

Convergence and Stability for PDE Discretizations (Sections 9.3-9.5)

Last time: $u_t = u_{xx}$ "infinitely stiff"
 Semi-Discretization $U'(t) = AU$ finite stiffness
 As $h \rightarrow 0$, this becomes larger $|\lambda_{\max}| \approx \frac{4}{h^2}$

Convergence for ODEs:

Zero-stability
(limit as $K \rightarrow 0$)

bound on E
for any fixed
final time T

Necessary +
suff. for
convergence

absolute
stability

bound on
propagated errors
for all t .

not necessary
for convergence

For PDEs, Absolute stability is necessary for convergence.

Why? Because we need both $K, h \rightarrow 0$
System of ODEs grows as $h \rightarrow 0$

Recall our full discretizations of the heat equation:

$$FE: U^{n+1} = kAU^n$$

$$\text{Trap. rule: } U^{n+1} = \frac{kA}{2} (U^n + U^{n+1})$$

$$A_h = \frac{1}{h^2} \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 1 & & & \\ 1 & -2 & \ddots & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & 1 \\ & & & 1 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$$

For both methods, we have

$$U^{n+1} = R(kA_h)U^n$$

where $R(z) = 1 + z$ for FE

$$R(z) = \frac{1 + z/2}{1 - z/2} \text{ for trapezoidal}$$

$$R(kA_h) = (I - \frac{kA_h}{2})^{-1} (I + \frac{kA_h}{2})$$

We'll write $B_{k,h} = R(kA_h)$.

$$\text{So } \boxed{U^{n+1} = B_{k,h}U^n} \rightarrow U^n = B_{k,h}^{-1} U^0$$

True solution: $U^n = [u(x_1, t^n), u(x_2, t^n), \dots, u(x_M, t^n)]^T$

Substitute:

$$U^{n+1} = B_{K,h} U^n + K \tau^n$$

local truncation error

$$U^{n+1} - U^n = E^{n+1} = B_{K,h} E^n - K \tau^n$$

$$E^n = B_{K,h}^n E^0 - K B^{n-1} \tau^0 - K B^{n-2} \tau^1 - \dots - K \tau^{n-1}$$

$$E^n = B^n E^0 - K \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} B^{n-1-j} \tau^j \quad N = \frac{T}{K}$$

$$\|E^n\| \leq \|B^n\| \cdot \|E^0\| + K \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \|B^{N-1-j}\| \| \tau^j \|$$

Assume: $\|B_{K,h}^n\| < C(T)$ for all n , where C does not depend on K, h .

$$\|E^n\| \leq C \|E^0\| + K N C \max_{0 \leq j \leq N-1} \|\tau^j\| = C \|E^0\| + T C \max_j \|\tau^j\|$$

If our method is consistent: $\|E^0\| \rightarrow 0$ as $K, h \rightarrow 0$
 $\|\tau^j\| \rightarrow 0$

So $\|E^n\| \rightarrow 0$ as $K, h \rightarrow 0$. (convergence)

→ This is known as Lax-Richtmeyer stability.

Let's prove stability: $\|(\mathcal{B}_{k,h})^n\|_2 \leq C(T)$

$$FE: \mathcal{B}_{k,h} = I + KA$$

$$\|\mathcal{B}_{k,h}\|_2 = \max_p |1 + k\lambda_p|$$

$$-\frac{4}{h^2} \leq \lambda_p \leq 0$$

$$1 - \frac{4K}{h^2} \leq 1 + k\lambda_p \leq 1$$

$$\Rightarrow 1 - \frac{4K}{h^2} \geq -1$$

$$-\frac{4K}{h^2} \geq -2$$

$$K \leq \frac{h^2}{2} \text{ (Absolute stability)}$$

Eigenvalues of A:

$$\lambda_p = \frac{2}{h^2} (\cos(p\pi h) - 1)$$

$$p = 1, 2, \dots, m$$

$$h = \frac{1}{m+1}$$

we need $|1 + k\lambda_p|^n < \underline{C(T)}$

$$\Rightarrow |1 + k\lambda_p| \leq 1$$

Proof of stability for Trapezoidal method:

$$U^{n+1} = \underbrace{\left(I - \frac{h}{2}A \right)^{-1} \left(I + \frac{h}{2}A \right)}_{B_{K,h}} U^n$$

$$\|B_{K,h}\| = \max_p \left| \frac{1 + \frac{h}{2}\lambda_p}{1 - \frac{h}{2}\lambda_p} \right| \quad \lambda_p \leq 0$$

$$\|B_{K,h}\| \leq 1 \quad \text{for all } K, h \quad (\text{A-stable})$$