

# Final: Incompressible, Laminar Flow over a Rectangular Cavity

John Karasinski

Graduate Student Researcher

Center for Human/Robotics/Vehicle Integration and Performance

Department of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

University of California

Davis, California 95616

Email: karasinski@ucdavis.edu

## 1 Problem Description

Forty five years ago, Mehta and Lavan published a paper on the numerical investigation of flow over a rectangular cavity at low Reynolds numbers [1]. This relatively simple geometry provides tremendous insight into the physics of flow separation, an important flow feature in many applications. A numerical 2D planar model of these incompressible, laminar flows is developed here. In particular, the predicted flow structure (streamline pattern, eddies) and velocity profiles are investigated for a variety of aspect ratios (AR) and Reynolds numbers (Re).

## 2 Numerical Solution Approach

The icoFoam solver from OpenFOAM 2.3.0 was used to computationally solve the Navier-Stokes equations,

$$\rho \left( \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v} \right) = -\nabla p + \mu \nabla^2 \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{f}. \quad (1)$$

icoFoam is a transient solver for incompressible, laminar flow of Newtonian fluid. The geometry for this problem consists of a channel of width 10 m and height 1 m. A cavity is placed just below the center of this channel and has a width of 1 m and a depth of AR (see Figure 1). Five cases were investigated: a base case with AR=0.5 and Re=100, and additional cases of AR=0.5 with Re=1 and 2000 for AR=0.5,




Fig. 1: Geometry for the AR=0.5 cases (width of channel = 10m, height of channel = 1m; width of cavity = 1m, height of channel = 0.5m)

and AR=2.0 and 5.0 for Re=100. A Python script was created to generate the initial conditions, geometry, and meshes for each case.

### 2.1 Initial and Boundary Conditions

The initial velocity field is set to zero throughout the domain. Additionally, the top lid of the channel is set to move to the right at 1 m/s and the velocity at the walls is set to zero. The initial pressure field is also set to zero throughout the domain, and the lid and walls are set to a “zeroGradient” condition. The boundary field for the inlet and outlet boundaries are set to “zeroGradient” for all of the initial fields, and the boundary field for frontAndBack is set to “empty” for all initial fields, turning this into a 2D problem.

### 2.2 Geometry and Mesh

The script also generated the nonuniform mesh for this geometry using the `blockMesh` tool. This mesh was divided into four blocks: the left half of the channel, the right half of the channel, the center of the channel, and the cavity. For the base case, both the left and right halves of the channel were split into 100x100 points in the x, y direction, and also used “simpleGrading” in the x-direction to grade the meshes to be denser towards the center of the domain. The center of the channel was also split into 100x100 points in the x, y direction. The cavity for the base case was split into 100x50 points in the x, y direction. A generalized version of this mesh is available in Table 1 (where M is some desired mesh size).

The resulting mesh was then split on to four CPUs using the `decomposePar` tool, and the icoFoam solver was called with the MPI option. The solver than solved the system to the specified end time and reconstructed the domain and fields using the `reconstructPar` tool. Solving to a solution time of 10 seconds for the base case takes 92.82s on a single core and only 44.01s on four cores, leading to a speedup of 2.1. This speedup could likely be improved by changing the dis-

Name	x	y	simpleGrading
Left channel	M	M	(5/M 1 1)
Right channel	M	M	(M/5 1 1)
Central channel	M	M	(1 1 1)
Cavity	M	M*AR	(1 1 1)

Table 1: Mesh configuration algorithm ( $M=100$ ,  $AR=0.5$  for base case)

tribution of the mesh onto the processors.

### 3 Results Discussion

#### 3.1 Comparison to Previously Published Results

#### 3.2 Velocity Profile Convergence

The system was considered converged when the velocity profile before and after the cavity both approximated a linear function. This is accomplished by sampling the velocity profile at  $x = 1$  m and  $x = -1$  m with the `sample` tool and plotting against  $y$ . An example of this velocity profile for the base case at  $t = 30$ s can be seen in Figure 2.

The NRMS as a function of time is also investigated. A linear velocity profile was taken as the exact solution, and was used as the basis for comparison between the computational solutions found at each time step. The Root Mean Square error,

$$RMSE = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N [U_i - U^*]^2}, \quad (2)$$

and the Normalized Root Mean Square error,

$$NRMS = \frac{RMSE}{\max(U^*) - \min(U^*)}, \quad (3)$$

can be calculated (as  $\max(U^*) - \min(U^*) = 1$  in this case, the  $NRMS = RMS$ ). Here  $U_i$  is the computational result at each sampled point,  $U^*$  is the linear velocity profile solution, and  $N$  is the number of sampled points. The  $NRMS$  for each case is expressed as a percentage, where lower values indicate a result closer to the analytic solution.

The results of NRMS of the base case at each time step for the velocity profiles before and after the cavity are plotted in Figure 3. This shows that the convergence of the velocity profile after the cavity converges to  $NRMS \approx 2E-4$  at approximately  $t = 120$ s, while the velocity profile before the cavity continues to decrease logarithmically. The convergence of the velocity profile after the cavity suggests that the vortices in the cavity are also effectively converged by this time.




