Proofs regarding Cotesian numbers.

Joris Perrenet

July 1, 2022

In the following document are proofs regarding Cotesian numbers. The final result is a direct formula to calculate them. These proofs constitute appendix B of my bachelor thesis "Methods for reducing error in approximations of the Rayleigh integral".

Lemma 1. The Vandermonde matrix

$$V = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 2^2 & \cdots & 2^n \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & n & n^2 & \cdots & n^n \end{bmatrix}$$

is invertible.

Proof. We show by contradiction that the columns of V are linearly independent.

Denote the *j*-column of matrix V as \mathbf{v}_j , we thus have $\mathbf{v}_j = \begin{bmatrix} 0^j & 1^j & \cdots & n^j \end{bmatrix}^\top \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. Assume that there exist coefficients $a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_n \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $a_0\mathbf{v}_0 + a_1\mathbf{v}_1 + \cdots + c_n\mathbf{v}_n = \mathbf{0}$, where $\mathbf{0} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix}^\top \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. Then, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $0 \le k \le n$ we get

$$a_0 + a_1k + a_2k^2 + \dots + a_nk^n = 0$$
.

Hence, k is a root of the polynomial $f(x) = a_0 + a_1x + a_2x^2 + \cdots + a_nx^n$. This means that the polynomial f(x) has n+1 different roots. Since f(x) is at most an nth-order polynomial we must have $a_0 = a_1 = \cdots = a_n = 0$. Proving that the columns of matrix V are indeed linearly independent, therefore, the matrix is invertible.

Theorem 2. Define $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, and let $x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n \in \mathbb{R}$ denote equidistant real numbers. Denote their function values as $f_0, f_1, \ldots f_n \in \mathbb{R}$, respectively (thus $f_0 = f(x_0), f_1 = f(x_1), \ldots, f_n = f(x_n)$). Furthermore, let h denote the distance between the equidistant numbers, that is, $h = \frac{x_n - x_0}{n}$. Also, let $c_0, c_1, \ldots c_n$ denote the Cotesian numbers, i.e. the numbers such that after interpolating the function values by a polynomial of degree at most n the integral over the polynomial can be approximated by

$$\int_{x_0}^{x_n} f(x) \mathrm{d}x \approx h(c_0 f_0 + c_1 f_1 + \dots + c_n f_n).$$

Then, c_0, c_1, \ldots, c_n satisfy

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 2^2 & \cdots & 2^n \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & n & n^2 & \cdots & n^n \end{bmatrix}^{\top} \begin{bmatrix} c_0 \\ c_1 \\ c_2 \\ \vdots \\ c_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} n/1 \\ n^2/2 \\ n^3/3 \\ \vdots \\ n^{n+1}/(n+1) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Proof. Without loss of generality we let $x_0 = 0$ (this can be verified by defining $x_0' = x_0 - x_0$, $x_1' = x_1 - x_0$, ..., $x_n' = x_n - x_0$ and noting that $\int_{x_0}^{x_n} f(x) dx = \int_0^{x_n'} f(x') dx'$). Employing this new definition allows us to write $x_i = ih$ for $0 \le i \le n$.

All interpolating polynomials $p(x) = p_0 + p_1 x + p_2 x^2 + \dots + p_n x^n$ must satisfy $p(x_0) = f_0$, $p(x_1) = f_1$, ..., $p(x_n) = f_n$, hence, the coefficients p_0, p_1, \dots, p_n satisfy

$$\underbrace{\begin{bmatrix}
1 & x_0 & x_0^2 & \cdots & x_0^n \\
1 & x_1 & x_1^2 & \cdots & x_1^n \\
1 & x_2 & x_2^2 & \cdots & x_2^n \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
1 & x_n & x_n^2 & \cdots & x_n^n
\end{bmatrix}}_{A}
\underbrace{\begin{bmatrix}
p_0 \\
p_1 \\
p_2 \\
\vdots \\
p_n
\end{bmatrix}}_{\mathbf{p}} = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix}
f_0 \\
f_1 \\
f_2 \\
\vdots \\
f_n
\end{bmatrix}}_{\mathbf{f}}.$$
(1)

