Programming Languages (Langages Evolué)

Roel Wuyts Scheme

Outline

- Variables and Scoping
- Macroes
- Imperative Programming
- Modeling Objects
- Streams
- Conclusion

Getting in the mood again :-)

```
;; something with lists
(define (enumerate-interval low high)
  (if (> low high)
      (()
      (cons low (enumerate-interval (+ low 1) high))))
(enumerate-interval 10 16) => (10 11 12 13 14 15 16)
;; a higher-order procedure
(define (accumulate op initial sequence)
  (if (null? sequence)
      initial
      (op (car sequence)
          (accumulate op initial (cdr sequence)))))
(accumulate + 0 '(1 5 9 14)) = > 29
```

Variables and Scoping

Example

```
n => ERROR: Undefined global variable n
(define n 5)
n => 5
(* 4 n) => 20
```

- Variables and scoping:
 - (define name expression)
 - (lambda...
 - (let...

Local Variables, lambda

```
(define (f x))
      (/(+(square x) 1)
         (-(square x) 1)))
(define (f x))
      (define y (square x))
      (/ (+ y 1) (- y 1)))
y => error
(define \times 20)
(define add2
   (lambda (x)
       (set! x (+ x 2))
   x))
(add2 3) = 5
(add2 \times) = 22
x = > 20
```

Local Variables and let

```
(define (f x))
      (define (f-help y)
       (/ (+ y 1) (- y 1)))
      (f-help (square x)))
(define (f x))
      ((lambda (y)
         (/ (+ y 1) (- y 1)))
       (square x)))
 (define (f x))
      (let ( (y (square x)) )
         (/ (+ y 1) (- y 1))))
```

Let & Lambda

```
(let ( (variable-1 expression-1)
         (variable-2 expression-2)
         (variable-n expression-n) )
      body)
  is syntactic sugar for
  ((lambda (variable-1 ... variable-n) body)
      expression-1
     expression-n)
```

Let and Lambda: Example

```
(let ((x (* 4 5))
	(y 3))
	(+ x y))
((lambda (x y) (+ x y))
	(* 4 5) 3)
```

Let examples

More let Examples

```
(let ( (x 1)
     (y 2)
      (z 3))
  (list x y z))
                      => (123)
(define \times 20)
(let ( (x 1)
  (y x)
  (+ \times y)
                      => 21
(let ( (cons (lambda (x y) (+ x y))) )
  (cons 1 2)) => 3
```

Let*

```
(let ( (x 2)
      (y 3))
  (let* (x 7)
       (z (+ x y)))
    (+zx))
                         => 17
(let* ( (x 1)
    (y x)
  (+ \times y)
                         => 2
;; equivalent to
(let ( (x 1) )
  (let ( (y x) )
   (+ \times u)))
                        => 2
```

Letrec

• local-even? and local-odd? in the initializations don't refer to the lexical variables themselves.

- Changing the let to a let* won't work
 - the local-odd? in local-even? 's body still points elsewhere.

Letrec Examples

Named let

 Previous example can be written shorter using a named let

Example

Macroes

- Used to define special forms
- Specifies a purely textual transformation from code text to other code text.

Example

Rewriting

Takes an S-expression, produces an S-expression

```
(when (< \times 2)
   (display "something")
   (display "else))
                      (lambda (test . branch)
                         (list 'if test
                           (cons 'begin branch)))
                      '((\langle \times 2)
                      (display "something")
                         (display "else)))
(if (\langle \times 2 \rangle
    (begin
      (display "something")
      (display "else))
```

Using templates

- Return of the backquote
- Defining when again:

- Inserting arguments in backquoted expressions:
 - , insert result of evaluating expression
 - ,@ removes outermost parentheses, then evaluates and inserts the result

Evaluation of arguments

We already saw that this does not work:

```
(define (my-if test true false)
   (cond (test true)
    (else false)))

(my-if (> 3 2) (display "t") (display "n"))
   => tn
```

Using a macro:

Imperative Programming

- By default Scheme has no side effects
 - No variables
- Imperative programming: manipulate variables
 - Destructively change contents in memory
- Three special forms used:
 - set!
 - set-car!
 - set-cdr!

set!

- (set! name new-value)
- Example

```
(define x 5)
(+ 1 x) => 6
(set! x 10)
x => 10
```

Bank account example

Bank account with local state

Withdraw processor

```
(define (make-withdraw balance)
    (lambda (amount)
        (set! balance (- balance amount))
        balance))

(define my-withdrawer (make-withdraw 100))
(my-withdrawer 20) => 80
(my-withdrawer 10) => 70
```

Cost of Assignment

- Hard to model objects and assignment
- Without assignment, two evaluations produce same result
 - compute mathematical functions
- When are things "the same"?
- Order of assignments is important
 - Amazing that we can do it actually!
- Concurreny is really problematic

Modeling Objects

- Classes are created as dispatch tables
- Example

Bank account OOP style

```
(define (new-account balance)
  (define (withdraw amount)
     (if ( > = balance amount)
        (begin
          (set! balance (- balance amount))
          balance)
        "insufficient funds"))
  (define (deposit amount)
     (set! balance (+ balance amount))
     balance)
  (define (status) balance)
  (define (dispatch m)
     (cond
        ((eq? m 'withdraw) withdraw)
        ((eq? m 'deposit) deposit)
        ((eq? m 'status) status)
        (else (error "unknown request" m)
     dispatch)
```

