have accumulated across a longer temporal window [40] where changing environmental conditions associated with the opening of the Drake passage [3,41] affected the shark community assembly [18] and may have influenced how sites were used by shark populations over time and space.

Contemporary shark nurseries are thought to enable resource access, promote juvenile growth, and to protect vulnerable pups against mortality from potential predators [12,13]. Upon maturation and release from mesopredator pressure, dispersal to adult sites enables growing individuals access to larger prey and perhaps mating opportunities [42], though the timing of these events are variable among sand tiger populations [25,43]. In our framework, a large juvenile dispersal window means that both smaller and larger individuals initiate this first dispersal. These conditions imply that the costs and benefits of the juvenile site are similar to those of the adult site, such that it is no longer serving in the context of a nursery. In contrast, a strict juvenile dispersal window points to a sharp threshold in body size initiating first dispersal, implying that the costs and benefits characterizing juvenile and adult sites vary significantly, and supporting the notion of the juvenile site serving as a nursery.

We find strong support for strict juvenile dispersal windows and by extension the role of juvenile sites serving as nurseries for the contemporary sand tiger population as well as three of four Eocene localities. Declines in nursery habitats have been invoked as a potential extinction mechanism for both fossil [5] and contemporary shark populations [12,13], though the extent to which nurseries may buffer against population declines is controversial [44]. Our results reinforce the notion that nurseries have played an important role in structuring sand tiger life histories across a range of oceanic conditions spanning tens of millions of years.

4. Conclusion

We have shown that two important drivers of shark life history – the variability in the size of juveniles first leaving a nursery and the temporal variability marking annual migrations of adults back to a nursery – can result in the diversity of dental distributions characterizing both observed contemporary and paleontological sand tiger populations. While we evaluate the dental distributions treated here as a product of dispersal patterns driven largely by size and seasonality, the diverse distributions observed across shark species may also account for differences in survivorship, sexual dimorphism, and species' position within the marine food web. Because fossil teeth are accumulated over long periods of time, differences in some dental distributions may also reflect sediment formation, taphonomic dynamics, evolutionary change, and/or tectonic processes [1]. Disentangling the potential drivers of particular dental distributions must be justified from an understanding of both the geological characteristics of the locality as well as a biological understanding of the species. Deciphering the mechanisms from which dental distributions are formed permits an ecological window into both extinct and extant shark communities, and we suggest this may generate new insights into how these enduring and enigmatic species persist in the face of change.

Ethics. The authors have no competing interests to declare. All data and analyses provided here are not published elsewhere.

Data Accessibility. Raw data for empirical tooth distributions are provided in the Dryad repository at <https://doi.org/10.6071/M3RT05>. Simulation code is available in the public GitHub repository https://github.com/jdyeakel/sharks_bodysize

346 Authors' Contributions. Conceptualization - SLK, JDY, JJE; Methodology - SLK, JDY, JJE; Investigation -
347 SLK, JDY, SSZ, DF, JK; Formal Analysis - MAB, JDY, DF; Writing original draft - SLK, JDY, MAB; Review and
348 Editing - all authors; Supervision - SLK, JJE; Funding Acquisition - SLK, JJE, JK.

349 Competing Interests. The authors have no competing interests.

350 Funding. This research was funded in part by the National Science Foundation (SGP1842049) and
351 University of Chicago T.C. Chamberlin Fellowship to SLK; Mellon Mayes Undergraduate Fellowship at
352 University of Chicago, National Science Foundation Graduate Research Fellowship, and NASA Emerging
353 Worlds grant (18 – EW18₂ – 0084) to SSZ; Austrian Science Fund (FWF) [P 33820] to JK. For the purpose of
354 open access, JK has applied a CC BY public copyright licence to any Author. Field research to collect shark
355 teeth on Banks Island, Canada was supported by National Science Foundation grant ARC0804627 to JE.

