# Formalization of "Knight's Tour Revisited"

## Lukas Koller

### January 4, 2022

#### Abstract

This is a formalization of [1]. In [1] the existence of a Knight's path is proved for arbitrary  $n \times m$ -boards with  $\min(n, m) \geq 5$ . If  $n \cdot m$  is even, then there exists a Knight's circuit.

A Knight's Path is a sequence of moves of a Knight on a chessboard s.t. the Knight visits every square of a chessboard exactly once. Finding a Knight's path is a an instance of the Hamiltonian path problem.

During the formalization two mistakes in the original proof in [1] were discovered. These mistakes are corrected in this formalization.

# Contents

Int	roduction and Definitions	2
Exe	ecutable Checker for a Knight's Path	3
2.1	<u> </u>	3
2.2	Correctness Proof of the Executable Checker	4
Bas	sic Properties of knights-path and knights-circuit	6
Tra	nsposing Paths and Boards	14
4.1	Implementation of Path and Board Transposition	14
4.2		15
Miı	roring Paths and Boards	17
5.1	Implementation of Path and Board Mirroring	17
5.2		18
5.3	Rotate Knight's Paths	25
Tra	nslating Paths and Boards	26
6.1	Implementation of Path and Board Translation	26
6.2		26
6.3	Concatenate Knight's Paths and Circuits	32
Par	rsing Paths	36
	Exe 2.1 2.2 Bas Tra 4.1 4.2 Min 5.1 5.2 5.3 Tra 6.1 6.2 6.3	2.2 Correctness Proof of the Executable Checker  Basic Properties of knights-path and knights-circuit  Transposing Paths and Boards  4.1 Implementation of Path and Board Transposition

8	Knight's Paths for $5 \times m$ -Boards	3
9	Knight's Paths and Circuits for $6 \times m$ -Boards	45
10	Knight's Paths and Circuits for $8 \times m$ -Boards	53
11	Knight's Paths and Circuits for $n \times m$ -Boards	63

theory KnightsTour imports Main begin

### 1 Introduction and Definitions

A Knight's path is a sequence of moves on a chessboard s.t. every step in sequence is a valid move for a Knight and that the Knight visits every square on the boards exactly once. A Knight is a chess figure that is only able to move two squares vertically and one square horizontally or two squares horizontally and one square vertically. Finding a Knight's path is an instance of the Hamiltonian Path Problem. A Knight's circuit is a Knight's path, where additionally the Knight can move from the last square to the first square of the path, forming a loop.

[1] proves the existence of a Knight's path on a  $n \times m$ -board for sufficiently large n and m. The main idea for the proof is to inductively construct a Knight's path for the  $n \times m$ -board from a few pre-computed Knight's paths for small boards, i.e.  $5 \times 5$ ,  $8 \times 6$ , ...,  $8 \times 9$ . The paths for small boards are transformed (i.e. transpose, mirror, translate) and concatenated to create paths for larger boards.

While formalizing the proofs I discovered two mistakes in the original proof in [1]: (i) the pre-computed path for the  $6 \times 6$ -board that ends in the upper-left (in Figure 2) and (ii) the pre-computed path for the  $8 \times 8$ -board that ends in the upper-left (in Figure 5) are incorrect. I.e. on the  $6 \times 6$ -board the Knight cannot step from square 26 to square 27; in the  $8 \times 8$ -board the Knight cannot step from square 27 to square 28. In this formalization I have replaced the two incorrect paths with correct paths.

A square on a board is identified by its coordinates.

type-synonym  $square = int \times int$ 

A board is represented as a set of squares. Note, that this allows boards to have an arbitrary shape and do not necessarily need to be rectangular.

type-synonym board = square set

A (rectangular)  $(n \times m)$ -board is the set of all squares (i,j) where  $1 \leq i \leq n$ 

and  $1 \leq j \leq m$ . (1,1) is the lower-left corner, and (n,m) is the upper-right corner.

```
definition board :: nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow board where board n m = \{(i,j) | i \ j. \ 1 \le i \land i \le int \ n \land 1 \le j \land j \le int \ m\}
```

A path is a sequence of steps on a board. A path is represented by the list of visited squares on the board. Each square on the  $(n \times m)$ -board is identified by its coordinates (i,j).

```
type-synonym path = square list
```

A Knight can only move two squares vertically and one square horizontally or two squares horizontally and one square vertically. Thus, a knight at position (i,j) can only move to  $(i\pm 1,j\pm 2)$  or  $(i\pm 2,j\pm 1)$ .

```
definition valid-step :: square \Rightarrow square \Rightarrow bool where valid-step s_i \ s_j \equiv (case \ s_i \ of \ (i,j) \Rightarrow s_j \in \{(i+1,j+2),(i-1,j+2),(i+1,j-2),(i-1,j-2),(i+2,j+1),(i-2,j+1),(i-2,j-1)\})
```

Now we define an inductive predicate that characterizes a Knight's path. A square  $s_i$  can be pre-pended to a current Knight's path  $s_j \# ps$  if (i) there is a valid step from the square  $s_i$  to the first square  $s_j$  of the current path and (ii) the square  $s_i$  has not been visited yet.

```
inductive knights-path :: board \Rightarrow path \Rightarrow bool where knights-path \{s_i\} [s_i] |s_i \notin b \Longrightarrow valid\text{-step } s_i s_j \Longrightarrow knights\text{-path } b \ (s_j \# ps) \Longrightarrow knights\text{-path } (b \cup \{s_i\}) \ (s_i \# s_j \# ps)
```

code-pred knights-path .

A sequence is a Knight's circuit iff the sequence if a Knight's path and there is a valid step from the last square to the first square.

```
definition knights-circuit b ps \equiv (knights-path \ b \ ps \land valid-step \ (last \ ps) \ (hd \ ps))
```

# 2 Executable Checker for a Knight's Path

This section gives the implementation and correctness-proof for an executable checker for a knights-path wrt. the definition *knights-path*.

#### 2.1 Implementation of an Executable Checker

```
fun row\text{-}exec :: nat \Rightarrow int \ set \ \mathbf{where}
row\text{-}exec \ \theta = \{\}
| \ row\text{-}exec \ m = insert \ (int \ m) \ (row\text{-}exec \ (m-1))
fun board\text{-}exec\text{-}aux :: nat \Rightarrow int \ set \Rightarrow board \ \mathbf{where}
board\text{-}exec\text{-}aux \ \theta \ M = \{\}
```

```
| board-exec-aux k M = \{(int \ k,j) \ | j, j \in M\} \cup board-exec-aux \ (k-1) \ M
Compute a board.
fun board-exec :: nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow board where
  board-exec n m = board-exec-aux n (row-exec m)
fun step-checker :: square \Rightarrow square \Rightarrow bool where
  step\text{-}checker\ (i,j)\ (i',j') =
   ((i+1,j+2)=(i',j') \lor (i-1,j+2)=(i',j') \lor (i+1,j-2)=(i',j') \lor (i-1,j-2)
   \lor (i+2,j+1) = (i',j') \lor (i-2,j+1) = (i',j') \lor (i+2,j-1) = (i',j') \lor (i-2,j-1)
= (i',j')
fun path-checker :: board <math>\Rightarrow path \Rightarrow bool where
  path-checker b \mid \mid = False
| path-checker b [s_i] = (\{s_i\} = b)
path\text{-}checker\ b\ (s_i\#s_j\#ps) = (s_i\in b\ \land\ step\text{-}checker\ s_i\ s_j\ \land\ path\text{-}checker\ (b\ -
\{s_i\}) (s_j \# ps))
fun circuit\text{-}checker :: board \Rightarrow path \Rightarrow bool where
  circuit-checker b ps = (path-checker b ps \land step-checker (last ps) (hd ps))
2.2
        Correctness Proof of the Executable Checker
lemma row-exec-leq: j \in row-exec m \longleftrightarrow 1 \le j \land j \le int m
 \mathbf{by} (induction m) auto
lemma board-exec-aux-leg-mem: (i,j) \in board-exec-aux k \in M \iff 1 \leq i \land i \leq int
k \wedge j \in M
 \mathbf{by}\ (induction\ k\ M\ rule:\ board-exec-aux.induct)\ auto
lemma board-exec-leq: (i,j) \in board-exec n \in A \subseteq A i \in A
\leq int m
  using board-exec-aux-leq-mem row-exec-leq by auto
lemma board-exec-correct: board n m = board-exec n m
  unfolding board-def using board-exec-leq by auto
lemma step-checker-correct: step-checker s_i s_j \longleftrightarrow valid-step s_i s_j
proof
  assume step-checker s_i s_j
  then show valid-step s_i s_j
   unfolding valid-step-def
   apply (cases s_i)
   apply (cases s_i)
   apply auto
   done
next
  assume assms: valid-step s_i s_j
```

```
then show step-checker s_i s_j
   unfolding valid-step-def by auto
qed
lemma step-checker-rev: step-checker (i,j) (i',j') \Longrightarrow step-checker (i',j') (i,j)
 apply (simp only: step-checker.simps)
 \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{elim}\ \mathit{disj}E)\ \mathit{auto}
lemma knights-path-intro-rev:
 assumes s_i \in b valid-step s_i s_j knights-path (b - \{s_i\}) (s_j \# ps)
 shows knights-path b (s_i \# s_j \# ps)
 using assms
proof -
 assume assms: s_i \in b valid-step s_i s_j knights-path (b - \{s_i\}) (s_i \# ps)
 then have s_i \notin (b - \{s_i\}) \ b - \{s_i\} \cup \{s_i\} = b
   by auto
 then show ?thesis
   using assms knights-path.intros(2)[of s_i b - \{s_i\}] by auto
Final correctness corollary for the executable checker path-checker.
lemma path-checker-correct: path-checker b ps \longleftrightarrow knights-path b ps
proof
 assume path-checker b ps
 then show knights-path b ps
 proof (induction rule: path-checker.induct)
   case (3 s_i s_j xs b)
   then show ?case using step-checker-correct knights-path-intro-rev by auto
  qed (auto intro: knights-path.intros)
 assume knights-path b ps
 then show path-checker b ps
   using step-checker-correct
   by (induction rule: knights-path.induct) (auto elim: knights-path.cases)
qed
corollary knights-path-exec-simp: knights-path (board n m) ps \longleftrightarrow path-checker
(board-exec \ n \ m) \ ps
 using board-exec-correct path-checker-correct[symmetric] by simp
lemma circuit-checker-correct: circuit-checker b ps \longleftrightarrow knights-circuit b ps
 unfolding knights-circuit-def using path-checker-correct step-checker-correct by
auto
corollary knights-circuit-exec-simp:
  knights-circuit (board n m) ps \longleftrightarrow circuit-checker (board-exec n m) ps
 using board-exec-correct circuit-checker-correct[symmetric] by simp
```

