Nonparametric Noise models for the Gaussian Process

Ulrich Paquet

ULRIPA@MICROSOFT.COM

Microsoft Research, Cambridge

Jurgen Van Gael

JURGEN@RANGESPAN.COM

Rangespan Ltd., B131 MacMillan House, Paddington Station, London W2 1FT, UK

Abstract

Notes while developing a Gaussian Process with nonparametric noise model.

1. Introduction

It is sometimes really hard to tell what noise model we want to use for a GP. A specific example is quantile regression for product demand forecasting. We've got an underlying trend, perhaps with cyclical component, which we can easily model with a GP by encoding prior knowledge in the covariance matrix. Unfortunately sales data might have spike and other irregularities which make choosing a noise model quite tricky. One option is to use a robust noise model like student-t or Laplace. In this work, we learn a noise model by using a non-parametric mixture of Gaussians.

2. Model

Imagine we have a time series with observations y_t at times x_t with $t \in [0,T]$. We model this data by assuming a latent Gaussian process

$$f \sim \mathcal{GP}(0, K(x, x))$$
 (1)

We model the noise as a non-parametric mixture model using the Dirichlet process. Let

$$G \sim \mathcal{DP}(\alpha, H)$$
 (2)

be a Dirichlet process with concentration parameter α and base measure H. For each time t we introduce a noise variable $\epsilon_t \sim G$. We then model the observation $y_t = f(x_t) + \epsilon_t$. In this work we restrict ourselves to the case where H is a Normal-Inverse Gamma distribution to parameterize the mean and variance of a normal mixture component.

Appearing in *Proceedings of the 29th International Conference on Machine Learning*, Edinburgh, Scotland, UK, 2012. Copyright 2012 by the author(s)/owner(s).

Algorithm 1 Collapsed Gibbs Sampling

Input: data x, y and kernel K(x, x)Initialisation: $z_t \sim CRP(\alpha), \theta_n \sim H$ repeat Sample $z_t | K, y, z_{\neg t}, \theta$

3. Inference

Sample $\theta_n | \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{\theta}$ until convergence

We can perform inference in this model using a collapsed Gibbs sampler. In order to work with the CRP representation of the Dirichlet process we introduce a new variable z_t which will represent CRP partition that datapoint t belongs to. For each CRP partition n we represent the cluster parameters using $(\mu_n, \sigma_n^2) = \theta_n$.

In algorithm 1 we integrate out the Gaussian process f. In what follows we derive the resampling steps for z_t and θ_n .

Sampling z_t The conditional distribution of z_t can be written as follows

$$p(z_{t}|\boldsymbol{K},\boldsymbol{y},\boldsymbol{z}_{\neg t},\boldsymbol{\theta}) \propto \int p(\boldsymbol{y}|\boldsymbol{f},\boldsymbol{z}_{\neg t},z_{t},\boldsymbol{\theta})p(\boldsymbol{f}|\boldsymbol{K})d\boldsymbol{f}, \quad (3)$$

$$= \int p(y_{t}|f_{t},\theta_{z_{t}})p(f_{t}|\boldsymbol{y}_{\neg t},\boldsymbol{z}_{\neg t},\boldsymbol{\theta},\boldsymbol{K})d\boldsymbol{f}_{t}$$

The key bit is that $p(f_t|\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}_{\neg t}, \boldsymbol{\theta}, \mathbf{K})$ corresponds to the prediction of a Gaussian process where every datapoint contributes noise that is dependent on the CRP partition it belongs to.

$$\begin{bmatrix} y \\ f_t \end{bmatrix} \sim \mathcal{N} \left(0, \begin{bmatrix} K(x, x) + \Sigma & K(x, x_t) \\ K(x_t, x) & K(x_t, x_t) \end{bmatrix} \right)$$
 (5)

Where Σ is a diagonal matrix with on the diagonal $\Sigma_{ii} = \theta_{z_{ii}}$.

We know that (?) $p(f_t|\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}_{\neg t}, \boldsymbol{\theta}, \mathbf{K})$ can be represented as a Gaussian distribution with mean

$$K(x_t, x) \left[K(x, x) + \Sigma \right]^{-1} y_{\neg t}$$
 (6)

and variance

$$K(x_t, x_t) - K(x_t, x)^T [K(x, x) + \Sigma]^{-1} K(x, x_t)$$
(7)

This means that for each z_t we can analytically compute $p(z_t|\mathbf{K}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}_{\neg t}, \boldsymbol{\theta})$ as the convolution of two Gaussian distributions. This concludes the resampling of z_t step.

NOTE: we need to say something about Neal Algorithm 8 for sampling from new noise clusters.

Sampling θ_n

$$p(\boldsymbol{\theta}|\boldsymbol{K},\boldsymbol{y},\boldsymbol{z}) \propto \int p(\boldsymbol{y}|\boldsymbol{f},\boldsymbol{z},\boldsymbol{\theta})p(\boldsymbol{f}|\boldsymbol{K})d\boldsymbol{f}, \qquad (8)$$

$$= \int \left(\prod_{t} p(y_{t}|f_{t},z_{t},\boldsymbol{\theta})\right)p(\boldsymbol{f}|\boldsymbol{K})d\boldsymbol{f}, \qquad (9)$$

$$= \int \left(\prod_{t} \mathcal{N}(y_{t};f_{t}+\mu_{z_{t}},\sigma_{z_{t}}^{2})\right)p(\boldsymbol{f}|\boldsymbol{K})d\boldsymbol{f},$$

NOTE: Can we analytically compute the posterior of μ, σ ? It's learning a number of Normal-Inverse Gamma distributions where the observations are Gaussians (the GP).

3.1. Software and Data

Acknowledgments