356 Acknowledgements. This research would not be possible without the support of many individuals. We
357 thank the curators and collection managers for their help and access to collect the empirical data: K. Shepherd
358 and M. Currie at the Canadian Museum of Nature, P. Holroyd at the University of California Museum of
359 Paleontology, L. Skibinski at Paleontological Research Institute, T. MÅŭrs at the Swedish Natural History
360 Museum, A. Henrici at the Carnegie Museum of Natural History, and C. Flis at the Whiteside Natural History
361 Museum. We are grateful to D. Fox for access to data from the 2012 *Carcarias taurus* tagging season as part
362 of his research program at Delaware State University. Our research benefited from conversations with M.
363 Clementz, P. Holroyd, D. Jablonski, and S. Kidwell over the years. Thank you to Christina Spence Morgan for
364 scientific illustration on figures 1 and 2.

365 Disclaimer. Insert disclaimer text here.




Figure 1: Map of sand tiger localities and size distributions based on anterior tooth crown height. In the Eocene, [†]*Striatolamia macrota* inhabited high latitude waters (darker shades), such as Banks Island, Canada (Eureka Sound Fm., dark green) and Seymour Island, Antarctica (La Meseta Fm., dark blue), as well as mid-latitude waters (lighter shades) in the Gulf of Mexico, such as the Red Hot Truck Stop, Mississippi (Bashi/Tusahoma Fm., light green) and Whiskey Bridge, Texas (Crockett Fm., light blue). These sites also represent brackish (Banks Island, high latitude, and Red Hot Truck Stop, low latitude; greens) and marine (Seymour Island, high latitude, and Whiskey Bridge, low latitude; blues) waters. Extant sand tigers were caught at Delaware Bay (black) and anterior tooth crown height is transformed from total length. [†]*Striatolamia macrota* illustration by Christina Spence Morgan.




Figure 2: Conceptual diagram of the population simulation where sand tiger individuals migrate between a juvenile/nursery (yellow) and adult site (orange). The ontogenetic growth rates of sand tiger individuals increase with temperature (blue and red growth curves) from an initial mass m_0 to an asymptotic mass M . After birth, newborns reside in the juvenile site until they reach maturity at mass m_j , after which they disperse to the adult site. The juvenile dispersal window ξ_j (pink) denotes the variability in size at which initial dispersal occurs. Adults disperse to the juvenile site, where ξ_a denotes the variability in the timing of the migration (green), which occurs annually from the adult to the juvenile site and back (map inset). Individuals drop teeth as they migrate, such that accumulating dental distributions capture the size structure of populations at both sites. Empirical dental distributions (blue distribution) can be compared to simulated distributions at juvenile and adult sites (yellow and orange distributions, respectively) and evaluated for best-fit based on mean, variance, and modality, thereby gaining insight into life-history characteristics such as the juvenile and adult dispersal windows. Illustration by Christina Spence Morgan.




Figure 3: Simulation results for the dynamic population model as a function of juvenile and adult dispersal windows (ξ_j and ξ_a , respectively). Changes in dental distribution shape are captured by site-specific means (top two panels) and the distance between modes (Δ mode; bottom two panels). A Δ mode value of zero means there is only one mode. Representative distributions of anterior tooth crown height are shown for juvenile site and adult sites for regions I-IV (horizontal along bottom and vertical along right edge, respectively), where color denotes both region and site identity. Regions I-IV depict various combinations of small and large dispersal windows. Region I (high ξ_a , low ξ_j); II (high ξ_a , high ξ_j); III (low ξ_a , low ξ_j); IV (low ξ_a , high ξ_j). Results are shown for high altitude Eocene conditions, but are qualitatively similar for all evaluated localities.




Figure 4: Comparison of empirical dental distributions from sand tigers in the Delaware Bay and those simulated across different values of the juvenile (ξ_j) and adult (ξ_a) dispersal windows. Better fits between empirical and simulated distributions at juvenile (A) and adult sites (B) are represented by warmer colors (lower ϵ ; Eq. 2.1). Best-fit simulation results for juvenile and adult simulation results at a particular (ξ_j, ξ_a) are denoted by black circles. The corresponding distributions at this best-fit value of (ξ_j, ξ_a) are shown for juvenile (C) and adult (D) sites for comparison (thin lines) relative to the empirical distribution (thick line). Within-site best-fit error values are reported in the upper-right, and the across-site best-fit error is denoted with an asterisk (*).