# 3 Basic Properties of knights-path and knights-circuit

```
lemma board-leq-subset: n_1 \leq n_2 \wedge m_1 \leq m_2 \Longrightarrow board \ n_1 \ m_1 \subseteq board \ n_2 \ m_2
 unfolding board-def by auto
lemma finite-row-exec: finite (row-exec m)
 by (induction m) auto
\mathbf{lemma} \ \mathit{finite-board-exec-aux:} \ \mathit{finite} \ \mathit{M} \Longrightarrow \mathit{finite} \ (\mathit{board-exec-aux} \ \mathit{n} \ \mathit{M})
 \mathbf{by} (induction n) auto
lemma board-finite: finite (board n m)
 using finite-board-exec-aux finite-row-exec by (simp only: board-exec-correct) auto
lemma card-row-exec: card (row-exec m) = m
proof (induction m)
 case (Suc \ m)
 have int (Suc \ m) \notin row\text{-}exec \ m
   using row-exec-leq by auto
 then have card (insert (int (Suc m)) (row-exec m)) = 1 + card (row-exec m)
   using card-Suc-eq by (metis Suc plus-1-eq-Suc row-exec.simps(1))
  then have card\ (row\text{-}exec\ (Suc\ m)) = 1 + card\ (row\text{-}exec\ m)
  then show ?case using Suc.IH by auto
qed auto
lemma set-comp-ins:
  \{(k,j) \mid j. \ j \in insert \ x \ M\} = insert \ (k,x) \ \{(k,j) \mid j. \ j \in M\} \ (is \ ?Mi = ?iM)
proof
 show ?Mi \subseteq ?iM
 proof
   fix y assume y \in ?Mi
   then obtain j where [simp]: y = (k,j) and j \in insert \ x \ M by blast
   then have j = x \vee j \in M by auto
   then show y \in ?iM by (elim \ disjE) auto
 qed
next
 show ?iM \subseteq ?Mi
 proof
   fix y assume y \in ?iM
   then obtain j where [simp]: y = (k,j) and j \in insert \ x \ M by blast
   then have j = x \vee j \in M by auto
   then show y \in ?Mi by (elim \ disjE) auto
 qed
qed
lemma finite-card-set-comp: finite M \Longrightarrow card \{(k,j) \mid j. \ j \in M\} = card M
proof (induction M rule: finite-induct)
 case (insert x M)
```

```
then show ?case using set-comp-ins[of k \times M] by auto
qed auto
lemma card-board-exec-aux: finite M \Longrightarrow card (board-exec-aux kM) = k * card M
proof (induction k)
   case (Suc\ k)
   let ?M' = \{(int (Suc k), j) | j. j \in M\}
   let ?rec-k = board-exec-aux \ k \ M
   have finite: finite ?M' finite ?rec-k
       using Suc finite-board-exec-aux by auto
    then have card-Un-simp: card (?M' \cup ?rec-k) = card ?M' + card ?rec-k
       using board-exec-aux-leq-mem card-Un-Int[of ?M' ?rec-k] by auto
   have card-M: card ?M' = card M
       using Suc finite-card-set-comp by auto
   have card (board-exec-aux (Suc k) M) = card ?M' + card ?rec-k
       using card-Un-simp by auto
   also have ... = card M + k * card M
       using Suc card-M by auto
   also have \dots = (Suc \ k) * card \ M
       by auto
    finally show ?case.
qed auto
lemma card-board: card (board n m) = n * m
proof -
   have card (board \ n \ m) = card (board-exec-aux \ n \ (row-exec \ m))
       using board-exec-correct by auto
   also have \dots = n * m
       using card-row-exec card-board-exec-aux finite-row-exec by auto
   finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma knights-path-board-non-empty: knights-path b ps \Longrightarrow b \neq \{\}
   by (induction arbitrary: ps rule: knights-path.induct) auto
lemma knights-path-board-m-n-geq-1: knights-path (board n m) ps \Longrightarrow min \ n \ m \ge min \ n \ m 
   unfolding board-def using knights-path-board-non-empty by fastforce
lemma knights-path-non-nil: knights-path b ps \Longrightarrow ps \neq []
   by (induction arbitrary: b rule: knights-path.induct) auto
lemma knights-path-set-eq: knights-path b ps \Longrightarrow set ps = b
   by (induction rule: knights-path.induct) auto
lemma knights-path-subset:
```

```
knights-path b_1 ps_1 \Longrightarrow knights-path b_2 ps_2 \Longrightarrow set ps_1 \subseteq set ps_2 \longleftrightarrow b_1 \subseteq b_2
  using knights-path-set-eq by auto
lemma knights-path-board-unique: knights-path b_1 ps \Longrightarrow knights-path b_2 ps \Longrightarrow
b_1 = b_2
 using knights-path-set-eq by auto
lemma valid-step-neq: valid-step s_i s_j \Longrightarrow s_i \neq s_j
  unfolding valid-step-def by auto
lemma valid-step-non-transitive: valid-step s_i s_j \Longrightarrow valid-step s_j s_k \Longrightarrow \neg valid-step
s_i s_k
proof
  assume assms: valid-step s_i s_j valid-step s_i s_k
  obtain i_i j_i i_j j_j i_k j_k where [simp]: s_i = (i_i,j_i) s_j = (i_j,j_j) s_k = (i_k,j_k) by
  then have step\text{-}checker\ (i_i,j_i)\ (i_j,j_j)\ step\text{-}checker\ (i_j,j_j)\ (i_k,j_k)
   using assms step-checker-correct by auto
  then show \neg valid\text{-}step\ s_i\ s_k
   apply (simp add: step-checker-correct[symmetric])
   apply (elim \ disjE)
   apply auto
   done
qed
lemma knights-path-distinct: knights-path b ps \Longrightarrow distinct \ ps
proof (induction rule: knights-path.induct)
  case (2 s_i b s_j ps)
  then have s_i \notin set (s_j \# ps)
   using knights-path-set-eq valid-step-neq by blast
  then show ?case using 2 by auto
qed auto
lemma knights-path-length: knights-path b ps \Longrightarrow length ps = card b
 using knights-path-set-eq knights-path-distinct by (metis distinct-card)
\mathbf{lemma}\ knights\text{-}path\text{-}take:
  assumes knights-path b ps 0 < k k < length ps
  shows knights-path (set (take k ps)) (take k ps)
  using assms
proof (induction arbitrary: k rule: knights-path.induct)
  \mathbf{case} \ (2 \ s_i \ b \ s_j \ ps)
  then have k = 1 \lor k = 2 \lor 2 < k by force
  then show ?case
   using 2
  proof (elim disjE)
   assume k=2
    then have take k (s_i \# s_j \# ps) = [s_i, s_j] s_i \notin \{s_i\} using 2 valid-step-neq by
auto
```

```
then show ?thesis using 2 knights-path.intros by auto
  next
   assume 2 < k
   then have k-simps: k-2 = k-1-1 0 < k-2 k-2 < length ps and
       take-simp1: take \ k \ (s_i\#s_j\#ps) = s_i\#take \ (k-1) \ (s_j\#ps) and
       take-simp2: take\ k\ (s_i\#s_j\#ps) = s_i\#s_j\#take\ (k-1-1)\ ps
     using assms 2 take-Cons' [of k s_i s_j \# ps] take-Cons' [of k-1 s_j ps] by auto
   then have knights-path (set (take (k-1) (s_i \# ps))) (take (k-1) (s_i \# ps))
     using 2 k-simps by auto
   then have kp: knights-path (set (take (k-1) (s_i\#ps))) (s_i\#take\ (k-2)\ ps)
   using take-Cons'[of k-1 s_j ps] by (auto simp: k-simps elim: knights-path.cases)
   have no-mem: s_i \notin set (take (k-1) (s_j \# ps))
     using 2 set-take-subset[of k-1 s_i \# ps] knights-path-set-eq by blast
   have knights-path (set (take (k-1) (s_i\#ps)) \cup {s_i}) (s_i\#s_i\#take (k-2) ps)
     using knights-path.intros(2)[OF no-mem \langle valid\text{-step } s_i \ s_i \rangle \ kp] by auto
   then show ?thesis using k-simps take-simp2 knights-path-set-eq by metis
  qed (auto intro: knights-path.intros)
qed auto
lemma knights-path-drop:
 assumes knights-path b ps 0 < k k < length ps
 shows knights-path (set (drop k ps)) (drop k ps)
  using assms
proof (induction arbitrary: k rule: knights-path.induct)
  case (2 s_i b s_j ps)
  then have (k = 1 \land ps = []) \lor (k = 1 \land ps \neq []) \lor 1 < k by force
  then show ?case
   using 2
  proof (elim disjE)
   assume k = 1 \land ps \neq []
   then show ?thesis using 2 knights-path-set-eq by force
 next
   assume 1 < k
   then have 0 < k-1 k-1 < length (s_j \# ps) drop k (s_i \# s_j \# ps) = drop (k-1)
(s_i \# ps)
     using assms 2 drop-Cons'[of k s_i s_i \# ps] by auto
   then show ?thesis
     using 2 by auto
 qed (auto intro: knights-path.intros)
qed auto
A Knight's path can be split to form two new disjoint Knight's paths.
corollary knights-path-split:
 assumes knights-path b ps 0 < k k < length ps
   \exists b_1 \ b_2. \ knights-path \ b_1 \ (take \ k \ ps) \land knights-path \ b_2 \ (drop \ k \ ps) \land b_1 \cup b_2 = b
\land b_1 \cap b_2 = \{\}
  using assms
```

```
proof -
 let ?b_1 = set (take \ k \ ps)
 let ?b_2 = set (drop \ k \ ps)
 have kp1: knights-path ?b_1 (take k ps) and kp2: knights-path ?b_2 (drop k ps)
   using assms knights-path-take knights-path-drop by auto
 have union: ?b_1 \cup ?b_2 = b
   using assms knights-path-set-eq by (metis append-take-drop-id set-append)
 have inter: ?b_1 \cap ?b_2 = \{\}
  using assms knights-path-distinct by (metis append-take-drop-id distinct-append)
 show ?thesis using kp1 kp2 union inter by auto
qed
Append two disjoint Knight's paths.
corollary knights-path-append:
  assumes knights-path b_1 ps_1 knights-path b_2 ps_2 b_1 \cap b_2 = \{\} valid-step (last
ps_1) (hd ps_2)
 shows knights-path (b_1 \cup b_2) (ps_1 @ ps_2)
  using assms
proof (induction arbitrary: ps_2 b_2 rule: knights-path.induct)
 case (1 s_i)
