

# Graph Theory

Jeremy Le — UNSW MATH5425 25T1

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>3</b>
1.1	Definitions . . . . .	3
1.2	The Degree of a Vertex . . . . .	4
1.2.1	Some Special Graphs . . . . .	4
1.3	Paths and Cycles . . . . .	4
1.4	Connectivity . . . . .	5
1.5	Trees and Forests . . . . .	7
<b>2</b>	<b>Matchings and Hamilton Cycles</b>	<b>9</b>
2.1	Matchings in Bipartite Graphs . . . . .	9
2.2	Hamilton Cycles . . . . .	11
2.3	Matchings in General Graphs . . . . .	11
<b>3</b>	<b>The Probabilistic Method</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Graph Colourings</b>	<b>16</b>

# Chapter 1

## Introduction

### 1.1 Definitions

A **graph**  $G = (V, E)$  is a set  $V$  of *vertices* and a set  $E$  of unordered pairs of distinct vertices, called *edges*. Write  $vw$  or  $\{v, w\}$  for the edge joining  $v$  and  $w$ , and say that  $v$  and  $w$  are **neighbours** or that they are *adjacent*.

In these notes, unless otherwise stated, graphs are:

- **finite**:  $|V| \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- **labelled**: vertices are distinguishable, usually  $V = [n] := \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- **undirected**: edges are *unordered* pairs of vertices.
- **simple**: no loops  $\{v, v\}$  or multiple edges (since  $E$  is not a multiset).

A graph  $G$  with vertex set  $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$  has **adjacency matrix**  $A(G) = (a_{ij})$  where

$$a_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } v_i v_j \in E, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$A(G)$  is a **symmetric**  $n \times n$  0-1 matrix with zero diagonal.

The **trivial graph** has at most one vertex. Hence it has no edges.

A **subgraph** of a graph  $G = (V, E)$  is a graph  $H = (W, F)$  such that  $W \subseteq V$  and  $F \subseteq E$ .

We say that  $H$  is an **induced subgraph** if for all  $v, w \in W$  if  $vw \in E(G)$  then  $vw \in E(H)$ . Write  $H = G[W]$ , and say that  $H$  is the subgraph of  $G$  *induced by* the vertex set  $W$ .

The number of **vertices** of  $G$ , written  $|G| = |V(G)|$ , is called the *order* of  $G$ . The number of **edges** of  $G$ , sometimes written  $||G|| = |E(G)|$ , is called the *size* of  $G$ .

Two graphs  $G = (V, E)$  and  $H = (W, F)$  are **isomorphic** if there exists a *bijection*  $\phi : V \rightarrow W$  such that  $\phi(v)\phi(w) \in F$  if and only if  $vw \in E$ . The map  $\phi$  is called a *graph isomorphism* or *isomorphism*.

## 1.2 The Degree of a Vertex

If  $v \in e$  where  $v$  is a vertex and  $e$  is an edge, then we say that  $e$  is *incident with*  $v$ . The **degree**  $d_G(v)$  of vertex  $v$  in a graph  $G$  is the number of *edges* of  $G$  which are *incident with*  $v$ . A vertex of degree 0 is an *isolated vertex*.

Let  $N_G(v)$  be the set of all **neighbours** of  $v$  in  $G$ , then  $d(v) = |N(v)|$ .

**Lemma 1.2.1** (The Handshaking Lemma). In any graph,  $G = (V, E)$ ,

$$\sum_{v \in V} d(v) = 2|E|.$$

Let  $\delta(G) = \min_{v \in V} d(v)$  be the minimum degree in  $G$ , and  $\Delta(G) = \max_{v \in V} d(v)$  be the maximum degree in  $G$ .

### 1.2.1 Some Special Graphs

A graph is  **$k$ -partite** if there exists a partition of its vertex set

$$V = V_1 \cup V_2 \cup \dots \cup V_k$$

into  $k$  nonempty disjoint subsets (parts) such that there are no edges between vertices in the same part.

The **complete graph** on  $r$  vertices, denoted  $K_r$ , has all  $\binom{r}{2}$  edges present. The **complete bipartite graph**  $K_{r,s}$  has  $r$  vertices in one part of the vertex bipartition,  $s$  vertices in the other, and all  $rs$  present.

A graph is **regular** if every vertex has the same degree. If every vertex of a graph has degree  $d$  then we say that the graph is  $d$ -regular.

The **complement** of a graph  $G$  is the graph  $\bar{G} = (V, \bar{E})$  where  $vw \in \bar{E}$  if and only if  $vw \notin E$ . Note that  $\bar{K}_n$  is the graph with  $n$  vertices and no edges.

If  $G = (V, E)$  and  $X \subset V$  then  $G - X$  denotes the graph obtained from  $G$  by deleting all vertices in  $X$  and all edges which are incident with vertices in  $X$ . If  $F \subseteq E$  then  $G - F$  denotes the graph  $(V, E - F)$  obtained from  $G$  by deleting the edges in  $F$ .

## 1.3 Paths and Cycles

A **walk** in the graph  $G$  is a sequence of vertices  $v_0 v_1 v_2 \dots v_k$  such that  $v_i v_{i+1} \in E$  for  $i = 0, 1, \dots, k-1$ . The **length** of this walk is  $k$ . The walk is **closed** if  $v_0 = v_k$ .

An **Euler tour** is a *closed walk* in a graph which uses every edge precisely once. A graph is Eulerian if it has an Euler tour.

