# Multi-Stage Ensemble and Feature Engineering for MOOC Dropout Prediction

# Jeong-Yoon Lee Conversion Logic

12300 Wilshire Blvd. Los Angeles, CA 90025, USA

jeong@conversionlogic.com

# Kohei Ozaki AIG Japan Holdings KK

Kamiyacho MT Bldg 18F, 4-3-20 Toranomon, Minato-ku, Tokyo 105-0001, Japan

ozaki.kohei@aig.co.jp

## Song Chen American International Group, Inc. (AIG)

175 Water Street, New York, NY 10038, USA

song.chen@aig.com

## Andreas Toescher Opera Solutions

Hauptplatz 12, 8580 Koeflach, Austria

andreas.toescher@commendo.at

## Mert Bay Conversion Logic

12300 Wilshire Blvd. Los Angeles, CA 90025, USA

mert@conversionlogic.com

## Tam T. Nguyen Institute for Infocomm Research, A\*STAR

1 Fusionopolis Way, #21-01 Connexis (South Tower), Singapore 138632

nguyentt@i2r.a-star.edu.sg

# Michael Jahrer Opera Solutions

 $\begin{array}{c} {\rm Hauptplatz}\ 12,\,8580\ {\rm Koeflach},\\ {\rm Austria} \end{array}$ 

michael.jahrer@commendo.at

## Peng Yan NetEase Youdao

2nd Floor, Chuangye Building, Tsinghua Science Park, Beijing, 100084, China

yanpeng@rd.netease.com

# Xiaocong Zhou Tsinghua University

Haidian District, Beijing, 100084, China

infinitezxc@gmail.com

## **ABSTRACT**

This paper describes the winning solution of KDD Cup 2015. The competition aims to predict dropouts in Massive Open Online Courses (MOOCs). Our approach begins with feature engineering to extract predictive features from activity logs of students and meta data. Then, we train sixty three individual classifiers with different subsets of features and seven algorithms. Lastly, we blend predictions of individual classifiers with the multi-stage ensemble framework. Our solution achieves AUC scores of 0.90918 and 0.90744 on the public and private leaderboards respectively.

## **Categories and Subject Descriptors**

I.5.4 [Pattern Recognition]: Application

#### **General Terms**

Application

#### Keywords

KDD Cup, Feature Engineering, Ensemble Learning

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

Our final solution is a joint work from 9 data scientists, distributed around the world. The pipeline from raw data to final solution is as follows:

• Hand crafted feature engineering (most of hard work)

- Automatic feature design (autoencoder)
- Individual models (gbm, nn, factor model,..)
- Stage-I ensemble (blends individual models)
- Stage-II ensemble (blends stage-I ensemble models)
- Stage-III ensemble (blends stage-II ensemble models)

#### 2. DATASET

We got history from 200k enrollments, from 120k we know the labels. bla bla bla

#### 3. FEATURE ENGINEERING

All features.

## 3.1 Feature SK

Features generated by Song and Kohei can be classified as follows:

- Enrollment-based features (No.1-8)
- Username-based features (No.9-18)
- Username-based features for each courses (No.19-25)
- Features based on 10 days after the end date of course (No.26-35)
- Features based on 1 day after the end date of a course (No.36-45)
- Day-level features (No.46)
- Day-level features using target variables (No.47-58)

Full list of features generated by Song and Kohei are described in Table ??. (just listing them for now. TBD in detail).

#### 3.2 Feature RW

Peng and Xiaocong feature.

#### 3.3 Feature TN

Tam feature.

#### 3.4 Feature M.I

Features generated by Michael Jahrer are in sparse format:

- uID(0-112447)
- cID(112448-112486)
- uIDcnt(112487-112487)
- eIDcnt(112488-112488)
- $eID \rightarrow sID(112489-112490)$
- eID  $\rightarrow$  evID(112491-112497)
- eID  $\rightarrow$  oIDCnt(112498-139443)
- eID  $\rightarrow$  tIDCnt(139444-139635)
- uID:  $floor(log(dateSpan^2+1))(139636-140635)$
- uID  $\rightarrow$  log(time diff to obj start+1)(140636-140636)
- eID  $\rightarrow$  dateVec diff stats(140637-140649)

#### 3.5 Feature MB

Mert feature.