 then have s_i \notin b_2 ps_2 \neq [] valid-step s_i (hd ps_2) knights-path b_2 (hd ps_2 \# tl ps_2)
   using knights-path-non-nil by auto
 then have knights-path (b_2 \cup \{s_i\}) (s_i \# hd ps_2 \# tl ps_2)
   using knights-path.intros by blast
  then show ?case using \langle ps_2 \neq [] \rangle by auto
next
  \mathbf{case} \ (2 \ s_i \ b_1 \ s_j \ ps_1)
  then have s_i \notin b_1 \cup b_2 valid-step s_i s_j knights-path (b_1 \cup b_2) (s_j \# ps_1@ps_2) by
  then have knights-path (b_1 \cup b_2 \cup \{s_i\}) (s_i \# s_j \# ps_1 @ ps_2)
   using knights-path.intros by auto
  then show ?case by auto
qed
lemma valid-step-rev: valid-step s_i s_j \Longrightarrow valid-step s_j s_i
 using step-checker-correct step-checker-rev by (metis prod.exhaust-sel)
Reverse a Knight's path.
corollary knights-path-rev:
 assumes knights-path b ps
 shows knights-path b (rev ps)
 using assms
proof (induction rule: knights-path.induct)
 case (2 s_i b s_j ps)
  then have knights-path \{s_i\} [s_i] b \cap \{s_i\} = \{\} valid-step (last (rev (s_j \# ps)))
(hd [s_i])
   using valid-step-rev by (auto intro: knights-path.intros)
  then have knights-path (b \cup \{s_i\}) ((rev\ (s_i \# ps))@[s_i])
```

```
using 2 knights-path-append by blast
  then show ?case by auto
qed (auto intro: knights-path.intros)
Reverse a Knight's circuit.
corollary knights-circuit-rev:
 assumes knights-circuit b ps
 shows knights-circuit b (rev ps)
 \mathbf{using}\ assms\ knights\text{-}path\text{-}rev\ valid\text{-}step\text{-}rev
 unfolding knights-circuit-def by (auto simp: hd-rev last-rev)
lemma knights-circuit-rotate1:
 assumes knights-circuit b (s_i \# ps)
 shows knights-circuit b (ps@[s_i])
proof (cases \ ps = [])
 case True
  then show ?thesis using assms by auto
\mathbf{next}
 case False
 have kp1: knights-path b (s_i \# ps) valid-step (last (s_i \# ps)) (hd (s_i \# ps))
   using assms unfolding knights-circuit-def by auto
 then have kp-elim: s_i \notin (b - \{s_i\}) valid-step s_i (hd ps) knights-path (b - \{s_i\})
ps
   using \langle ps \neq [] \rangle by (auto elim: knights-path.cases)
  then have vs': valid-step (last (ps@[s_i])) (hd (ps@[s_i]))
   using \langle ps \neq [] \rangle valid-step-rev by auto
 have kp2: knights-path \{s_i\} [s_i] (b - \{s_i\}) \cap \{s_i\} = \{\}
   by (auto intro: knights-path.intros)
  have vs: valid-step (last ps) (hd [s_i])
   using \langle ps \neq [] \rangle \langle valid\text{-}step \ (last \ (s_i \# ps)) \ (hd \ (s_i \# ps)) \rangle by auto
 have (b - \{s_i\}) \cup \{s_i\} = b
   using kp1 kp-elim knights-path-set-eq by force
 then show ?thesis
   unfolding knights-circuit-def
   using vs knights-path-append[OF \langle knights-path\ (b-\{s_i\})\ ps\rangle\ kp2]\ vs' by auto
qed
A Knight's circuit can be rotated to start at any square on the board.
\mathbf{lemma}\ knights\text{-}circuit\text{-}rotate\text{-}to:
 assumes knights-circuit b ps hd (drop k ps) = s_i k < length ps
 shows \exists ps'. knights-circuit b ps' \land hd ps' = s_i
 using assms
proof (induction k arbitrary: b ps)
```

```
case (Suc\ k)
 let ?s_j = hd ps
 let ?ps'=tl ps
 show ?case
 proof (cases s_i = ?s_i)
   {\bf case}\  \, True
   then show ?thesis using Suc by auto
 next
   case False
   then have ?ps' \neq []
     using Suc by (metis drop-Nil drop-Suc drop-eq-Nil2 le-antisym nat-less-le)
   then have knights-circuit b (?s_i \# ?ps')
     using Suc by (metis list.exhaust-sel tl-Nil)
   then have knights-circuit b (?ps'@[?s<sub>i</sub>]) hd (drop k (?ps'@[?s<sub>i</sub>])) = s_i
     using Suc knights-circuit-rotate1 by (auto simp: drop-Suc)
   then show ?thesis using Suc by auto
 qed
qed auto
For positive boards (1,1) can only have (2,3) and (3,2) as a neighbour.
lemma valid-step-1-1:
 assumes valid-step (1,1) (i,j) i > 0 j > 0
 shows (i,j) = (2,3) \lor (i,j) = (3,2)
 using assms unfolding valid-step-def by auto
lemma list-len-g-1-split: length xs > 1 \implies \exists x_1 \ x_2 \ xs'. \ xs = x_1 \# x_2 \# xs'
proof (induction xs)
 case (Cons \ x \ xs)
 then have length xs > 0 by auto
 then have length xs \geq 1 by presburger
 then have length xs = 1 \lor length xs > 1 by auto
 then show ?case
 proof (elim disjE)
   assume length xs = 1
   then obtain x_1 where [simp]: xs = [x_1]
     using length-Suc-conv[of xs \theta] by auto
   then show ?thesis by auto
 next
   assume 1 < length xs
   then show ?thesis using Cons by auto
qed auto
lemma list-len-g-3-split: length xs > 3 \Longrightarrow \exists x_1 \ x_2 \ xs' \ x_3. xs = x_1 \# x_2 \# xs' @[x_3]
proof (induction xs)
 case (Cons \ x \ xs)
 then have length xs = 3 \lor length xs > 3 by auto
 then show ?case
 proof (elim \ disjE)
```

```
assume length xs = 3
   then obtain x_1 xs_1 where [simp]: xs = x_1 \# xs_1 length xs_1 = 2
     using length-Suc-conv[of xs 2] by auto
   then obtain x_2 xs_2 where [simp]: xs_1 = x_2 \# xs_2 length xs_2 = 1
     using length-Suc-conv[of xs_1 \ 1] by auto
   then obtain x_3 where [simp]: xs_2 = [x_3]
     using length-Suc-conv[of xs_2 \theta] by auto
   then show ?thesis by auto
 next
   assume length xs > 3
   then show ?thesis using Cons by auto
 qed
qed auto
Any Knight's circuit on a positive board can be rotated to start with (1,1)
and end with (3,2).
corollary rotate-knights-circuit:
 assumes knights-circuit (board n m) ps min n m \geq 5
 shows \exists ps. knights-circuit (board n m) ps <math>\land hd ps = (1,1) \land last ps = (3,2)
 using assms
proof -
 let ?b=board \ n \ m
 have knights-path?b ps
   using assms unfolding knights-circuit-def by auto
 then have (1,1) \in set \ ps
   using assms knights-path-set-eq by (auto simp: board-def)
 then obtain k where hd (drop \ k \ ps) = (1,1) \ k < length \ ps
   by (metis hd-drop-conv-nth in-set-conv-nth)
 then obtain ps_r where ps_r-prems: knights-circuit ?b ps_r hd ps_r = (1,1)
   using assms knights-circuit-rotate-to by blast
 then have kp: knights-path ?b ps_r and valid-step (last ps_r) (1,1)
   unfolding knights-circuit-def by auto
 have (1,1) \in ?b \ (1,2) \in ?b \ (1,3) \in ?b
   using assms unfolding board-def by auto
 then have (1,1) \in set \ ps_r \ (1,2) \in set \ ps_r \ (1,3) \in set \ ps_r
   using kp knights-path-set-eq by auto
 have 3 < card ?b
   using assms board-leq-subset card-board[of 5 5]
        card-mono[OF board-finite[of n m], of board 5 5] by auto
 then have 3 < length ps_r
   using knights-path-length kp by auto
 then obtain s_j ps' s_k where [simp]: ps_r = (1,1) \# s_j \# ps' @[s_k]
   using \langle hd ps_r = (1,1) \rangle list-len-g-3-split[of ps_r] by auto
 have s_j \neq s_k
   using kp knights-path-distinct by force
 have vs-s_k: valid-step s_k (1,1)
```

```
using \langle valid\text{-}step\ (last\ ps_r)\ (1,1)\rangle by simp
 have vs-s_i: valid-step\ (1,1)\ s_i and kp': knights-path\ (?b-\{(1,1)\})\ (s_i\#ps'@[s_k])
   using kp by (auto elim: knights-path.cases)
  have s_i \in set \ ps_r \ s_k \in set \ ps_r \ by \ auto
  then have s_i \in ?b \ s_k \in ?b
   using kp knights-path-set-eq by blast+
  then have 0 < fst \ s_j \ \land \ 0 < snd \ s_j \ 0 < fst \ s_k \ \land \ 0 < snd \ s_k
   unfolding board-def by auto
  then have s_k = (2,3) \vee s_k = (3,2) s_j = (2,3) \vee s_j = (3,2)
   using vs-s_k vs-s_j valid-step-1-1 valid-step-rev by (metis\ prod.collapse)+
  then have s_k = (3,2) \lor s_j = (3,2)
   using \langle s_i \neq s_k \rangle by auto
  then show ?thesis
  proof (elim \ disjE)
   assume s_k = (3,2)
   then have last ps_r = (3,2) by auto
   then show ?thesis using ps_r-prems by auto
  next
   assume s_i = (3,2)
     then have vs: valid-step (last ((1,1)\#rev\ (s_i\#ps'@[s_k]))) (hd ((1,1)\#rev
(s_i \# ps'@[s_k])))
     unfolding valid-step-def by auto
   have rev-simp: rev (s_i \# ps'@[s_k]) = s_k \# (rev ps')@[s_i] by auto
   have knights-path (?b - {(1,1)}) (rev (s_i \# ps'@[s_k]))
     using knights-path-rev[OF kp'] by auto
   then have (1,1) \notin (?b - \{(1,1)\}) valid-step (1,1) s_k
        knights-path (?b - {(1,1)}) (s_k \# (rev \ ps')@[s_i])
     using assms vs-s_k valid-step-rev by (auto simp: rev-simp)
   then have knights-path (?b - \{(1, 1)\} \cup \{(1, 1)\}) ((1, 1) \# s_k \# (rev ps')@[s_j])
    using knights-path.intros(2)[of (1,1) ?b - {(1,1)} s_k (rev ps')@[s_j]] by auto
   then have knights-path ?b ((1,1)\#rev\ (s_j\#ps'@[s_k]))
     using assms by (simp add: board-def insert-absorb rev-simp)
   then have knights-circuit ?b ((1,1)\#rev\ (s_i\#ps'@[s_k]))
     unfolding knights-circuit-def using vs by auto
   then show ?thesis
     using \langle s_i = (3,2) \rangle by auto
 qed
qed
```

