### Crosslinguistic Similarity and Structured Variation in Cantonese-English Bilingual Speech Production

by

Khia Anne Johnson

B.A. Linguistics, University of Washington, 2013

# A THESIS SUBMITTED IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE OF

#### **Doctor of Philosophy**

in

# THE FACULTY OF GRADUATE AND POSTDOCTORAL STUDIES

(Linguistics)

The University of British Columbia (Vancouver)

December 2021

© Khia Anne Johnson, 2021

The following individuals certify that they have read, and recommend to the Faculty of Graduate and Postdoctoral Studies for acceptance, the thesis entitled:

#### Crosslinguistic Similarity and Structured Variation in Cantonese-English Bilingual Speech Production

submitted by **Khia Anne Johnson** in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of **Doctor of Philosophy** in **Linguistics**.

#### **Examining Committee:**

 $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Molly Babel, Linguistics, UBC} \\ \mbox{Supervisor} \end{array}$ 

Kathleen Currie Hall, Linguistics, UBC Supervisory Committee Member

Márton Sóskuthy, Linguistics, UBC  $Supervisory\ Committee\ Member$ 

TBD, Linguistics, UBC University Examiner

 $\begin{array}{c} \text{TBD, Department, UBC} \\ \textit{University Examiner} \end{array}$ 

TBD, Department
External Examiner

### Abstract

Bilingual speech production is highly variable. This variability arises from numerous sources, ranging from the heterogeneity of linguistic experiences to crosslinguistic influence and more. This area has historically been challenging to study, given the relative lack of high-quality bilingual speech corpora and scientific inquiry that such resources enable. This dissertation introduces the SpiCE corpus of bilingual Speech in Cantonese and English and reports on two studies with the corpus. Chapter 2 describes how SpiCE was designed, collected, transcribed, and annotated. Broadly, it comprises recordings of 34 early Cantonese-English bilinguals conversing in both languages, hand-corrected orthographic transcripts, and force-aligned phone level annotations. Chapters 3 and 4 are motivated by a desire to understand how crosslinguistic similarity shapes phonetic variation in speech production.

Chapter 3 addresses this question at the level of voice. Using 24 filter and source-based acoustic measurements over all voiced speech in the interviews, principal components and canonical redundancy analyses demonstrate that while talkers vary in the degree to which they have the same "voice" across languages, all talkers show strong similarity with themselves. To a lesser extent, talkers exhibit similarities with one another, providing further support for prototype models of voice.

Chapter 4 pivots to the level of sound categories. Prior work in this area emphasizes detecting crosslinguistic influence for phonetically distinct yet phonologically similar sounds. This chapter leverages the uniformity framework to assess underlying phonetic similarity for the long-lag stop series in Cantonese and English. Results indicate moderate patterns of uniformity within and across languages but suggest that a slightly coarser view of uniformity is more appropriate. Additionally, there was a clear difference across languages, supporting simultaneous roles for talker and language.

Together, Chapters 3 and 4 give shape to how crosslinguistic similarity is structured and offer a solid ground for generating perceptual hypotheses for areas like multilingual talker identification. Altogether, this dissertation provides a novel resource and highlights the importance of corpus research, both for understanding production processes and for guiding perception research.

## Lay Summary

Bilingual speech is highly variable—one major source of variability arises from how bilinguals' languages influence one another. This dissertation sheds light on how languages influence each other by analyzing conversations with Cantonese-English bilinguals. In addition to contributing a new open-access data set, this dissertation examines similarity across languages. The first question deals with voice: Do bilinguals have the same voice in each language? Are voices like auditory faces? In short—yes. The second question addresses whether this same group shares P, T, and K sounds across languages—that is, do bilinguals say K the same way in English and Cantonese. The answer to this question is a murkier yes, with variability arising from the language and the person. Together, these studies offer insight into the nature of bilingual speech and give insight into how languages do and do not interact in the mind.

### **Preface**

This dissertation is original work, and I am the primary author of each chapter. Additionally, I am the sole author of chapters 1, 4, and 5. All work in this dissertation was covered by the Behavioural Research and Ethics Board at the University of British Columbia under certificate H18-02017.