Also, we know that integrating p(x) yields the approximation of the integral, equalling $h(c_0f_0 + c_1f_1 + \cdots + c_nf_n)$, giving the relation

$$\int_{x_0}^{x_n} p(x) dx = \int_0^{nh} p(x) dx = \left[p_0 x + p_1 \frac{x^2}{2} + p_2 \frac{x^3}{3} + \dots + p_n \frac{x^{n+1}}{n+1} \right]_0^{nh}$$

$$= p_0 \frac{nh}{1} + p_1 \frac{(nh)^2}{2} + p_2 \frac{(nh)^3}{3} + \dots + p_n \frac{(nh)^{n+1}}{n+1} = h(c_0 f_0 + c_1 f_2 + \dots + c_n f_n).$$

After removing a factor h from both sides of the equal sign we use vector notation to rewrite the equation into

$$\underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} n/1 \\ n^2h/2 \\ n^3h^2/3 \\ \vdots \\ n^{n+1}h^n/(n+1) \end{bmatrix}}^{\top} \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} p_0 \\ p_1 \\ p_2 \\ \vdots \\ p_n \end{bmatrix}}_{= \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} c_0 \\ c_1 \\ c_2 \\ \vdots \\ c_n \end{bmatrix}}^{\top} \begin{bmatrix} f_0 \\ f_1 \\ f_2 \\ \vdots \\ f_n \end{bmatrix}. \tag{2}$$

This allows for shorter notation; equation 1 can be written as

$$A\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{f}$$
,

and equation 2 can be written as

$$\mathbf{n}^{\top}\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{c}^{\top}\mathbf{f}$$
.

Using these equalities we can deduce that (note that A is invertible due to Lemma 1):

$$\mathbf{p} = A^{-1}\mathbf{f}$$

$$\mathbf{n}^{\top}\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{n}^{\top}A^{-1}\mathbf{f} = \mathbf{c}^{\top}\mathbf{f}$$

$$\mathbf{f}^{\top}(A^{-1})^{\top}\mathbf{n} = \mathbf{f}^{\top}\mathbf{c}, \text{ for arbitrary } \mathbf{f}$$

$$(A^{-1})^{\top}\mathbf{n} = \mathbf{c}$$

$$\mathbf{n} = A^{\top}\mathbf{c}.$$

Writing this out and substituting $x_i = ih$ gives us:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 1 & h & h^2 & \cdots & h^n \\ 1 & 2h & (2h)^2 & \cdots & (2h)^n \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & nh & (nh)^2 & \cdots & (nh)^n \end{bmatrix}^{\top} \begin{bmatrix} c_0 \\ c_1 \\ c_2 \\ \vdots \\ c_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} n/1 \\ n^2h/2 \\ n^3h^2/3 \\ \vdots \\ n^{n+1}h^n/(n+1) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Removing h (we can this do due to the transposition of the matrix) results in

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1^2 & \cdots & 1^n \\ 1 & 2 & 2^2 & \cdots & 2^n \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & n & n^2 & \cdots & n^n \end{bmatrix}^{\top} \begin{bmatrix} c_0 \\ c_1 \\ c_2 \\ \vdots \\ c_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} n/1 \\ n^2/2 \\ n^3/3 \\ \vdots \\ n^{n+1}/(n+1) \end{bmatrix},$$

concluding our proof.

Definition 3. Let $X = \{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n\}$ with $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \in \mathbb{R}$ and let $k \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 0}$. The elementary symmetric polynomial is then defined as:

$$e_k(X) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } k = 0, \\ \sum_{1 \le m_1 < \dots < m_k \le n} X_{m_1} \cdots X_{m_k} & \text{if } 1 \le k \le n, \\ 0 & \text{if } k > n. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 4. Let s(n,k), with $n,k \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 0}$ denote the (un)signed Stirling numbers of the first kind, then, if $0 \leq j \leq \ell$ with $j,\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, the following equality holds:

$$e_i(\{1, 2, \dots, \ell\}) = |s(\ell + 1, \ell + 1 - j)|.$$
 (3)

Proof. We use induction twice to complete the proof.