# 4 Transposing Paths and Boards

#### 4.1 Implementation of Path and Board Transposition

```
definition transpose-square s_i = (case \ s_i \ of \ (i,j) \Rightarrow (j,i))
```

```
fun transpose :: path \Rightarrow path where transpose [] = [] | transpose (s_i \# ps) = (transpose - square s_i) \# transpose ps definition transpose - board :: board \Rightarrow board where transpose - board b \equiv \{(j,i) \mid i \ j. \ (i,j) \in b\}
4.2 Correctness of Path and Board Transposition lemma transpose 2: transpose - square (transpose - square s_i) = s_i
```

```
lemma transpose2: transpose-square (transpose-square s_i) = s_i
  unfolding transpose-square-def by (auto split: prod.splits)
lemma transpose-nil: ps = [] \longleftrightarrow transpose ps = []
 using transpose.elims by blast
lemma transpose-length: length <math>ps = length (transpose ps)
 by (induction ps) auto
lemma hd-transpose: ps \neq [] \implies hd (transpose ps) = transpose-square (hd ps)
 by (induction ps) (auto simp: transpose-square-def)
lemma last-transpose: ps \neq [] \implies last (transpose \ ps) = transpose-square (last \ ps)
proof (induction ps)
 case (Cons \ s_i \ ps)
 then show ?case
 proof (cases \ ps = [])
   \mathbf{case} \ \mathit{True}
   then show ?thesis using Cons by (auto simp: transpose-square-def)
 next
   case False
   then show ?thesis using Cons transpose-nil by auto
 qed
qed auto
lemma take-transpose:
 shows take\ k\ (transpose\ ps) = transpose\ (take\ k\ ps)
proof (induction ps arbitrary: k)
 case Nil
 then show ?case by auto
\mathbf{next}
  case (Cons s_i ps)
 then obtain i j where s_i = (i,j) by force
 then have k = 0 \lor k > 0 by auto
 then show ?case
 proof (elim \ disjE)
   assume k > \theta
   then show ?thesis using Cons.IH by (auto simp: \langle s_i = (i,j) \rangle take-Cons')
 qed auto
```

qed

```
lemma drop-transpose:
 shows drop \ k \ (transpose \ ps) = transpose \ (drop \ k \ ps)
proof (induction ps arbitrary: k)
 case Nil
  then show ?case by auto
\mathbf{next}
  case (Cons s_i ps)
  then obtain i j where s_i = (i,j) by force
 then have k = 0 \lor k > 0 by auto
 then show ?case
 proof (elim \ disjE)
   assume k > \theta
   then show ?thesis using Cons.IH by (auto simp: \langle s_i = (i,j) \rangle drop-Cons')
 qed auto
qed
lemma transpose-board-correct: s_i \in b \longleftrightarrow (transpose\text{-}square\ s_i) \in transpose\text{-}board
 unfolding transpose-board-def transpose-square-def by (auto split: prod.splits)
lemma transpose-board: transpose-board (board n m) = board m n
 unfolding board-def using transpose-board-correct by (auto simp: transpose-square-def)
\mathbf{lemma}\ insert\text{-}transpose\text{-}board:
  insert\ (transpose-square\ s_i)\ (transpose-board\ b) = transpose-board\ (insert\ s_i\ b)
  unfolding transpose-board-def transpose-square-def by (auto split: prod.splits)
lemma transpose-board2: transpose-board (transpose-board b) = b
 unfolding transpose-board-def by auto
lemma transpose-union: transpose-board (b_1 \cup b_2) = transpose-board b_1 \cup trans-
pose-board b_2
 unfolding transpose-board-def by auto
lemma transpose-valid-step:
  valid-step s_i \ s_j \longleftrightarrow valid-step (transpose-square s_i) (transpose-square s_j)
 unfolding valid-step-def transpose-square-def by (auto split: prod.splits)
lemma transpose-knights-path':
 {\bf assumes}\ knights\text{-}path\ b\ ps
 shows knights-path (transpose-board b) (transpose ps)
 using assms
proof (induction rule: knights-path.induct)
 case (1 s_i)
 then have transpose-board \{s_i\} = \{transpose-square s_i\} transpose [s_i] = [transpose-square
  using transpose-board-correct by (auto simp: transpose-square-def split: prod.splits)
  then show ?case by (auto intro: knights-path.intros)
```

```
next
 case (2 s_i b s_j ps)
 then have prems: transpose-square s_i \notin transpose-board b
         valid-step (transpose-square s_i) (transpose-square s_i)
         and transpose (s_i \# ps) = transpose - square \ s_i \# transpose \ ps
   using 2 transpose-board-correct transpose-valid-step by auto
 then show ?case
   using 2 knights-path.intros(2)[OF prems] insert-transpose-board by auto
qed
corollary transpose-knights-path:
 assumes knights-path (board n m) ps
 shows knights-path (board m n) (transpose ps)
  using assms transpose-knights-path'[of board n m ps] by (auto simp: trans-
pose-board)
corollary transpose-knights-circuit:
 assumes knights-circuit (board n m) ps
 shows knights-circuit (board m n) (transpose ps)
 using assms
proof -
 have knights-path (board n m) ps and vs: valid-step (last ps) (hd ps)
   using assms unfolding knights-circuit-def by auto
 then have kp-t: knights-path (board m n) (transpose ps) and ps \neq []
   using transpose-knights-path knights-path-non-nil by auto
 then have valid-step (last (transpose ps)) (hd (transpose ps))
   using vs hd-transpose last-transpose transpose-valid-step by auto
 then show ?thesis using kp-t by (auto simp: knights-circuit-def)
qed
```

# 5 Mirroring Paths and Boards

### 5.1 Implementation of Path and Board Mirroring

```
abbreviation min1\ ps \equiv Min\ ((fst)\ `set\ ps)

abbreviation max1\ ps \equiv Max\ ((fst)\ `set\ ps)

abbreviation min2\ ps \equiv Min\ ((snd)\ `set\ ps)

abbreviation max2\ ps \equiv Max\ ((snd)\ `set\ ps)

definition mirror1-square :: int \Rightarrow square \Rightarrow square\ where

mirror1-square n\ s_i = (case\ s_i\ of\ (i,j) \Rightarrow (n-i,j))

fun mirror1-aux :: int \Rightarrow path \Rightarrow path\ where

mirror1-aux n\ [] = []

|mirror1-aux n\ (s_i\#ps) = (mirror1-square n\ s_i)\#mirror1-aux n\ ps

definition mirror1\ ps = mirror1-aux (max1\ ps + min1\ ps)\ ps

definition mirror1-board :: int \Rightarrow board \Rightarrow board\ where
```

```
mirror1-board n b \equiv \{mirror1\text{-}square \ n \ s_i \mid s_i. \ s_i \in b\}

definition mirror2\text{-}square :: int} \Rightarrow square \Rightarrow square \text{ where } mirror2\text{-}square \ m \ s_i = (case \ s_i \ of \ (i,j) \Rightarrow (i,m-j))

fun mirror2\text{-}aux :: int} \Rightarrow path \Rightarrow path \text{ where } mirror2\text{-}aux \ m \ [] = []
| mirror2\text{-}aux \ m \ (s_i\#ps) = (mirror2\text{-}square \ m \ s_i)\#mirror2\text{-}aux \ m \ ps

definition mirror2 ps = mirror2\text{-}aux \ (max2\ ps + min2\ ps) ps

definition mirror2\text{-}board :: int} \Rightarrow board \Rightarrow board \text{ where } mirror2\text{-}board \ m \ b \equiv \{mirror2\text{-}square \ m \ s_i \mid s_i. \ s_i \in b\}
```

