An Empirical Study of Partial Deduction for MINIKANREN

EKATERINA VERBITSKAIA, DMITRY BOULYTCHEV, and DANIIL BEREZUN, Saint Petersburg

State University, Russia and JetBrains Research, Russia

ABSTRACT

CCS Concepts: • Software and its engineering → Constraint and logic languages; Source code generation.

Additional Key Words and Phrases: relational programming, partial deduction, specialization

ACM Reference Format:

Ekaterina Verbitskaia, Dmitry Boulytchev, and Daniil Berezun. 2020. An Empirical Study of Partial Deduction for MINIKANREN. 1, 1 (May 2020), 2 pages. https://doi.org/12.3456/7890123.4567890

1 INTRODUCTION

The MINIKANREN language family is nice.

Unpredictable running time for different directions.

Specialization sometimes helps, sometimes does not, sometimes makes everything worse.

Control issues, blah blah blah

This problem also appear in supercompilation (partial evaluation, specialization) of functional and imperative programming languages.

<Here should be review of problems in specialization of functional languages>

We came up with the new specialization algorithm, which seems nice, but is as unpredictable as the others.

Here are some numbers...

Contribution is the following

- explanation of specialization
- new specialization algorithm
- why some programs behave worse after specialization

2 NON-CONJUNCTIVE PARTIAL DEDUCTION

We came up with the idea.

Here we'll briefly describe it.

First we build a tree, than we generate a residual program from it. Residualization is more or less trivial, once the tree is built

Building the tree is... Well... Complicated.

There are issues with when to unfold, when to stop.

There are a bunch of heuristics for it.

 $Authors' address: Ekaterina \ Verbitskaia, kajigor@gmail.com; Dmitry Boulytchev, dboulytchev@math.spbu.ru; Daniil Berezun, daniil.berezun@jetbrains.com, Saint Petersburg State University, Russia , JetBrains Research, Russia.$

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than ACM must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from permissions@acm.org.

@ 2020 Association for Computing Machinery.

XXXX-XXXX/2020/5-ART \$15.00

https://doi.org/12.3456/7890123.4567890

2 • Verbitskaia, Berezun and Boulytchev.

2.1 Unfolding

Unfolding is when a call to a relation is substituted by its body.

The most important question is when to unfold.

To much unfolding is sometimes is even worse than not enough unfolding.

2.2 Heuristic

First we unfold those conjuncts which are static.

Then - deterministic.

Then those which are less branching.

The last to be unfolded are those calls, which unfold to a substitution.

3 EVALUATION

Is going to be here.

4 CONCLUSION

We compared some of the specialization techniques for minikanren.

There seem to be no one good technique.