

Homotopy Type Theory

Categories

9.1 Categories and precategories

D 9.1.1. A **precategory** A consists of the following.

- (i). A type A_0 , whose elements are called **objects**. We write $a : A$ for $a : A_0$.
- (ii). For each $a, b : A$, a set $\text{hom}_A(a, b)$, whose elements are called **arrows** or **morphisms**.
- (iii). For each $a : A$, a morphism $1_a : \text{hom}_A(a, a)$, called the **identity morphism**.
- (iv). For each $a, b, c : A$, a function

$$\text{hom}_A(b, c) \rightarrow \text{hom}_A(a, b) \rightarrow \text{hom}_A(a, c)$$

called **composition**, and denoted infix by $g \mapsto f \mapsto g \circ f$, or sometimes simply by gf .

- (v). For each $a, b : A$ and $f : \text{hom}_A(a, b)$, we have $f = 1_b \circ f$ and $f = f \circ 1_a$.
- (vi). For each $a, b, c, d : A$ and

$$f : \text{hom}_A(a, b), \quad g : \text{hom}_A(b, c), \quad h : \text{hom}_A(c, d),$$

we have $h \circ (g \circ f) = (h \circ g) \circ f$.

D 9.1.2. A morphism $f : \text{hom}_A(a, b)$ is an **isomorphism** if there is a morphism $g : \text{hom}_A(b, a)$ such that $g \circ f = 1_a$ and $f \circ g = 1_b$. We write $a \cong b$ for the type of such isomorphisms.

L 9.1.3. For any $f : \text{hom}_A(a, b)$, the type “ f is an isomorphism” is a mere proposition. Therefore, for any $a, b : A$ the type $a \cong b$ is a set.

L 9.1.4 (idtoiso). If A is a precategory and $a, b : A$, then

$$(a = b) \rightarrow (a \cong b).$$

E 9.1.5. There is a precategory Set , whose type of objects is Set , and with $\text{hom}_{\text{Set}}(A, B) := (A \rightarrow B)$. The identity morphisms are identity functions and the composition is function composition. For this precategory, $??$ is equal to (the restriction to sets of) the map idtoeqv from $??$.

Of course, to be more precise we should call this category $\text{Set}_{\mathcal{U}}$, since its objects are only the *small sets* relative to a universe \mathcal{U} .

D 9.1.6. A **category** is a precategory such that for all $a, b : A$, the function $\text{idtoiso}_{a,b}$ from $??$ is an equivalence.

E 9.1.7. The univalence axiom implies immediately that Set is a category. One can also show, using univalence, that any precategory of set-level structures such as groups, rings, topological spaces, etc. is a category; see $??$.

L 9.1.8. In a category, the type of objects is a 1-type.

L 9.1.9. For $p : a = a'$ and $q : b = b'$ and $f : \text{hom}_A(a, b)$, we have

$$(p, q)_*(f) = \text{idtoiso}(q) \circ f \circ \text{idtoiso}(p)^{-1}. \quad (9.1.10)$$

E 9.1.11. A precategory in which each set $\text{hom}_A(a, b)$ is a mere proposition is equivalently a type A_0 equipped with a mere relation “ \leq ” that is reflexive ($a \leq a$) and transitive (if $a \leq b$ and $b \leq c$, then $a \leq c$). We call this a **preorder**.

In a preorder, a witness $f : a \leq b$ is an isomorphism just when there exists some witness $g : b \leq a$. Thus, $a \cong b$ is the mere proposition that $a \leq b$ and $b \leq a$. Therefore, a preorder A is a category just when (1) each type $a = b$ is a mere proposition, and (2) for any $a, b : A_0$ there exists a function $(a \cong b) \rightarrow (a = b)$. In other words, A_0 must be a set, and \leq must be antisymmetric (if $a \leq b$ and $b \leq a$, then $a = b$). We call this a **(partial) order** or a **poset**.

E 9.1.12. If A is a category, then A_0 is a set if and only if for any $a, b : A_0$, the type $a \cong b$ is a mere proposition. This is equivalent to saying that every isomorphism in A is an identity; thus it is rather stronger than the classical notion of “skeletal” category. Categories of this sort are sometimes called **gaunt** $??$. There is not really any notion of “skeletality” for our categories, unless one considers $??$ itself to be such.

E 9.1.13. For any 1-type X , there is a category with X as its type of objects and with $\text{hom}(x, y) := (x = y)$. If X is a set, we call this the **discrete** category on X . In general, we call it a **groupoid** (see $??$).

