

 search...


rnugroho

(https://profile.intra.42.fr)



Menu

profile.intra.42.fr

My projects (/)



Holy Graph (/projects/graph)

projects.intra.42.fr

List projects (/projects/list)



Available Cursus

(/cursus/subscriptions)

earning.intra.42.fr



Your projects

'forum.intra.42.fr)

Corewar (/projects/corewar)



ft\_ls (/projects/ft\_ls)

mpanies.intra.42.fr)

Savoir Relier (/projects/savoir-relier)



'meta.intra.42.fr)



'shop.intra.42.fr)

# SCALE FOR PROJECT CURRICULUM VITAE (/PROJECTS/CURRICULUM-VITAE)

You should correct 1 student in this team



Git repository

vogsphere@vogsphere.42.fr:intra/2018/activ 

## Introduction

We ask you for the good progress of this evaluation to respect the following rules:


- Remain courteous, polite, respectful and constructive in all situations during this exchange. The bond of trust between the community 42 and you depends on it.
- Highlight to the person (or group) assessed the possible malfunctions of work done, and take the time to discuss and discuss.
- Accept that there may sometimes be differences in interpretation of the subject's requests or the scope of the features. Stay open-minded about the other's vision (is he / she right or wrong?), And write as honestly as possible. The pedagogy of 42 only makes sense if the peer-assessment is done seriously.


## Guidelines

- You should evaluate only what is on the student's or group's rendering GiT repository.
- Make sure that the GiT repository is the one corresponding to the student or group and the project.
- Meticulously check that no malicious alias has been used to mislead you and make you evaluate anything other than the contents of the official repository.
- Any script deemed to facilitate the evaluation provided by one of the two parties must be rigorously checked by the other party to avoid unpleasant surprises.
- If the corrective student has not yet done this project, it is mandatory for this student to read the whole topic before starting this defense.
- Use the flags available on this scale to signal an empty rendering, non-functional, a standard fault if applicable, a cheating case, etc. In this case, the evaluation is completed and the final grade is 0 (or -42 in the special

case of cheating). However, except cheating cases, you are encouraged to continue to exchange around the work done (or not done precisely) to identify the problems that led to this situation and avoid them for the next rendering.

## Attachments

 Subject  
(/uploads/document/document/449/Projet\_CV.en.pdf)

 Sujet  
(/uploads/document/document/402/Projet\_CV.fr.pdf)

## The content

### Question 1

The picture is clear

☒ Yes

☐ No

### Question 2

The photo is professional (white background, neat outfit and hair, framing (face, neck, shoulders), net lighting)

☒ Yes

☐ No

### Question 3

The attitude of the candidate on the photo is professional (look at the objective, expression avenante)

☒ Yes

☐ No

### Question 4

Civil status is correctly mentioned (last name, first name, means of contact)

☒ Yes

☐ No

### Question 5

External references are present (facebook, website, github, ...) without so far listing

☒ Yes☐ No

---

**Question 6**

The job title to which the candidate is applying is mentioned

☒ Yes☐ No

---

**Question 7**

The type of contract desired or proposed is mentioned

☒ Yes☐ No

---

**Question 8**

The professional experience is a reasonable volume compared to the whole CV (for beginners on the job market, small jobs - macdo, baby sitting, cashier, maintenance agent ... - can be mentioned, possibly projects at school)

☒ Yes☐ No

---

**Question 9**

The experiences mention associative activities, volunteering

☒ Yes☐ No

---

**Question 10**

The professional experiences described testify the skills of the candidate

☒ Yes☐ No

---

**Question 11**

The professional experiences described testify to the candidate's well-being

☒ Yes☐ No

---

**Question 12**

For a CV in English and for the English market, the mention "English native language " must not be present.

☒ Yes☐ No

---

**Question 13**

The linguistic capacities are expressed either according to the European levels (A1, A2, B1, B2, C1, C2), or with an "operational" vocabulary, easily understandable and usable by the recruiters (bilingual, operational, beginner, .. .)

☒ Yes☐ No

---

**Question 14**

Professional and linguistic certificates are mentioned (manufacturer tests, TOEIC or TOEFL, ...)

☒ Yes☐ No

---

**Question 15**

Focused interests are directly or indirectly related to the position

☒ Yes☐ No

---

**Question 16**

The centers of interest described are accurate and not "boilerplate" like "reading " or "traveling " ...

☒ Yes☐ No

---

**Question 17**

Initial training is mentioned only from the Bac for those who have made a classical course in higher education. For others, the highest degree is mentioned as well as those relevant to the position. Justified exceptions are allowed.

☒ Yes☐ No

---

**Question 18**

There is 0 or 1 maximum spelling error

☒ Yes☐ No

## La Forme

### Question 1

The CV is on one page

☒ Yes

☐ No

### Question 2

The chosen font is neutral (type Helvetica, Georgia, Calibri). No Comic Without MS or WordArt effects

☒ Yes

☐ No

### Question 3

There are no more than 2 flash colors, the text contrasts well with the background, the background is not dark (will be poorly printed)

☒ Yes

☐ No

### Question 4

Paragraphs are aligned

☒ Yes

☐ No

### Question 5

The chips are identical

☒ Yes

☐ No

### Question 6

The conventional order is respected: marital status, professional experience and skills, preceded or followed by training, finally the centers of interest and languages

☒ Yes

☐ No

### Question 7

The CV presents a touch of originality without being exuberant

☒ Yes

☐ No

---

**Question 8**

The name of the file is reasonable for a recruiter ("name.handom.pdf" for example, "V2-CV.pdf " it's no) and the format is PDF

☒ Yes☐ No

---

**Question 9**

The email address is correct / professional (no "loubard95 @ hotmail" or "momolafrite @ caramail")

☒ Yes☐ No

---

**Question 10**

Professional experiences mention months ("June 2015 - December 2016") and not specific dates

☒ Yes☐ No

---

**Question 11**

The CV is not overloaded (useless info, icons and logos galore ...)

☒ Yes☐ No

---

**Question 12**

Specific technical tools were used (Photoshop, InDesign, ...)

☒ Yes☐ No

---

**Global vision**

---

**Question 1**

The CV can be visually apprehended easily, an impression of clarity emerges, and its overall understanding is easy

☒ Yes☐ No

---

**Question 2**

The CV clearly shows the candidate's background and allows easy comparison for the recruiter in the job.

☒ Yes

☐ No

## Conclusion

Leave a comment on this evaluation

**Finish evaluation**