**Theorem 1.3.1** (Euler, 1736). A connected graph is Eulerian if and only if every vertex has even degree.

A walk is a **path** if it does not visit any vertex more than once. A path is a sequence of *disinct* vertices, with subsequence vertices joined by an edge. A path  $v_0 v_1 \dots v_k$  with  $k$  edges is called a  $k$ -path and has length  $k$ .

If  $k \geq 3$  and  $P = v_0v_1 \cdots v_{k-1}$  is a path of length  $k - 1$  then  $C = P + v_0v_{k-1}$  is a **cycle** of length  $k$ , also called a  $k$  - *cycle*. It is a closed walk which visits no internal vertex more than once.

An edge which joins two vertices of a cycle  $C$ , but which is not an edge of  $C$ , is called a **chord**. An **induced cycle** is a cycle which has no chords.

**Proposition 1.3.2.** Every graph  $G$  contains a path of length  $\delta(G)$  and a cycle of length at least  $\delta(G) + 1$ , if  $\delta(G) \geq 2$ .

**Proof.** Let  $P = x_0x_1 \dots x_k$  be the longest path in  $G$ . By maximality of  $P$ , all neighbours of  $x_k$  lie on  $P$ . Hence  $\delta(G) \leq d(x_k) \leq k = |\{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}\}|$ , which proves the first statement. Let  $x_i$  be the smallest-indexed neighbour of  $x_k$  in  $P$ . Then  $C = x_kx_ix_{i+1} \dots x_{k-1}x_k$  is a cycle of length  $\geq \delta(G) + 1$  because  $C$  contains  $d(x_k) \geq \delta(G)$  neighbours of  $x_k$  as well as  $x_k$ .  $\square$

The *minimum length* of a cycle in  $G$  is the **girth** of  $G$ , denoted by  $g(G)$ .

Given  $x, y \in V$ , let  $d_G(x, y)$  be the length of a shortest path from  $x$  to  $y$  in  $G$ , called the **distance** from  $x$  to  $y$  in  $G$ . Set  $d_G(x, y) = \infty$  if no such path exists.

We say that  $G$  is **connected** if  $d_G(x, y)$  is finite for all  $x, y \in V$ .

Let the **diameter** of  $G$  be  $\text{diam}(G) = \max_{x, y \in V} d_G(x, y)$ .

**Proposition 1.3.3.** Every graph  $G$  which contains a cycle satisfies  $g(G) \leq 2 \text{diam}(G) + 1$ .

**Proof.** Let  $C$  be a shortest cycle in  $G$ , so  $|C| = g(G)$ . For a contradiction, assume  $g(G) \geq 2 \text{diam}(G) + 2$ .

Choose vertices  $x, y$  on  $C$  with  $d_C(x, y) \geq \text{diam}(G) + 1$ . In  $G$  the distance  $d_G(x, y)$  is strictly smaller, so any shortest path  $P$  from  $x$  to  $y$  in  $G$  is not a subgraph of  $C$ . But using  $P$  together with the shorter arc of  $C$  from  $x$  to  $y$  gives a closed walk of length  $< |C|$ . This closed walk contains a shorter cycle than  $C$  which is a contradiction.  $\square$

## 1.4 Connectivity

A maximal connected subgraph of  $G$  is called a **component** (or **connected component**) of  $G$ .

**Proposition 1.4.1.** The vertices of a connected graph can be labelled  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n$  such that  $G_n = G$  and  $G_i = G[v_1, \dots, v_i]$  is connected for all  $i$ .

**Proof.** Choose  $v_1$  arbitrarily. Now suppose that we have labelled  $v_1, \dots, v_i$  such that  $G_j = G[v_1, \dots, v_j]$  is connected for all  $j = 1, \dots, i$ .

If  $i < n$  then  $G_i \neq G$ , so there exists some  $v_j \in \{v_1, \dots, v_i\}$  with a  $w \notin \{v_1, \dots, v_i\}$  in  $G$ . (Otherwise  $G_i \neq G$  is a component of  $G$ , impossible as  $G$  is connected.) Let  $v_{i+1} = w$ , then  $G_{i+1} = G[v_1, \dots, v_{i+1}]$  is connected. This completes the proof, by induction.  $\square$

Let  $A, B \subseteq V$  be sets of vertices. An  $(A, B)$ -**path** in  $G$  is a path  $P = x_0x_1 \cdots x_k$  such that

$$P \cap A = \{x_0\}, \quad P \cap B = \{x_k\}.$$

Let  $A, B \subseteq V$  and let  $X \subseteq V \cup E$  be a set of vertices and edges. We say that  $X$  **separates**  $A$  and  $B$  in  $G$  if every  $(A, B)$ -path in  $G$  contains a vertex or edge from  $X$ .

Note that we do not assume that  $A$  and  $B$  are disjoint and if  $X$  separates  $A$  and  $B$  then  $A \cap B \subseteq X$ .

We say that  $X$  **separates** two vertices  $a, b$  if  $a, b \notin X$  and  $X$  separates the sets  $\{a\}, \{b\}$ .

More generally, we say that  $X$  *separates*  $G$ , and call  $X$  a **separating set** for  $G$ , if  $X$  separates two vertices of  $G$ . That is,  $X$  separates  $G$  if there exist distinct vertices  $a, b \notin X$  such that  $X$  separates  $a$  and  $b$ .

If  $X = \{x\}$  is a separating set for  $G$ , where  $x \in V$ , then we say that  $x$  is a **cut vertex**.

