The publication process

kbroman.org/BMI883

Karl Broman kbroman.org

Norms for discussion

- Presume positive intentions
- Engage respectfully
- Listen attentively
- Aim for equal participation
- Respect boundaries
- Provide evidence

Online working agreements

- ► Use your video camera if possible
- ▶ Use names to address each other
- Use features (mute, raise hand, chat, etc) appropriately
- ▶ Be okay with silence
- ► Start and end on time

Author's point of view

Journal's point of view (Genetics)

- Write paper
- Submit paper and fill out a bunch of forms
- ► Receive reviews and decision
- Revise and resubmit, with response to reviewers
- Acceptance! Submit final documents
- Comb over proofs
- ► Pay publication charges

Author's point of view

- Write paper
- Submit paper and fill out a bunch of forms
- ► Receive reviews and decision
- Revise and resubmit, with response to reviewers
- Acceptance! Submit final documents
- Comb over proofs
- Pay publication charges

Journal's point of view (Genetics)

- Staff assigns paper to Senior Editor
- Senior Editor: rapid reject or assign Associate Editor
- AE: find two reviewers (maybe asking 8 people)
- ► AE: decide on
 - accept as is
 - accept with minor revisions
 - reject but encourage resubmission
 - reject

(Also, explain what's most important)

- ► SE review decision (sufficiently detailed?)
- ► AE: accept, reject, or send out for re-review?

Features of Genetics

- ► Academic (volunteer) editors
- Associate Editors interact directly with authors and reviewers
- AE's name published at the bottom of the paper
- ► All decisions involve two editors

Journal of the American Statistical Association

- ► Similar process, but double-blind
- ► AEs interact with reviewers but anonymous to authors
- Decision comes not from AE but from a Senior Editor

Nature journals

► Editors are anonymous and full-time staff

Tips

- Suggest reviewers
- Cover letter that concisely explains why your paper is interesting
- Shoot high and take forever, or shoot in the middle and move on with your life
- ► In revision: would like to avoid re-review (so convince editor that changes are minor) but don't piss off the reviewers, in case it does go out for re-review
- Make some change in response to each criticism (don't just comment)
- Feel free to ask editor for clarification
- ► Be prepared for pain. The process is not gentle.

How to decide where to send a paper?

Why the struggle among editors, reviewers, and authors?