Figure 5: Comparison of empirical dental distributions from sand tigers at Eocene localities and those simulated across different values of the juvenile (ξ_j) and adult (ξ_a) dispersal windows. Eocene localities include the high latitude Banks Island (first row, dark green) and Seymour Island (second row, dark blue) sites as well as the low latitude Red Hot Truck Stop (third row, light green) and Whiskey Bridge Sites (fourth row, light blue). Blues denote sites reconstructed as marine habitats; greens denote sites reconstructed as near-shore estuarine habitats. Across all rows, better fits between empirical and simulated distributions at juvenile (A) and adult sites (B) are represented by warmer colors (lower ϵ ; Eq. 2.1). Best-fit simulation results for juvenile and adult simulation results at a particular (ξ_j, ξ_a) are denoted by colored circles. The corresponding distributions at this best-fit value of (ξ_j, ξ_a) are shown for juvenile (C) and adult (D) sites for comparison (thin lines) relative to the empirical distribution (thick line). Within-site best-fit error values are reported in the upper-right, and the across-site best-fit error is denoted with an asterisk (*).

References

1. Whitenack LB, Kim SL, Sibert EC. in press Bridging the Gap Between Chondrichthyan Paleobiology and Biology. *Biology of sharks and their relatives* **3**.
2. Kim S, Eberle J, Bell D, Fox D, Padilla A. 2014 Evidence from shark teeth for a brackish Arctic Ocean in the Eocene greenhouse. *Geology* **42**, 695–698.
3. Kim SL, Zeichner SS, Colman AS, Scher HD, Kriwet J, Mörs T, Huber M. 2020 Probing the ecology and climate of the Eocene Southern Ocean with sand tiger sharks *Striatolamia macrota*. *Paleoceanography and Paleoclimatology* pp. 1–21.
4. Straube N, Pollerspöck J. 2020 Intraspecific dental variations in the deep-sea shark *Etmopterus spinax* and their significance in the fossil record. *Zoomorphology* **139**, 483–491.
5. Pimiento C, Ehret DJ, MacFadden BJ, Hubbell G. 2010 Ancient nursery area for the extinct giant shark megalodon from the Miocene of Panama. *PLoS ONE* **5**.
6. Villafañá JA, Hernandez S, Alvarado A, Shimada K, Pimiento C, Rivadeneira MM, Kriwet J. 2020 First evidence of a palaeo-nursery area of the great white shark. *Scientific Reports* **10**, 1–8.
7. Shimada K. 2004 The relationship between the tooth size and total body length in the sandtiger shark, *Carcharias taurus* (Laminiformes : Odontaspidae). *Journal of Fossil Research* **37**, 76–81.
8. Brose U, Jonsson T, Berlow EL, Warren P, Banasek-Richter C, Bersier LE, Blanchard JL, Brey T, Carpenter SR, Blandenier MFC et al.. 2006 Consumer–resource body-size relationships in natural food webs. *Ecology* **87**, 2411–2417.