If  $e \in E$  and  $G - e$  has more components than  $G$  then  $e$  is a **bridge**.

The unordered pair  $(A, B)$  is a **separation** of  $G$  if  $A \cup B = V$  and  $G$  has no edge between  $A - B$  and  $B - A$ . The second condition says that  $A \cap B$  separates  $A$  from  $B$  in  $G$ . If both  $A - B$  and  $B - A$  are nonempty then the separation is **proper**. The order of the separation is  $|A \cap B|$ .

**Definition.** Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . The graph  $G$  is  **$k$ -connected** if  $|G| > k$  and  $G - X$  is connected for all subsets  $X \subseteq V$  with  $|X| < k$ .

The **connectivity**  $\kappa(G)$  of  $G$  is defined by

$$\kappa(G) = \max\{k : G \text{ is } k\text{-connected}\}.$$

So,  $\kappa(G) = 0$  iff  $G$  is trivial or  $G$  is disconnected. Also,  $\kappa(K_n) = n - 1$  for all positive integers  $n$ .

**Definition.** Let  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$  and let  $G$  be a graph with  $|G| \geq 2$ . If  $G - F$  is connected for all  $F \subseteq E$  with  $|F| < \ell$  then  $G$  is  **$\ell$ -edge-connected**.

The **edge connectivity**  $\lambda(G)$  is defined by

$$\lambda(G) = \max\{\ell : G \text{ is } \ell\text{-edge-connected}\}.$$

**Proposition 1.4.2.** If  $|G| \geq 2$  then  $\kappa(G) \leq \lambda(G) \leq \delta(G)$ .

**Theorem 1.4.3** (Mader, 1973). Let  $k$  be a positive integer. Every graph  $G$  with average degree at least  $4k$  has a  $(k + 1)$ -connected subgraph  $H$  with

$$\frac{|E(H)|}{|V(H)|} > \frac{|E(G)|}{|V(G)|} - k.$$

**Proof.** We write  $|G|$  instead of  $|V(G)|$ . Let  $\gamma = \frac{|E(G)|}{|G|} \geq 2k$ . Consider subgraphs  $G'$  of  $G$  which satisfy:

$$|G'| \geq 2k \quad \text{and} \quad |E(G')| > \gamma(|G'| - k). \quad (1.1)$$

such graphs  $G'$  exists as  $G$  satisfies 1.1. (Average degree of  $G$  is  $\frac{2|E(G)|}{|G|} \geq 4k$ , so

$$|G| \geq 4k \text{ and } \gamma(|G| - k) = |E(G)| \frac{(|G| - k)}{|G|} < |E(G)|.$$

Now let  $H$  be a subgraph of  $G$  of smallest order which satisfies 1.1. We continue the proof by proving three claims.

**Claim 1.** If  $G'$  satisfies 1.1 then  $|G'| > 2k$ .

**Proof.** If  $G'$  satisfies 1.1 and  $|G'| = 2k$  then  $|E(G')| > \gamma(|G'| - k) \geq 2k^2 > \binom{|G'|}{2}$ , contradiction.

**Claim 2.**  $S(H) > \gamma$ .

**Proof.** For a contradiction, suppose that  $S(H) \leq \gamma$ . Let  $G'$  be obtained from  $H$  by deleting a vertex of degree  $\leq \gamma$ . Then  $|G'| < |H|$  and  $G'$  satisfies 1.1, which is a contradiction. To see this, check:

$$\begin{aligned} |G'| &= |H| - 1 \geq 2k, \quad \text{by Claim 1, and} \\ |E(G')| &\geq |E(H)| - \gamma > \gamma(|H| - k - 1), \quad \text{as } H \text{ satisfies 1.1} \\ &= \gamma(|G'| - k). \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $S(H) > \gamma$ . It follows that  $|H| \geq \gamma$ . Thus,

$$\frac{|E(H)|}{|H|} > \frac{\gamma(|H| - k)}{|H|}. \quad (\text{as } H \text{ satisfies 1.1})$$

**Claim 3.**  $H$  is  $(k + 1)$ -connected.

**Proof.** By Claim 1,  $|H| \geq 2k + 1 \geq k + 2$  as  $k \geq 1$ . So  $H$  is large enough. For a contradiction, suppose that  $H$  is not  $(k + 1)$ -connected. Then  $H$  has a proper separation  $\{U_1, U_2\}$  of order at most  $k$ .

Let  $H_i = H[U_i]$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . Since any vertex  $v \in U_1 - U_2$  has  $d_H(v) \geq S(H) > \gamma$  (by Claim 2), and all neighbours of  $v$  in  $H$  belong to  $H_1$ , we have  $|H_1| \geq \gamma \geq 2k$ . Similarly,  $|H_2| \geq 2k$ . By minimality of  $H$ , neither  $H_1$  nor  $H_2$  satisfies 1.1. Hence  $|E(H_i)| \leq \gamma(|H_i| - k)$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . But then

$$\begin{aligned} |E(H)| &\leq |E(H_1)| + |E(H_2)| \\ &\leq \gamma(|H_1| + |H_2| - 2k) \\ &\leq \gamma(|H| - k), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{by inclusion-exclusion})$$

since  $|U_1 \cup U_2| \leq k$ . This contradicts 1.1 for  $H$ . So  $H$  is  $(k + 1)$ -connected, completing the proof of Claim 3 and of the theorem.  $\square$

## 1.5 Trees and Forests

A graph with no cycles is a **forest** (also called an acyclic graph). A connected graph with no cycles is a **tree**.

