

VIETNAM NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, HO CHI MINH CITY
UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY
FACULTY OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING



MATHEMATICAL MODELING (CO2011)

Assignment (Semester: 231, Duration: 06 weeks)

"Stochastic Programming and Applications"

(Version 0.1, in Preparation)

Advisor: Nguyễn Tiến Thịnh, CSE-HCMUT

Students: Trần Đình Đăng Khoa - 2211649.
Trần Đặng Hiên Long - 2252449.
Nguyễn Hồ Phi Ứng - 2252897.
Nguyễn Hồ Đức An - 2252009.
Vũ Minh Quân - 2212828.

HO CHI MINH CITY, OCTOBER 2023



Contents

1	Member list & Workload	2
2	Abstract	3
3	Introduction to Stochastic Programming and Optimization	4
3.1	What is Stochastic Programming?	4
3.2	Approach to solve a Stochastic Programming problem	4
4	Background - Basic concepts	5
4.1	The Simplex Method	5
4.2	The Min-Cost Max-Flow Problem	5
5	PROBLEM I. [Industry - Manufacutring]	7
5.1	Summary of the Problem	7
5.2	Model formulation	7
5.2.1	Notations	7
5.2.2	First Stage	7
5.2.3	Second Stage	8
5.2.4	Grand model	8
5.2.5	Solution	9
6	PROBLEM II. [Evacuation Planning In Disaster Responses]	11
6.1	Summary of the Problem	11
6.2	Effectiveness verification	12
7	Conclusion	14



1 Member list & Workload

No.	Fullname	Student ID	Contribution	Percentage of work
1	Trần Đình Đăng Khoa	2211649	- Report, problem 2	20%
2	Trần Đăng Hiên Long	2252449	- Problem 2	20%
3	Nguyễn Hồ Phi Ứng	2252897	- Problem 1	20%
4	Nguyễn Hồ Đức An	2252009	- Report, problem 1	20%
5	Vũ Minh Quân	2212828	- Problem 2	20%

2 Abstract

When you have a problem that requires you to find the optimal solution to a goal, while taking into account the limitations of your resources and the trade-offs of your choices, you may have a **linear programming problem**. This type of problem can be expressed using linear functions of some variables for both the goal and the limitations. Linear programming problems are very useful for modeling many practical situations in different fields, such as:

- A farmer who wants to maximize the profit from planting crops, while considering the available land, water, seeds, and fertilizer.
- A manufacturer who wants to minimize the cost of producing goods, while meeting the demand and quality standards of the customers.
- A transportation company who wants to optimize the routes and schedules of its vehicles, while reducing the fuel consumption and travel time.

A **stochastic programming problem** is a linear programming problem in which some of the parameters or variables are **uncertain**. The uncertainty can be expressed using probability distributions. The goal of a stochastic programming problem is to find the optimal solution that maximizes the expected value of the goal function, while satisfying the constraints with a certain probability.

In this report, we will introduce the basic concepts of **stochastic programming**, exploring its fundamental principles and methodologies for addressing uncertain parameters within linear programming problems. Moreover, the formulation process and solution to PROBLEM 1 - a 2-stage stochastic linear programming with recourse is provided in detail. Also, the effectiveness Algorithm 1 proposed in the work of Li Wang (2020)[9] is verified with Primal Dual algorithm and Successive Shortest Path algorithm.

3 Introduction to Stochastic Programming and Optimization

3.1 What is Stochastic Programming?

An optimization problem is said to be a **stochastic program** if it satisfies the following properties:

1. There is a unique objective function.
2. Whenever a decision variable appears in either the objective function or one of the constraint functions, it must appear only as a power term with an exponent of 1, possibly multiplied by a constant.
3. No term in the objective function or in any of the constraints can contain products of the decision variables.
4. The coefficients of the decision variables in the objective function and each constraint are **probabilistic** in nature.
5. The decision variables are permitted to assume fractional as well as integer values.

These properties ensure, among other things, that the effect of any decision variable is proportional to its value.