### 5.2 Correctness of Path and Board Mirroring

```
lemma mirror1-board-id: mirror1-board (int n+1) (board n m) = board n m (is -
= ?b)
proof
 show mirror1-board (int n+1) ?b \subseteq ?b
 proof
   fix s_i'
   assume assms: s_i' \in mirror1\text{-board (int } n+1) ?b
   then obtain i'j' where [simp]: s_i' = (i',j') by force
   then have (i',j') \in mirror1-board (int n+1) ?b
     using assms by auto
   then obtain i j where (i,j) \in ?b mirror1-square (int n+1) (i,j) = (i',j')
     unfolding mirror1-board-def by auto
   then have 1 \le i \land i \le int \ n \ 1 \le j \land j \le int \ m \ i' = (int \ n+1) - i \ j' = j
     unfolding board-def mirror1-square-def by auto
   then have 1 \leq i' \wedge i' \leq int \ n \ 1 \leq j' \wedge j' \leq int \ m
     by auto
   then show s_i' \in ?b
     unfolding board-def by auto
 qed
\mathbf{next}
  show ?b \subseteq mirror1\text{-}board (int n+1) ?b
 proof
   \mathbf{fix} \ s_i
   assume assms: s_i \in ?b
   then obtain i j where [simp]: s_i = (i,j) by force
   then have (i,j) \in ?b
     using assms by auto
   then have 1 \le i \land i \le int \ n \ 1 \le j \land j \le int \ m
     unfolding board-def by auto
   then obtain i'j' where i'=(int \ n+1)-i \ j'=j by auto
   then have (i',j') \in ?b \text{ mirror 1-square (int } n+1) (i',j') = (i,j)
     using \langle 1 \leq i \wedge i \leq int \ n \rangle \ \langle 1 \leq j \wedge j \leq int \ m \rangle
     unfolding mirror1-square-def by (auto simp: board-def)
```

```
then show s_i \in mirror1\text{-}board (int n+1) ?b
     unfolding mirror1-board-def by force
 qed
qed
lemma mirror2-board-id: mirror2-board (int m+1) (board n m) = board n m (is -
= ?b)
proof
 show mirror2-board (int m+1) ?b \subseteq ?b
 proof
   fix s_i
   assume assms: s_i' \in mirror2\text{-board} (int m+1) ?b
   then obtain i'j' where [simp]: s_i' = (i',j') by force
   then have (i',j') \in mirror2\text{-board} (int m+1) ?b
     using assms by auto
   then obtain i j where (i,j) \in ?b mirror2-square (int m+1) (i,j) = (i',j')
     unfolding mirror2-board-def by auto
   then have 1 \le i \land i \le int \ n \ 1 \le j \land j \le int \ m \ i'=i \ j'=(int \ m+1)-j
     unfolding board-def mirror2-square-def by auto
   then have 1 \leq i' \wedge i' \leq int \ n \ 1 \leq j' \wedge j' \leq int \ m
     by auto
   then show s_i' \in ?b
     unfolding board-def by auto
  qed
\mathbf{next}
 show ?b \subseteq mirror2\text{-}board (int m+1) ?b
 proof
   fix s_i
   assume assms: s_i \in ?b
   then obtain i j where [simp]: s_i = (i,j) by force
   then have (i,j) \in ?b
     using assms by auto
   then have 1 \leq i \wedge i \leq int \ n \ 1 \leq j \wedge j \leq int \ m
     unfolding board-def by auto
   then obtain i'j' where i'=ij'=(int m+1)-j by auto
   then have (i',j') \in ?b \text{ mirror 2-square (int } m+1) (i',j') = (i,j)
     using \langle 1 \leq i \wedge i \leq int \ n \rangle \ \langle 1 \leq j \wedge j \leq int \ m \rangle
     unfolding mirror2-square-def by (auto simp: board-def)
   then show s_i \in mirror2\text{-}board (int m+1)?
     unfolding mirror2-board-def by force
 qed
qed
lemma knights-path-min1: knights-path (board n m) ps \implies min1 \ ps = 1
proof -
 assume assms: knights-path (board n m) ps
 then have min \ n \ m > 1
   using knights-path-board-m-n-geq-1 by auto
 then have (1,1) \in board \ n \ m \ and \ ge-1: \forall (i,j) \in board \ n \ m. \ i \geq 1
```

```
unfolding board-def by auto
  then have finite: finite ((fst) \cdot board \ n \ m) and
         non-empty: (fst) ' board n m \neq \{\} and
         mem-1: 1 \in (fst) 'board n m
   using board-finite by auto (metis fstI image-eqI)
  then have Min ((fst) \ `board \ n \ m) = 1
   using ge-1 by (auto simp: Min-eq-iff)
  then show ?thesis
   using assms knights-path-set-eq by auto
\mathbf{qed}
lemma knights-path-min2: knights-path (board n m) ps \implies min2 \ ps = 1
proof -
 assume assms: knights-path (board n m) ps
 then have min \ n \ m > 1
   using knights-path-board-m-n-qeq-1 by auto
  then have (1,1) \in board \ n \ m \ and \ ge-1: \forall (i,j) \in board \ n \ m. \ j \geq 1
   unfolding board-def by auto
  then have finite: finite ((snd) \cdot board \ n \ m) and
         non-empty: (snd) 'board n \ m \neq \{\} and
         mem-1: 1 \in (snd) 'board n m
   using board-finite by auto (metis sndI image-eqI)
  then have Min((snd) \cdot board \ n \ m) = 1
   using ge-1 by (auto simp: Min-eq-iff)
  then show ?thesis
   using assms knights-path-set-eq by auto
qed
\textbf{lemma} \ \textit{knights-path-max1} \colon \textit{knights-path} \ (\textit{board} \ n \ m) \ \textit{ps} \Longrightarrow \textit{max1} \ \textit{ps} = \textit{int} \ n
proof -
 assume assms: knights-path (board n m) ps
 then have min \ n \ m > 1
   using knights-path-board-m-n-geq-1 by auto
  then have (int \ n,1) \in board \ n \ m \ and \ leq-n: \ \forall \ (i,j) \in board \ n \ m. \ i \leq int \ n
   unfolding board-def by auto
  then have finite: finite ((fst) \cdot board \ n \ m) and
         non-empty: (fst) ' board n \ m \neq \{\} and
         mem-1: int \ n \in (fst) ' board \ n \ m
   using board-finite by auto (metis fstI image-eqI)
  then have Max ((fst) \cdot board \ n \ m) = int \ n
   using leq-n by (auto simp: Max-eq-iff)
  then show ?thesis
   using assms knights-path-set-eq by auto
qed
lemma knights-path-max2: knights-path (board n m) ps \implies max2 ps = int m
 assume assms: knights-path (board n m) ps
 then have min \ n \ m \geq 1
```

```
using knights-path-board-m-n-qeq-1 by auto
  then have (1,int \ m) \in board \ n \ m \ and \ leq-m: \ \forall (i,j) \in board \ n \ m. \ j \leq int \ m
   unfolding board-def by auto
  then have finite: finite ((snd) \cdot board \ n \ m) and
         non-empty: (snd) 'board n \ m \neq \{\} and
         mem-1: int \ m \in (snd) 'board n \ m
   using board-finite by auto (metis sndI image-eqI)
  then have Max ((snd) \cdot board \ n \ m) = int \ m
   using leq-m by (auto simp: Max-eq-iff)
  then show ?thesis
   using assms knights-path-set-eq by auto
qed
lemma mirror1-aux-nil: ps = [] \longleftrightarrow mirror1-aux m ps = []
 using mirror1-aux.elims by blast
lemma mirror1-nil: ps = [] \longleftrightarrow mirror1 \ ps = []
 unfolding mirror1-def using mirror1-aux-nil by blast
lemma mirror2-aux-nil: ps = [] \longleftrightarrow mirror2-aux m \ ps = []
  using mirror2-aux.elims by blast
lemma mirror2-nil: ps = [] \longleftrightarrow mirror2 ps = []
  unfolding mirror2-def using mirror2-aux-nil by blast
lemma length-mirror1-aux: length ps = length (mirror1-aux n ps)
 by (induction ps) auto
lemma length-mirror1: length ps = length (mirror1 ps)
 unfolding mirror1-def using length-mirror1-aux by auto
lemma length-mirror2-aux: length ps = length (mirror2-aux n ps)
 by (induction ps) auto
lemma length-mirror2: length ps = length (mirror2 ps)
 unfolding mirror2-def using length-mirror2-aux by auto
lemma mirror1-board-iff:s_i \notin b \longleftrightarrow mirror1-square n \ s_i \notin mirror1-board n \ b
  unfolding mirror1-board-def mirror1-square-def by (auto split: prod.splits)
lemma mirror2-board-iff:s_i \notin b \longleftrightarrow mirror2-square n \ s_i \notin mirror2-board n \ b
  unfolding mirror2-board-def mirror2-square-def by (auto split: prod.splits)
lemma insert-mirror1-board:
  insert\ (mirror1\text{-}square\ n\ s_i)\ (mirror1\text{-}board\ n\ b) = mirror1\text{-}board\ n\ (insert\ s_i\ b)
  unfolding mirror1-board-def mirror1-square-def by (auto split: prod.splits)
lemma insert-mirror2-board:
  insert\ (mirror2\text{-}square\ n\ s_i)\ (mirror2\text{-}board\ n\ b) = mirror2\text{-}board\ n\ (insert\ s_i\ b)
```

```
unfolding mirror2-board-def mirror2-square-def by (auto split: prod.splits)
lemma (i::int) = i'+1 \implies n-i=n-(i'+1)
 by auto
lemma \ valid-step-mirror1:
 valid-step s_i \ s_j \longleftrightarrow valid-step (mirror1-square n \ s_i) \ (mirror1-square n \ s_j)
 assume assms: valid-step s_i s_j
 obtain i j i' j' where [simp]: s_i = (i,j) s_j = (i',j') by force
 then have valid-step (n-i,j) (n-i',j')
   using assms unfolding valid-step-def
   apply simp
   apply (elim disjE)
   apply auto
   done
 then show valid-step (mirror1-square n s_i) (mirror1-square n s_j)
   unfolding mirror1-square-def by auto
 assume assms: valid-step (mirror1-square n s_i) (mirror1-square n s_i)
 obtain i j i' j' where [simp]: s_i = (i,j) s_j = (i',j') by force
 then have valid-step (i,j) (i',j')
   using assms unfolding valid-step-def mirror1-square-def
   apply simp
   apply (elim disjE)
   apply auto
   done
 then show valid-step s_i s_i
   unfolding mirror1-square-def by auto
qed
lemma valid-step-mirror2:
 valid-step s_i \ s_j \longleftrightarrow valid-step (mirror2-square m \ s_i) \ (mirror2-square m \ s_j)
 assume assms: valid-step s_i s_j
 obtain i j i' j' where [simp]: s_i = (i,j) s_j = (i',j') by force
 then have valid-step (i,m-j) (i',m-j')
   using assms unfolding valid-step-def
   apply simp
   apply (elim \ disjE)
   apply auto
   done
 then show valid-step (mirror2-square m s_i) (mirror2-square m s_j)
   unfolding mirror2-square-def by auto
\mathbf{next}
 assume assms: valid-step (mirror2-square m s_i) (mirror2-square m s_j)
 obtain i j i' j' where [simp]: s_i = (i,j) s_j = (i',j') by force
 then have valid-step (i,j) (i',j')
   using assms unfolding valid-step-def mirror2-square-def
```

```
apply simp
   apply (elim \ disjE)
   apply auto
   done
 then show valid-step s_i s_j
   unfolding mirror1-square-def by auto
qed
lemma hd-mirror1:
 assumes knights-path (board n m) ps hd ps = (i,j)
 shows hd (mirror1 ps) = (int n+1-i,j)
 using assms
proof -
 have hd (mirror1 ps) = hd (mirror1-aux (int n+1) ps)
   unfolding mirror1-def using assms knights-path-min1 knights-path-max1 by
 also have ... = hd (mirror1-aux (int n+1) ((hd ps)\#(tl ps)))
   using assms knights-path-non-nil by (metis list.collapse)
 also have ... = (int \ n+1-i,j)
   using assms by (auto simp: mirror1-square-def)
 finally show ?thesis.
\mathbf{qed}
lemma last-mirror1-aux:
 assumes ps \neq [] last ps = (i,j)
 shows last (mirror1-aux \ n \ ps) = (n-i,j)
 using assms
proof (induction ps)
 case (Cons \ s_i \ ps)
 then show ?case
   using mirror1-aux-nil Cons by (cases ps = []) (auto simp: mirror1-square-def)
qed auto
lemma last-mirror1:
 assumes knights-path (board n m) ps last ps = (i,j)
 shows last (mirror1 ps) = (int n+1-i,j)
 unfolding mirror1-def using assms last-mirror1-aux knights-path-non-nil
 by (simp add: knights-path-max1 knights-path-min1)
lemma hd-mirror2:
 assumes knights-path (board n m) ps hd ps = (i,j)
 shows hd (mirror2 ps) = (i,int m+1-j)
 using assms
proof -
 have hd (mirror2 ps) = hd (mirror2-aux (int m+1) ps)
   unfolding mirror2-def using assms knights-path-min2 knights-path-max2 by
 also have ... = hd (mirror2-aux (int m+1) ((hd ps)\#(tl ps)))
   using assms knights-path-non-nil by (metis list.collapse)
```

```
also have ... = (i,int m+1-j)
   using assms by (auto simp: mirror2-square-def)
 finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma last-mirror2-aux:
 assumes ps \neq [] last ps = (i,j)
 shows last (mirror2\text{-}aux\ m\ ps) = (i,m-j)
 using assms
proof (induction ps)
 case (Cons \ s_i \ ps)
 then show ?case
   using mirror2-aux-nil Cons by (cases ps = []) (auto simp: mirror2-square-def)
qed auto
lemma last-mirror2:
 assumes knights-path (board n m) ps last ps = (i,j)
 shows last (mirror2\ ps) = (i,int\ m+1-j)
 unfolding mirror2-def using assms last-mirror2-aux knights-path-non-nil
 by (simp add: knights-path-max2 knights-path-min2)
lemma mirror1-aux-knights-path:
 assumes knights-path b ps
 shows knights-path (mirror1-board n b) (mirror1-aux n ps)
 using assms
proof (induction rule: knights-path.induct)
 case (1 s_i)
 then have mirror1-board n \{s_i\} = \{mirror1\text{-}square \ n \ s_i\}
   unfolding mirror1-board-def by blast
 then show ?case by (auto intro: knights-path.intros)
next
 case (2 s_i b s_j ps)
 then have prems: mirror1-square n \ s_i \notin mirror1-board n \ b
         valid-step (mirror1-square n s_i) (mirror1-square n s_j)
         and mirror1-aux n(s_j \# ps) = mirror1-square n(s_j \# mirror1-aux n(ps)
   using 2 mirror1-board-iff valid-step-mirror1 by auto
 then show ?case
   using 2 knights-path.intros(2)[OF prems] insert-mirror1-board by auto
qed
corollary mirror1-knights-path:
 assumes knights-path (board n m) ps
 shows knights-path (board n m) (mirror1 ps)
 using assms
proof -
 have [simp]: min1 ps = 1 max1 ps = int n
   using assms knights-path-min1 knights-path-max1 by auto
 then have mirror1-board (int n+1) (board n m) = (board n m)
   using mirror1-board-id by auto
```

```
then have knights-path (board n m) (mirror1-aux (int n+1) ps)
   using assms mirror1-aux-knights-path[of board n m ps int n+1] by auto
 then show ?thesis unfolding mirror1-def by auto
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{mirror2-aux-knights-path}:
 assumes knights-path b ps
 shows knights-path (mirror2-board n b) (mirror2-aux n ps)
 using assms
proof (induction rule: knights-path.induct)
 case (1 s_i)
 then have mirror2-board n \{s_i\} = \{mirror2\text{-square } n \ s_i\}
   unfolding mirror2-board-def by blast
 then show ?case by (auto intro: knights-path.intros)
next
 case (2 s_i b s_i ps)
 then have prems: mirror2-square n s_i \notin mirror2-board n b
         valid-step (mirror2-square n s_i) (mirror2-square n s_j)
         and mirror2-aux n(s_i \# ps) = mirror2-square n(s_i \# mirror2-aux n(ps)
   using 2 mirror2-board-iff valid-step-mirror2 by auto
 then show ?case
   using 2 knights-path.intros(2)[OF prems] insert-mirror2-board by auto
qed
corollary mirror2-knights-path:
 assumes knights-path (board n m) ps
 shows knights-path (board n m) (mirror2 ps)
proof -
 have [simp]: min2 ps = 1 max2 ps = int m
   using assms knights-path-min2 knights-path-max2 by auto
 then have mirror2-board (int m+1) (board n m) = (board n m)
   using mirror2-board-id by auto
 then have knights-path (board n m) (mirror2-aux (int m+1) ps)
   using assms mirror2-aux-knights-path[of board n m ps int m+1] by auto
 then show ?thesis unfolding mirror2-def by auto
qed
```