9. West GB, Brown JH, Enquist BJ. 2001 A general model for ontogenetic growth. *Nature* **413**, 628–631.
10. Bhat U, Kempes CP, Yeakel JD. 2020 Scaling the risk landscape drives optimal life-history strategies and the evolution of grazing. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **117**, 1580–1586.
11. Schindler DE, Essington TE, Kitchell JF, Boggs C, Hilborn R. 2002 Sharks and tunas: fisheries impacts on predators with contrasting life histories. *Ecological Applications* **12**, 735–748.
12. Heithaus MR. 2007 Nursery areas as essential shark habitats: a theoretical perspective. In *American Fisheries Society Symposium* vol. 50 p. 3. American Fisheries Society.
13. Heupel MR, Carlson JK, Simpfendorfer CA. 2007 Shark nursery areas: concepts, definition, characterization and assumptions. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* **337**, 287–297.
14. Burke KD, Williams JW, Chandler MA, Haywood AM, Lunt DJ, Otto-Bliesner BL. 2018 Pliocene and Eocene provide best analogs for near-future climates. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **115**, 13288–13293.
15. Waddell LM, Moore TC. 2008 Salinity of the Eocene Arctic Ocean from oxygen isotope analysis of fish bone carbonate. *Paleoceanography* **23**, 1–14.
16. Padilla A, Eberle JJ, Gottfried MD, Sweet AR, Hutchison JH. 2014 A sand tiger shark–dominated fauna from the Eocene Arctic greenhouse. *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology* **34**, 1307–1316.
17. Ivany LC, Lohmann KC, Hasiuk F, Blake DB, Glass A, Aronson RB, Moody RM. 2008 Eocene climate record of a high southern latitude continental shelf: Seymour Island, Antarctica. *Bulletin of the Geological Society of America* **120**, 659–678.
18. Kriwet J, Engelbrecht A, Mörs T, Reguero M, Pfaff C. 2016 Ultimate Eocene (Priabonian) chondrichthyans (Holocephali, Elasmobranchii) of Antarctica. *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology* **36**.
19. Padilla A, Eberle JJ, Gottfried MD, Sweet AR, Hutchison JH. 2014 A sand tiger shark–dominated fauna from the Eocene Arctic greenhouse. *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology* **34**, 1307–1316.
20. Westgate JW. 2001 Paleoecology and biostratigraphy of marginal marine Gulf Coast Eocene vertebrate localities. In *Eocene biodiversity*, pp. 263–297. Springer.
21. Beard KC, Dawson MR. 2009 Early Wasatchian mammals of the red hot local fauna, uppermost Tuscaloosa formation, Lauderdale County, Mississippi. *Annals of Carnegie Museum* **78**, 193–243.
22. Cappetta H. 2012 *Handbook of Paleoichthyology, Volume 3E*. Munich: Verlag chondricht edition.