**Theorem 1.5.1.** The following are equivalent for a graph  $T$ :

- (i)  $T$  is a tree;
- (ii) Any two vertices of  $T$  are linked by a *unique* path in  $T$ ;
- (iii)  $T$  is *minimally connected*: that is,  $T$  is connected but  $T - e$  is disconnected for every  $e \in E(T)$ ;

- (iv)  $T$  is *maximally acyclic*: that is,  $T$  is acyclic but  $T + xy$  has a cycle for any two nonadjacent vertices  $x, y$  in  $T$ .

**Corollary 1.5.2.** If  $G$  is connected then  $G$  has a spanning tree.

**Proof.** Let  $G$  be a connected graph and let  $H$  be a minimal connected spanning subgraph of  $G$ . (Note  $H$  exists as  $G$  is a connected spanning subgraph of itself.) By theorem 1.5.1,  $H$  is a tree.  $\square$

**Corollary 1.5.3.** The vertices of a tree can be labelled as  $v_1, \dots, v_n$  so that for  $i \geq 2$ , vertex  $v_i$  has a unique neighbour in  $\{v_1, \dots, v_{i-1}\}$ .

**Proof.** We use the labelling from Proposition 1.4.1. This labels the vertices of a given tree  $G$  as  $v_1, \dots, v_n$  such that  $G[v_1, \dots, v_n]$  is connected. Let  $i \geq 1$  then  $G[v_1, \dots, v_i]$  is a tree. Note  $G[v_1, \dots, v_{i+1}]$  is connected by Proposition 1.4.1, so  $v_{i+1}$  has at least one neighbour in  $G[v_1, \dots, v_i]$ .

For a contradiction, suppose that  $v_{i+1}$  has two neighbours  $z$  and  $w$  in  $G[v_1, \dots, v_i]$ . There is a (unique) path  $P$  in  $G[v_1, \dots, v_i]$  between  $z$  and  $w$ , and this path does not visit  $v_{i+1}$ . Hence  $P \cup \{zv_{i+1}, wv_{i+1}\}$  is a cycle in  $G$ , contradiction.  $\square$

**Corollary 1.5.4.** A connected graph with  $n$  vertices is a tree if and only if it has  $n - 1$  edges.

**Proof.** Suppose that  $G$  is a tree on  $n$  vertices. The result is true when  $n = 1$ . Now suppose the result is true when  $n = k$ . Let  $G$  be a tree on  $k + 1$  vertices. Let  $v$  be a leaf in  $G$  (e.g. take an end vertex of a longest path in  $G$ .) Then  $G - v$  is a tree on  $k$  vertices, so  $G - v$  has  $k - 1$  edges (inductive hypothesis). Therefore  $G$  has  $k$  edges as  $v$  has degree 1. This concludes the proof, by induction.

Conversely, suppose that  $G$  is connected with  $n$  vertices and  $n - 1$  edges. Then  $G$  contains a spanning tree  $H$ , by an earlier corollary. Then  $H$  has exactly  $n - 1$  edges, since it is a tree on  $n$  vertices. Hence  $H = G$ , so  $G$  is a tree.  $\square$

**Corollary 1.5.5.** If  $T$  is a tree and  $G$  is any graph with  $\delta(G) \geq |T| - 1$  then  $G$  has a subgraph isomorphic to  $T$ .



# Chapter 2

## Matchings and Hamilton Cycles

Two edges in a graph are called **independent** if they have no vertices in common. A set  $M$  of pairwise independent edges in a graph is called a **matching**.

Given  $G = (V, E)$  and  $U \subseteq V$ , say that  $M \subseteq E$  is a **matching of  $U$**  if  $M$  is matching and every vertex in  $U$  is incident with an edge of  $M$ . We say that the vertices in  $U$  are matched by  $M$ , and that the vertices not incident with any edge of  $M$  are **unmatched**.

A matching  $M$  is a **maximal matching** of  $G$  if  $M \cup \{e\}$  is not a matching for any  $e \in E - M$ . A **maximum matching** of  $G$  is a matching of  $G$  such that no set of edges with size greater than  $|M|$  is a matching.

A **perfect matching** of  $G$  is a matching of  $G$  which matches every vertex of  $G$ . Note: a perfect matching is a 1-regular spanning subgraph of  $G$  also called a **1-factor** of  $G$ .

A  **$k$ -factor** is a  $k$ -regular spanning subgraph. A **2-factor** in a graph is the union of disjoint cycles which covers all the vertices.

### 2.1 Matchings in Bipartite Graphs

Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a bipartite graph with vertex bipartition  $V = A \cup B$ . Here  $A, B$  are nonempty disjoint sets. We use the convention that all vertices called  $a, a', a'', \dots$  belong to  $A$  and similarly for  $B$ .

Let  $M$  be matching in  $G$ . A path in  $G$  which starts at an *unmatched* vertex of  $A$  and contains, alternately, edges from  $E - M$  and from  $M$ , is called an **alternating path** with respect to  $M$ .

If an alternating path  $P$  ends in an unmatched vertex of  $B$  then it is called an **augmenting path**.

**Definition 2.1.1.** A set  $U \subseteq V$  is a **cover** (or **vertex cover**) of  $G$  if every edge of  $G$  is incident with a vertex in  $U$ .