#### 3.6 Feature JL

Jeong feature.

#### 4. INDIVIDUAL MODELS

something like this.

#### 4.1 Learning Algorithms

- Logistic Regression (LR)
- Kernel Ridge Regression (KRR)
- Factorization Machine/Field-aware Factorization Machine (FM/FFM)
- Neural Networks (NN)
- Extreme Trees (ET)
- Gradient Boosting Decision Trees (GBDT)

## 4.2 Individual Models

- Model 1: RandomForest(R). Dataset: X
- $\bullet$  Model 2: Logistic Regression (scikit). Dataset: Log(X+1)
- Model 3: Extra Trees Classifier(scikit). Dataset: Log(X+1) (but could be raw)
- Model 4: KNeighborsClassifier(scikit). Dataset: Scale( Log(X+1))
- Model 5: libfm. Dataset: Sparse(X). Each feature value is a unique level.

#### 5. MULTI-STAGE ENSEMBLE

Stratified 5-fold cross validation (CV). We used xgboost [?], neural nets and linear regression for stage-II ensembling.

## 5.1 Stage-I Ensemble

We trained 20 stage-I ensemble classifiers with different subsets of CV predictions of 63 individual classifiers.

## **5.2** Stage-II Ensemble

We trained 2 stage-II ensemble classifiers with different subsets of  ${\rm CV}$  predictions of 20 stage-I ensemble classifiers.

## **5.3** Stage-III Ensemble

We trained a stage-III ensemble classifier with CV predictions of 5 classifiers: 2 stage-II ensemble, 2 stage-I ensemble, and 1 individual classifiers.

| $\operatorname{id}$ | name                                       | type     | $5\mathrm{CV}$ |  |
|---------------------|--|----------|----------------|--|
| 1                   | trn.final.90788                            | Stage-I  | 0.907878       |  |
| 2                   | esb58v5+magic.dae+nn.validCV.0.907567      | Stage-I  | 0.907567       |  |
| 3                   | $et_esb58v5_rank.val.0.906207$             | Stage-I  | 0.906207       |  |
| 4                   | $lr\_forward\_0.01\_esb.esb15v3.val.yht$   | Stage-II | 0.907968       |  |
| 5                   | $xgb\_rf.ko\_new\_feat.txt.valCV.0.906721$ | Single   | 0.906721       |  |

A linear combination of the 5 models from table ?? results in train AUC=0.908072 and accuracy=0.887334. Which leads to 0.90910 public leaderboard score. By adding 39 courseID correction factors train AUC=0.908194 and public score improved to 0.90918.

#### 6. CONCLUSIONS

Our final AUC score of 0.90918 results from a complex pipeline from raw data to final score. Every part of that pipe needs to be (sub-)optimal implemented by our team to get the best score at the end. The first part "feature design" is the most important one and needs expertise, experience and of course a bit luck to capture all signals in the data.

## 7. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Thanks to dropbox, github and skype to enable easy communication around the globe.

