Running funconstrain tests in package optimx

John C. Nash (profjcnash at gmail.com)

2024-04-08

Abstract

The funconstrain package (https://github.com/jlmelville/funconstrain) provides R users with a convenient tool to access the test functions of Moré, Garbow, and Hillstrom (1981). This vignette article describes a program to apply these test functions to solvers in the optimx package (Nash and Varadhan (2011)).

Background

Numerical optimization of functions of several, namely n, parameters is an important computational task. R (R Development Core Team (2008)) is a major platform for scientific and statistical calculations and has provided tools for numerical optimization and nonlinear least squares since its inception. These have been extended via a number of packages. In particular, the author has been heavily involved in this effort, and in collaboration with others has provided the package optimx which wraps a number of solvers to allow their invocation by a common calling syntax. Note that optimization in R generally means function minimization, possibly with bounds (or box) constraints on the function parameters.

It is extremely helpful to users to have examples and tests of function minimization. In many situations it is extremely easy to insert an error into code, so easy-to-apply tests allow for the discovery of such errors. There are a number of collections of test functions with many overlaps and minor differences. A well-established and well-documented set of such functions are those of Moré, Garbow, and Hillstrom (1981). These have been translated into R by James Melville in the R package funconstrain (https://github.com/jlmelville/funconstrain). While initially these provided the function and its gradient given a set of suitable input parameters, the present author added code to compute the Hessian for each test function. This allows Newton-like solvers to be applied. funconstrain also provides suggested initial parameter vectors for each of the 35 test functions. However, where there are multiple input possibilities, just one is provided, for example when the test function has a variable number of parameters.

What is then missing is the link between funconstrain and the tools in optimx, which this article aims to provide.

Function fufn()

Most of the test functions in Moré, Garbow, and Hillstrom (1981) are sums of squares of nonlinear functions. While n is the number of parameters, we may have a different number of functions squared in the summation. Call this m. This may be altered to give different variations of a given function, so m must be provided.

Many of the solvers in optimx are capable of handling bounds constraints on the n parameters. That is parameter i must satisfy

where prm is the parameter vector and lower and upper are vectors of numbers providing lower and upper bounds. Methods in optimx that can handle masks are listed in the character vector bdmeth returned by the function optimx::ctrldefault(n). Note that a number of parameters n must nominally be provided to $\mathtt{ctrldefault}()$ but generally \mathtt{n} can be specified as 2 to get the default settings for \mathtt{optimx} . At time of writing

Note that to use the lbfgsb3c, and lbfgs methods, you must install the lbfgsb3c and lbfgs packages.

If the upper and lower bound for a parameter are equal, we can say the parameter is **fixed** or **masked**. This may seem to be a silly option, since it essentially reduces the dimensionality of the problem. However, there are many situations where we have evidence that a parameter takes a particular (fixed) value, but know that we may wish to allow optimization over that parameter in later investigations. Masks allow us to avoid having to rewrite the function, gradient and Hessian code. However, only a few optimization solvers handle masks. The function <code>optimx::ctrldefault()</code> returns a value <code>maskmeth</code> with a list of solvers that do handle the situation where lower and upper bounds coincide. At the time of writing this is specified as

```
maskmeth <- c("Rcgmin", "nvm", "hjn", "ncg", "snewtonm", "nlminb", "L-BFGS-B")</pre>
```

With the above in mind, the function fufn() was written to access the test functions of funconstrain.

Calling fufn()

The function fufn() takes one parameter, the numeric value of the test that you want parameters for. See Appendix A below for a list of the test function names and numbers. For example, running fufn(1) will return parameters for rosen().

While we can write our own driver for fufn(), I wanted to make the task extremely easy. Thus the function fufnrun is provided. This is set up to use a simple text file, RFO.txt, to specify which test functions are to be applied to which solvers. Moreover, a "sink" file name can be specified to save the text output of the run.