- 419 23. Cunningham SB. 2000 A comparison of isolated teeth of early Eocene *Striatolamia macrota*
420 (Chondrichthyes , Lamniformes), with those of a Recent sand shark , *Carcharias taurus*.
421 *Tertiary Research* **20**, 17–31.
- 422 24. Haulsee D, Breece M, Brown L, Wetherbee B, Fox D, Oliver M. 2018 Spatial ecology of
423 *Carcharias taurus* in the northwestern Mid-Atlantic coastal ocean. *Marine Ecology Progress*
424 *Series* **597**, 191–206.
- 425 25. Teter SM, Wetherbee BM, Fox DA, Lam CH, Kiefer DA, Shivji M. 2015 Migratory patterns and
426 habitat use of the sand tiger shark (*Carcharias taurus*) in the western North Atlantic. *Marine*
427 *and Freshwater Research* **66**, 158–169.
- 428 26. Cortés E, Parsons GR. 1996 Comparative demography of two populations of the bonnethead
429 shark (*Sphyrna tiburo*). *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* **53**, 709–718.
- 430 27. Goldman KJ, Branstetter S, Musick JA. 2006 A re-examination of the age and growth of sand
431 tiger sharks, *Carcharias taurus*, in the western North Atlantic: The importance of ageing
432 protocols and use of multiple back-calculation techniques. *Environmental Biology of Fishes* **77**,
433 241–252.
- 434 28. Zeichner S, Colman A, Koch P, Polo-Silva C, Galván-Magaña F, Kim S. 2017 Discrimination
435 factors and incorporation rates for organic matrix in shark teeth based on a captive feeding
436 study. *Physiological and Biochemical Zoology* **90**, 257–272.
- 437 29. Cantrill DJ, Poole I. 2012 *The vegetation of Antarctica through geological time*. Cambridge
438 University Press.
- 439 30. Eberle JJ, Greenwood DR. 2012 Life at the top of the greenhouse Eocene world—A review of
440 the Eocene flora and vertebrate fauna from Canada's High Arctic. *Bulletin* **124**, 3–23.
- 441 31. Flis JE, Yancey TE, Flis CJ. 2017 Middle Eocene Storm Deposition in the Northwestern Gulf of
442 Mexico, Burleson County, Texas, U.S.A. *Gulf Coast Association of Geological Societies* **6**, 201–225.
- 443 32. Kneebone J, Chisholm J, Skomal GB. 2012 Seasonal residency, habitat use, and site fidelity
444 of juvenile sand tiger sharks *Carcharias taurus* in a Massachusetts estuary. *Marine Ecology*
445 *Progress Series* **471**, 165–181.
- 446 33. Kneebone J, Chisholm J, Skomal G. 2014 Movement patterns of juvenile sand tigers
447 (*Carcharias taurus*) along the east coast of the USA. *Marine Biology* **161**, 1149–1163.
- 448 34. Miall AD. 1979 Mesozoic and Tertiary geology of Banks Island, Arctic Canada: the history of
449 an unstable craton margin. *Geological Survey of Canada Memoir* **387**, 1–235.
- 450 35. Eberle JJ, Gottfried MD, Hutchison JH, Brochu CA. 2014 First record of Eocene bony fishes
451 and crocodyliforms from Canada's Western Arctic. *PloS one* **9**, e96079.
- 452 36. West CK, Greenwood DR, Basinger JF. 2015 Was the Arctic Eocene
453 a rainforest—monsoonal? Estimates of seasonal precipitation from early Eocene
454 megaflores from Ellesmere Island, Nunavut. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* **427**, 18–30.
- 455 37. West CK, Greenwood DR, Reichgelt T, Lowe AJ, Vachon JM, Basinger JF. 2020 Paleobotanical
456 proxies for early Eocene climates and ecosystems in northern North America from middle to
457 high latitudes. *Climate of the Past* **16**, 1387–1410.
- 458 38. Harrington GJ. 2003 Wasatchian (Early Eocene) pollen floras from the Red Hot Truck Stop,
459 Mississippi, USA. *Palaeontology* **46**, 725–738.
- 460 39. Breard SQ, Stringer GL. 1999 Abstract: Integrated Paleocology and Marine Vertebrate Fauna
461 of the Stone City Formation (Middle Eocene), Brazos River Section, Texas . *AAPG Bulletin* **83**.
- 462 40. Montes M, Beamud E, Nozal F, Santillana S. 2019 Late Maastrichtian-Paleocene
463 chronostratigraphy from Seymour Island (James Ross Basin, Antarctic Peninsula). Eustatic
464 controls of sedimentation. *Advances in Polar Science* **30**, 303–327.
- 465 41. Zhu J, Poulsen CJ, Otto-Bliesner BL, Liu Z, Brady EC, Noone DC. 2020 Simulation of early
466 Eocene water isotopes using an Earth system model and its implication for past climate
467 reconstruction. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* **537**, 116164.
- 468 42. Jorgensen SJ, Arnoldi NS, Estess EE, Chapple TK, Rückert M, Anderson SD, Block BA.
469 2012 Eating or meeting? Cluster analysis reveals intricacies of white shark (*Carcharodon*
470 *carcharias*) migration and offshore behavior. *PloS one* **7**, e47819.
- 471 43. Otway N, Ellis M. 2011 Pop-up archival satellite tagging of *Carcharias taurus*: movements
472 and depth/temperature-related use of south-eastern Australian waters. *Marine and Freshwater*
473 *Research* **62**, 607–620.

474 44. Kinney MJ, Simpfendorfer CA. 2009 Reassessing the value of nursery areas to shark
475 conservation and management. *Conservation letters* 2, 53–60.