

DOR → Mid-sem

Roll No. → 2021 PCS 1017

Name → Ambuj Mishra

Que ① → To use skip-list, we need to skip element if we want to use it "efficiently".

for example →

Betty → [1] → [5] → [8] → [11] → [12] → [16]

Cake → [11]

→ This is an example which shows the inverted indexes of Betty & Cake. So, for following cases →

①. Betty AND cake → we can use skip-list as we only need to search & keep intersection pts.

②. Betty OR cake → using skip-list will not be efficient. As we have to traverse through both lists anyway.

Que ③ →

word ① → [2, 4, 5, 11, 14, 17, 19, 23, 28, 31, 34, 67, 89, 91, 145, 167, 180];

word ② → [67];

size(word1) = 17
size(word2) = 1

To find the intersection → with skip length = 3, comparisons are made as following →

<u>Comparison No.</u>	<u>Comparison Detail</u>	<u>Comparison Result</u>
1	2 and 67	→ skip 3 values bcoz lesser no.
2	11 and 67	→ " "

3	→ 19 and 67	→ "
4	→ 31 and 67	→ "
5	→ 89 and 67	→ Since value became greater. So, it's not skipped here but checked in this interval throughout
6	→ 34 and 67	→ Not matched
7	→ 67 and 67	→ <u>Matched</u>

No. of comp.ⁿ. \Rightarrow (7).

Note \rightarrow assuming that skip list is not made using Doubly LL but only singly LL and moving in forward dir.ⁿ only. Otherwise, we can move back & reduce comp.ⁿ as well.

Que 2 \rightarrow (Tang. or Trees) AND (Orange OR Mangoes) AND (Fruits OR Veg.)

Note \rightarrow idea: we'll do the processing in order of increasing frequencies starting from smaller set. Mainly becoz of the reason that T.C. of intersection
 (7) AND op.ⁿ is \Rightarrow $O(\text{smaller set size})$

estimated size of \rightarrow

(i) \rightarrow (Tang. or Trees) = $46k + 316k = (362k)$

(ii) \rightarrow (Orange OR Mangoes) = $107k + 271k = (378k)$

(iii) \rightarrow (Fruits OR Veg.) = $213k + 87k = (300k)$

we need to calculate → (i) AND (ii) AND (iii).

Query processing order → {(ii) AND (i)} AND (iii).

→ we'll take intersection of smallest set (iii) with (i) & then intersect it with (ii).

Modifications → (i). We can use term-frequency as well. which will give directly idea about its posting size

(ii). we ~~have assumed~~ have to assume that $[X \text{ OR } Y = \text{size}(X) + \text{size}(Y)]$; although it's not always true. As 2 sets having 990 values & 990 values will have higher probability of resulting in larger union sets than 2 sets with 500 values, Although $\text{size}(X) + \text{size}(Y)$ is smaller. (Depends upon similarity).

Que 5 → step 1 → Tokenization → And [Normalization] →

Doc 1 → ["Betty", "bought", "a", "butterscotch cake"]

Doc 2 → ["The", "cake", "was", "very", "bitter"]

Doc 3 → ["Betty", "returned", "the", "cake", "to", "the", "cake", "was", "bitter"]

Doc 4 → ["Betty", "got", "a", "new", "cake", "and", "lost", "the", "party"]

{ Note → using Normalization we Removed symbols. (', -, ') & replaced with NULL }

step ② → stop-words →

["a", "The", "was", "very", "and", "new"] will be removed from all the documents, if they are present.

step ③ → stemming →

{ "Cakes" → "cake"

"Bitter" → "Bitt"

"Returned" → "Return"

"and contd" → "and cont" } → These

"Grt" → "Grt"

changes are made throughout the documents

After that posting list →

"Betty" → ① → ② → ③

"Bought" → ①

"Butterscotch cake" → ①

"cake" → ② → ③ → ④

"Bitt" → ② → ③

"return" → ③

"Grt" → ④

"and cont" → ④

"party" → ④

→ Now sort, on the basis of Dictionary key

That is final result of posting.

(sorry, No time).

Dictionary

posting.