First, we use induction on j. For the induction base with j=0 we note that by definition: $e_j(\{1,2,\ldots,\ell\})=1=|s(\ell+1,\ell+1)|$. For the induction hypothesis we assume that $e_{j-1}(\{1,2,\ldots,\ell\})=|s(\ell+1,\ell+2-j)|$. For the induction step we then need to show that equation 3 holds.

We do this using induction on ℓ . For the induction base $\ell=0$ and thus j=0, we get $e_0(\emptyset)=1=|s(1,1)|$. For the induction hypothesis we assume $e_j(\{1,2,\ldots,\ell-1\})=|s(\ell,\ell-j)|$, applying this to our previous assumption yields $e_{j-1}(\{1,2,\ldots,\ell-1\})=|s(\ell,\ell+1-j)|$. For the induction step we need to prove equation 3. Note that by the definition of the Stirling numbers we have [1, equation 15]:

$$|s(n,k)| = |s(n-1,k-1)| + (n-1)|s(n-1,k)|.$$

Using our induction hypotheses we now show that equation 3 holds

$$\begin{split} e_{j}(\{1,2,\ldots,\ell\}) &= \sum_{1 \leq m_{1} < \cdots < m_{j} \leq \ell} m_{1} \cdots m_{j} \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq m_{1} < \cdots < m_{j-1} \leq \ell-1} m_{1} \cdots m_{j} + \sum_{\substack{1 \leq m_{1} < \cdots < m_{j-1} \leq \ell-1 \\ m_{j-1} < m_{j} < \ell}} m_{1} \cdots m_{j} + \sum_{\substack{1 \leq m_{1} < \cdots < m_{j-1} \leq \ell-1 \\ m_{j-1} < m_{j} = \ell}} m_{1} \cdots m_{j} \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq m_{1} < \cdots < m_{j} \leq \ell-1} m_{1} \cdots m_{j} + \ell \sum_{\substack{1 \leq m_{1} < \cdots < m_{j-1} \leq \ell-1 \\ 1 \leq m_{1} < \cdots < m_{j-1} \leq \ell-1}} m_{1} \cdots m_{j-1} \\ &= e_{j}(\{1, 2, \ldots, \ell-1\}) + \ell e_{j-1}(\{1, 2, \ldots, \ell-1\}) \\ &= |s(\ell, \ell-j)| + \ell |s(\ell, \ell+1-j)| \\ &= |s(\ell+1, \ell+1-j)| \,. \end{split}$$

Hence, the induction step, equation 3, holds, concluding our proof.

Lemma 5. Let $0 \le i \le n$ with $i \in \mathbb{N}$, also, let $0 \le k \le n$ with $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$, then the following relation holds:

$$e_k(\{1,\ldots,n\}\setminus\{i\}) = \sum_{m=0}^k (-i)^m e_{k-m}(\{1,\ldots,n\}).$$

Proof. This relation can easily be seen to hold for $1 \le i \le n$ since

$$e_k(\{1,\ldots,n\}\setminus\{i\}) = e_k(\{1,\ldots,n\}) - ie_{k-1}(\{1,\ldots,n\}\setminus\{i\}),$$

and
$$e_0(\{1,\ldots,n\}\setminus\{i\}) = 1 = e_0(\{1,\ldots,n\}).$$

For $i = 0$ the relation also holds since $e_k(\{1,\ldots,n\}\setminus\{0\}) = e_k(\{1,\ldots,n\}) - 0.$

Theorem 6. We can calculate the coefficients of c_i for $i \in \{0, ..., n\}$ in the following equation

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1^2 & \cdots & 1^n \\ 1 & 2 & 2^2 & \cdots & 2^n \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & n & n^2 & \cdots & n^n \end{bmatrix}^{\top} \begin{bmatrix} c_0 \\ c_1 \\ c_2 \\ \vdots \\ c_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} n/1 \\ n^2/2 \\ n^3/3 \\ \vdots \\ n^{n+1}/(n+1) \end{bmatrix}$$
(4)

by computing

$$c_i = \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \binom{n}{i} \sum_{j=0}^{n} \sum_{m=0}^{n-j} i^m n^j \frac{(-1)^{i+n} s(n+1, j+m+1)}{j+1}.$$