E 9.1.14. For any type X , there is a precategory with X as its type of objects and with $\text{hom}(x, y) := \|x = y\|_0$. The composition operation

$$\|y = z\|_0 \rightarrow \|x = y\|_0 \rightarrow \|x = z\|_0$$

is defined by induction on truncation from concatenation $(y = z) \rightarrow (x = y) \rightarrow (x = z)$. We call this the **fundamental pregroupoid** of X . (In fact, we have met it already in $??$; see also $??$.)

E 9.1.15. There is a precategory whose type of objects is \mathcal{U} and with $\text{hom}(X, Y) := \|X \rightarrow Y\|_0$, and composition defined by induction on truncation from ordinary composition $(Y \rightarrow Z) \rightarrow (X \rightarrow Y) \rightarrow (X \rightarrow Z)$. We call this the **homotopy precategory of types**.

E 9.1.16. Let $\mathcal{R}el$ be the following precategory:

- Its objects are sets.
- $\text{hom}_{\mathcal{R}el}(X, Y) = X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow \text{Prop}$.
- For a set X , we have $1_X(x, x') := (x = x')$.
- For $R : \text{hom}_{\mathcal{R}el}(X, Y)$ and $S : \text{hom}_{\mathcal{R}el}(Y, Z)$, their composite is defined by

$$(S \circ R)(x, z) := \left\| \sum_{y:Y} R(x, y) \times S(y, z) \right\|.$$

Suppose $R : \text{hom}_{\mathcal{R}el}(X, Y)$ is an isomorphism, with inverse S . We observe the following.

- (i). If $R(x, y)$ and $S(y', x)$, then $(R \circ S)(y', y)$, and hence $y' = y$. Similarly, if $R(x, y)$ and $S(y, x')$, then $x = x'$.
- (ii). For any x , we have $x = x$, hence $(S \circ R)(x, x)$. Thus, there merely exists a $y : Y$ such that $R(x, y)$ and $S(y, x)$.
- (iii). Suppose $R(x, y)$. By \langle , there merely exists a y' with $R(x, y')$ and $S(y', x)$. But then by \langle , merely $y' = y$, and hence $y' = y$ since Y is a set. Therefore, by transporting $S(y', x)$ along this equality, we have $S(y, x)$. In conclusion, $R(x, y) \rightarrow S(y, x)$. Similarly, $S(y, x) \rightarrow R(x, y)$.

- (iv). If $R(x, y)$ and $R(x, y')$, then by \langle , $S(y', x)$, so that by \langle , $y = y'$. Thus, for any x there is at most one y such that $R(x, y)$. And by \langle , there merely exists such a y , hence there exists such a y .

In conclusion, if $R : \text{hom}_{\mathcal{R}el}(X, Y)$ is an isomorphism, then for each $x : X$ there is exactly one $y : Y$ such that $R(x, y)$, and dually. Thus, there is a function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ sending each x to this y , which is an equivalence; hence $X = Y$. With a little more work, we conclude that $\mathcal{R}el$ is a category.

9.2 Functors and transformations

D 9.2.1. Let A and B be precategories. A **functor** $F : A \rightarrow B$ consists of

- (i). A function $F_0 : A_0 \rightarrow B_0$, generally also denoted F .
- (ii). For each $a, b : A$, a function $F_{a,b} : \text{hom}_A(a, b) \rightarrow \text{hom}_B(Fa, Fb)$, generally also denoted F .
- (iii). For each $a : A$, we have $F(1_a) = 1_{Fa}$.
- (iv). For each $a, b, c : A$ and $f : \text{hom}_A(a, b)$ and $g : \text{hom}_A(b, c)$, we have

$$F(g \circ f) = Fg \circ Ff.$$

D 9.2.2. For functors $F, G : A \rightarrow B$, a **natural transformation** $\gamma : F \rightarrow G$ consists of

- (i). For each $a : A$, a morphism $\gamma_a : \text{hom}_B(Fa, Ga)$ (the “components”).
- (ii). For each $a, b : A$ and $f : \text{hom}_A(a, b)$, we have $Gf \circ \gamma_a = \gamma_b \circ Ff$ (the “naturality axiom”).

D 9.2.3. For precategories A, B , there is a precategory B^A , called the **functor precategory**, defined by

- $(B^A)_0$ is the type of functors from A to B .
- $\text{hom}_{B^A}(F, G)$ is the type of natural transformations from F to G .

L 9.2.4. A natural transformation $\gamma : F \rightarrow G$ is an isomorphism in B^A if and only if each γ_a is an isomorphism in B .

T 9.2.5. If A is a precategory and B is a category, then B^A is a category.