### 5.3 Rotate Knight's Paths

Transposing (*transpose*) and mirroring (along first axis *mirror1*) a Knight's path preserves the Knight's path's property. Transpose+Mirror1 equals a 90deg-clockwise turn.

```
corollary rot90-knights-path:
assumes knights-path (board n m) ps
shows knights-path (board m n) (mirror1 (transpose ps))
using assms transpose-knights-path mirror1-knights-path by auto

lemma hd-rot90-knights-path:
assumes knights-path (board n m) ps hd ps = (i,j)
```

```
shows hd (mirror1 (transpose ps)) = (int m+1-j,i)
 using assms
proof -
 have hd (transpose ps) = (j,i) knights-path (board m n) (transpose ps)
   using assms knights-path-non-nil hd-transpose transpose-knights-path
   by (auto simp: transpose-square-def)
 then show ?thesis using hd-mirror1 by auto
qed
lemma last-rot90-knights-path:
 assumes knights-path (board n m) ps last ps = (i,j)
 shows last (mirror1 \ (transpose \ ps)) = (int \ m+1-j,i)
 using assms
proof -
 have last (transpose \ ps) = (j,i) \ knights-path \ (board \ m \ n) \ (transpose \ ps)
   using assms knights-path-non-nil last-transpose transpose-knights-path
   by (auto simp: transpose-square-def)
 then show ?thesis using last-mirror1 by auto
qed
```

# 6 Translating Paths and Boards

When constructing knight's paths for larger boards multiple knight's paths for smaller boards are concatenated. To concatenate paths the the coordinates in the path need to be translated. Therefore, simple auxiliary functions are provided.

### 6.1 Implementation of Path and Board Translation

```
Translate the coordinates for a path by (k_1, k_2).
```

```
fun trans-path :: int \times int \Rightarrow path \Rightarrow path where
trans-path (k_1,k_2) [] = []
| trans-path (k_1,k_2) ((i,j)\#xs) = (i+k_1,j+k_2)\#(trans-path (k_1,k_2) xs)
```

Translate the coordinates of a board by  $(k_1,k_2)$ .

```
definition trans-board :: int \times int \Rightarrow board \Rightarrow board where trans-board t b \equiv (case \ t \ of \ (k_1,k_2) \Rightarrow \{(i+k_1,j+k_2)|i \ j. \ (i,j) \in b\})
```

