Translated and Shortened Version of the Questionnaire for Modeling Approach Evaluation

March 4, 2020

In the following we present a translated and shortened version of the questionnaire. The repetitive section was asked twice in a row within the questionnaire for the respective modeling approach (items 12 to 25 for LEMMA; 26 to 39 for UML). As response option in the repetitive section we use a ranking from 1 to 6 with the following scale: 1 (completely agree), 2 (agree), 3 (slightly agree), 4 (slightly disagree), 5 (disagree), 6 (completely disagree). In the comparison section we use single choice with LEMMA (L), UML (U) or both.

\mathbf{Item}	Description	Response
		Option
Demo	graphic Information	
1	University handle	numeric
2	Variant of the questionnaire	A B
3	Master semester	numeric
4	Field of study of the Bachelor degree	list
5	Years of experience in software development	numeric
6	Self-assessment of modeling skills in general	$\operatorname{ranking}$
7	Years of experience with UML	numeric
8	Self-assessment of UML skills	$\operatorname{ranking}$
9	Years of experience with MDD	numeric
10	Self-assessment of MDD skills	$\operatorname{ranking}$
11	Already familiar modeling languages	free text

Repetitive Section 12/26I think «approach» is good for describing MSAs. agreement 13/27With «approach» the essential characteristics of an MSA agreement can be described well. 14/28I can remember the language elements of «approach» agreement 15/29«approach» is difficult to learn. agreement 16/30The use of «approach» is intuitive. agreement 17/31«approach» is easy to understand. agreement 18/32I can imagine using «approach» for future projects. agreement 19/33Planning errors in the architecture can be prevented by agreement using «approach». 20/34It is easy to make mistakes when modeling with «apagreement proach». 21/35Modeling errors can be easily detected in «approach». agreement 22/36«approach» is a helpful modeling language in the context agreement of MSAs. 23/37The effort required to describe an MSA using «apagreement proach» is reasonable compared to the benefits. 24/38The use of «approach» has a positive effect on a later agreement implementation. 25/39The use of «approach» is worthwhile for the development agreement of MSAs.

Comparison Section

40	More suitable for modeling MSA?	L U
41	More precise?	Both L U
42	Easier to learn?	Both L U
43	Greater benefit in relation to required effort?	Both L U