D 9.2.6. For functors $F : A \rightarrow B$ and $G : B \rightarrow C$, their composite $G \circ F : A \rightarrow C$ is given by

- The composite $(G_0 \circ F_0) : A_0 \rightarrow C_0$
- For each $a, b : A$, the composite

$$(G_{Fa, Fb} \circ F_{a,b}) : \text{hom}_A(a, b) \rightarrow \text{hom}_C(GFa, GFb).$$

It is easy to check the axioms.

D 9.2.7. For functors $F : A \rightarrow B$ and $G, H : B \rightarrow C$ and a natural transformation $\gamma : G \rightarrow H$, the composite $(\gamma F) : GF \rightarrow HF$ is given by

- For each $a : A$, the component γ_{Fa} .

Naturality is easy to check. Similarly, for γ as above and $K : C \rightarrow D$, the composite $(K\gamma) : KG \rightarrow KH$ is given by

- For each $b : B$, the component $K(\gamma_b)$.

L 9.2.8. For functors $F, G : A \rightarrow B$ and $H, K : B \rightarrow C$ and natural transformations $\gamma : F \rightarrow G$ and $\delta : H \rightarrow K$, we have

$$(\delta G)(H\gamma) = (K\gamma)(\delta F).$$

L 9.2.9. Composition of functors is associative: $H(GF) = (HG)F$.

L 9.2.10. $??$ is coherent, i.e. the following pentagon of equalities commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & K(H(GF)) & \\ \swarrow & & \searrow \\ (KH)(GF) & & K((HG)F) \\ \parallel & & \parallel \\ ((KH)G)F & \xlongequal{\quad} & (K(HG))F \end{array}$$

L 9.2.11. For a functor $F : A \rightarrow B$, we have equalities $(1_B \circ F) = F$ and $(F \circ 1_A) = F$, such that given also $G : B \rightarrow C$, the following triangle of equalities commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G \circ (1_B \circ F) & \xlongequal{\quad} & (G \circ 1_B) \circ F \\ & \searrow \quad \swarrow & \\ & G \circ F & \end{array}$$

9.3 Adjunctions

D 9.3.1. A functor $F : A \rightarrow B$ is a **left adjoint** if there exists

- A functor $G : B \rightarrow A$.
- A natural transformation $\eta : 1_A \rightarrow GF$ (the **unit**).
- A natural transformation $\epsilon : FG \rightarrow 1_B$ (the **counit**).
- $(\epsilon F)(F\eta) = 1_F$.
- $(G\epsilon)(\eta G) = 1_G$.

L 9.3.2. If A is a category (but B may be only a precategory), then the type “ F is a left adjoint” is a mere proposition.

9.4 Equivalences

D 9.4.1. A functor $F : A \rightarrow B$ is an **equivalence of (pre)categories** if it is a left adjoint for which η and ϵ are isomorphisms. We write $A \simeq B$ for the type of equivalences of categories from A to B .

L 9.4.2. If for $F : A \rightarrow B$ there exists $G : B \rightarrow A$ and isomorphisms $GF \cong 1_A$ and $FG \cong 1_B$, then F is an equivalence of precategories.

D 9.4.3. We say a functor $F : A \rightarrow B$ is **faithful** if for all $a, b : A$, the function

$$F_{a,b} : \text{hom}_A(a, b) \rightarrow \text{hom}_B(Fa, Fb)$$

is injective, and **full** if for all $a, b : A$ this function is surjective. If it is both (hence each $F_{a,b}$ is an equivalence) we say F is **fully faithful**.

D 9.4.4. We say a functor $F : A \rightarrow B$ is **split essentially surjective** if for all $b : B$ there exists an $a : A$ such that $Fa \simeq b$.

L 9.4.5. For any precategories A and B and functor $F : A \rightarrow B$, the following types are equivalent.

- F is an equivalence of precategories.
- F is fully faithful and split essentially surjective.

D 9.4.6. A functor $F : A \rightarrow B$ is **essentially surjective** if for all $b : B$, there merely exists an $a : A$ such that $Fa \simeq b$. We say F is a **weak equivalence** if it is fully faithful and essentially surjective.

L 9.4.7. If $F : A \rightarrow B$ is fully faithful and A is a category, then for any $b : B$ the type $\sum_{(a:A)} (Fa \simeq b)$ is a mere proposition. Hence a functor between categories is an equivalence if and only if it is a weak equivalence.

D 9.4.8. A functor $F : A \rightarrow B$ is an **isomorphism of (pre)categories** if F is fully faithful and $F_0 : A_0 \rightarrow B_0$ is an equivalence of types.

