Context-dependent host-microbe interactions in stochastic environments

Joshua C. Fowler^{a,1}, Shaun Ziegler^b, Kenneth D. Whitney^b, Jennifer A. Rudgers^b, and Tom E. X. Miller^a

^aRice University, Department of BioSciences, Houston, TX, 77005; ^bUniversity of New Mexico, Department of Biology, Albuquerque, NM, 87131

This manuscript was compiled on July 22, 2021

Please provide an abstract of no more than 250 words in a single paragraph. Abstracts should explain to the general reader the major contributions of the article. References in the abstract must be cited in full within the abstract itself and cited in the text.

Keyword 1 | Keyword 2 | Keyword 3 | ...

lassic ecological theory predicts that environmental variation will reduce long-term population growth rates (1, 2). Under environmental variability, populations will have good years and bad years. The long-term stochastic growth rate (λ_s) is the long-run geometric mean of annual growth rates; This geometric mean will always be less than would be expected from the mean growth rate alone. Populations will increase over time if λ_s is greater than 1, and can be expected to decrease if λ_s is less than 1.

 λ_s can be approximated as:

$$log(\lambda_s) \approx log(\overline{\lambda}) - \frac{\sigma^2}{2\overline{\lambda}^2}$$

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

27

28

29

30

32

33

34

36

37

42

Where $\overline{\lambda}$ is the mean of annual population growth rates (λ_t) and σ^2 is the variance (1). Here, there are two pathways to influence λ_s : increasing the mean growth rate, and reducing the variance in growth rates. Anything that limits the negative effects of bad years, but are neutral or costly in good years has the potential to decrease the impact of interannual environmental variability on population dynamics because it would limit variance.

Along with increases in average temperatures, global climate change is driving increases in environmental variability (3, 4).

As in the demographic buffering hypothesis, where the fitness consequences of environmental variability may select for buffering in the vital rates that are most consequential for population growth (5), the fitness consequences of species interactions may apply to both the mean and variance of vital rates. Whether variance buffering by species interactions occurs is an underexplored question, but it may come to be of increasing importance under climate change.

Our ability to explore the demographic consequences of environmental variation in nature relies on long-term observational studies and experiments that capture natural climatic variation (cite) plus maybe examples of other studies looking at demographic buffering. While there is appreciation for long-term studies and recognition of the importance of studying both climate mean and variance in ecology, demographic studies that examine demographic buffering are limited due to the need for long term data and the need to account for multiple sources of variation within data (6).

Contributions from demographic buffering in natural populations may become more important under this scenario and will be important for projecting species' responses to climate change (7). In particular, it is unclear how commonly de-

mographic buffering plays an important role in population dynamics in general, and how species interactions may contribute to demographic buffering (cite). Mutualistic symbioses in particular may have the potential to provide resilience to environmental variability (cite). I don't know about that last sentence, but I need some sort of transition to symbiosis.

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

57

58

59

60

61

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

In nature, microbial symbionts provide protection from environmental stresses across a broad range of taxa, including stress caused by drought, salinity, and temperature (cite). Commonly, the benefits from these symbioses are contextdependent where the magnitude of interaction benefit changes depending on environmental conditions (8). This can make it difficult to quantify the net effect of a given interaction, but it also allows for the possibility that interaction strength can vary through time (cite). Symbionts may provide benefits under harsh conditions when they are needed by their hosts, but be neutral or even costly under benign conditions (cite). Over time, this may lead symbiont-associated organisms to experience a reduction in variation in vital rates by reducing the frequency of extreme events (conceptual figure). Variance buffering by symbionts is novel mechanism that may be common across many symbioses that

Using long-term data from experimental grass-fungal endophyte plots, we test the hypothesis that symbionts buffer hosts from the fitness consequences of environmental variability. Specifically, we ask if fungal endophytes buffer demographic variance in their grass hosts, and, if so, what is the relative importance of demographic buffering vs. mean effects in the overall fitness impact of the symbiosis. With 13 years of demographic data, we employ structured, stochastic population models for seven species of cool-season grass hosts that are commonly infected with fungal endophytes (Lolium arundinaceum, Festuca subverticillata, Elymus virginicus, and Elymus villosus, Poa alsodes and Poa sylvestris).

This paragraph is mostly talking off my head about results,

Significance Statement

Authors must submit a 120-word maximum statement about the significance of their research paper written at a level understandable to an undergraduate educated scientist outside their field of speciality. The primary goal of the Significance Statement is to explain the relevance of the work in broad context to a broad readership. The Significance Statement appears in the paper itself and is required for all research papers.

Please provide details of author contributions here.

Please declare any conflict of interest here.

