Low-latency Hermite Polynomial Characterization of Heartbeats using a Field-Programmable Gate Array

Kartik Lakhotia¹, Gabriel Caffarena², and Madhav P. Desai¹

 Indian Institute of Technology (Bombay), Powai, Mumbai 400076, India
 University CEU-San Pablo,
 Urb. Monteprincipe, 28668, Madrid, Spain gabriel.caffarena@ceu.es http://biolab.uspceu.com

Abstract. The characterization of ECG heartbeats is a computationally intensive problem, and both off-line and on-line (real-time) solutions to this problem are of great interest. In this paper, we consider the use of a field-programmable gate-array (FPGA) to solve a critical component of this problem. We describe an implementation of a best-fit Hermite approximation of a heartbeat using six Hermite polynomials. The implementation is generated using an algorithm-to-hardware compiler toolchain and the resulting hardware is characterized using an off-the-shelf FPGA card. The single beat best-fit computation latency is under 0.5ms with a power dissipation of under 10 watts.

Keywords: Hermite approximation, ECG, QRS, Arrhythmia, FPGA, Parallelization

1 Introduction

Automatic ECG analysis and characterization can help in identifying anomalies in a long-term ECG recording. In particular, the characterization of the QRS complex by means of Hermite functions seems to be a reliable mechanism for automatic classification of heartbeats [1]. The main advantages seem to be the low sensitivity to noise and artifacts, and the compactness of the representation (e.g. a 144-sample QRS can be characterized with 7 parameters [2]). These advantages have made the Hermite representation a very common tool for characterizing the morphology of the beats [1–5].

ECG analysis using Hermite functions has a substantial amount of parallelism. Solutions to the problem have been investigated using processors (and multi-cores) and graphics processing units (GPU's). In this paper, we consider the alternative route of using an FPGA to implement the computations. In particular, our work is motivated by the potential of an FPGA (or eventually, a

dedicated application-specific circuit) for low-latency energy efficient heart-beat analysis.

In generating the hardware for heart-beat analysis, we make extensive use of algorithm-to-hardware techniques. By this we mean that the hardware is generated from an algorithmic specification that is written in a high-level programming language (\mathbf{C} in this case), which is then transformed to a circuit implementation using a set of compiler tools [6–8]. The resulting hardware is then mapped to an FPGA card (the ML605 card from Xilinx, which uses a Virtex-6 FPGA). The circuit is then exercised through the PCI-express interface and used to classify beats. The round-trip latency of a single beat classification was found to be under 0.5ms.

2 QRS approximation by means of Hermite polynomials

The aim of using the Hermite approximation to estimate heartbeats is to reduce the number of dimensions required to carry out the ECG classification, without sacrificing accuracy. The benchmarks used in this work come from the MIT-BIH arrhythmia database [9] which is made up of 48 ECG recordings whose beats have been manually annotated by two cardiologists. Each file from the database contains 2 ECG channels, sampled at a frequency of 360 Hz and with a duration of approximately 2000 beats. In particular, here we are addressing the characterization of the morphology of the QRS complexes since this morphology, together with the distance between each pair of consecutive heartbeats, permits the identification of the majority of arrhythmias.

Firstly, the ECG files are preprocessed to remove baseline drift. Secondly, the QRS complexes for each heartbeat are extracted by finding the peak of the beat (e.g. the R wave) and selecting a window of 200 ms centered on the heartbeat. Given that all the Hermite functions converge to zero both in $t=\infty$ and $t=-\infty$, the original QRS signal is extended to 400 ms by adding 100-ms sequences of zeros at each side of the complex. Thus, the QRS data are stored in a 144-sample vector $\boldsymbol{x}=\{x(t)\}$. This vector can be estimated with a linear combination of N Hermite basis functions

$$\hat{x}(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} c_n(\sigma)\phi_n(t,\sigma),\tag{1}$$

with

$$\phi_n(t,\sigma) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\sigma 2^n n! \sqrt{\pi}}} e^{-t^2/2\sigma^2} H_n(t/\sigma)$$
 (2)

being $H(t/\sigma)$ the Hermite polynomials. These polynomials can be computed recursively as

$$H_n(x) = 2xH_{n-1}(x) - 2(n-1)H_{n-2}(x), \tag{3}$$

where $H_0(x) = 1$ and $H_1(x) = 2x$.

