## POLS 7012 Final Exam 2021

## Joe Ornstein

## Due December 15, 2021 @ 5pm

Abaluck et al. (2021) conducted a large-scale randomized control trial in nearly 600 Bangladeshi villages to assess whether a public health intervention would successfully increase the rate of proper mask-wearing during the COVID-19 pandemic – and if that, in turn, would reduce the rate of infection. You can find a repository of the study's data and Stata code here. For the final exam, we'll replicate some of their findings. Please write and submit an R script (optionally: an RMarkdown document) that conducts the following analyses, knitted to a PDF document:

- 1. Load two datasets from the repository. First, the 00\_common/00\_raw/union\_baseline\_blood\_stats.dta dataset, which reports the percent of residents in each village (union) with positive COVID-19 symptoms at baseline before the intervention began. Second, the surveillance data surv\_data.dta, which includes 42,207 observations of mask-wearing behavior.
- 2. What is the advantage of conducting a randomized experiment to answer this research question? If we only had observational data on public health interventions and proper mask-wearing, what are some back door paths you would be concerned about confounding your estimates?
- 3. Looking at the baseline symptoms data, what is the average rate of COVID-19 symptoms in the treated vs. control villages? Test the hypothesis that the difference in baseline COVID-19 symptom rates between treatment and control villages is zero. Would you say that he randomization successfully conditioned on pre-treatment rates of infection?
- 4. Now onto the surveillance data. First we need to clean it up. Create a village-level dataset (i.e. one row for each village) summarizing the percent of residents who were observed properly wearing masks during the study period (week\_gen 2 through 6).
- 5. Keep only the villages that have baseline symptoms data, and join the baseline symptoms variable into your new village-level dataset.
- 6. What was the average rate of proper mask-wearing in the control villages vs. the treatment villages? Plot the relationship (a jitter plot looked nice for me, but do what works for you).
- 7. Test the hypothesis that the difference in proper mask-wearing rates between treated and control villages is zero.
- 8. Check out Table 1 of the paper. In the first row, first column, the authors report the coefficient estimate and standard error from a linear model of average mask-wearing, conditioning on four variables: (1) treatment status, (2) pair ID, (3) rate of baseline symptoms, (4) rate of baseline mask wearing. I've looked all over their repository and I can't find the data file with baseline mask wearing. But we have the first three. Estimate a linear model with those three covariates and interpret the results.
- 9. Non-Mandatory Bonus Fun: Why did I make you create a village-level dataset before analyzing the data? Why couldn't you just analyze an individual-level dataset? Using the dataset in

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Hint: Because each row in surv contains multiple observations spread across a bunch of columns (the mask\_a column), we need to pivot those columns so that each row is a unique observation. Once you've done that, group\_by() and summarize() are your best friends.

<code>problem-sets/final-2021/potential-outcomes.csv^2</code> simulate two sampling distributions for the difference-in-means: one where individuals are randomly sampled and assigned treatment, and one where villages are randomly sampled and assigned treatment. Compare the standard errors from these two sampling distributions.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>This is a fabricated dataset; we know it's fabricated because it includes both the potential outcome under treatment and the potential outcome under control.