#### 6.2 Correctness of Path and Board Translation

```
lemma trans-path-length: length ps = length (trans-path (k_1,k_2) ps) by (induction ps) auto
```

```
lemma trans-path-non-nil: ps \neq [] \implies trans-path (k_1,k_2) \ ps \neq [] by (induction ps) auto
```

```
lemma trans-path-correct: (i,j) \in set\ ps \longleftrightarrow (i+k_1,j+k_2) \in set\ (trans-path\ (k_1,k_2)
proof (induction ps)
 case (Cons \ s_i \ ps)
 then show ?case by (cases s_i) auto
qed auto
lemma trans-path-non-nil-last:
 ps \neq [] \implies last (trans-path (k_1,k_2) ps) = last (trans-path (k_1,k_2) ((i,j)\#ps))
 using trans-path-non-nil by (induction ps) auto
lemma hd-trans-path:
 assumes ps \neq [] hd ps = (i,j)
 shows hd (trans-path (k_1,k_2) ps) = (i+k_1,j+k_2)
 using assms by (induction ps) auto
lemma last-trans-path:
 assumes ps \neq [] last ps = (i,j)
 shows last (trans-path (k_1,k_2) ps) = (i+k_1,j+k_2)
 using assms
proof (induction ps)
 case (Cons \ s_i \ ps)
 then show ?case
   using trans-path-non-nil-last[symmetric]
   apply (cases s_i)
   apply (cases ps = [])
   apply auto
   done
qed (auto)
lemma take-trans:
 shows take k (trans-path (k_1,k_2) ps) = trans-path (k_1,k_2) (take k ps)
proof (induction ps arbitrary: k)
 \mathbf{case}\ \mathit{Nil}
 then show ?case by auto
 case (Cons \ s_i \ ps)
 then obtain i j where s_i = (i,j) by force
 then have k = 0 \lor k > 0 by auto
 then show ?case
 proof (elim disjE)
   assume k > 0
   then show ?thesis using Cons.IH by (auto simp: \langle s_i = (i,j) \rangle take-Cons')
 qed auto
qed
lemma drop-trans:
 shows drop k (trans-path (k_1,k_2) ps) = trans-path (k_1,k_2) (drop k ps)
proof (induction ps arbitrary: k)
```

```
case Nil
  then show ?case by auto
\mathbf{next}
  case (Cons s_i ps)
 then obtain i j where s_i = (i,j) by force
 then have k = 0 \lor k > 0 by auto
 then show ?case
 proof (elim \ disjE)
   assume k > \theta
   then show ?thesis using Cons.IH by (auto simp: \langle s_i = (i,j) \rangle drop-Cons')
 qed auto
qed
lemma trans-board-correct: (i,j) \in b \longleftrightarrow (i+k_1,j+k_2) \in trans-board (k_1,k_2) b
 unfolding trans-board-def by auto
lemma board-subset: n_1 \leq n_2 \Longrightarrow m_1 \leq m_2 \Longrightarrow board \ n_1 \ m_1 \subseteq board \ n_2 \ m_2
 unfolding board-def by auto
Board concatenation
corollary board-concat:
 shows board n m_1 \cup trans-board (0,int m_1) (board n m_2) = board n (m_1+m_2)
(is ?b1 \cup ?b2 = ?b)
proof
 show ?b1 \cup ?b2 \subseteq ?b unfolding board-def trans-board-def by auto
 show ?b \subseteq ?b1 \cup ?b2
 proof
   \mathbf{fix} \ x
   assume x \in ?b
    then obtain i j where x-split: x = (i,j) 1 \le i \land i \le int n 1 \le j \land j \le int
     unfolding board-def by auto
   then have j \leq int \ m_1 \vee (int \ m_1 < j \wedge j \leq int \ (m_1+m_2)) by auto
   then show x \in ?b1 \cup ?b2
   proof
     assume j \leq int m_1
     then show x \in ?b1 \cup ?b2 using x-split unfolding board-def by auto
     assume asm: int m_1 < j \land j \leq int (m_1+m_2)
     then have (i,j-int \ m_1) \in board \ n \ m_2 using x-split unfolding board-def by
auto
     then show x \in ?b1 \cup ?b2
      using x-split asm trans-board-correct[of i j-int m_1 board n m_2 0 int m_1] by
auto
   qed
 \mathbf{qed}
qed
```

```
transpose-board\ (trans-board\ (k_1,k_2)\ b) = trans-board\ (k_2,k_1)\ (transpose-board\ b)
  unfolding transpose-board-def trans-board-def by blast
corollary board-concatT:
 shows board n_1 m \cup trans-board (int <math>n_1, \theta) (board n_2 m) = board (n_1+n_2) m (is
?b_1 \cup ?b_2 = ?b
proof -
 let ?b_1T = board \ m \ n_1
 let ?b_2T = trans-board (0,int n_1) (board m n_2)
 have ?b_1 \cup ?b_2 = transpose-board (?b_1 T \cup ?b_2 T)
    using transpose-board2 transpose-union transpose-board transpose-trans-board
by auto
 also have ... = transpose-board (board m (n_1+n_2))
   using board-concat by auto
 also have ... = board (n_1+n_2) m
   using transpose-board by auto
 finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma trans-valid-step:
  valid\text{-}step\ (i,j)\ (i',j') \Longrightarrow valid\text{-}step\ (i+k_1,j+k_2)\ (i'+k_1,j'+k_2)
  unfolding valid-step-def by auto
Translating a path and a boards preserves the validity.
lemma trans-knights-path:
 assumes knights-path b ps
 shows knights-path (trans-board (k_1,k_2) b) (trans-path (k_1,k_2) ps)
  using assms
proof (induction rule: knights-path.induct)
  case (2 s_i b s_j xs)
  then obtain i j i' j' where split: s_i = (i,j) s_j = (i',j') by force
 let ?s_i = (i+k_1,j+k_2)
 let ?s_j = (i' + k_1, j' + k_2)
 let ?xs = trans-path(k_1,k_2) xs
 let ?b = trans-board (k_1, k_2) b
 have simps: trans-path (k_1,k_2) (s_i\#s_j\#xs) = ?s_i\#?s_j\#?xs
             ?b \cup \{?s_i\} = trans-board (k_1,k_2) (b \cup \{s_i\})
   unfolding trans-board-def using split by auto
 have ?s_i \notin ?b valid-step ?s_i ?s_j knights-path ?b (?s_j \# ?xs)
   using 2 split trans-valid-step by (auto simp: trans-board-def)
  then have knights-path (?b \cup \{?s_i\}) (?s_i\#?s_j\#?xs)
   using knights-path.intros by auto
  then show ?case using simps by auto
qed (auto simp: trans-board-def intro: knights-path.intros)
Predicate that indicates if two squares s_i and s_j are adjacent in ps.
definition step-in :: path \Rightarrow square \Rightarrow square \Rightarrow bool where
 step-in ps s_i \ s_j \equiv (\exists k. \ 0 < k \land k < length \ ps \land last \ (take \ k \ ps) = s_i \land hd \ (drop
```

**lemma** transpose-trans-board:

```
k ps) = s_i
lemma step-in-Cons: step-in ps s_i s_j \Longrightarrow step-in (s_k \# ps) s_i s_j
proof -
 assume step-in ps s_i s_i
 then obtain k where 0 < k \land k < length ps last (take k ps) = s_i hd (drop k
ps) = s_i
   unfolding step-in-def by auto
 then have 0 < k+1 \land k+1 < length (s_k \# ps)
     last (take (k+1) (s_k \# ps)) = s_i hd (drop (k+1) (s_k \# ps)) = s_i
   by auto
 then show ?thesis
   by (auto simp: step-in-def)
qed
lemma step-in-append: step-in ps s_i s_j \Longrightarrow step-in (ps@ps') s_i s_j
proof -
 assume step-in ps s_i s_j
 then obtain k where 0 < k \land k < length ps last (take k ps) = s_i hd (drop k
ps) = s_i
   unfolding step-in-def by auto
 then have 0 < k \land k < length (ps@ps')
     last (take \ k \ (ps@ps')) = s_i \ hd \ (drop \ k \ (ps@ps')) = s_i
   by auto
 then show ?thesis
   by (auto simp: step-in-def)
qed
lemma step-in-prepend: step-in ps s_i s_j \Longrightarrow step-in (ps'@ps) s_i s_j
 using step-in-Cons by (induction ps' arbitrary: ps) auto
lemma step-in-valid-step: knights-path b ps \implies step-in ps s_i s_j \implies valid-step s_i
s_j
proof -
 assume assms: knights-path b ps step-in ps s_i s_j
 then obtain k where k-prems: 0 < k \land k < length ps last (take k ps) = s_i hd
(drop \ k \ ps) = s_i
   unfolding step-in-def by auto
 then have k = 1 \lor k > 1 by auto
 then show ?thesis
 proof (elim disjE)
   assume k = 1
   then obtain ps' where ps = s_i \# s_j \# ps'
     using k-prems list-len-g-1-split by fastforce
   then show ?thesis
     using assms by (auto elim: knights-path.cases)
   assume k > 1
   then have 0 < k-1 \land k-1 < length ps
```

```
using k-prems by auto
   then obtain b where knights-path b (drop (k-1) ps)
     using assms knights-path-split by blast
   obtain ps' where drop(k-1) ps = s_i \# s_j \# ps'
     using k-prems \langle 0 < k-1 \wedge k-1 < length ps \rangle
    by (metis Cons-nth-drop-Suc Suc-diff-1 hd-drop-conv-nth last-snoc take-hd-drop)
   then show ?thesis
     using \langle knights\text{-path }b \ (drop \ (k-1) \ ps) \rangle by (auto elim: knights-path.cases)
  qed
qed
lemma trans-step-in:
 step-in\ ps\ (i,j)\ (i',j') \Longrightarrow step-in\ (trans-path\ (k_1,k_2)\ ps)\ (i+k_1,j+k_2)\ (i'+k_1,j'+k_2)
proof -
  let ?ps'=trans-path (k_1,k_2) ps
  assume step-in ps (i,j) (i',j')
  then obtain k where 0 < k \land k < length ps last (take k ps) = (i,j) hd (drop k
ps) = (i',j')
   unfolding step-in-def by auto
  then have take k ps \neq [] drop k ps \neq [] by fastforce+
  then have 0 < k \land k < length ?ps'
     last (take k ?ps') = (i+k_1,j+k_2) hd (drop k ?ps') = (i'+k_1,j'+k_2)
   using trans-path-length
         \textit{last-trans-path}[\textit{OF} \; \textit{\langle take} \; k \; ps \neq [] \textit{\rangle} \; \textit{\langle last} \; (\textit{take} \; k \; ps) = (\textit{i,j}) \textit{\rangle}] \; \textit{take-trans}
         hd-trans-path[OF \land drop \ k \ ps \neq [] \land \land hd \ (drop \ k \ ps) = (i',j') \land [] \ drop-trans
   by auto
  then show ?thesis
   by (auto simp: step-in-def)
qed
lemma transpose-step-in:
 step-in \ ps \ s_i \ s_j \Longrightarrow step-in \ (transpose \ ps) \ (transpose-square \ s_i) \ (transpose-square \ s_i)
  (is - \Longrightarrow step-in ?psT ?s_iT ?s_iT)
proof -
  assume step-in ps s_i s_i
  then obtain k where
     k-prems: 0 < k \ k < length \ ps \ last \ (take \ k \ ps) = s_i \ hd \ (drop \ k \ ps) = s_i
   unfolding step-in-def by auto
  then have non-nil: take k ps \neq [] drop k ps \neq [] by fastforce+
  have take k ?psT = transpose (take k ps) drop k ?psT = transpose (drop k ps)
   using take-transpose drop-transpose by auto
  then have last (take \ k \ ?psT) = ?s_i T \ hd \ (drop \ k \ ?psT) = ?s_j T
   using non-nil k-prems hd-transpose last-transpose by auto
  then show step-in ?psT ?s_iT ?s_iT
   unfolding step-in-def using k-prems transpose-length by auto
qed
```

```
lemma hd-take: 0 < k \Longrightarrow hd \ xs = hd \ (take \ k \ xs)
by (induction \ xs) auto
lemma last-drop: k < length \ xs \Longrightarrow last \ xs = last \ (drop \ k \ xs)
by (induction \ xs) auto
```