L 9.4.9. For precategories A and B and $F : A \rightarrow B$, the following are equivalent.

- F is an isomorphism of precategories.
- There exist $G : B \rightarrow A$ and $\eta : 1_A = GF$ and $\epsilon : FG = 1_B$ such that

$$\text{ap}(\lambda H. FH)(\eta) = \text{ap}(\lambda K. KF)(\epsilon^{-1}). \quad (9.4.10)$$

- There merely exist $G : B \rightarrow A$ and $\eta : 1_A = GF$ and $\epsilon : FG = 1_B$.

Proof. First note that since hom-sets are sets, equalities between equalities of functors are uniquely determined by their object-parts. Thus, by function extensionality, (9) is equivalent to

$$(F_0)(\eta_0)_a = (\epsilon_0)^{-1}_{F_0 a}. \quad (9.4.11)$$

for all $a : A_0$. Note that this is precisely the triangle identity for G_0, η_0 , and ϵ_0 to be a proof that F_0 is a half adjoint equivalence of types. Now suppose (. Let $G_0 : B_0 \rightarrow A_0$ be the inverse of F_0 , with $\eta_0 : \text{id}_{A_0} = G_0 F_0$ and $\epsilon_0 : F_0 G_0 = \text{id}_{B_0}$ satisfying the triangle identity, which is precisely (9). Now define $G_{b,b'} : \text{hom}_B(b, b') \rightarrow \text{hom}_A(G_0 b, G_0 b')$ by

$$G_{b,b'}(g) \equiv (F_{G_0 b, G_0 b'})^{-1} \left(\text{idtoiso}((\epsilon_0)^{-1}_{b'}) \circ g \circ \text{idtoiso}((\epsilon_0)_b) \right)$$

(using the assumption that F is fully faithful). Since idtoiso takes inverses to inverses and concatenation to composition, and F is a functor, it follows that G is a functor. By definition, we have $(GF)_0 \equiv G_0 F_0$, which is equal to id_{A_0} by η_0 . To obtain $1_A = GF$, we need to show that when transported along η_0 , the identity function of $\text{hom}_A(a, a')$ becomes equal to the composite $G_{Fa, Fa'} \circ F_{a, a'}$. In other words, for any $f : \text{hom}_A(a, a')$ we must have

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{idtoiso}((\eta_0)_{a'}) \circ f \circ \text{idtoiso}((\eta_0)^{-1}_a) \\ &= (F_{GFa, GFa'})^{-1} \left(\text{idtoiso}((\epsilon_0)^{-1}_{F_{a'}}) \circ F_{a, a'}(f) \circ \text{idtoiso}((\epsilon_0)_{Fa}) \right). \end{aligned}$$

But this is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} & (F_{GFa, GFa'}) \left(\text{idtoiso}((\eta_0)_{a'}) \circ f \circ \text{idtoiso}((\eta_0)^{-1}_a) \right) \\ &= \text{idtoiso}((\epsilon_0)^{-1}_{F_{a'}}) \circ F_{a, a'}(f) \circ \text{idtoiso}((\epsilon_0)_{Fa}). \end{aligned}$$

which follows from functoriality of F , the fact that F preserves idtoiso , and (9). Thus we have $\eta : 1_A = GF$. On the other side, we have $(FG)_0 \equiv F_0 G_0$, which is equal to id_{B_0} by ϵ_0 . To obtain $FG = 1_B$, we need to show that when transported along ϵ_0 , the identity function of $\text{hom}_B(b, b')$ becomes equal to the composite $F_{Gb, Gb'} \circ G_{b, b'}$. That is, for any $g : \text{hom}_B(b, b')$ we must have

$$\begin{aligned} & F_{Gb, Gb'} \left((F_{Gb, Gb'})^{-1} \left(\text{idtoiso}((\epsilon_0)^{-1}_{b'}) \circ g \circ \text{idtoiso}((\epsilon_0)_b) \right) \right) \\ &= \text{idtoiso}((\epsilon_0)^{-1}_{b'}) \circ g \circ \text{idtoiso}((\epsilon_0)_b). \end{aligned}$$

But this is just the fact that $(F_{Gb, Gb'})^{-1}$ is the inverse of $F_{Gb, Gb'}$. And we have remarked that (9) is equivalent to (9), so (holds. Conversely, suppose given (; then the object-parts of G, η , and ϵ together with (9) show that F_0 is an equivalence of types. And for $a, a' : A_0$, we define $\overline{G}_{a, a'} : \text{hom}_B(Fa, Fa') \rightarrow \text{hom}_A(a, a')$ by

$$\overline{G}_{a, a'}(g) \equiv \text{idtoiso}(\eta^{-1})_{a'} \circ G(g) \circ \text{idtoiso}(\eta)_a. \quad (9.4.12)$$

