

# Homotopy Type Theory

## Induction

### Introduction to inductive types

**T 5.0.1.** Let  $f, g : \prod_{(x:\mathbb{N})} E(x)$  be two functions which satisfy the recurrences

$$e_z : E(0) \quad \text{and} \quad e_s : \prod_{n:\mathbb{N}} E(n) \rightarrow E(\text{succ}(n))$$

up to propositional equality, i.e., such that

$$f(0) = e_z \quad \text{and} \quad g(0) = e_z$$

as well as

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{n:\mathbb{N}} f(\text{succ}(n)) &= e_s(n, f(n)), \\ \prod_{n:\mathbb{N}} g(\text{succ}(n)) &= e_s(n, g(n)). \end{aligned}$$

Then  $f$  and  $g$  are equal.

### Uniqueness of inductive types

#### W-types

**T 5.0.2.** Let  $g, h : \prod_{(w:\mathbb{W}_{(x:A}) B(x))} E(w)$  be two functions which satisfy the recurrence

$$e : \prod_{a,f} \left( \prod_{b:B(a)} E(f(b)) \right) \rightarrow E(\text{sup}(a, f)),$$

propositionally, i.e., such that

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{a,f} g(\text{sup}(a, f)) &= e(a, f, \lambda b. g(f(b))), \\ \prod_{a,f} h(\text{sup}(a, f)) &= e(a, f, \lambda b. h(f(b))). \end{aligned}$$

Then  $g$  and  $h$  are equal.

### Inductive types are initial algebras

**D 5.0.3.** A **N**-algebra is a type  $C$  with two elements  $c_0 : C, c_s : C \rightarrow C$ .

The type of such algebras is

$$\mathbb{N}\text{Alg} := \sum_{C:\mathcal{U}} C \times (C \rightarrow C).$$

**D 5.0.4.** A **N**-homomorphism between **N**-algebras  $(C, c_0, c_s)$  and  $(D, d_0, d_s)$  is a function  $h : C \rightarrow D$  such that  $h(c_0) = d_0$  and  $h(c_s(c)) = d_s(h(c))$  for all  $c : C$ . The type of such homomorphisms is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{N}\text{Hom}((C, c_0, c_s), (D, d_0, d_s)) &:= \\ &\sum_{(h:C \rightarrow D)} (h(c_0) = d_0) \times \prod_{c:C} (h(c_s(c)) = d_s(h(c))). \end{aligned}$$

**D 5.0.5.** A **N**-algebra  $I$  is called **homotopy-initial**, or **h-initial** for short, if for any other **N**-algebra  $C$ , the type of **N**-homomorphisms from  $I$  to  $C$  is contractible. Thus,

$$\text{isHinit}_{\mathbb{N}}(I) := \prod_{C:\mathbb{N}\text{Alg}} \text{isContr}(\mathbb{N}\text{Hom}(I, C)).$$

**T 5.0.6.** Any two **h-initial** **N**-algebras are equal. Thus, the type of **h-initial** **N**-algebras is a mere proposition.

**T 5.0.7.** The **N**-algebra  $(\mathbb{N}, 0, \text{succ})$  is homotopy initial.

**T 5.0.8.** For any type  $A : \mathcal{U}$  and type family  $B : A \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ , the **W**-algebra  $(\mathbb{W}_{(x:A}) B(x), \text{sup})$  is **h-initial**.

### Homotopy-inductive types

**T 5.0.9.** For any  $A : \mathcal{U}$  and  $B : A \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ , the type  $\mathbb{W}_d(A, B)$  is a mere proposition.

**T 5.0.10.** For any  $A : \mathcal{U}$  and  $B : A \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ , the type  $\mathbb{W}_s(A, B)$  is a mere proposition.

**T 5.0.11.** For any  $A : \mathcal{U}$  and  $B : A \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ , the type  $\mathbb{W}_h(A, B)$  is a mere proposition.

**L 5.0.12.** For any  $A : \mathcal{U}$  and  $B : A \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ , we have

$$\mathbb{W}_d(A, B) \simeq \mathbb{W}_s(A, B) \simeq \mathbb{W}_h(A, B)$$

**T 5.0.13.** The types satisfying the formation, introduction, elimination, and propositional computation rules for **W**-types are precisely the homotopy-initial **W**-algebras.

**T 5.0.14.** The rules for natural numbers with propositional computation rules can be derived from the rules for **W**-types with propositional computation rules.