 $^{^{1}\}text{To}$ whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: jcf3rice.edu

but my idea is to include a brief statement of our results.

Across species, we find that variance buffering by endophytes contributes (percentage) to population growth rates. While the effect is generally weaker than effects on the mean, we found that buffering was common in the most sensitive vital rates, and was most important for xxx species with xxx life history.

87 Results

B Discussion

Guide to using this template on Overleaf

Please note that whilst this template provides a preview of the typeset manuscript for submission, to help in this preparation, it will not necessarily be the final publication layout. For more detailed information please see the PNAS Information for Authors

If you have a question while using this template on Overleaf, please use the help menu ("?") on the top bar to search for help and tutorials. You can also contact the Overleaf support team at any time with specific questions about your manuscript or feedback on the template.

Author Affiliations. Include department, institution, and complete address, with the ZIP/postal code, for each author. Use lower case letters to match authors with institutions, as shown in the example. Authors with an ORCID ID may supply this information at submission.

Submitting Manuscripts. All authors must submit their articles at PNAScentral. If you are using Overleaf to write your article, you can use the "Submit to PNAS" option in the top bar of the editor window.

Format. Many authors find it useful to organize their manuscripts with the following order of sections; Title, Author Affiliation, Keywords, Abstract, Significance Statement, Results, Discussion, Materials and methods, Acknowledgments, and References. Other orders and headings are permitted.

Manuscript Length. PNAS generally uses a two-column format averaging 67 characters, including spaces, per line. The maximum length of a Direct Submission research article is six pages and a Direct Submission Plus research article is ten pages including all text, spaces, and the number of characters displaced by figures, tables, and equations. When submitting tables, figures, and/or equations in addition to text, keep the text for your manuscript under 39,000 characters (including spaces) for Direct Submissions and 72,000 characters (including spaces) for Direct Submission Plus.

References. References should be cited in numerical order as they appear in text; this will be done automatically via bibtex, e.g. . All references should be included in the main manuscript

Data Archival. PNAS must be able to archive the data essential to a published article. Where such archiving is not possible, deposition of data in public databases, such as Gen-Bank, ArrayExpress, Protein Data Bank, Unidata, and others outlined in the Information for Authors, is acceptable.

Table 1. Comparison of the fitted potential energy surfaces and ab initio benchmark electronic energy calculations

Species	CBS	CV	G3
1. Acetaldehyde	0.0	0.0	0.0
2. Vinyl alcohol	9.1	9.6	13.5
3. Hydroxyethylidene	50.8	51.2	54.0

nomenclature for the TSs refers to the numbered species in the table.

Language-Editing Services. Prior to submission, authors who believe their manuscripts would benefit from professional editing are encouraged to use a language-editing service (see list at www.pnas.org/site/authors/language-editing.xhtml). PNAS does not take responsibility for or endorse these services, and their use has no bearing on acceptance of a manuscript for publication.

Digital Figures. Only TIFF, EPS, and high-resolution PDF for Mac or PC are allowed for figures that will appear in the main text, and images must be final size. Authors may submit U3D or PRC files for 3D images; these must be accompanied by 2D representations in TIFF, EPS, or high-resolution PDF format. Color images must be in RGB (red, green, blue) mode. Include the font files for any text.

Figures and Tables should be labelled and referenced in the standard way using the \label{} and \ref{} commands.

Figure ?? shows an example of how to insert a column-wide figure. To insert a figure wider than one column, please use the \begin{figure*}...\end{figure*} environment. Figures wider than one column should be sized to 11.4 cm or 17.8 cm wide. Use \begin{SCfigure*}...\end{SCfigure*} for a wide figure with side captions.

Tables. In addition to including your tables within this manuscript file, PNAS requires that each table be uploaded to the submission separately as a Table file. Please ensure that each table .tex file contains a preamble, the \begin{document} command, and the \end{document} command. This is necessary so that the submission system can convert each file to PDF.

Single column equations. Authors may use 1- or 2-column equations in their article, according to their preference.

To allow an equation to span both columns, use the \begin{figure*}...\end{figure*} environment mentioned above for figures.

Note that the use of the widetext environment for equations is not recommended, and should not be used.

Supporting Information (SI). Authors should submit SI as a single separate PDF file, combining all text, figures, tables, movie legends, and SI references. PNAS will publish SI uncomposed, as the authors have provided it. Additional details can be found here: policy on SI. For SI formatting instructions click here. The PNAS Overleaf SI template can be found here. Refer to the SI Appendix in the manuscript at an appropriate point in the text. Number supporting figures and tables starting with S1, S2, etc.