The parameter σ controls the width of the polynomials. In [1] the maximum value of σ for a given order n is estimated. As the value of n increases, the value of σ_{MAX} decreases.

The optimal coefficients that minimize the estimation error for a given σ are

$$c_n(\sigma) = \sum_t x(t) \cdot \phi_n(t, \sigma) [1]. \tag{4}$$

Once the suitable set of σ and $\mathbf{c} = \{c_n(\sigma)\}\ (n \in [0, N-1])$ are found for each heartbeat, it is possible to use only these figures to perform morphological classification of the heartbeats.

3 Beginning the FPGA implementation: the algorithm

The algorithm used in the FPGA implementation is as follows: the implementation first receives the values of the Hermite polynomial basis functions, and stores them in distinct arrays in the hardware. Distinct basic functions are needed for each n and σ . The current implementation uses six values of n (from 0 to 5) and ten values of σ .

After this initialization step, the hardware listens for heart beats. When a complete heart-beat (144 samples) is received, the inner products of the heart-beat with all the basic functions is calculated in a double loop. After all inner products are calculated, the inner product coefficients are used to compute the best fit among the different values of σ . The best-fit σ index and the fitted values are then written out of the hardware.

```
// Hardware engine algorithm (Daemon)
void HermiteBestFit()
{
    // stored in 6 distinct arrays
    // hF0,hF1,.. hF5. hFn stores
    // all the basic functions for
    // order n (for different values
    // of sigma).
    receiveHermiteBasisFunctions();
    while(1)
    {
        // received in 144 entry
        // double precision vector.
        receiveHeartBeat();
        // compute inner products
        // with all basis functions
        // (across n, sigma).
        innerProducts();
        //
```

```
// best fit sigma
        findBestFit();
        // report results
        //
        reportResults();
    }
}
```

The algorithm as described above is purely sequential and does not contain any explicit parallelization. The AHIR compiler is intelligent enough to extract parallelism from the two critical loops (in the inner-product and best-fit func-

Even with this simple coding of the hardware algorithm, we observe that excellent real-time performance is observed (in comparison with CPU/GPU implementations). Going further, it is possible to specify explicit parallelism by writing the processing as a two step pipeline consisting of separate threads for inner-product and best-fit computations These investigations are ongoing.

The inner product loop

The inner product loop can be described as follows:

```
void innerProduct()
{
 int I;
 for (I=0; I < NSAMPLES; I++)</pre>
     double x = inputData[I];
     for(SI = 0; SI < NSIGMAS; SI++)</pre>
        int IO = I + Offset[SI];
        double p0 = (x0*hF0[I0]);
        double p1 = (x0*hF1[I0]);
        double p2 = (x0*hF2[I0]);
        double p3 = (x0*hF3[I0]);
        double p4 = (x0*hF4[I0]);
        double p5 = (x0*hF5[I0]);
        dotP0[SI] += p0;
        dotP1[SI] += p1;
        dotP2[SI] += p2;
        dotP3[SI] += p3;
        dotP4[SI] += p4;
        dotP5[SI] += p5;
}
```

The outer loop is over the samples, and the inner loop across the σ values. There is a high-level of parallelism in the inner loop which can be further boosted by unrolling the outer loop. The AHIR compiler implements this entire function using a single double-precision multiplier and a single double-precision adder. Further note that the arrays hFn and dotPn are declared on a per-n basis. This allows the AHIR compiler to map the arrays to distinct memory spaces, thus increasing the memory access bandwidth in the hardware.