### The general syntax of inductive definitions

**NB 5.0.15.** There is a question of universe size to be addressed. In general, an inductive type must live in a universe that already contains all the types going into its definition. Thus if in the definition of  $D$ , the ambiguous notation **Prop** means  $\text{Prop}_{\mathcal{U}}$ , then we do not have  $D : \mathcal{U}$  but only  $D : \mathcal{U}'$  for some larger universe  $\mathcal{U}'$  with  $\mathcal{U} : \mathcal{U}'$ . In a predicative theory, therefore, the right-hand side of (5) lives in  $\text{Prop}_{\mathcal{U}'}$ , not  $\text{Prop}_{\mathcal{U}}$ . So this contradiction does require the propositional resizing axiom mentioned in ??.

## Generalizations of inductive types

### Identity types and identity systems

**D 5.0.16.** Let  $A$  be a type and  $a_0 : A$  an element.

- A **pointed predicate** over  $(A, a_0)$  is a family  $R : A \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$  equipped with an element  $r_0 : R(a_0)$ .
- For pointed predicates  $(R, r_0)$  and  $(S, s_0)$ , a family of maps  $g : \prod_{(b:A)} R(b) \rightarrow S(b)$  is **pointed** if  $g(a_0, r_0) = s_0$ . We have

$$\text{ppmap}(R, S) := \sum_{g:\prod_{(b:A)} R(b) \rightarrow S(b)} (g(a_0, r_0) = s_0).$$

- An **identity system** at  $a_0$  is a pointed predicate  $(R, r_0)$  such that for any type family  $D : \prod_{(b:A)} R(b) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$  and  $d : D(a_0, r_0)$ , there exists a function  $f : \prod_{(b:A)} \prod_{(r:R(b))} D(b, r)$  such that  $f(a_0, r_0) = d$ .

**T 5.0.17.** For a pointed predicate  $(R, r_0)$  over  $(A, a_0)$ , the following are logically equivalent.

- $(R, r_0)$  is an identity system at  $a_0$ .
- For any pointed predicate  $(S, s_0)$ , the type  $\text{ppmap}(R, S)$  is contractible.
- For any  $b : A$ , the function  $\text{transport}^R(-, r_0) : (a_0 =_A b) \rightarrow R(b)$  is an equivalence.
- The type  $\sum_{(b:A)} R(b)$  is contractible.

**D 5.0.18.** An **identity system** over a type  $A$  is a family  $R : A \rightarrow A \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$  equipped with a function  $r_0 : \prod_{(a:A)} R(a, a)$  such that for any type family  $D : \prod_{(a,b:A)} R(a, b) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$  and  $d : \prod_{(a:A)} D(a, a, r_0(a))$ , there exists a function  $f : \prod_{(a,b:A)} \prod_{(r:R(a,b))} D(a, b, r)$  such that  $f(a, a, r_0(a)) = d(a)$  for all  $a : A$ .

**T 5.0.19.** For  $R : A \rightarrow A \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$  equipped with  $r_0 : \prod_{(a:A)} R(a, a)$ , the following are logically equivalent.

- $(R, r_0)$  is an identity system over  $A$ .
- For all  $a_0 : A$ , the pointed predicate  $(R(a_0), r_0(a_0))$  is an identity system at  $a_0$ .
- For any  $S : A \rightarrow A \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$  and  $s_0 : \prod_{(a:A)} S(a, a)$ , the type

$$\sum_{(g:\prod_{(a,b:A)} R(a,b) \rightarrow S(a,b))} \prod_{(a:A)} g(a, a, r_0(a)) = s_0(a)$$

is contractible.

- For any  $a, b : A$ , the map  $\text{transport}^{R(a)}(-, r_0(a)) : (a =_A b) \rightarrow R(a, b)$  is an equivalence.
- For any  $a : A$ , the type  $\sum_{(b:A)} R(a, b)$  is contractible.

**C 5.0.20** (Equivalence induction). Given any type family

$$D : \prod_{A,B:\mathcal{U}} (A \simeq B) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$$

and function  $d : \prod_{(A:\mathcal{U})} D(A, A, \text{id}_A)$ , there exists

$$f : \prod_{(A,B:\mathcal{U})} \prod_{(e:A \simeq B)} D(A, B, e)$$

such that  $f(A, A, \text{id}_A) = d(A)$  for all  $A : \mathcal{U}$ .