Test specification file RFO.txt

Let us consider an example.

```
testsink240408A.txt
1, 9, 9, 1, 6:8, 35
c("L-BFGS-B", "lbfgs", "lbfgsb3c", "lbfgs")
FALSE
```

The lines of the above file provide the following information:

- the first line is the name of the text file to use to save the output via sink().
- line 2 says that test functions 1, 6, 7, 8, and 35 are to be used. Note that we can use the colon ":" when giving a contiguous range of function numbers. These numbers by referring back to the vector funnam at the top of function fufn() specify functions "rosen", "jenn-samp", "helical", "bard" and "chebyquad". Using the function numbers. Appendix A lists the numbers and corresponding names. The specification 1:35 uses all test functions. The program removes duplicate problem numbers and sorts the list in ascending order.
- line 3 gives an R character vector of the solver methods to be applied. At the time of writing, there is no check for duplicate entries in the vector.
- line 4 is TRUE if the experimental bounds constraints are to be applied.

A driver program for fufn()

The fufnrun function is a driver for fufn(). It takes one parameter, the path to a file (by default RFO.txt) containing the specification above. It reads the file and then calls fufn() with the specified parameters. If you save the output above to a file, e.g. path/to/RFO.txt and ensure optimx, lbfgs and lbfgsb3c are installed, run:

```
fufnrun("/path/to/RFO.txt")
```

and the results of the evaluation will be logged to the console.

Using the Hessian

funconstrain can generate the Hessian function for the test problems. The following specification script will run all problems using three solvers capable of taking advantage of the Hessian.

```
testsink230410A.txt
1:35
c("nlm", "nlminb", "snewtm")
FALSE
```

The classic WOOD test function returns results

```
Problem: wood
       p1 s1 p2 s2 p3 s3 p4 s4
                                         value fevals gevals hevals conv kkt1 kkt2 xtime
nlminb
                     1
                            1
                                 6.637402e-29
                                                   55
                                                           44
                                                                   44
                                                                         O TRUE TRUE 0.001
                                                                         O TRUE TRUE 0.004
snewtm
                     1
                            1
                                 3.930599e-27
                                                   71
                                                           49
                                                                   48
               1
               1
                     1
                            1
                                 1.004941e-16
                                                  354
                                                          354
                                                                 354
                                                                         O TRUE TRUE 0.005
nlm
        1
END : wood
```

Here we see different performance of three methods. Method snewtm is a stabilized Newton method which is part of package optimx. While intended mainly as a didactic exercise, this solver has done well on this problem.

Bounded parameters

We can also try the same problems with the experimental bounds constraints via the specification script:

```
testsink230410B.txt
1:35
c("nlm", "nlminb", "snewtm")
TRUE
```

For the WOOD function, the results are now

```
Problem: wood
Non-bounds methods requested: [1] "nlm"
                p2 s2
                         p3 s3
                                 p4 s4
                                         value fevals gevals hevals conv
                                                                         kkt1 kkt2 xtime
nlminb -0.9 U -0.9 U -0.9
                             U -0.9
                                    U 707.199
                                                    7
                                                           6
                                                                       O FALSE TRUE 0.000
                                                                  6
snewtm -0.9
            U -0.9
                    U -0.9
                             U -0.9
                                    U 707.199
                                                    6
                                                           5
                                                                       O FALSE TRUE 0.001
END: wood
```

Note that method nml is not set up to handle bounds and is automatically dropped by function opm(). We also see that the solution found (in both cases) is at the upper bound on all parameters, which is indicated by the status (i.e. "s") columns of the output table.

Appendix A: function numbers and names

```
1
        rosen
2
        freud_roth
3
        powell_bs
4
        brown_bs
5
        beale
6
        jenn_samp
7
        helical
8
        bard
9
        gauss
10
        meyer
        gulf
11
12
        box 3d
13
        powell_s
14
        wood
15
        kow_osb
16
        brown_den
        osborne_1
17
18
        biggs_exp6
19
        osborne_2
20
        watson
21
        ex_rosen
22
        ex_powell
23
        penalty_1
24
        penalty_2
25
        var_dim
26
        trigon
27
        brown al
28
        disc_bv
29
        disc_ie
        broyden_tri
30
31
        broyden_band
        linfun_fr
32
33
        linfun_r1
34
        linfun_r1z
35
        chebyquad
```

References

Moré, Jorge J., Burton S. Garbow, and Kenneth E. Hillstrom. 1981. "Testing Unconstrained Optimization Software." *J-Toms* 7 (1): 17–41.

Nash, John C, and Ravi Varadhan. 2011. Optimx: A Replacement and Extension of the optim() Function. Nash Information Services Inc.; Johns Hopkins University.

R Development Core Team. 2008. *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing*. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing. http://www.R-project.org.