Chapter 2 was a collaborative effort, and I conceptualized, designed, and led all parts of the corpus development process. The corpus itself was collected by Nancy Yiu, Ivan Fong, and myself. Various members of the Speech-in-Context Lab supported transcription and annotation. The writing in Chapter 2 is based on a paper published in the proceedings of the 12th Language Resources and Evaluation Conference (Johnson et al., 2020a), for which I did the vast majority of the writing.

Chapter 3 is based on a paper published in the *Proceedings of Interspeech* 2020 (Johnson et al., 2020b). Molly Babel contributed to the conceptualization, design, writing, and revisions. Robert A. Fuhrman advised on the methods and suggested the addition of the canonical correlation analyses.

Chapter 4 is based on a solo-authored paper published in the *Proceedings* of *Interspeech 2021* (Johnson, 2021a). Molly Babel provided early input regarding the study's design and feedback on a prior version of the paper.

## Table of Contents

A	bstra	ct									 •	•	•		•			iii
La	ay Su	mmar	y								 •		•					V
Pı	reface	e																vi
Ta	able o	of Con	tents								 							vii
Li	st of	Tables	5								 							x
Li	st of	Figure	es								 	•			•			xii
A	cknov	wledgn	nents														. 3	cvii
1	Intr	oducti	on															1
	1.1	Biling	ualism															2
	1.2	Proces	sing bilin	gua	l ta	lkei	s											4
	1.3	Variab	oility in co	nve	rsat	ion	al	$\operatorname{sp}\epsilon$	ec	h.								6
	1.4	Thesis	on ualism ssing bilin bility in co	d re	sear	ch	qu	esti	ion	s.								7
2	The	SpiCl	E Corpu	s .							 							9
	2.1	Introd	uction															9
	2.2	Corpu	s design a	nd	crea	atio	n											
		2.2.1	Recruitn	nent														13
		2.2.2	Participa	ants														14
		2.2.3	Recordin	ng se	etur	) .												18

				1
		2.2.4	Recording procedure	
	2.3	Annot	ation	•
		2.3.1	Cloud speech-to-text	i
		2.3.2	Orthographic transcription hand-correction 27	•
		2.3.3	Forced alignment	)
	2.4	Descri	ptive statistics	)
		2.4.1	Cantonese interviews	
		2.4.2	English interviews	
	2.5	SpiCE	corpus release	)
	2.6	Discus	sion and conclusion	
_		~ .		
3			ture of Acoustic Voice Variation in Bilingual	1
	$\mathbf{Spe}$			i
	3.1		uction	i
		3.1.1	Voice and voice quality	í
		3.1.2	Structure in voice quality variation	í
		3.1.3	Voice perception	
		3.1.4	Bilingual voices	
		3.1.5	The present study	i
	3.2	Metho	ds and results	
		3.2.1	Data	1
		3.2.2	Acoustic measurements	i
		3.2.3	Exclusionary criteria and post-processing 59	)
		3.2.4	Crosslinguistic comparison of acoustic measurements . 61	
		3.2.5	Principal components analysis	
		3.2.6	Canonical redundancy analysis	i
		3.2.7	Passage length analysis	)
	3.3	Discus	sion and conclusion	
4	The	Struc	ture of Voice Onset Time Variation in Bilingual	
			Stops	
	4.1		uction	i
		4.1.1	Identifying "links" across bilinguals' languages 88	1

		4.1.2	Crosslinguistic influence and representation 90
		4.1.3	Adapting the uniformity framework
		4.1.4	Long-lag stops in Cantonese and English 99
	4.2	Metho	$ds \dots \dots$
		4.2.1	Corpus
		4.2.2	Segmentation and measurement
	4.3	Analys	sis and results
		4.3.1	Ordinal relationships
		4.3.2	Pairwise correlations
		4.3.3	Linear mixed-effects model
	4.4	Discus	sion
5	Disc	cussion	and Conclusion
	5.1	Recap	
	5.2	Genera	al discussion
		5.2.1	Talker-indexical and linguistic influences
		5.2.2	Shared structure and consequences for perception 130
	5.3	Limita	tions
	5.4	Currer	nt and future directions
	5.5	Conclu	usion
_			197
₽ì	ıblioo	rranhy	127