| No. | Description  |
|-----|--|
| 1   | Course_id encoded by 1-of-N coding   |
| 2   | Number of requests by an enrollment_id   |
| 3   | Number of unique object by an enrollment_id  |
| 4   | Number of unique problem object of event by an enrollment_id   |
| 5   | Number of active days by an enrollment id  |
| 6   | Number of active hours by an enrollment id   |
| 7   | Time of first access in hours by an enrollment_id  |
| 8   | Time of last access in hours by an enrollment id   |
| 9   | Number of enrollments by an username   |
| 10  | Number of requests by an username  |
| 11  | Number of unique objects by an username  |
| 12  | Number of unique problem object of event by an username  |
| 13  | Number of active days by an username   |
| 14  | Number of active hours by an username  |
| 15  | Time of first access in hours by an username   |
| 16  | Time of last access in hours by an username  |
| 17  | Time of first problem access in hours by an username   |
| 18  | Time of last problem access in hours by an username  |
| 19  | For each course, number of requests by an username   |
| 20  | For each course, number of unique object by an username  |
| 21  | For each course, number of unique problem object by an username  |
| 22  | For each course, number of active days by an username  |
| 23  | For each course, number of active hours by an username   |
| 24  | For each course, time of first access in hours   |
| 25  | For each course, time of last access in hours  |
| 26  | Number of enrollment ids during 10 days after the end date of course by an username  |
| 27  | For each course, number of access logs during 10 days after the end date of course by an username  |
| 28  | For each course, number of unique objects during 10 days after the end date of course by an username   |
| 29  | For each course, number of unique problem objects during 10 days after the end date of course by an username   |
| 30  | For each course, number of active hours during 10 days after the end date of course by an username   |
| 31  | For each course, difference between first and last access during 10 days after the end date of course by an username   |
| 32  | For each course, time of first access in hours during 10 days after the end date of course by an username  |
| 33  | For each course, time of last access in hours during 10 days after the end date of course by an username   |
| 34  | For each course, time of first access to an problem object in hours during 10 days after the end date of course by an username   |
| 35  | For each course, time of last access to an problem object in hours during 10 days after the end date of course by an username  |
| 36  | Number of enrollment ids during 1 day after the end date of course by an username  |
| 37  | For each course, number of access logs during 1 day after the end date of course by an username  |
| 38  | For each course, number of unique objects during 1 day after the end date of course by an username   |
| 39  | For each course, number of unique problem objects during 1 day after the end date of course by an username   |
| 40  | For each course, number of active hours during 1 day after the end date of course by an username   |
| 41  | For each course, difference between first and last access during 1 day after the end date of course by an username   |
| 42  | For each course, time of first access in hours during 1 day after the end date of course by an username  |
| 43  | For each course, time of last access in hours during 1 day after the end date of course by an username   |
| 44  | For each course, time of first access to an problem object in hours during 1 day after the end date of course by an username   |
| 45  | For each course, time of last access to an problem object in hours during 1 day after the end date of course by an username  |
| 46  | For each days of the course, which date is provided in date.csv, number of unique active courses by an username  |
| 47  | For each 10 days after the end date of the course, number of active enrollment_id, which target variables are 1 in the training set, enrolled by   |
| 48  | For each 10 days after the end date of the course, number of active enrollment_id, which target variables are 0 in the training set, enrolled by   |
| 49  | For each 10 days after the end date of the course, number of active enrollment_id (in this case, days between last access and the end date of  |
| 50  | For each 10 days after the end date of the course, number of active enrollment_id (in this case, days between last access and the end date of the course, number of active enrollment_id (in this case, days between last access and the end date of the course, number of active enrollment_id (in this case, days between last access and the end date of the course, number of active enrollment_id (in this case, days between last access and the end date of the course, number of active enrollment_id (in this case, days between last access and the end date of the course, number of active enrollment_id (in this case, days between last access and the end date of the course, number of active enrollment_id (in this case, days between last access and the end date of the course, number of active enrollment_id (in this case, days between last access and the end date of the course, number of active enrollment_id (in this case, days between last access and the end date of the course, number of active enrollment_id (in this case, days between last access and the end date of the course, number of active enrollment_id (in this case, days between last access and the end date of the course, number of active enrollment_id (in this case, days between last access and the end date of the course, days between last access and the end date of the course, access the course of the cours |
| 51  | For each 14 days before the end date of the coruses, number of active enrollment id, which target variables are 1 in the training set, enrolled  |
| 52  | For each 14 days before the end date of the coruses, number of active enrollment id, which target variables are 0 in the training set, enrolled  |
| 53  | For each 14 days before the end date of the coruses, number of active enrollment id (in this case, days between last access and the end date of  |
| 54  | For each 14 days before the end date of the coruses, number of active enrollment id (in this case, days between last access and the end date of  |
|     |  |

Table 1: List of features generated by Song and Kohei.