

## The many faces of a linear operator

Recall that the symbol  $P_n$  in our linear algebra language stands for polynomials of degree  $n$  (in whatever variable). Consider the operator  $T : P_2 \mapsto P_2$  defined by

$$T(f) = \frac{d}{dx}(x f).$$

In the previous section we discovered that the identification

$$a_0 + a_1 x + a_2 x^2 = \begin{bmatrix} a_0 \\ a_1 \\ a_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (1)$$

allows us to represent  $T$  with a three-by-three matrix of the form:

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (2)$$

What if we change the way we represent quadratics by 3-vectors? For instance, we could write

$$a_0 + a_1 x + a_2 x^2 = \begin{bmatrix} a_2 \\ a_1 \\ a_0 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (3)$$

Notice the difference between Equations (1) and (3). In the first case we sort the powers in ascending order: first component of the vector stores the constant, second—the linear coefficient, third—the quadratic coefficient. In the second case the situation is reversed: we sort the powers in descending order. It is easy to see that identification (3) leads to the matrix representation

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (4)$$

which is similar to, yet different from Equation (2). Thus the matrix representation of a linear transformation (or operator) is not unique: it depends on how we represent the inputs (and outputs!) as vectors.

As the exercises at the end of this section show, *any* matrix representation of a linear transformation can be used in computations. For this reason, the

matrices representing a given transformation are termed *similar*. However, one quickly discovers that some matrix representations are much more convenient than others. In particular, linear transformations become especially simple when their matrices are *diagonal* as in Equations (2) and (4). To see why diagonal representation are nice, let us compute the matrix of  $T^n$  using the first convention (1). The result is, simply:

$$T^n = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2^n & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3^n \end{bmatrix}.$$

The matrix of the inverse operator is also very simple, namely:

$$T^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{3} \end{bmatrix}$$

(Verify that  $T^{-1}T = TT^{-1} = I$ ). Now try it with a *dense* matrix, say

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & -1 \\ 3 & 5 & 2 \\ 2 & -7 & 4 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We can still compute  $A^n$  and  $A^{-1}$  using matrix multiplication. However, the computations become strenuous and, if we increase the dimensions of  $A$ , even MATLAB becomes inadequate. This raises a key question:

Given a linear transformation, can we represent its inputs and outputs vectorially in such a manner that the matrix of the transformation becomes diagonal?

## Exercises

1. Consider the following operator acting on  $P_2$ :

$$T : f \mapsto f + 2 \frac{df}{dx} + \frac{d^2f}{dx^2}.$$

- (a) Find the matrix representation of  $T$  using the convention (1) from this section; call the matrix  $A$ .

- (b) Find the matrix representation of  $T$  using the convention (3) from this section; call the matrix  $B$ .
- (c) Use the matrices  $A$  and  $B$  to compute  $T(x + x^2)$  and show that the result is the same.
- (d) Let  $\mathcal{P}$  denote the operator which permutes the elements of a 3-vector as follows:

$$\begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{bmatrix} \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} c \\ b \\ a \end{bmatrix}$$

Find the matrix of  $\mathcal{P}$  and call it  $C$ .

- (e) Two of the four matrices  $AC$ ,  $CA$ ,  $CB$ ,  $BC$  are the same. Which ones? Support your answer with a verbal explanation.
2. In this exercise we will need Euler's formula for the complex exponential:  $e^{i\theta} = \cos(\theta) + i \sin(\theta)$ . (Here  $i = \sqrt{-1}$ ). Let  $D = \frac{d}{dt}$ .

- (a) Consider the operator  $D$  acting on inputs of the form:

$$a \cos(t) + b \sin(t) \equiv \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix}.$$

Find the matrix of  $D$  and call it  $A$ .

- (b) Now consider the same operator  $D$  acting on inputs of the form:

$$c_1 e^{it} + c_2 e^{-it} \equiv \begin{bmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  are complex numbers. Find the matrix of  $D$  and call it  $B$ .

- (c) Write a general formula for  $A^n$  and  $B^n$  where  $n$  is a positive integer. Which matrix representation of  $D$  is easier to use and why?

3. Let  $K$  be the following operator acting on  $P_1$ :

$$K : f \mapsto \int_0^1 (2 + 2x - 6t) f(t) dt.$$

- (a) Find the matrix of  $K$  using the identification:

$$a + b t = \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix}$$

- (b) Use the matrix you found in the previous part to compute  $K(2 + 3t)$ . Confirm the result of matrix-vector multiplication using Calculus.
- (c) Consider the action of  $K$  on inputs  $c_1 f_1 + c_2 f_2$  where

$$f_1 = 1 - (1 + i)t, \quad f_2 = 1 - (1 - i)t, \quad (i = \sqrt{-1}),$$

and  $c$ 's are arbitrary complex numbers. Find the matrix of  $K$  using the standard identification

$$c_1 f_1 + c_2 f_2 \equiv \begin{bmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \end{bmatrix},$$

- (d) Show that any linear function in  $P_1$  can be written as a linear combination  $c_1 f_1 + c_2 f_2$  with  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  as above. What is your conclusion? Write a paragraph summarizing your observations in this problem.

4. Consider the matrices

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 10 & -9 \\ 6 & -5 \end{bmatrix} \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

Are these matrices similar (in the sense explained in this section)? Whatever answer you choose, provide a clear verbal explanation. If you find this problem impossibly difficult, explain what makes it so.