3.2 Approach to solve a Stochastic Programming problem

As mentioned above, stochastic problems involve some parameter or variables which are uncertain and described by probability distributions. To yield the optimal result of a stochastic problem, it requires a combination of mathematical modeling, statistical analysis and optimization techniques to effectively handle the uncertainty that lie within the problem.

There are different methods to solve this kind of problem that depend on the complexity, the common methods are **Stochastic Linear Programming (SLP)**, **Stochastic Integer Programming (SIP)**, **Stochastic Dynamic Programming (SDP)**. This report will discuss specifically about **SLP** problems.

In the context of solving a Stochastic Linear Programming (SLP) problem, a common approach involves formulating the problem as a mathematical model that incorporates probabilistic parameters. This model is then subjected to optimization techniques to derive the optimal solution that maximizes the expected value of the goal function while adhering to the constraints with a certain probability. The utilization of tools such as the Simplex Method, combined with statistical analysis to handle the uncertainty, forms a pivotal part of the solution approach for SLP problems. Additionally, the incorporation of scenario-based analysis and recourse actions further enriches the methodology for addressing stochastic linear programming challenges.

4 Background - Basic concepts

4.1 The Simplex Method

The **Simplex Method**, developed by George Dantzig, incorporates both *optimality* and *feasibility* tests to find the optimal solution(s) to a linear program (if one exists). Geometrically, the Simplex Method proceeds from an initial extreme point to an adjacent extreme point until no adjacent extreme point is more optimal.

To implement the Simplex Method we first separate the *decision* and *slack* variables into two non-overlapping sets that we call the **independent** and **dependent** sets. For the particular linear programs we consider, the original independent set will consist of the decision variables, and the slack variables will belong to the dependent set.

The Algorithm:

1. Tableau Format: Place the linear program in Tableau Format, as explained later.
2. Initial Extreme Point: The Simplex Method begins with a known extreme point, usually the origin $(0, 0)$.
3. Optimality Test: Determine whether an adjacent intersection point improves the value of the objective function. If not, the current extreme point is optimal. If an improvement is possible, the optimality test determines which variable currently in the independent set (having value zero) should *enter* the dependent set and become nonzero.
4. Feasibility Test: To find a new intersection point, one of the variables in the dependent set must *exit* to allow the entering variable from Step 3 to become dependent. The feasibility test determines which current dependent variable to choose for exiting, ensuring feasibility.
5. Pivot: Form a new, equivalent system of equations by eliminating the new dependent variable from the equations do not contain the variable that exited in Step 4. Then set the new independent variables to zero in the new system to find the values of the new dependent variables, thereby determining an intersection point.
6. Repeat Steps 3 - 5 until the extreme point is optimal.

4.2 The Min-Cost Max-Flow Problem

The minimum-cost maximum-flow problem is a variant of the maximum flow problem, which involves finding a feasible flow through a network that maximizes the total flow and minimizes the total cost. In this context, each edge in the network has a capacity (the maximum amount of flow it can handle) and a cost (the cost per unit of flow) [1] [2].

The problem can be defined as follows [2]:

- A flow network is a directed graph with a source vertex and a sink vertex.
- Each edge has a capacity, flow, and cost.
- The cost of sending flow along an edge is the product of the flow and the cost per unit flow.
- The problem requires a maximum amount of flow to be sent from the source to the sink.



- The goal is to minimize the total cost of the flow over all edges, subject to capacity constraints and flow conservation constraints.

A typical application of this problem involves finding the best delivery route from a factory to a warehouse where the road network has some capacity and cost associated.

The minimum-cost maximum-flow problem can be solved effectively using various algorithms, such as the Successive Shortest Path Algorithm or the Primal Dual Algorithm.