Fig. 2: Velocity profile of  $U_x$  before ( $x = 1$ m) and after ( $x = -1$ m) cavity, for base case at  $t = 30$ s




Fig. 3: Convergence of velocity profile before ( $x = 1$ m) and after ( $x = -1$ m) cavity, for base case

### 4 Conclusion

#### References

- [1] Mehta, U. B., and Lavan, Z., 1969. “Flow in a two-dimensional channel with a rectangular cavity”. *Journal of Applied Mechanics*, **36**(4), pp. 897–901.




Fig. 4: AR=0.5, Re=1




Fig. 5: AR=0.5, Re=100 (base case)







Fig. 6: AR=0.5, Re=2000



(a) Cavity eddies



(b) Upstream corner eddy,  
large ticks are 0.01m  
( $y \in \{-1.94, -2.00\}$ ,  $x \in \{-.50, -.44\}$ )



(c) Downstream corner  
eddy, large ticks are 0.01m  
( $y \in \{-1.94, -2.00\}$ ,  $x \in \{.44, .50\}$ )

Fig. 7: AR=2, Re=100




Fig. 8: AR=5, Re=100

## Appendix A: Python Code

```
1 import subprocess
2 import os
3
4
5 def inplace_change(filename, old_string, new_string):
6     with open(filename) as f:
7         s = f.read()
8
9     if old_string in s:
10        # print 'Changing "{old_string}" to "{new_string}"'.format(**locals())
11        s = s.replace(old_string, new_string)
12    with open(filename, 'w') as f:
13        f.write(s)
14
15    # else:
16        # print 'No occurrences of "{old_string}" found.'.format(**locals())
17
18 def subprocess_cmd(command):
19     process = subprocess.Popen(command, stdout=subprocess.PIPE, shell=True)
20     proc_stdout = process.communicate()[0].strip()
21     # print proc_stdout
22
23
24 def generate_folders(ARs, Res):
25     for AR, Re in zip(ARs, Res):
26         run = "Run" + str(AR) + '-' + str(Re)
27         if not os.path.exists(run):
28             command = "cp -rf base/ " + run + "/; "
29             subprocess_cmd(command)
30
31     print ('Folders generated.')
32
33
34 def create_mesh_file(path, AR, Re):
35     M = 100.
36
37     YMESH      = str(int(M * AR))
38     INV_GRADING = str(0.1)
39     GRADING    = str(10.)
40     MESH       = str(int(M))
41     AR          = str(-AR)
42
43     inplace_change(path, 'AR',           AR)
44     inplace_change(path, 'XMESH',        MESH)
45     inplace_change(path, 'YMESH',        YMESH)
46     inplace_change(path, 'INV_GRADING', INV_GRADING)
47     inplace_change(path, 'GRADING',      GRADING)
48     inplace_change(path, 'MESH',         MESH)
49
50
51 def create_properties_files(path, AR, Re):
52     d = 1.          # characteristic size of domain
53     NU = str(d / Re)
54
55     inplace_change(path, 'NU_VAR', NU)
56
57
58 def update_dimensions(ARs, Res):
59     for AR, Re in zip(ARs, Res):
60         run = "Run" + str(AR) + '-' + str(Re)
61         path = run + '/constant/polyMesh/blockMeshDict'
62         create_mesh_file(path, AR, Re)
63
64         path = run + '/constant/transportProperties'
65         create_properties_files(path, AR, Re)
66
67     print ('Config generated.)
```

```

68
69
70 def run_simulations(ARs, Res):
71     for AR, Re in zip(ARs, Res):
72         run = "Run" + str(AR) + '-' + str(Re)
73         if not os.path.exists(run + '/log'):
74             print(run + ' running now.')
75             command = "hdiutil attach -quiet -mountpoint $HOME/OpenFOAM OpenFOAM.sparsebundle; "
76             command += "sleep 1; "
77             command += "source $HOME/OpenFOAM/OpenFOAM-2.3.0/etc/bashrc; "
78             command += "cd " + run + "; "
79             command += "blockMesh; "
80             # command += "decomposePar; "
81             # command += "mpirun -np 4 icoFoam -parallel > log; "
82             # command += "reconstructPar; "
83
84             subprocess_cmd(command)
85             print(run + ' complete.')
86
87     print('Simulations complete.')
88
89
90 def main(ARs, Res):
91     print('Running ARs ' + str(ARs) + ' with Res ' + str(Res) + '.')
92     generate_folders(ARs, Res)
93     update_dimensions(ARs, Res)
94     run_simulations(ARs, Res)
95     print('Done!')
96
97 if __name__ == "__main__":
98     # Base case
99     ARs = [0.5]
100    Res = [100.0]
101    #           o           Broken=x Working=o
102    main(ARs, Res)
103
104    # Additional cases
105    ARs = [0.5,      0.5,      2.0,      5.0]
106    Res = [1.0, 2000.0, 100.0, 100.0]
107    #           x           o           o   Broken=x Working=o
108    main(ARs, Res)

```

Listing 1: Code to create solutions