Bank account usage

```
(define acc (new-account 100))
acc => #procedure:dispatch>

((acc 'withdraw) 20) => 80
((acc 'withdraw) 10) => 70
((acc 'deposit) 40) => 110
((acc 'status)) => 110
```

Sending messages

```
(define (send object msg)
   (if (null? (cdr msg))
        ((object (car msg)))
        ((object (car msg)) (cadr msg))))

(send acc '(withdraw 30)) => 90
(send acc '(deposit 10)) => 100
(send acc '(status)) => 100
```

Different accounts

```
;; two separate accounts
(define jean-acc (new-account 1000))
(define pierre-acc (new-account 1000))
(send jean-acc '(withdraw 100)) => 900
(send tom-acc 'status) => 900
(send pierre-acc 'status) => 1000
:: two shared accounts
(define francois-acc (make-account 1000))
(define laurent-acc francois-acc)
(send francois-acc '(withdraw 100)) => 900
(send francois-acc 'status) => 900
(send laurent-acc 'status) => 900
```

Sequences as Streams

- Modeling the world:
 - model real-world objects with local state by: computational objects with local variables
 - time variation in the real-world by:
 time variation in the computer
- Reflection: represent time-varying behavior of quantity of x as a function of time x(t)
 - instant by instant: x is a changing quantity
 - mathematically: the function does not change!

Stream

- Streams
 - simply a sequence
 - so we can use lists
 - but shines when using delayed evaluation

Using lists for streams

- Pro: sophisticated procedures available
- Con: severe time and space penalty
- Example: compare:

Stream Idea

- use sequence manipulations without incurring the cost of manipulating sequences as lists.
- Idea: construct streams partially, and pass these partial constructs to the program that consumes the stream
 - when the consumer needs part of the stream that has not yet been constructed, the stream will automatically construct just enough more of itself
 - maintains illusion that it completely exists

Stream implementation

```
;;Later on we define stream-cons, stream-car, stream-cdr such that
;; (stream-car (stream-cons x y)) = x
;; (stream-cdr (stream-cons x y)) = y
(define the-empty-stream '())
(define (stream-null? str)
 (eqv? the-empty-stream str))
(define (stream-ref s n)
  (if (= n 0))
         (stream-car s)
         (stream-ref (stream-cdr s) (- n 1))))
(define (stream-map proc s)
       (stream-null? s)
  (if
         the-empty-stream
         (cons-stream (proc (stream-car s))
         (stream-map proc (stream-cdr s)))))
```

Stream implementation (ctd)

```
(define (stream-for-each proc s)
  (if
         (stream-null? s)
         'done
         (beain
                 (proc (stream-car s))
                  (stream-for-each proc (stream-cdr s)))))
(define (stream-accumulate op initial str)
  (if (stream-null? str)
      initial
      (op (stream-car str)
          (stream-accumulate op initial (stream-cdr str)))))
(define (stream-filter predicate str)
  (cond ((stream-null? str) '())
        ((predicate (stream-car str))
         (cons-stream (stream-car str)
               (stream-filter predicate (stream-cdr str))))
        (else (stream-filter predicate (stream-cdr str)))))
(define (display-stream s)
   (stream-for-each display-line s))
(define (display-line x)
   (newline) (display x))
```

stream-cons, -car, -cdr

```
(define (stream-car stream)
                                  ;;just return car
   (car stream))
(define (stream-cdr stream)
                                  ;; force evaluation of rest when needed
   (force (cdr stream)))
(define-macro cons-stream
                                  ;;delay evaluation until needed
  (lambda (a b)
    `(cons ,a (delay ,b))))
(define-macro delay
                                  ;;return promise for exp
   (lambda (expr)
      `(lambda () ,expr)))
(define (force delayed-object)
                                 ;; force delay to fulfill promise
   (delayed-object))
```

Examples

```
(stream-enumerate-interval 15 20) => (15 . #<struct:promise>)
(display-stream (stream-enumerate-interval 15 20)) =>
15
16
17
18
19
20
(display-stream
   (stream-filter (lambda (x) (\geq x 18))
   (stream-enumerate-interval 15 20)))
18
19
20
(define (stream-sum-primes a b)
  (stream-accumulate +
               (stream-filter prime? (stream-enumerate-interval a b))))
```

Memoizing

Infinite Streams

- Streams can represent sequences that are infinetely long
- Example:

```
(define (integers-from n)
   (cons-stream n (integers-from (+ n 1))))
(define integers (integers-from 1))
```

Infinite Stream Manipulation

- Infinite streams can then be manipulated efficiently
- Example

Stepping Back...

• Remember:

• With streams:

- Stream version has no assignment and no state!!
 - looks like having state for user!!

Ramifications

- Functional version does not appear to change over time
 - compare with iterative approach
 - cfr. relativity in physics
- But problems arise when sharing banc-accounts
 - same as for imperative programming

Wrap-up

- Scheme's syntax and evaluation allows for extending the language easily using macroes
- Imperative programming poses serious problems
 - but promotes modularity
- Lazy evaluation
 - is easy to get in Scheme
 - circumvents problems of assignment and state
 - allws to manipulate (infinite) sequences easily

References

http://www.ulb.ac.be/di/rwuyts/INFO020_2003/