By naturality of $\text{idtoiso}(\eta)$, for any $f : \text{hom}_A(a, a')$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{G}_{a, a'}(F_{a, a'}(f)) &= \text{idtoiso}(\eta^{-1})_{a'} \circ G(F(f)) \circ \text{idtoiso}(\eta)_a \\ &= \text{idtoiso}(\eta^{-1})_{a'} \circ \text{idtoiso}(\eta)_{a'} \circ f \\ &= f. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, for $g : \text{hom}_B(Fa, Fa')$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} F_{a, a'}(\overline{G}_{a, a'}(g)) &= F(\text{idtoiso}(\eta^{-1})_{a'}) \circ F(G(g)) \circ F(\text{idtoiso}(\eta)_a) \\ &= \text{idtoiso}(\epsilon)_{Fa'} \circ F(G(g)) \circ \text{idtoiso}(\epsilon^{-1})_{Fa} \\ &= \text{idtoiso}(\epsilon)_{Fa'} \circ \text{idtoiso}(\epsilon^{-1})_{Fa'} \circ g \\ &= g. \end{aligned}$$

(There are lemmas needed here regarding the compatibility of idtoiso and whiskering, which we leave it to the reader to state and prove.) Thus, $F_{a, a'}$ is an equivalence, so F is fully faithful; i.e. (holds. Now the composite $(\rightarrow)(\rightarrow)$ is equal to the identity since (is a mere proposition. On the other side, tracing through the above constructions we see that the composite $(\rightarrow)(\rightarrow)$ essentially preserves the object-parts G_0, η_0, ϵ_0 , and the object-part of (9). And in the latter three cases, the object-part is all there is, since hom-sets are sets. Thus, it suffices to show that we recover the action of G on hom-sets. In other words, we must show that if $g : \text{hom}_B(b, b')$, then

$$G_{b, b'}(g) = \overline{G}_{G_0 b, G_0 b'} \left(\text{idtoiso}((\epsilon_0)^{-1}_{b'}) \circ g \circ \text{idtoiso}((\epsilon_0)_b) \right)$$

where \overline{G} is defined by (9). However, this follows from functoriality of G and the other triangle identity, which we have seen in ?? is equivalent to (9).

Now since (is a mere proposition, so is (, so it suffices to show they are logically equivalent to (. Of course, $(\rightarrow)(\rightarrow)$, so let us assume (. Since (is a

mere proposition, we may assume given G, η , and ϵ . Then G_0 along with η and ϵ imply that F_0 is an equivalence. Moreover, we also have natural isomorphisms $\text{idtoiso}(\eta) : 1_A \cong GF$ and $\text{idtoiso}(\epsilon) : FG \cong 1_B$, so by ??, F is an equivalence of precategories, and in particular fully faithful. \square

E 9.4.13. Let X be a type and $x_0 : X$ an element, and let X_{ch} denote the chaotic or indiscrete precategory on X . By definition, we have $(X_{\text{ch}})_0 := X$, and $\text{hom}_{X_{\text{ch}}}(x, x') := \mathbf{1}$ for all x, x' . Then the unique functor $X_{\text{ch}} \rightarrow \mathbf{1}$ is an equivalence of precategories, but not an isomorphism unless X is contractible.

This example also shows that a precategory can be equivalent to a category without itself being a category. Of course, if a precategory is isomorphic to a category, then it must itself be a category.

L 9.4.14. For categories A and B , a functor $F : A \rightarrow B$ is an equivalence of categories if and only if it is an isomorphism of categories.

L 9.4.15. If A and B are precategories, then the function

$$(A = B) \rightarrow (A \cong B)$$

(defined by induction from the identity functor) is an equivalence of types.

T 9.4.16. If A and B are categories, then the function

$$(A = B) \rightarrow (A \cong B)$$

(defined by induction from the identity functor) is an equivalence of types.

9.5 The Yoneda lemma

D 9.5.1. For a precategory A , its **opposite** A^{op} is a precategory with the same type of objects, with $\text{hom}_{A^{\text{op}}}(a, b) := \text{hom}_A(b, a)$, and with identities and composition inherited from A .