**Theorem 2.1.2** (König, 1931). Let  $G$  be a bipartite graph. The size of a maximum matching in  $G$  is equal to the size of the minimum vertex cover of  $G$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\hat{U}$  be a cover in  $G$  and let  $M$  be a maximum matching. Then  $|\hat{U}| \geq |M|$  as we must cover every edge of  $M$ . Hence it suffices to construct a cover  $U$  of  $G$  with  $|U| = |M|$ .

We build  $U$  by choosing one vertex from each edge of  $M$  to place into  $U$ , as follows:

- If  $ab \in M$  and some alternating path in  $G$  with respect to  $M$  ends in  $b$ . Then put  $b$  into  $U$  otherwise put  $a$  into  $U$ .

Let  $ab \in E$ . If  $ab \in M$  then  $a \in U$  or  $b \in U$  by definition of  $U$ . Now assume  $abb \notin M$ . Since  $M$  is maximum, there exists  $a'b' \in M$  with  $a = a'$  or  $b = b'$ . If  $a$  is unmatched in  $M$  then  $b = b'$  for some  $a'b' \in M$ . Hence  $ab$  is an alternating path ending in  $b = b'$ , so we chose  $b'$  to go into  $U$  from the edge  $a'b' \in M$ . So the edge  $ab$  is covered by  $U$  in this case.

Hence we assume that  $a = a'$  for some  $a'b' \in M$ . If  $a = a' \in U$  then we are done. Otherwise  $b' \in U$ , so there is an alternating path  $P$  ending in  $b'$ . Then  $P = a_1b_1a_2b_2 \dots b'$ , and we have three cases:

- $P$  does not include  $a$  or  $b$ . Then  $Pab = a_1a_2 \dots b'ab$  is an alternating path in  $G$  with respect to  $M$ . By maximality of  $M$ ,  $b$  is matched or else we have an augmenting path. Hence  $b \in U$  as  $b$  is the chosen vertex from its matching edge.
- If  $b$  is on  $P$  before  $a$ , or  $b \in P$  and  $a \notin P$ , then  $P = a_1b_1a_2 \dots b \dots b'$ . Then we let  $P' = a_1b_1 \dots b$ . This is an alternating path ending in  $b$ , so finish proof as case above.
- If  $a$  is on  $P$  before  $b$ , or  $a \in P$  and  $b \notin P$ . Then  $P = a_1b_1 \dots a_rb_r \dots b'$  and we take  $P' = a_1b_1 \dots ab$ . This is an alternating path ending in  $b$ , so finish proof as case above.

This proves  $U$  is a cover of  $G$  and since  $|U| = |M|$ , this completes the proof.  $\square$

For a subset  $S \subseteq A$ , let  $N(S) = \bigcup_{v \in S} N(v)$  be the set of vertices in  $B$  which are neighbours of some vertex in  $S$ .

**Theorem 2.1.3** (Hall, 1935). Let  $G$  be a bipartite graph. Then  $G$  contains a matching of  $A$  if and only if

$$|N(S)| \geq |S| \quad \text{for all } S \subseteq A. \quad (2.1)$$

**Proof.** We have that this condition is necessary. Now suppose that (2.1) holds. For a contradiction, suppose that  $G$  has no matching of  $A$ . Then König's Theorem (Theorem 2.1.2) says that  $G$  has a cover  $U$  with  $|U| < |A|$ . Suppose that  $U = A' \cup B'$  with  $A' \subseteq A$  and  $B' \subseteq B$ . Then  $|A'| + |B'| = |U| < |A|$ , so  $|B'| < |A| - |A'| = |A - A'|$ . Since  $U$  is a cover,  $G$  has no edges from  $A - A'$  to  $B - B'$ . Hence  $N(A - A') \subseteq B'$ , and so  $|N(A - A')| \leq |B'| < |A - A'|$ . This contradicts Hall's condition 2.1 for  $S = A - A'$ . Hence  $G$  contains a matching of  $A$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.1.4.** Let  $G$  be a bipartite graph and  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ . If  $|N(S)| \geq |S| - d$  for all  $S \subseteq A$  then  $G$  has a matching of size  $|A| - d$ .

**Proof.** Add  $d$  new vertices to  $B$  and join each of them by an edge to each vertex of  $A$ . Then for all  $S \subseteq A$ , in the new graph  $G'$ ,  $|N_{G'}(S)| \geq |S| - d + d = |S|$ . Hall's condition is satisfied in  $G'$ . Therefore there is a matching  $M$  in  $G'$  which matches all of  $A$ . At least  $|A| - d$  edges in  $M$  are edges of  $G$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.1.5.** If  $G$  is a  $k$ -regular bipartite graph then  $G$  has a perfect matching.

**Proof.** Assume  $k \geq 1$ . Since  $G$  is  $k$ -regular,  $|E(G)| = k|A| = k|B|$ , so  $|A| = |B|$ . Hence it suffices to prove that  $G$  contains a matching of  $A$ . Every set  $S \subseteq A$  is joined to  $N(S)$  by a total of  $k|S|$  edges. These edges are a subset of the  $k|N(S)|$  edges incident with  $|N(S)|$ . Hence  $k|S| \leq k|N(S)|$

and dividing by  $k$  shows that Hall's condition holds. Thus,  $G$  has a matching of  $A$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.1.6.** Every regular graph of positive even degree has a 2-factor.

**Proof.** Let  $G$  be any  $2k$ -regular graph,  $k \geq 1$ . Without loss of generality, suppose that  $G$  is connected (or apply this argument to each component). By Theorem 1.3.1,  $G$  has an Euler tour  $v_0v_1 \dots v_{l-1}v_l$  where  $v_l = v_0, e_i = v_iv_{i+1} \in E(G)$  using each edge exactly once.