3.2 The minimum-mean-square loop

```
This loop is also quite straightforward.
void computeMSE()
{
  int I, SI;
  best_mse = 1.0e+20;
  best_sigma_index = -1;
  for (I=0; I<NSAMPLES; I=I+4)</pre>
     for (SI=0; SI<NSIGMAS; SI++)</pre>
     {
        int fetchIndex0 = I + Offset[SI];
        double p0 = (dotP0[SI]*hF0[fetchIndex0]);
        double p1 = (dotP1[SI]*hF1[fetchIndex0]);
        double p2 = (dotP2[SI]*hF2[fetchIndex0]);
        double p3 = (dotP3[SI]*hF3[fetchIndex0]);
        double p4 = (dotP4[SI]*hF4[fetchIndex0]);
        double p5 = (dotP5[SI]*hF5[fetchIndex0]);
        double diff = (inputData[I]-
                           ((p0+p1) + (p2+p3) + (p4+p5)));
        err[SI] += (diff*diff);
      }
    }
    for (SI=0; SI<NSIGMAS; SI++)</pre>
        if(err[SI] < best_mse)</pre>
           best_mse = err[SI];
           best_sigma_index = SI;
        }
    }
}
```

3.3 Further optimizations

The current implementation uses a simple sequential specification. Further optimizations include: loop-unrolling, explicit pipelining, and the use of multiple

floating point operators. All these optimizations can be explored entirely at the algorithmic level using the AHIR compiler tools.

4 Hardware Implementation Details

The overall system has 3 major components:

- A host computer, which is used to calculate the Hermite basis functions, initialize the FPGA card, send beat data to the FPGA card and receive the best-fit coefficients from the FPGA card.
- The FPGA card, on which the best-fit algorithm is implemented. We use the Xilinx ML605 card which features a Virtex-6 FPGA and an 8-lane PCI express interface.
- The FPGA card driver, which is based on the RIFFA infrastructure [10]. Up to 12 independent channels for data transmission between FPGA card and the host computer are supported. Corresponding to each channel, separate Receive/Transmit FIFOs are provided on the FPGA card.

The algorithm mapped to the FPGA is first described in a C program (code fragments described in Sections 3.1 and 3.2). To summarize:

- 1. In the initialization phase, the hardware-side listens on an input FIFO to acquire the Hermite polynomials. After the initialization, the hardware moves to the run-phase.
- 2. In the run-phase, the hardware listens on the input FIFO and receives a 144 sample heartbeat (coded as 144 double-precision floating point numbers). After receiving the sample, it proceeds to the inner-product phase.
- 3. In the inner-product phase, the hardware computes, for each σ and n, an inner product of the received beat with the Hermite polynomials $\phi_n(t,\sigma)$. We are using ten values of σ and 6 values of n. Thus, 60 inner-products are computed in this phase. After this phase, the hardware moves to the MMSE phase.
- 4. In the MMSE phase, the inner-products are used to find the best fit σ . The computed best-fit coefficients are sent back to the host using the output FIFO. The hardware moves back to the run-phase (waits for the next beat).

An illustration of this scheme is given in Figure ??.

The VHDL hardware for this design is generated using AHIR-V2 toolchain [8]. The generated VHDL is instantiated in the FPGA together with the RIFFA wrappers, and the resulting design is synthesized and mapped to the Virtex-6 FPGA using the Xilinx ISE 14.3 toolset.

5 Results

We calculate round-trip delay and FPGA core power consumption for processing one beat. The round-trip delay is the time interval between the beginning of transmission of beat-data from the host to the hardware and the beginning of reception of best fit coefficients from the hardware.

For targeting real-time performance, size of block should be small. Also, since there is only 1 core operating on FPGA, having multiple beats per block will reduce only the communication time, which is not significant (0.05ms average). Hence, the test feeds only single beat at a time to the FPGA.

In the implementation, the two loops were unrolled to different extents to see the impact of unrolling on the system performance. Three levels were tried: one-way, two-way and four-way unrolling. The four-way unrolling gave the best performance, as expected. The results are summarized in Table 1 (the reported latency is the average value observed across 100 beats).

Unroll-level	Slice LUT Utilization	Slice Register Utilization	Processing Latency	FPGA core Power Consumption
1-way	56839	65995	1.39ms	2.75W
2-way	65895	80709	$0.80 \mathrm{ms}$	2.88W
4-way	84331	110165	$0.44 \mathrm{ms}$	3.09W

Table 1. Results: FPGA utilization and latency for different loop-unrolling levels

The observed power dissipation in the core of the FPGA (used for actual processing) is 3W (for the 4-way unrolled case). The power dissipation was measured using the system-monitor in the Virtex-6 FPGA. Hardware utilization in 4-way unrolled system is less than 55% of the FPGA resource.