D 9.5.2. For precategories A and B , their **product** $A \times B$ is a precategory with $(A \times B)_0 := A_0 \times B_0$ and

$$\text{hom}_{A \times B}((a, b), (a', b')) := \text{hom}_A(a, a') \times \text{hom}_B(b, b').$$

Identities are defined by $1_{(a, b)} := (1_a, 1_b)$ and composition by

$$(g, g')(f, f') := ((gf), (g'f')).$$

L 9.5.3. For precategories A, B, C , the following types are equivalent.

- (i). Functors $A \times B \rightarrow C$.
- (ii). Functors $A \rightarrow C^B$.

Now for any precategory A , we have a hom-functor

$$\text{hom}_A : A^{\text{op}} \times A \rightarrow \text{Set}.$$

It takes a pair $(a, b) : (A^{\text{op}})_0 \times A_0 \cong A_0 \times A_0$ to the set $\text{hom}_A(a, b)$. For a morphism $(f, f') : \text{hom}_{A^{\text{op}} \times A}((a, b), (a', b'))$, by definition we have $f : \text{hom}_A(a', a)$ and $f' : \text{hom}_A(b, b')$, so we can define

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{hom}_A)_{(a, b), (a', b')}(f, f') &:= (g \mapsto (f'gf)) \\ &: \text{hom}_A(a, b) \rightarrow \text{hom}_A(a', b'). \end{aligned}$$

Functoriality is easy to check.

T 9.5.4 (The Yoneda lemma). For any precategory A , any $a : A$, and any functor $F : \text{Set}^{A^{\text{op}}}$, we have an isomorphism

$$\text{hom}_{\text{Set}^{A^{\text{op}}}}(\mathbf{y}_a, F) \cong Fa. \quad (9.5.5)$$

Moreover, this is natural in both a and F .

C 9.5.6. The Yoneda embedding $\mathbf{y} : A \rightarrow \text{Set}^{A^{\text{op}}}$ is fully faithful.

C 9.5.7. If A is a category, then $\mathbf{y}_0 : A_0 \rightarrow (\text{Set}^{A^{\text{op}}})_0$ is an embedding. In particular, if $\mathbf{y}a = \mathbf{y}b$, then $a = b$.

D 9.5.8. A functor $F : \text{Set}^{A^{\text{op}}}$ is said to be **representable** if there exists $a : A$ and an isomorphism $\mathbf{y}a \cong F$.

T 9.5.9. If A is a category, then the type “ F is representable” is a mere proposition.

L 9.5.10. For any precategories A and B and a functor $F : A \rightarrow B$, the following types are equivalent.

- (i). F is a left adjoint.
- (ii). For each $b : B$, the functor $(a \mapsto \text{hom}_B(Fa, b))$ from A^{op} to Set is representable.

C 9.5.11. [??] If A is a category and $F : A \rightarrow B$, then the type “ F is a left adjoint” is a mere proposition.

9.6 Strict categories

D 9.6.1. A **strict category** is a precategory whose type of objects is a set.

E 9.6.2. Let A be a precategory and $x : A$ an object. Then there is a precategory $\text{mono}(A, x)$ as follows:

- Its objects consist of an object $y : A$ and a monomorphism $m : \text{hom}_A(y, x)$. (As usual, $m : \text{hom}_A(y, x)$ is a **monomorphism** (or is **monic**) if $(m \circ f = m \circ g) \Rightarrow (f = g)$.)
- Its morphisms from (y, m) to (z, n) are arbitrary morphisms from y to z in A (not necessarily respecting m and n).

An equality $(y, m) = (z, n)$ of objects in $\text{mono}(A, x)$ consists of an equality $p : y = z$ and an equality $p_*(m) = n$, which by ?? is equivalently an equality $m = n \circ \text{idtoiso}(p)$. Since hom-sets are sets, the type of such equalities is a mere proposition. But since m and n are monomorphisms, the type of morphisms f such that $m = n \circ f$ is also a (iii). mere proposition. Thus, if A is a category, then $(y, m) = (z, n)$ is a mere proposition, and hence $\text{mono}(A, x)$ is a strict category.

E 9.6.3. Let E/F be a finite Galois extension of fields, and G its Galois group. Then there is a strict category whose objects are intermediate fields $F \subseteq K \subseteq E$, and whose morphisms are field homomorphisms which fix F pointwise (but need not commute with the inclusions into E). There is another strict category whose objects are subgroups $H \subseteq G$, and whose morphisms are morphisms of G -sets $G/H \rightarrow G/K$. The fundamental theorem of Galois theory says that these two precategories are isomorphic (not merely equivalent).

9.7 \dagger -categories

D 9.7.1. A **\dagger -precategory** is a precategory A together with the following.