Replace each vertex  $v \in V$  with a pair of vertices  $v^-, v^+$ , and replace every edge  $e_i = v_iv_{i+1}$  by the edge  $v_i^+v_{i+1}^-$ . The resulting graph  $G'$  is a  $k$ -regular bipartite graph. Hence by Corollary 2.1.5,  $G'$  has a perfect matching (1-factor). Collapse every vertex pair  $(v^-, v^+)$  back into a single vertex  $v$ , for all  $v \in V$ . The 1-factor of  $G'$  becomes a 2-factor of  $G$ .  $\square$

## 2.2 Hamilton Cycles

A **Hamilton cycle** is a connected 2-factor. That is, it is a cycle which includes every vertex.

Say  $G$  is **Hamiltonian** if it contains a Hamilton cycle. A Hamiltonian graph  $G$  must be connected with minimum degree  $\delta(G) \geq 2$ .

**Theorem 2.2.1** (Dirac, 1952). Every graph with  $n \geq 3$  vertices and with minimum degree at least  $n/2$  has a Hamilton cycle.

**Proof.** Let  $G$  be a graph with minimum degree  $\geq n/2$  and  $n \geq 3$  vertices. Then  $G$  is connected, as otherwise the degree of any vertex in the smaller component must be  $< n/2$ . Let  $P = x_0 \dots x_k$  be a longest path in  $G$ . by maximality, all neighbours of  $x_0$  and  $x_k$  lie on  $P$ . So at least  $n/2$  of the vertices  $x_0, \dots, x_{k-1}$  are adjacent to  $x_k$  and at least  $n/2$  of these same vertices satisfy  $x_0x_{i+1} \in E(G)$ . By the pigeonhole principle, as  $k < n$ , there exists  $i \in \{0, \dots, k-1\}$  with  $x_0x_{i+1}, x_ix_k \in E(G)$ . This gives a cycle  $x_0x_1 \dots x_ix_k \dots x_{i+1}x_0$ . We claim this is a Hamilton cycle. If not then, as  $G$  is connected, there is some  $u \notin C$  with a neighbour  $v \in C$ . Then we can start at  $u$ , go to  $v$  then go around  $C$  (in some direction) and stop just before we reach  $v$  again (i.e. stop at  $x \in N_C(v)$ ). This gives a path which is longer than  $P$ , contradiction.  $\square$

## 2.3 Matchings in General Graphs

Given a graph  $G$ , let  $C_G$  be the set of its components and let  $q(G)$  denote the number of odd components (connected components having an odd number of vertices).

**Theorem 2.3.1** (Tutte, 1947). A graph  $G$  has a perfect matching if and only if

$$q(G - S) \leq |S| \quad \text{for all } S \subseteq V(G). \quad (2.2)$$

**Proof.** We have seen that the condition (2.2) is necessary: if  $G$  has a perfect matching then (2.2) holds. Now suppose that  $G$  has no perfect matching. We want to find a “bad” set  $S_0$  which fails condition (2.2). If  $|G|$  is odd then,  $S_0 = \emptyset$  is bad. So assume  $|G|$  is even.

**Claim 1.** If  $G'$  is obtained from  $G$  by adding edges and  $S_0 \subseteq V$  is bad for  $G'$  then  $S_0$  is bad for  $G$ .

**Proof.** If  $S_0$  bad for  $G'$  then  $q(G - S_0) > |S_0|$ . But each odd component of  $G' - S$  is a disjoint union of components of  $G - S$ , at least one of which must be odd. So  $q(G - S) \geq q(G' - S)$ .

Hence by Claim 1, we can assume that  $G$  has no perfect matching but adding any edge to  $G$  gives a graph  $G'$  which has a perfect matching.

**Claim 2.**  $S$  is a bad set for  $G$  if and only if all components of  $G - S$  are complete and every vertex in  $S$  is adjacent to all other vertices in  $G$ .

**Proof.** For proof, call the second half of the claim (\*). If  $S$  is bad for  $G$  but does satisfy (\*) then we can add an edge to  $G$  to get a graph  $G'$  with  $S$  still bad for  $G'$ . This contradicts our assumption on the maximality of  $G$ . Conversely suppose  $S$  satisfies (\*) but  $S$  is not bad. Then we can form a perfect matching since  $|G|$  is even. This is a contradiction as  $G$  has no perfect matching. Hence  $S$  is bad.

Define  $S_0 = \{v \in V : d_G(v) = n - 1\}$  to be the set of all vertices  $v$  in  $G$  which are adjacent to every other vertex  $w \neq v$ .

**Claim 3.**  $S_0$  is bad.

**Proof.** We need to show that  $S_0$  satisfies (\*). For a contradiction, suppose that  $S_0$  does not satisfy (\*). Then  $G - S_0$  has a component  $K$  which is not complete. Let  $a, a' \in V(K)$  with  $aa' \notin E(G)$ . Fix a shortest path from  $a$  to  $a'$  in  $K$  which starts  $abc \dots a'$ . Such a path has length  $\geq 2$  and  $ac \notin E(G)$ . Note  $b \in K$ , so  $b \in S_0$ , so there is some  $d \in V$  with  $bd \notin E$ . By maximality of  $G$ , there is a perfect matching  $M_1$  in  $G + ac$  and a perfect matching  $M_2$  in  $G + bd$ . Take a maximal path  $P$  in  $G$ , starting at  $d$  with an edge from  $M_1$ , and taking alternately edges from  $M_1$  and  $M_2$ . Say  $P = d \dots v$ .

