COMP460: Algorithms and Complexity Solutions to Homework #2

Jose Luis Rodriguez

February 8, 2017

1 (HW2). The operation HEAP-DELETE (A, i) deletes the item in node i from heap A. Give an implementation of HEAP-DELETE that runs in $\mathcal{O}(lgn)$ time for an n-element-max-heap (the type used in class).

Solution. The operation Heap-Delete(A, i) can be implemented by modifying the Max-Heapify(A, i) procedure. Such that we check if the given node is a parent or child node. If its a parent node we determine the largest child then we exchange the parent node with the largest child and asign a NULL value to the parent node. Finally we call Heapify(A, largest) to make sure that we dont violate the Max-Heap property.

```
1: procedure HEAP-DELETE(A, i)
        l \leftarrow \text{Left}(i)
 2:
        r \leftarrow \text{Right}(i)
 3:
       if l AND r are NULL then
 4:
 5:
           A[i] = \text{NULL}
        else
 6:
           if l \leq A.Heap-size AND A[l] > A[r] then
 7:
               largest = l
 8:
           else largest = r
 9:
            Exchange A[i] with A[largest]
10:
            A[i] = \text{NULL}
11:
           A = \text{Heapify}(A, largest)
12:
13:
        return A
14: end procedure
```

2 (HW2). Show that quicksort's best-case running time is $\Omega(nlgn)$. Note that the result was stated in class, but we didn't prove that the best case corresponds to splitting the array evenly at each stage.

Solution. Recall that quicksort worst-case is given by: $T(n) \leq c(n-1)^2 + \Theta(n)$ such that $\Theta(n) \leq cn^2$ for large enough constant c. The average case is given by: $T(n) = \Theta(n) + anlgn - \frac{a}{4}n$ such that $T(n) \leq anlgn$, for large enough constant a. Now we can use induction, to show that best running time case is $\Omega(nlgn)$ for an input of size n. The base case is given by $n_0 = 1$ such that T(1) = 1. Now with $n_0 > 1$ and for $n \geq n_0$ and the recurrence relation given by : T(n) = 2T(n/2) + n. We have that:

$$T(n) = T(n/2) + n$$

$$= 2(2T(n/4) + n/2) + n$$

$$= 4T(n/4) + n + n$$

$$= 4T(2T(n/8) + n/4) + n + n$$

$$= 8T(n/8) + n + n + n$$

$$= 8T(2T(n/8) + n/4) + n + n + n$$

$$= 16T(n/8) + n + n + n + n$$

$$\vdots$$

$$= nT(1) + n + n + \dots + n$$

$$\leq \Omega(nlgn)$$
(1)

3 (HW2). Suppose we change the Counting-Sort line:

for $j \leftarrow n$ downto 1 TO for $j \leftarrow 1$ to n

Show that the algoriths still works properly. Is the modified algorithm stable?

Solution. Suppose we have an array A of size N and there are k elements such that $a_1 = a_2 = \cdots = a_i$. Where a_1 appears first in orders in the input array A and a_i appear after a_1 . Now for this version of the algorithm, lets a_1 appear at position x then it's place in the output array B will be given by B[x]. Now lets place the element a_2 that appeared after a_1 at B[x-1] in the output array. Hence the new version of the algorithm works but reversed the order of the input, since it violates the stable property as the input a_2 that was after a_1 is placed first in the output array.