- (i). For each $x, y : A$, a function $(-)^{\dagger} : \text{hom}_A(x, y) \rightarrow \text{hom}_A(y, x)$.
- (ii). For all $x : A$, we have $(1_x)^{\dagger} = 1_x$.
- (iii). For all f, g we have $(g \circ f)^{\dagger} = f^{\dagger} \circ g^{\dagger}$.
- (iv). For all f we have $(f^{\dagger})^{\dagger} = f$.

D 9.7.2. A morphism $f : \text{hom}_A(x, y)$ in a \dagger -precategory is **unitary** if $f^{\dagger} \circ f = 1_x$ and $f \circ f^{\dagger} = 1_y$.

L 9.7.3. If $p : (x = y)$, then $\text{idtoiso}(p)$ is unitary.

D 9.7.4. A **\dagger -category** is a \dagger -precategory such that for all $x, y : A$, the function

$$(x = y) \rightarrow (x \cong^{\dagger} y)$$

from ?? is an equivalence.

E 9.7.5. The category $\mathcal{R}el$ from ?? becomes a \dagger -precategory if we define $(R^{\dagger})(y, x) := R(x, y)$. The proof that $\mathcal{R}el$ is a category actually shows that every isomorphism is unitary; hence $\mathcal{R}el$ is also a \dagger -category.

E 9.7.6. Any groupoid becomes a \dagger -category if we define $f^{\dagger} := f^{-1}$.

E 9.7.7. Let $\mathcal{H}ilb$ be the following precategory.

- Its objects are finite-dimensional vector spaces equipped with an inner product $\langle -, - \rangle$.
- Its morphisms are arbitrary linear maps.

By standard linear algebra, any linear map $f : V \rightarrow W$ between finite dimensional inner product spaces has a uniquely defined adjoint $f^{\dagger} : W \rightarrow V$, characterized by $\langle fv, w \rangle = \langle v, f^{\dagger}w \rangle$. In this way, $\mathcal{H}ilb$ becomes a \dagger -precategory. Moreover, a linear isomorphism is unitary precisely when it is an **isometry**, i.e. $\langle fv, fw \rangle = \langle v, w \rangle$. It follows from this that $\mathcal{H}ilb$ is a \dagger -category, though it is not a category (not every linear isomorphism is unitary).

9.8 The structure identity principle

D 9.8.1. A **notion of structure** (P, H) over X consists of the following.

- (i). A type family $P : X_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$. For each $x : X_0$ the elements of Px are called (P, H) -**structures** on x .
- (ii). For $x, y : X_0$ and $\alpha : Px$, $\beta : Py$, to each $f : \text{hom}_X(x, y)$ a mere proposition

$$H_{\alpha\beta}(f).$$

If $H_{\alpha\beta}(f)$ is true, we say that f is a (P, H) -**homomorphism** from α to β .

For $x : X_0$ and $\alpha : Px$, we have $H_{\alpha\alpha}(1_x)$.

For $x, y, z : X_0$ and $\alpha : Px$, $\beta : Py$, $\gamma : Pz$, if $f : \text{hom}_X(x, y)$ and $g : \text{hom}_X(y, z)$, we have

$$H_{\alpha\beta}(f) \rightarrow H_{\beta\gamma}(g) \rightarrow H_{\alpha\gamma}(g \circ f).$$

When (P, H) is a notion of structure, for $\alpha, \beta : Px$ we define

$$(\alpha \leq_x \beta) := H_{\alpha\beta}(1_x).$$

By (and (, this is a preorder (??) with Px its type of objects. We say that (P, H) is a **standard notion of structure** if this preorder is in fact a partial order, for all $x : X$.

T 9.8.2 (Structure identity principle). If X is a category and (P, H) is a standard notion of structure over X , then the precategory $\mathbf{Str}_{(P,H)}(X)$ is a category.

E 9.8.3. Let A be a precategory and B a category. There is a precategory B^{A_0} whose objects are functions $A_0 \rightarrow B_0$, and whose set of morphisms from $F_0 : A_0 \rightarrow B_0$ to $G_0 : A_0 \rightarrow B_0$ is $\prod_{(a:A_0)} \mathbf{hom}_B(F_0 a, G_0 a)$.