- If the last edge of  $P$  is in  $M_1$  then  $v = b$  or we could extend  $P$ . Let  $C = P + bd$  (cycle in  $G + bd$ ).
- If the last edge of  $P$  is in  $M_2$  then  $v \in \{a, c\}$  as the  $M_1$  edge incident with  $v$  must be  $ac$ . Let  $C$  be the cycle  $d \dots vbd$ .

In each case,  $C$  is an alternating (even length) cycle in  $G + bd$  which contains  $bd$ . Form  $M'_2$  from  $M_2$  by replacing  $M_2 \cap C$  by  $C - M_2$ . This gives a perfect matching of  $G$ , contradiction. Hence  $S_0$  satisfies (\*), so Claim 3 holds and the proof is complete.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.3.2** (Petersen, 1891). Every bridge cubic (3-regular) graph has a perfect matching.

**Proof.** Let  $G$  be a bridgeless cubic graph. We prove that  $G$  satisfies Tutte's condition. Let  $S \subseteq V(G)$  be given and consider an odd component  $C$  of  $G - S$ . The sum of the degrees of vertices in  $C$  is  $3|C|$ , which is an odd number. Every edge with both end vertices in  $C$  contributes an even number to this sum. Hence the number of edges from  $C$  to  $S$  is odd.

As  $G$  has no bridge, there must be at least 3 edges from  $S$  to  $C$ . Therefore the number of edges from  $S$  to  $G - S$  is at least  $3q(G - S)$ . But the number of edges from  $S$  to  $G - S$  is bounded above by the sum of the degrees of vertices in  $S$ , which is  $3|S|$  as  $G$  is cubic. Hence  $3q(G - S) \leq \# \text{ edges from } S \text{ to } G - S \leq 3|S|$  and thus  $q(G - S) \leq |S|$ . Therefore by Tutte's Theorem,  $G$  has a perfect matching.  $\square$

# Chapter 3

## The Probabilistic Method

This chapter assumes knowledge of elementary probability knowledge. Content from first year is sufficient.

**Example 3.0.1.** Let  $\Omega$  be the set of all graphs on the vertex set  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . Then  $|\Omega| = 2^{\binom{n}{2}}$ . Define  $\pi(G) = \frac{1}{2^{\binom{n}{2}}}$  for all  $G \in \Omega$ . This is the *uniform model of random graphs*.

**Lemma 3.0.2.** The expected number of edges in a uniformly chosen graph on the vertex set  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  is  $\frac{1}{2} \binom{n}{2}$ .

**Proof.** (From Definition) For  $0 \leq m \leq \binom{n}{2} = N$ , there  $\binom{N}{m}$  are exactly of graphs on vertex set  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  with  $m$  edges. Let  $X$  be the number of edges in the random graph. Then

$$\begin{aligned} EX &= \sum_{m=0}^N \Pr(X = m) \cdot m \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^N \frac{\binom{N}{m}}{2^N} \cdot m \\ &= \frac{N}{2^N} \sum_{m=1}^N \frac{(N-1)!}{(m-1)!(N-m)!} \\ &= \frac{N}{2^N} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \binom{N-1}{j} \quad (j = m-1) \\ &= \frac{N}{2^N} 2^{N-1} \quad (\text{by the binomial theorem}) \\ &= \frac{N}{2} = \frac{1}{2} \binom{n}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Let  $A \subseteq \Omega$  be an event. The indicator variable  $I_A$  for  $A \subseteq \Omega$  is

$$I_A(z) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } z \in A \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

**Definition 3.0.3** (Linearity of Expectation). Let  $X_1, \dots, X_k$  be random variables on  $\Omega$  and let  $c_1, \dots, c_k \in \mathbb{R}$ . Define the random variable  $X = c_1X_1 + \dots + c_kX_k$ . Then

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = c_1\mathbb{E}[X_1] + c_2\mathbb{E}[X_2] + \dots + c_k\mathbb{E}[X_k].$$

**Definition 3.0.4** (Markov's Inequality). Suppose that  $X : \Omega \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is a nonnegative random variable on  $\Omega$  and let  $k > 0$ . Then

$$\Pr(X \geq k) \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}[X]}{k}.$$

In particular, if  $X$  is a nonnegative integer-valued random variable then

$$\Pr(X \neq 0) \leq \mathbb{E}[X].$$

Let  $k \geq 2$  be an integer. Events  $A_1, \dots, A_k$  in  $\Omega$  are **mutually independent** if for all  $j, \ell_1, \dots, \ell_j$  with  $2 \leq j \leq k$  and  $1 \leq \ell_1 < \ell_2 < \dots < \ell_j \leq k$ ,

$$\Pr\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^j A_{\ell_i}\right) = \prod_{i=1}^j \Pr(A_{\ell_i}).$$

**Lemma 3.0.5.** Let  $\Omega$  be the set of all subsets of some given set  $S$ , where  $|S| = n$ . Define a random set  $X \subseteq S$  by setting  $\Pr(x \in X) = \frac{1}{2}$ , independently for each  $x \in S$ . Then  $\Pr(X = A) = 2^{-n}$  for all  $A \subseteq S$ , so this gives the uniform probability space on  $\Omega$ .