Proof. We first rewrite equation by transposing the matrix in equation 4 and bringing it to the other side, yielding

$$\begin{bmatrix} c_0 \\ c_1 \\ c_2 \\ \vdots \\ c_n \end{bmatrix} = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & \cdots & n \\ 0 & 1^2 & 2^2 & \cdots & n^2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 1^n & 2^n & \cdots & n^n \end{bmatrix}}_{(W_{n+1}^{-1})^\top} \begin{bmatrix} n/1 \\ n^2/2 \\ n^3/3 \\ \vdots \\ n^{n+1}/(n+1) \end{bmatrix},$$

where the matrix W_{n+1} is the Vandermonde matrix defined according to $\Pr \infty fWiki$ [2]. The inverse of the matrix (without transposition) is also given by $\Pr \infty fWiki$ and turns out to be

$$[W_{n+1}^{-1}]_{ij} = \frac{(-1)^{n-i} e_{n-i}(\{0,1,\ldots,n\} \setminus \{j\})}{\prod_{m=0, m \neq j}^{n+1} (j-m)},$$

note that in the citation $i, j \in \{1, ..., n\}$, whereas here we define $i, j \in \{0, ..., n\}$. Transposing this matrix and noting that we can safely omit 0 in the set yields

$$[(W_{n+1}^{-1})^{\top}]_{ij} = \frac{(-1)^{n-j} e_{n-j}(\{1,\dots,n\} \setminus \{i\})}{\prod_{m=0}^{n} \underset{m \neq i}{m \neq i} (i-m)}.$$

Using this matrix we can then compute the coefficients of c_i by using the following relation

$$c_{i} = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \left[(W_{n+1}^{-1})^{\top} \right]_{ij} n^{j+1} / (j+1)$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{n} n^{j+1} \frac{(-1)^{n-j} e_{n-j} (\{1, \dots, n\} \setminus \{i\})}{(j+1) \prod_{m'=0, m' \neq i}^{n} (i-m')}.$$
(5)

Since $0 \le i \le n$ we can simplify the product in the denominator to

$$\prod_{m=0, m\neq i}^{n} (i-m) = i \cdot (i-1) \cdots 2 \cdot 1 \cdot -1 \cdot -2 \cdots (i-n-1) \cdot (i-n) = i!(n-i)!(-1)^{n-i}.$$

Also, from Lemma 4 in combination with Lemma 5 we have that

$$e_{n-j}(\{1,\ldots,n\}\setminus\{i\}) = \sum_{m=0}^{n-j} (-i)^m |s(n+1,n+1-(n-j-m))|.$$

Substituting these relations into equation 5 yields

$$c_{i} = \sum_{j=0}^{n} n^{j+1} \frac{(-1)^{n-j} e_{n-j} (\{1, \dots, n\} \setminus \{i\})}{(j+1)i!(n-i)!(-1)^{n-i}}$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{n} n^{j+1} \frac{(-1)^{n-j} \sum_{m=0}^{n-j} (-i)^{m} |s(n+1, n+1-(n-j)+m)|}{(j+1)i!(n-i)!(-1)^{n-i}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \binom{n}{i} \sum_{j=0}^{n} n^{j} \frac{(-1)^{i-j} \sum_{m=0}^{n-j} (-i)^{m} |s(n+1, j+m+1)|}{j+1}$$

$$= \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \binom{n}{i} \sum_{j=0}^{n} \sum_{m=0}^{n-j} i^{m} n^{j} \frac{(-1)^{i-j+m} (-1)^{n-j-m} s(n+1, j+m+1)}{j+1}$$

$$= \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \binom{n}{i} \sum_{j=0}^{n} \sum_{m=0}^{n-j} i^{m} n^{j} \frac{(-1)^{i+n} s(n+1, j+m+1)}{j+1}.$$

Proving the theorem.

References

- [1] E.W. Weisstein. Stirling Number of the First Kind. MathWorld A Wolfram Web Resource. URL: https://mathworld.wolfram.com/StirlingNumberoftheFirstKind.html.
- [2] $Pr\infty fWiki$. [Online; accessed 10-Jun-2022]. URL: https://proofwiki.org/wiki/Inverse_of_Vandermonde_Matrix.