5 PROBLEM I. [Industry - Manufacutring]

5.1 Summary of the Problem

This problem revolves around minimizing the production output of an industrial firm F. Specifically, the firm is tasked with manufacturing n distinct products. Each product necessitates varying quantities of components, sourced from m external suppliers, each carrying a unit cost of b . Before the product demands are determined, the firm must make decisions regarding the quantity of components to procure. Following this procurement phase, the production commences and the product demands are disclosed. Corresponding to each product, there exists a selling price, denoted as q . In the event of an excess in component procurement beyond the production requirements, salvage components must be resold at a price of s to mitigate costs. Additionally, any unmet product demands incur an extra cost of l per unit to fulfill.

This problem constitutes a 2-stage stochastic linear programming (2-SLP) challenge, where the product demands introduce uncertainty. The primary aim across the two stages involves minimizing the expenses linked to both pre-ordering components and actual production. However, as stipulated in the assignment, this problem necessitates recourse action, transforming it into a 2-stage stochastic linear programming problem with recourse (2-SLPWR) with the request of finding the optimal value of $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$. The comprehensive formulation process and subsequent solution will be detailed further below.

5.2 Model formulation

5.2.1 Notations

For ease of reading, the tables below summarize the notation of parameters and decision variables used in the formulation of this report.

Symbol	Definition
n	Number of products
m	Number of parts
i, j	Index of products and parts, respectively
b	The set of preorder cost of parts
q	Selling price per unit of product i
l	The set of additional cost to satisfy a demand per unit
A	The matrix representing parts needed for each product
a_{ij}	Number of part j needed for product i
s	The set of salvage part selling price
D	The set of the demand of the product
S	Number of scenarios
p_s	Probability of scenario s

Symbol	Definition
x	The set of preordering quantities
z	The set of manufactured products
y	The set of salvage parts

5.2.2 First Stage

The initial stage primarily focuses on ensuring the preordered quantity of parts is sufficient to accommodate future, yet uncertain, demands while avoiding surplus or deficit, which

could adversely impact the firm's profitability. The decision variable, denoted as x , constitutes a *here-and-now* determination that must be made prior to the revelation of actual demand. The preordering cost is represented as a linear function:

$$f(x) = b^T x$$

However, as the problem at hand pertains to a 2-SLPWR (2-stage stochastic linear programming problem with recourse), probability functions linked to distinct scenarios are incorporated to fine-tune the original constraints. Consequently, the quantities denoted by x can be determined by solving the following optimization problem:

$$\text{minimize } g(x, y, z) = b^T x + Q(x) = b^T x + E[Z(z)]$$

Here, $Q(x) = E_\omega[Z] = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i c_i z_i$ is taken with respect to the probability distribution of $\omega = D$. $Q(x)$ represents the expected value of the optimal production cost (the optimal solution to the second-stage problem detailed below). Therefore, $Q(x) = \sum_{k=1}^S p_k (c^T z_k - s^T y_k)$, where z_k and y_k denote the set of manufactured products and the quantity of salvage parts in scenario k , respectively.

5.2.3 Second Stage

Subsequent to the initial stage, the actual demand $d = (d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n)$ for the products is realized. Consequently, the optimal production plan is derived by solving the stochastic linear program involving decision variables x and y :

$$\begin{aligned} \text{minimize } Z &= \sum_{i=1}^n (l_i - q_i) z_i - \sum_{j=1}^m s_j y_j \\ \text{subject to } y_j &= x_j - \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ij} z_i, \quad j = 1, \dots, m \\ 0 &\leq z_i \leq d_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n \\ y_j &\geq 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, m \end{aligned}$$

5.2.4 Grand model

It can be observed that to solve the first stage problem, the optimal solution of the second stage is required. However, the optimal solution of the second stage again, needs the value of x of the first stage. Here we counter the interdependence between the two stages. Therefore, to solve this problem, it is essential to combine the two stages into one *grand objective function* and let the unknown demand follows the binomial distribution specified in the description of the assignment. Then, the quantities x can be decided and use that to solve the second stage again once the actual demand is realized. The grand objective function is described below:

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & g(x, y, z) = b^T x + \sum_{k=1}^S p_k (c^T z_k - s^T y_k) \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & y_k = x - A^T z_k, \quad k = 1, \dots, S \\ & x \geq 0 \\ & 0 \leq z_k \leq d_k, \quad k = 1, \dots, S \\ & y_k \geq 0, \quad k = 1, \dots, S \end{aligned}$$

5.2.5 Solution

In the description of the assignment, specific value of the parameters are given as follows:

- $n = 8$
- $m = 5$
- $S = 2$
- $p_s = 1/2$
- Values of b , l , q , s and A are randomized
- Random demand vector D and its density p_i follows the binomial distribution $\text{Bin}(10, \frac{1}{2})$

To solve this 2-SLPWR problem, our group decided to employ Gurobi - a powerful mathematical optimization solver in Python programming language with Gurobi API. The source code has been included in our assignment submission.

For the values of b , l , q , s and A , a function to randomize integer is used to generate the set of said values. To be specific, the ranges for each one is listed below:

- Preordering cost b : 50 -> 100
- Additional producing cost l : 100 -> 200
- Selling price per unit q : 1000 -> 2000
- Salvage part selling price s : 30 -> price of the corresponding part (for part j ranges from 1 to m , $s_j < b_j$ as specified in the assignment)
- Number of parts required for each product A : the number of each part required for a product will range from 0 -> 5.

Then, a model for the first stage is defined identically to the grand model in section 4.2.4 above. The model is then optimized with demand vector D randomized following the binomial distribution to find quantities x . After that, a second model for the second stage is defined according to section 4.2.3. With that, a vector of d is hard-coded to represent the fact that the actual demand has been revealed, y and z then can be found by solving the optimizing problem.

For example, an instance of our model having the following parameters:

- $b = [74 \ 50 \ 56 \ 66 \ 51]$
- $l = [138 \ 131 \ 196 \ 188 \ 169 \ 127 \ 164 \ 101]$

- $q = [1399 \ 1122 \ 1639 \ 1410 \ 1420 \ 1572 \ 1261 \ 1377]$

- $s = [32 \ 32 \ 41 \ 42 \ 32]$

- $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 & 0 & 2 & 4 \\ 3 & 1 & 3 & 2 & 0 \\ 3 & 0 & 4 & 2 & 4 \\ 3 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 4 \\ 3 & 2 & 3 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 0 & 3 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 4 & 0 & 3 & 1 \\ 3 & 0 & 2 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

- $D = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 4 & 5 & 5 & 4 & 6 & 4 & 6 \\ 6 & 2 & 6 & 6 & 4 & 4 & 6 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$

With those randomized value, the optimal vector x is found: $[89 \ 64 \ 84 \ 66 \ 95]$. Then second stage is solved with the known demand, which is set to $[6 \ 9 \ 8 \ 8 \ 4 \ 4 \ 6 \ 5]$ in our code, getting the result of z and y as follows: $[5 \ 3 \ 5 \ 8 \ 4 \ 4 \ 6 \ 5]$, $[1 \ 1 \ 5 \ 0 \ 0]$.

6 PROBLEM II. [Evacuation Planning In Disaster Responses]

6.1 Summary of the Problem

This problem is the planning of evacuation in case of the happening of a disaster presented by Li Wang (2020). The model used for this problem combines pre-event emergency evacuation plan with scenario-based evacuation plan for affected people after an event. Therefore, it consists of two stages with first-stage decisions being made in the advance of realization of uncertainty, and second-stage plans, which is time-dependent, being made after the realization of stochastic travel times (cost) and capacities.