5.1 Comparison with GPU/CPU implementations

Hermite basis fitting has been evaluated on GPU and CPU implementations as well. For example, in [11], the authors observe that the GPU implementation shows excellent scaling behaviour, so that 5000 beats can be processed in 5 seconds. However, when it comes to the latency needed to process a single beat, the FPGA outperforms both the CPU and GPU by a considerable margin, with a 35X improvement relative to the CPU and an 11X improvement relative to the GPU. These comparisons are made against same algorithm executed on Intel-i7 PC(1.6GHz) and NVIDIA TESLA C2050 (1.15GHz). Computation frequency on Virtex-6 was kept at 100MHz which is less than one-tenth of that on CPUs and GPUs.

Further, the average power consumption on the FPGA is 3W as compared to ¿100W on Core i7 processors and ¿200W on GPU. Thus, the FPGA is an attractive option for low latency ECG classification applications in portable health monitoring devices.

6 Conclusions

In this paper, a solution to the problem of Heart Beat characterization using FP-GAs is presented. The mechanism for Hardware Generation via AHIR HLS tool and communicating with this Hardware have been explained in detail. The automated algorithm to Hardware compilation takes away complexity and reduces time taken for hardware design to a great extent.

This methodology is presented as an alternative to existing software oriented approaches, for real-time latency-sensitive signal processing. When using the FPGA, a substantial latency reduction in single-beat processing was observed (in comparison with both GPU and CPU implementations of the same algorithm). Further, the power dissipation in the FPGA is almost two orders of magnitude lower in the FPGA implementation.

The highly parallel GPU and CPU architectures are very effective in off-line processing (processing of a large number of beats, but not in real-time). When it comes to real-time, online beat processing, our work demonstrates that the FPGA offers a very competitive platform for ECG signal processing.

References

- Lagerholm, M., Peterson, C., Braccini, G., Edenbr, L., Sörnmo, L.: Clustering ECG complexes using Hermite functions and self-organizing maps. IEEE Trans. Biomed. Eng 47 (2000) 838–848
- Márquez, D.G., Otero, A., Félix, P., García, C.A.: On the Accuracy of Representing Heartbeats with Hermite Basis Functions. In Alvarez, S., Solé-Casals, J., Fred, A.L.N., Gamboa, H., eds.: BIOSIGNALS, SciTePress (2013) 338–341
- Braccini, G., Edenbrandt, L., Lagerholm, M., Peterson, C., Rauer, O., Rittner, R., Sornmo, L.: Self-organizing maps and Hermite functions for classification of ECG complexes. In: Computers in Cardiology 1997. (1997) 425–428
- Linh, T.H., Osowski, S., Stodolski, M.: On-line heart beat recognition using Hermite polynomials and neuro-fuzzy network. Instrumentation and Measurement, IEEE Transactions on 52(4) (2003) 1224–1231
- Linh, T.H., Osowski, S., Stodolski, M.: On-line heart beat recognition using Hermite polynomials and neuro-fuzzy network. Instrumentation and Measurement, IEEE Transactions on 52(4) (2003) 1224–1231
- Sahasrabuddhe, S.D.: A competitive pathway from high-level programs to hardware. PhD thesis, IIT Bombay (2009)
- Sahasrabudhe, S.D., Subramanian, S., Ghosh, K., Arya, K., Desai, M.P.: A c-to-rtl flow as an energy efficient alternative to the use of embedded processors in digital systems. In: DSD 2010. (2010) 147–154
- 8. Rinta-Aho, T., Karlstedt, M., Desai, M.: The clicktonetfpga tool-chain. In: USENIX ATC-2012, USENIX Association, Berkeley CA (2012)
- 9. Moody, G.B., Mark, R.G.: The impact of the MIT-BIH arrhythmia database. Engineering in Medicine and Biology Magazine, IEEE **20**(3) (2001) 45–50
- 10. Jacobsen, M., Kastner, R.: RIFFA 2.0: A reusable integration framework for FPGA accelerators. Field Programmable Logic and Applications, IEEE 23 (2013) 1–8
- 11. Caffarena, G.: Gpu ecg etc. Preprint (2013)