**Proof.** Fix  $A \subseteq \Omega$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr(X = A) &= \prod_{x \in A} \Pr(\text{heads}) \cdot \prod_{x \notin A} \Pr(\text{tails}) && \text{(using independence)} \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{|A|} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{n-|A|} \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^n = 2^{-n} \end{aligned}$$

as claimed. □

**Theorem 3.0.6** (Alon & Spencer, Theorem 2.2.1). Let  $G$  be a graph with  $n$  vertices and  $m$  edges. Then  $G$  contains a bipartite subgraph with at least  $m/2$  edges.

**Proof.** Let  $\Omega$  be the set of all subsets of  $V(G)$ . Then  $|\Omega| = 2^n$ . Consider the uniform probability space on  $\Omega$ . Let  $A \subseteq V$  be a randomly chosen element of  $\Omega$  and define  $B = V - A$ . Call  $xy \in E(G)$  a crossing edge if exactly one of  $x, y$  belongs to  $A$ . Let  $X$  be the number of crossing edges. Finally, for each edge  $e \in E(G)$  define the indicator variable

$$X_e = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } e \text{ is a crossing edge,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then  $X = \sum_{e \in E(G)} X_e$ . For any  $e = xy \in E(G)$ , we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr(x \in A \text{ and } y \notin A) &= \Pr(x \in A) \Pr(y \notin A) && \text{(using independence)} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \times \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{4}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}X_e &= \Pr((x \in A \text{ and } y \notin A) \text{ or } (x \notin A \text{ and } y \in A)) \\ &= \Pr(x \in A \text{ and } y \notin A) + \Pr(x \notin A \text{ and } y \in A) && \text{(events are disjoint)} \\ &= \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{4} = \frac{1}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by linearity of expectation,

$$\mathbb{E}X = \sum_{e \in E(G)} \mathbb{E}X_e = \frac{m}{2}.$$

Thus there exists a fixed set  $A_0 \subseteq V(G)$  which has at least  $\frac{m}{2}$  crossing edges. The corresponding bipartition  $(A_0, V(G) - A_0)$  defines a bipartite subgraph consisting of the  $\geq \frac{m}{2}$  crossing edges.  $\square$

An **independent set** in a graph  $G$  is a subset  $U \subseteq V$  such that if  $v, w \in U$  then  $vw \notin E(G)$ . Let  $\alpha(G)$  be the size of a maximum independent set in  $G$ , called the **independence number**.

**Theorem 3.0.7.** Let  $G$  have  $n$  vertices and  $nd/2$  edges, where  $d \geq 1$ . Then  $\alpha(G) \geq \frac{n}{25T1d}$ . Note  $d$ , is the average degree of  $G$ .

**Proof.** Define the random subset  $S \subseteq V(G)$  by  $\Pr(v \in S) = p$ , independently for all  $v \in V$ . Here  $p \in [0, 1]$  which we will fix later.

Let  $X = |S|$  and let  $Y$  be the number of edges of  $G$  with both endvertices in  $S$ . Then  $\mathbb{E}X = pn$ . For  $e \in E(G)$  let  $Y_e$  be the indicator variable for the event  $e \subseteq S$ . Then for every  $e = xy \in E(G)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}Y_e &= \Pr(x \in S \text{ and } y \in S) \\ &= \Pr(x \in S) \cdot \Pr(y \in S) && \text{(by independence)} \\ &= p^2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by linearity of expectation and the fact that  $Y = \sum_{e \in E(G)} Y_e$  we have

$$\mathbb{E}Y = \sum_{e \in E(G)} \mathbb{E}Y_e = \frac{nd}{2}p^2.$$

By linearity of expectation,

$$\mathbb{E}(X - Y) = \mathbb{E}X - \mathbb{E}Y = pn - p^2 \frac{nd}{2}.$$

Want to choose  $p$  to maximise this, so  $p = \frac{1}{d}$  and  $p \in [0, 1]$ . Substituting gives  $\mathbb{E}(X - Y) = \frac{n}{2d}$ . Hence there exists a fixed set  $S_0 \subseteq V(G)$  with  $|S_0| - (\# \text{ edges in } S_0) \geq \frac{n}{2d}$ . Delete one vertex from each edge within  $S_0$  to give a set  $S^*$  of at least  $\frac{n}{2d}$  vertices which is an independent set.  $\square$

# Chapter 4

## Graph Colourings

A **vertex colouring** of a graph  $G = (V, E)$  is a function  $c : V \rightarrow S$  such that  $c(u) \neq c(v)$  whenever  $uv \in E$ . Here  $S$  is the set of available colours, usually  $S = \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$  for some positive integer  $k$ .

A  $k$ -colouring of  $G$  is a colouring  $c : V \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ . Often we want the smallest value of  $k$  for which a  $k$ -colouring of  $G$  exists. This smallest value of  $k$  is called the chromatic number of  $G$ , denoted  $\chi(G)$ .

If  $\chi(G) = k$  then  $G$  is said to be  $k$ -chromatic.

If  $\chi(G) \leq k$  then  $G$  is said to be  $k$ -colourable.

The set of all vertices in  $G$  with a given colour under  $c$  is called a colour class. Each colour class is an independent set.  $k$ -colouring is a partition of  $V(G)$  into  $k$  independent sets.

A **clique** in a graph  $G$  is a complete subgraph of  $G$ . The order of the largest clique in  $G$  is called the **clique number** of  $G$ , denoted  $\omega(G)$ .

Fact:  $\chi(G) \geq \omega(G)$  and  $\chi(G) \geq n/\alpha(G)$ .