Similar to PROBLEM 1, the problem of interest is to solve the robust plan in the first stage with the result of the adaptive plan in the second stage. Therefore, Li Wang has formaluted a 2-stage model in time-dependent and random environment as below:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \min \sum_{(i,j) \in A} p_{ij} x_{ij} + \sum_{s=1}^S \mu_s \cdot Q(Y, s) \\ \text{s. t.} \\ \sum_{(i,j) \in A} x_{ij} - \sum_{(j,i) \in A} x_{ji} = d_i, \forall i \in V \\ 0 \leq x_{ij} \leq u_{ij}, \forall (i, j) \in A \\ \text{in which,} \\ Q(Y, s) = \min \sum_{(i,j) \in A_s} c_{ij}^s(t) \cdot y_{ij}^s(t) \\ \text{s. t.} \\ \sum_{(i_t, j_{t'}) \in A_s} y_{ij}^s(t) - \sum_{(j_{t'}, i_t) \in A_s} y_{ij}^s(t') = d_i^s(t), \forall i \in V, \\ t \in \{0, 1, \dots, T\}, s = 1, 2, \dots, S \\ 0 \leq y_{ij}^s(t) \leq u_{ij}^s(t), \forall (i, j) \in A, t \in \{0, 1, \dots, T\}, s = 1, 2, \dots, S \\ \sum_{t \leq \bar{T}} y_{ij}^s(t) = x_{ij}, (i, j) \in A, s = 1, 2, \dots, S \end{array} \right. \quad (9)$$

This model is a time-dependent and stochastic two-stage evacuation planning model. Because it has a limited number of scenarios, the above model can be combined into the following single-stage stochastic model:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \min \sum_{(i,j) \in A} p_{ij} x_{ij} + \sum_{s=1}^S \left(\mu_s \cdot \sum_{(i,j) \in A_s} c_{ij}^s(t) \cdot y_{ij}^s(t) \right) \\ \text{s. t.} \\ \sum_{(i,j) \in A} x_{ij} - \sum_{(j,i) \in A} x_{ji} = d_i, \forall i \in V \\ 0 \leq x_{ij} \leq u_{ij}, \forall (i, j) \in A \\ \sum_{(i_t, j_{t'}) \in A_s} y_{ij}^s(t) - \sum_{(j_{t'}, i_t) \in A_s} y_{ij}^s(t') = d_i^s(t), \forall i \in V, \\ t \in \{0, 1, \dots, T\}, s = 1, 2, \dots, S \\ 0 \leq y_{ij}^s(t) \leq u_{ij}^s(t), \forall (i, j) \in A, t \in \{0, 1, \dots, T\}, s = 1, 2, \dots, S \\ \sum_{t \leq \bar{T}} y_{ij}^s(t) = x_{ij}, (i, j) \in A, s = 1, 2, \dots, S \end{array} \right. \quad (10)$$

This is essentially an integer programming model which contains two types of decision variables and a complex constraint. Therefore, we use Lagrangian relaxation to decompose it into two subproblems. The algorithm 1 proposed in the paper hold our interests, hence an implementation and efficiency verification are presented below.

6.2 Effectiveness verification

We used Primal Dual algorithm - and Successive Shortest Path algorithm to find the solution for the min cost max flow problem proposed in Li Wang's research. The tables below illustrate the average runtime which obtained through executing 10 individual tests for each graph with number of vertices from 2 to 10, so there are 100 testcases.

Primal Dual Runtime (microsecond)									
nth Test \ Vertex number	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
1	14	32	38	44	55	59	61	72	71
2	10	20	26	31	38	43	45	64	70
3	9	21	27	31	39	44	46	72	70
4	9	20	26	32	39	43	45	72	75
5	10	21	25	32	41	43	45	70	76
6	9	21	25	32	39	44	51	70	70
7	9	21	25	31	39	43	46	75	70
8	9	22	24	32	39	43	50	70	70
9	9	23	25	32	40	43	46	67	70
10	9	21	25	32	39	43	46	71	74
Average of each Test	9.7	22.2	26.6	32.9	40.8	44.8	48.1	70.3	71.6
Average	40.777778								

Figure 1: Runtime of Primal Dual algorithm

An $O(Fnm \log n)$ implementation of the successive shortest path algorithm (F is the maximum possible flow, n is the number of vertices, m is the number of edges). Uses Bellman-Ford derivative to compute the initial potentials and uses Dijkstra to find the shortest paths. Note: The algorithm will fail if there is a negative cost cycle [4].