## List of Tables

Table 2.1	Basic participant information from the language background	
	survey, including age, gender (M for male and F for fe-	
	male), age of acquisition (phrased as "age began learn-	
	ing"), and the order the interviews occurred (E for English	
	and C for Cantonese). See Section 2.2.4 for information	
	about interview order	16
Table 2.2	Sentences 1–10 comprise the Harvard Sentences List 60.	
	Sentences 11–17 are holiday-themed imperatives created	
	for this corpus to match the Cantonese sentences themat-	
	ically	23
Table 2.3	All Cantonese sentences are widely-known imperatives as-	
	sociated with Chinese New Year	24
Table 3.1	The Cantonese segmental inventory as described by Matthews	
	et al. (2013). Note that Cantonese vowels combine into	
	many different diphthongs	48
Table 3.2	The English segmental inventory as described by Wilson	
	& Mihalicek (2011), with [?rw] excluded. Note that some	
	English vowels combine into diphthongs	49
Table 3.3	This table reports counts of Cohen's $d$ for crosslinguis-	
	tic comparisons of each of the acoustic measurements by	
	talker. For most talkers and variables, the difference in	
	means was trivial, which is reflected in that column's high	
	counts	64

Table 3.4	,
	number of identical components across languages for each
	PCA
Table 4.1	The number of stop tokens (overall and range across talk-
	ers) and word types for each language and sound category. 102
Table 4.2	Proportion of talker means that adhered to expected or-
	dinal relationship for VOT: $/p/ < /t/ < /k/$ mean VOT
	durations. Note that talker VM25A has no instances of
	Cantonese /p/ in the final sample
Table 4.3	All 15 correlations are based on raw mean VOT—and sepa-
	rately, residual VOT after accounting for speaking rate—
	for each talker, language, and segment. Each row indi-
	cates the comparison, Pearson's $r$ , and the Holm-adjusted
	p-value given 15 comparisons
Table 4.4	Population parameter summary
Table 4.5	Group parameter variability summary

# List of Figures

Figure 2.1	This four panel bar chart summarizes where the SpiCE	
	participants lived during different portions of their lives	17
Figure 2.2	This bar chart summarizes the number of caretakers who	
	were raised in various locations. Note that the number of	
	caretakers reported by individual participants varies	18
Figure 2.3	Multilingualism for the female participants in the SpiCE	
	corpus. Points represent the age that a participant be-	
	gan learning the language indicated in the label. Color is	
	redundant with age, such that earlier ages are darker in	
	color	19
Figure 2.4	Multilingualism for the male participants in the SpiCE	
	corpus. Points represent the age that a participant be-	
	gan learning the language indicated in the label. Color is	
	redundant with age, such that earlier ages are darker in	
	color	20

Figure 2.5	This screenshot from ELAN shows a sample of hand-	
	corrected English from the sentence reading task for par-	
	ticipant VF27A. The audio waveform is displayed in two	
	channels, with one for the participant (top) and the other	
	for the interviewer (bottom). The annotation tiers in-	
	clude (1) the short audio chunk's filename, (2) the raw	
	speech-to-text transcript, (3) the speech-to-text confidence	
	rating, (4) space for transcriber notes, if any, and (5) the	
	corrected transcript. Note that "relaxing" was corrected	
	to "relax on" in the rightmost section displayed 20	6
Figure 2.6	This screenshot from Praat shows what the final tran-	
	script looks like for a small portion of a Cantonese inter-	
	view	1
Figure 2.7	The total word count for each participant's Cantonese in-	
	terview task is represented by bar height. Color indicates	
	the kind of item counted	3
Figure 2.8	The distribution of log word frequency for English and	
	Cantonese words in the Cantonese interviews	4
Figure 2.9	The distribution of log word frequency for English and	
	Cantonese words in the Cantonese interviews	5
Figure 2.10	The total word count for each participant's English inter-	
	view task is represented by bar height. Color indicates	
	the kind of item counted	6
Figure 3.1	Each panel depicts a density plot that pools measurments	
	from all talkers together to show the range of values for	
	that measure. The x-axes each have their own scale. Lan-	
	guage is separated out by color	2
Figure 3.2	A histogram summary of the number of non-trivial com-	
	parisons from Table 3.3 across the 34 talkers	3