Authors who place detailed materials and methods in an SI Appendix must provide sufficient detail in the main text methods to enable a reader to follow the logic of the procedures

$$(x+y)^3 = (x+y)(x+y)^2$$

= $(x+y)(x^2 + 2xy + y^2)$
= $x^3 + 3x^2y + 3xy^3 + x^3$. [1]

and results and also must reference the SI methods. If a paper 181 is fundamentally a study of a new method or technique, then 182 the methods must be described completely in the main text. 183

SI Datasets. Supply Excel (.xls), RTF, or PDF files. This file type will be published in raw format and will not be edited or 185 composed.

SI Movies. Supply Audio Video Interleave (avi), Quicktime (mov), Windows Media (wmv), animated GIF (gif), or MPEG files and submit a brief legend for each movie in a Word or RTF file. All movies should be submitted at the desired reproduction size and length. Movies should be no more than 10 MB in size.

3D Figures. Supply a composable U3D or PRC file so that it 193 may be edited and composed. Authors may submit a PDF file 194 but please note it will be published in raw format and will not 195 be edited or composed. 196

Materials and Methods

184

186

188

189

190

191

192

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207 208

209

210

Plant propagation and endophyte removal. Seeds from naturally infected populations of seven species of cool-season grasses (Agrostis perennans, Elymus villosus, Elymus virginicus, Festuca subverticillata, Lolium arundinaceum, Poa alsodes, and Poa sylvestris) were collected in the Spring of 2006?????? for Lilly Dickie Woods and Bayles Road in Brown. Co. IN. Seeds with shared maternal ancestry were either experimentally disinfected by heat treatments or left naturally infected to reduce confounding genotype effects. Seeds were surface sterilized with XXXX and cold stratified for XXXX weeks, then germinated in the XXXX for XXXX weeks. They were then grown in the greenhouse at Indiana University for XXXX weeks.

Experimental design and data collection. We collected long-term de-211 mographic data from experimental plots established in 2007. We 212 established 10 plots for Lolium arundinaceum, Festuca subverticil-213 lata, Elymus virginicus, and Elymus villosus and 18 plots for Poa 214 alsodes and Poa sylvestris with 25? individuals. 215

- Demographic modeling. 216
- Model description and estimation. 217
- Model assessment. 218
- Life table response experiment. 219
- Estimating climate drivers of environmental context-dependence. 220
- Climate data. 221
- Climate-explicit Model description and estimation. 222
- Climate-explicit Model assessment. 223

Forecasting under alternative climate forcings. We used statistics

224

225 226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

251

253

255

256

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. Please include your acknowledgments here, set in a single paragraph. Please do not include any acknowledgments in the Supporting Information, or anywhere else in the manuscript.

- 1. R. C. Lewontin and D. Cohen. On Population Growth in a Randomly Varying Environment. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 62(4):1056-1060, April 1969, ISSN 0027-8424, 1091-6490. . URL https://www.pnas.org/content/62/4/1056. Publisher: National Academy of Sciences Section: Biological Sciences: Zoology
- 2. Shripad D. Tuljapurkar. Population dynamics in variable environments. III. Evolutionary dynamics of r-selection. Theoretical Population Biology, 21(1):141-165, February 1982. ISSN 0040-5809. . URL http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/ 0040580982900107
- IPCC (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change). Managing the risks of extreme events and disasters to advance climate change adaptation: special report of the intergovernmental panel on climate change. Special Report. Cambridge University Press,
- 4. David T Iles, Robert F Rockwell, and David N Koons. Shifting vital rate correlations alter predicted population responses to increasingly variable environments. The American Naturalist, 193(3):E57-E64, 2019.
- Catherine A Pfister. Patterns of variance in stage-structured populations: evolutionary predictions and ecological implications. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 95(1):213-218, 1998,
- Christoffer H. Hilde, Marlène Gamelon, Bernt-Erik Sæther, Jean-Michel Gaillard, Nigel G. Yoccoz, and Christophe Pélabon. The Demographic Buffering Hypothesis: Evidence and Challenges. Trends in Ecology & Evolution, 0(0), March 2020. ISSN 0169-5347. URL https://www.cell.com/trends/ecology-evolution/abstract/S0169-5347(20)30050-1. Publisher: Elsevier
- Daniel F Doak, William F Morris, Cathy Pfister, Bruce E Kendall, and Emilio M Bruna. Correctly estimating how environmental stochasticity influences fitness and population growth. The American Naturalist, 166(1):E14-E21, 2005.
- Scott A Chamberlain, Judith L Bronstein, and Jennifer A Rudgers. How context dependent are species interactions? Ecology letters, 17(7):881-890, 2014.

PNAS | July 22, 2021 | vol. XXX | no. XX | 3