An $O(Fn2m)$ implementation of a variation of the Primal-Dual algorithm (F is the maximum possible flow, n is the number of vertices, m is the number of edges). Usually performs much better in practice. Performs $O(F)$ augmenting loops in which it uses a modification of the Bellman-Ford algorithm to compute the potential for each node and uses a modification of the Dinic's algorithm to saturate paths with cost equal to the potential of the sink node. Note: The algorithm will fail if there is a negative cost cycle [3].

It is apparent that, the successive shortest path algorithm presents a relatively slower execution time than Primal Dual (about 0.8 microseconds). However, with a small number of vertices, specifically in a spectrum from 2 to 4, successive shortest path definitely superior to Primal Dual in terms of average runtime.



Successive Shortest Path Runtime (microsecond)									
nth Test \ Vertex number	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
1	8	13	23	37	55	71	81	83	99
2	7	12	22	36	47	59	72	88	98
3	7	12	22	36	47	58	76	82	103
4	7	12	22	34	47	61	71	81	99
5	7	13	23	33	46	58	75	82	100
6	7	13	22	34	46	58	71	81	102
7	7	12	23	34	46	58	74	81	104
8	7	12	22	33	46	58	71	81	98
9	7	12	22	33	46	58	74	81	97
10	7	12	24	33	45	59	72	80	98
Average of each Test	7.1	12.3	22.5	34.3	47.1	59.8	73.7	82	99.8
Average	48.73333333								

Figure 2: Runtime of Successive Shortest Path algorithm

7 Conclusion

This comprehensive report delves into the realm of stochastic programming, exploring its practical applications within industrial manufacturing and disaster response planning. It lays the foundation by elucidating the core principles of stochastic programming, elucidating the intricacies of stochastic programs and the methodologies employed to address such complexities. Delving deeper, it meticulously elucidates the formulation of a 2-stage stochastic linear programming problem with recourse, vividly illustrating the intricate relationship between the stages and underscoring the imperative nature of optimizing decisions amidst uncertain parameters.

Moving forward, the report presents the implementation and comparison of two distinct algorithms—Primal Dual and Successive Shortest Path. These algorithms are employed to solve the minimum cost max flow problem posited in Li Wang’s research. Subsequently, an extensive runtime analysis is conducted, rigorously scrutinizing the efficiency and comparative effectiveness of Algorithm 1 as proposed in the aforementioned research.

This extensive exploration and experimentation with 2-stage stochastic programming problems have cultivated a profound comprehension of the subject matter. As a result, it lays a solid foundation for further research and application, paving the way for enhanced problem-solving approaches and strategies in complex decision-making scenarios in our path in the future.

References

- [1] Minimum-cost flow. https://algorithm-wiki.csail.mit.edu/wiki/Minimum-Cost_Flow. Accessed: December 10, 2023.
- [2] Minimum-cost flow problem. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Minimum-cost_flow_problem. Accessed: December 10, 2023.
- [3] Algoteka OÜ. Primal-dual min-cost max-flow algorithm. <https://www.algoteka.com>.
- [4] Algoteka OÜ. Successive shortest path algorithm for minimum cost max-flow. <https://www.algoteka.com>.
- [5] Thomas H. Cormen, Charles E. Leiserson, Ronald L. Rivest, and Clifford Stein. *Introduction to Algorithms, Third Edition*. The MIT Press, 3rd edition, 2009.
- [6] F.R. Giordano, W.P. Fox, and S.B. Horton. *A First Course in Mathematical Modeling*. Cengage Learning, 2013.
- [7] Alexander Shapiro, Darinka Dentcheva, and Andrzej Ruszczyński. *Lectures on Stochastic Programming: Modeling and Theory, Second Edition*. Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, USA, 2014.
- [8] Stein W. Wallace and William T. Ziemba. *Applications of Stochastic Programming (Mps-Siam Series on Optimization) (Mps-Saimseries on Optimization)*. Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, USA, 2005.
- [9] Li Wang. *A two-stage stochastic programming framework for evacuation planning in disaster responses*, volume 145. 2020.