Figure 3.3	Each panel plots Cohen's $d$ on the x-axis (scales differ)	
	and the difference between language means on the y-axis.	
	Positive values indicate a higher mean in Cantonese than	
	English. The color reflects the levels of interpretaion for	
	Cohen's $d$ . Each point represents a talker	65
Figure 3.4	This figure uses the format of 3.3, but reports on the	
	standard deviation measures	66
Figure 3.5	In this depiction of the components of the Cantonese and	
	English PCAs for VF32A—a single talker from the cor-	
	pus taken as an example. Loadings are represented by bar	
	height and are labelled with the variable name; color rep-	
	resents conceptual groupings. The component's variance	
	accounted for is superimposed	73
Figure 3.6	This plot depicts the relationship between the two re-	
	dundancy indices for three different types of comparisons.	
	Across-talker comparisons represented by orange "+" (dif-	
	ferent language) and pink "x" (same language) overlap in	
	their entirety. Within-talker comparisons are represented	
	by the black circles and are clearly clustered at the top	
	$right.  \dots  \dots  \dots  \dots  \dots  \dots  \dots  \dots  \dots  $	79
Figure 3.7	Passage length redundancy indices are plotted against the	
	sample size of the smaller PCA. Smoothed curves show a	
	rapid increase in redundancy followed by a levelling off be-	
	tween the vertical orange lines, which represent the sam-	
	ple sizes used in prior work ( $x = 5,000$ ) and the present	
	study $(x = 20,124)$	81
Figure 3.8	The average redundancy value for each talker is plot-	
	ted against the absolute value of the difference of means	
	across languages for that talker. Color and shape indicate	
	the size of Cohens' $d$ . The superimposed regression line	
	summarizes the relationship between these values	84

Figure 4.1	This figure depicts the ordinal relationships for the female talkers. Each panel depicts the mean VOT and stan-
	dard error for VOT for each segment, with E(nglish) and
	C(antonese) in separate rows
Figure 4.2	This figure depicts the ordinal relationships for the male
	talkers. Each panel depicts the mean VOT and stan-
	dard error for VOT for each segment, with E(nglish) and
	C(antonese) in separate rows. VM25A had no /p/ tokens. 105
Figure 4.3	Correlations for within-language pairwise comparisons of
	raw mean VOT are depicted with points representing
	talker means for the segments on the x and y axes and
	superimposed regression lines. The margins display his-
	tograms for each of the axes. Within-Cantonese compar-
	isons are depicted in black, and within English compar-
	isons in purple. Note that while some of the distributions
	in the margins appear different, they are not. This is an
	artifact of plotting the same distribution on different axes
	in different plots—they only appear mirrored
Figure 4.4	Correlations for the across-language comparisons of raw
	mean VOT are depoited in the same manner as Figure
	4.3. Comparisons at the same place of articulation are
	depicted in pink, and comparisons at different places of
	articulation are in orange
Figure 4.5	This figure depicts the 95% HDI posterior distributions
	for each of the population-level parameters, with the pos-
	terior mean indicated by the dot. The orange shaded sec-
	tion represents the ROPE. Recall how to interpret ROPEs—
	accept the null if posterior is fully within bounds and re-
	ject it if the posterior is fully outside ROPE; otherwise,
	withhold a decision

Figure 4.6	This figure depicts the model's predicted value and stan-
	dard error of the predicted value for each of the places of
	articulation by language, using the fitted method in $\it brms'$
	conditional effects function. Notably, the error overlaps
	almost completely for $/p/$ , but not at all for $/t/$ and $/k/$ . 118
Figure 4.7	This figure depicts the posterior distributions for the stan-
	dard deviation of each of the grouping parameters, both
	intercepts and slopes
Figure 4.8	This figure depicts the $95\%$ HDI for each talker across the
	talker intercepts and by-talker slope terms. The shaded
	orange interval represents the ROPE

## Acknowledgments

To be added prior to final approval.