Composition and identities are inherited directly from those in B . It is easy to show that $\gamma : \mathbf{hom}_{B^{A_0}}(F_0, G_0)$ is an isomorphism exactly when each component γ_a is an isomorphism, so that we have $(F_0 \cong G_0) \simeq \prod_{(a:A_0)} (F_0 a \cong G_0 a)$. Moreover, the map $\text{idtoiso} : (F_0 = G_0) \rightarrow (F_0 \cong G_0)$ of B^{A_0} is equal to the composite

$$(F_0 = G_0) \rightarrow \prod_{a:A_0} (F_0 a = G_0 a) \rightarrow \prod_{a:A_0} (F_0 a \cong G_0 a) \rightarrow (F_0 \cong G_0)$$

in which the first map is an equivalence by function extensionality, the second because it is a dependent product of equivalences (since B is a category), and the third as remarked above. Thus, B^{A_0} is a category.

Now we define a notion of structure on B^{A_0} for which $P(F_0)$ is the type of operations $F : \prod_{(a,a':A_0)} \mathbf{hom}_A(a, a') \rightarrow \mathbf{hom}_B(F_0 a, F_0 a')$ which extend F_0 to a functor (i.e. preserve composition and identities). This is a set since each $\mathbf{hom}_B(-, -)$ is so. Given such F and G , we define $\gamma : \mathbf{hom}_{B^{A_0}}(F_0, G_0)$ to be a homomorphism if it forms a natural transformation. In ?? we essentially verified that this is a notion of structure. Moreover, if F and F' are both structures on F_0 and the identity is a natural transformation from F to F' , then for any $f : \mathbf{hom}_A(a, a')$ we have $F' f = F' f \circ 1_{F_0 a} = 1_{F_0 a} \circ F f = F f$. Applying

function extensionality, we conclude $F = F'$. Thus, we have a standard notion of structure, and so by ??, the precategory B^A is a category.

D 9.8.4.

(i). For each \mathcal{U} -small set x define

$$Px \equiv P_0 x \times P_1 x.$$

Here

$$P_0 x \equiv \prod_{\omega:\Omega_0} x^{|\omega|} \rightarrow x, \text{ and}$$

$$P_1 x \equiv \prod_{\omega:\Omega_1} x^{|\omega|} \rightarrow \mathbf{Prop}_{\mathcal{U}},$$

(ii). For \mathcal{U} -small sets x, y and $\alpha : P^\omega x, \beta : P^\omega y, f : x \rightarrow y$, define

$$H_{\alpha\beta}(f) \equiv H_{0,\alpha\beta}(f) \wedge H_{1,\alpha\beta}(f).$$

Here

$$H_{0,\alpha\beta}(f) \equiv \forall (\omega : \Omega_0). \forall (u : x^{|\omega|}). f(\alpha u) = \beta(f \circ u), \text{ and}$$

$$H_{1,\alpha\beta}(f) \equiv \forall (\omega : \Omega_1). \forall (u : x^{|\omega|}). \alpha u \rightarrow \beta(f \circ u).$$

9.9 The Rezk completion

L 9.9.1. If A, B, C are precategories and $H : A \rightarrow B$ is an essentially surjective functor, then $(- \circ H) : C^B \rightarrow C^A$ is faithful.

L 9.9.2. If A, B, C are precategories and $H : A \rightarrow B$ is essentially surjective and full, then $(- \circ H) : C^B \rightarrow C^A$ is fully faithful.

T 9.9.3. If A, B are precategories, C is a category, and $H : A \rightarrow B$ is a weak equivalence, then $(- \circ H) : C^B \rightarrow C^A$ is an isomorphism.

Therefore, if a precategory A admits a weak equivalence functor $A \rightarrow \hat{A}$ into a category, then that is its “reflection” into categories: any functor from A into a category will factor essentially uniquely through \hat{A} . We now give two constructions of such a weak equivalence.

T 9.9.4. For any precategory A , there is a category \hat{A} and a weak equivalence $A \rightarrow \hat{A}$.

E 9.9.5. Recall from ?? that for any type X there is a pregroupoid with X as its type of objects and $\mathbf{hom}(x, y) \equiv \|x = y\|_0$. Its Rezk completion is the *fundamental groupoid* of X . Recalling that groupoids are equivalent to 1-types, it is not hard to identify this groupoid with $\|X\|_1$.

E 9.9.6. Recall from ?? that there is a precategory whose type of objects is \mathcal{U} and with $\mathbf{hom}(X, Y) \equiv \|X \rightarrow Y\|_0$. Its Rezk completion may be called the **homotopy category of types**. Its type of objects can be identified with $\|\mathcal{U}\|_1$ (see ??).

T 9.9.7. A precategory C is a category if and only if for every weak equivalence of precategories $H : A \rightarrow B$, the induced functor $(- \circ H) : C^B \rightarrow C^A$ is an isomorphism of precategories.