### 6.3 Concatenate Knight's Paths and Circuits

Concatenate two knight's path on a  $n \times m$ -board along the 2nd axis if the first path contains the step  $s_i \to s_j$  and there are valid steps  $s_i \to hd \ ps_2'$  and  $s_j \to last \ ps_2'$ , where  $ps_2'$  is  $ps_2$  is translated by  $m_1$ . An arbitrary step in  $ps_2$  is preserved.

```
corollary knights-path-split-concat-si-prev:
  assumes knights-path (board n m_1) ps_1 knights-path (board n m_2) ps_2
          step-in ps_1 s_i s_j hd ps_2 = (i_h, j_h) last ps_2 = (i_l, j_l) step-in ps_2 (i,j) (i',j')
          valid-step s_i (i_h, int m_1+j_h) valid-step (i_l, int m_1+j_l) s_i
  shows \exists ps. knights-path (board n <math>(m_1+m_2)) ps \land hd ps = hd ps_1
    \wedge last ps = last ps_1 \wedge step-in ps (i,int <math>m_1+j) (i',int m_1+j')
  using assms
proof -
  let ?b_1 = board \ n \ m_1
  let ?b_2 = board \ n \ m_2
  let ?ps_2' = trans-path (0, int m_1) ps_2
  let ?b'=trans-board\ (0,int\ m_1)\ ?b_2
  have kp2': knights-path ?b' ?ps2' using assms trans-knights-path by auto
  then have ?ps_2' \neq [] using knights-path-non-nil by auto
  obtain k where k-prems:
    0 < k \ k < length \ ps_1 \ last \ (take \ k \ ps_1) = s_i \ hd \ (drop \ k \ ps_1) = s_i
    using assms unfolding step-in-def by auto
  let ?ps=(take \ k \ ps_1) @ ?ps_2' @ (drop \ k \ ps_1)
  obtain b_1 b_2 where b-prems: knights-path b_1 (take k ps_1) knights-path b_2 (drop
k ps_1
      b_1 \cup b_2 = ?b_1 \ b_1 \cap b_2 = \{\}
    using assms \langle 0 < k \rangle \langle k < length ps_1 \rangle knights-path-split by blast
  have hd ?ps_2' = (i_h, int m_1 + j_h) last ?ps_2' = (i_l, int m_1 + j_l)
    using assms knights-path-non-nil hd-trans-path last-trans-path by auto
 then have hd ?ps_2' = (i_h, int m_1 + j_h) last ((take k ps_1) @ ?ps_2') = (i_l, int m_1 + j_l)
    using \langle ?ps_2' \neq [] \rangle by auto
  then have vs: valid-step (last (take k ps_1)) (hd ps_2)
      \mathit{valid\text{-}step}\ (\mathit{last}\ ((\mathit{take}\ \mathit{k}\ \mathit{ps}_1)\ @\ ?\mathit{ps}_2'))\ (\mathit{hd}\ (\mathit{drop}\ \mathit{k}\ \mathit{ps}_1))
    using assms k-prems by auto
  have ?b_1 \cap ?b' = \{\} unfolding board-def trans-board-def by auto
  then have b_1 \cap ?b' = \{\} \land (b_1 \cup ?b') \cap b_2 = \{\} \text{ using } b\text{-prems by } blast
  then have inter-empty: b_1 \cap ?b' = \{\} (b_1 \cup ?b') \cap b_2 = \{\} by auto
```

```
have knights-path (b_1 \cup ?b') ((take \ k \ ps_1) @ ?ps_2')
   using kp2' b-prems inter-empty vs knights-path-append by auto
  then have knights-path (b_1 \cup ?b' \cup b_2) ?ps
   using b-prems inter-empty vs knights-path-append[where ps_1=(take\ k\ ps_1) @
?ps_2' by auto
  then have knights-path (?b_1 \cup ?b') ?ps
   using b-prems Un-commute Un-assoc by metis
  then have kp: knights-path (board n (m_1+m_2)) ?ps
   using board-concat [of n m_1 m_2] by auto
 have hd: hd ?ps = hd ps_1
   using assms \langle 0 < k \rangle knights-path-non-nil hd-take by auto
 have last: last ?ps = last ps_1
   using assms \langle k \rangle \langle length| ps_1 \rangle \langle knights-path-non-nil| last-drop| by auto
 have m-simps: j+int m_1 = int m_1+j j'+int m_1 = int m_1+j' by auto
  have si: step-in ?ps (i,int m_1+j) (i',int m_1+j')
   using assms step-in-append[OF step-in-prepend[OF trans-step-in],
                of ps_2 i j i' j' take k ps_1 0 int m_1 drop k ps_1
   by (auto simp: m-simps)
  show ?thesis using kp hd last si by auto
qed
lemma len1-hd-last: length xs = 1 \Longrightarrow hd xs = last xs
 by (induction xs) auto
Weaker version of [knights-path (board ?n ?m_1) ?ps_1; knights-path (board ?n
?m_2) ?ps_2; step-in ?ps_1 ?s_i ?s_i; hd ?ps_2 = (?i_h, ?j_h); last ?ps_2 = (?i_l, ?j_l);
step-in\ ?ps_2\ (?i,\ ?j)\ (?i',\ ?j');\ valid-step\ ?s_i\ (?i_h,\ int\ ?m_1+?j_h);\ valid-step
(?i_l, int ?m_1 + ?j_l) ?s_j] \Longrightarrow \exists ps. knights-path (board ?n (?m_1 + ?m_2)) ps
\land hd \ ps = hd \ ?ps_1 \land last \ ps = last \ ?ps_1 \land step-in \ ps \ (?i, int \ ?m_1 + ?j)
(?i', int ?m_1 + ?j').
corollary knights-path-split-concat:
 assumes knights-path (board n m_1) ps_1 knights-path (board n m_2) ps_2
         step-in ps_1 s_i s_j hd ps_2 = (i_h, j_h) last ps_2 = (i_l, j_l)
         valid-step s_i (i_h, int m_1+j_h) valid-step (i_l, int m_1+j_l) s_i
  shows \exists ps. \ knights-path \ (board \ n \ (m_1+m_2)) \ ps \land hd \ ps = hd \ ps_1 \land last \ ps =
last ps_1
proof -
  have length ps_2 = 1 \lor length ps_2 > 1
  using assms knights-path-non-nil by (meson length-0-conv less-one linorder-negE-nat)
  then show ?thesis
  proof (elim disjE)
   let ?s_k=(i_h,int\ m_1+j_h)
   assume length ps_2 = 1
   then have (i_h, j_h) = (i_l, j_l)
```

```
using assms len1-hd-last by metis
   then have valid-step s_i ?s_k valid-step ?s_k s_j valid-step s_i s_j
     using assms step-in-valid-step by auto
   then show ?thesis
     using valid-step-non-transitive by blast
  next
   assume length ps_2 > 1
   then obtain i_1 \ j_1 \ i_2 \ j_2 \ ps_2' where ps_2 = (i_1, j_1) \# (i_2, j_2) \# ps_2'
     using list-len-g-1-split by fastforce
   then have last (take 1 ps_2) = (i_1,j_1) hd (drop 1 ps_2) = (i_2,j_2) by auto
   then have step-in ps_2 (i_1,j_1) (i_2,j_2) using \langle length \ ps_2 > 1 \rangle by (auto simp:
step-in-def)
   then show ?thesis
     using assms knights-path-split-concat-si-prev by blast
qed
Concatenate two knight's path on a n \times m-board along the 1st axis.
{f corollary}\ knights-path-split-concat T:
 assumes knights-path (board n_1 m) ps_1 knights-path (board n_2 m) ps_2
         step-in ps_1 s_i s_j hd ps_2 = (i_h, j_h) last ps_2 = (i_l, j_l)
         valid-step s_i (int n_1+i_h,j_h) valid-step (int n_1+i_l,j_l) s_i
  shows \exists ps. knights-path (board (n_1+n_2) m) ps \land hd ps = hd ps_1 \land last ps =
last ps_1
 using assms
proof -
 let ?ps_1 T = transpose ps_1
 let ?ps_2T = transpose ps_2
 have kps: knights-path (board m n_1) ?ps<sub>1</sub> T knights-path (board m n_2) ?ps<sub>2</sub> T
   using assms transpose-knights-path by auto
  let ?s_i T = transpose - square s_i
  let ?s_i T = transpose - square s_i
  have si: step-in ?ps_1 T ?s_i T ?s_i T
   using assms transpose-step-in by auto
 have ps_1 \neq [ps_2 \neq ps_2 \neq ps_2]
   using assms knights-path-non-nil by auto
  then have hd-last2: hd ?ps_2T = (j_h, i_h) last ?ps_2T = (j_l, i_l)
   using assms hd-transpose last-transpose by (auto simp: transpose-square-def)
 have vs. valid-step ?s_iT (j_h, int n_1+i_h) valid-step (j_l, int n_1+i_l) ?s_iT
    using assms transpose-valid-step by (auto simp: transpose-square-def split:
prod.splits)
  then obtain ps where
   ps-prems: knights-path (board m(n_1+n_2)) ps hd ps = hd ?ps<sub>1</sub> T last ps = last
?ps_1T
   using knights-path-split-concat[OF kps si hd-last2 vs] by auto
```

```
then have ps \neq [] using knights-path-non-nil by auto
 let ?psT = transpose ps
 have knights-path (board (n_1+n_2) m) ?psT hd ?psT = hd ps_1 last ?psT = last
  using \langle ps_1 \neq | \rangle \langle ps \neq | \rangle ps-prems transpose-knights-path hd-transpose last-transpose
   by (auto simp: transpose2)
 then show ?thesis by auto
qed
Concatenate two Knight's path along the 2nd axis. There is a valid step
from the last square in the first Knight's path ps_1 to the first square in the
second Knight's path ps_2.
corollary knights-path-concat:
 assumes knights-path (board n m_1) ps_1 knights-path (board n m_2) ps_2
        hd\ ps_2 = (i_h, j_h)\ valid\text{-}step\ (last\ ps_1)\ (i_h, int\ m_1 + j_h)
 shows knights-path (board n (m_1+m_2)) (ps_1 @ (trans-path (0,int m_1) ps_2))
proof -
 let ?ps_2' = trans-path (0, int m_1) ps_2
 let ?b=trans-board (0,int m_1) (board n m_2)
 have inter-empty: board n \ m_1 \cap ?b = \{\}
   unfolding board-def trans-board-def by auto
 have hd ?ps_2' = (i_h, int m_1 + j_h)
   using assms knights-path-non-nil hd-trans-path by auto
 then have kp: knights-path (board n m_1) ps<sub>1</sub> knights-path ?b ?ps<sub>2</sub>' and
      vs: valid-step (last ps_1) (hd ps_2)
   using assms trans-knights-path by auto
 then show knights-path (board n (m_1+m_2)) (ps_1 @ ?ps_2')
   using knights-path-append[OF kp inter-empty vs] board-concat by auto
qed
Concatenate two Knight's path along the 2nd axis. The first Knight's path
end in (2, m_1 - 1) (lower-right) and the second Knight's paths start in (1, 1)
(lower-left).
corollary knights-path-lr-concat:
 assumes knights-path (board n m_1) ps_1 knights-path (board n m_2) ps_2
        last ps_1 = (2, int m_1 - 1) hd ps_2 = (1, 1)
 shows knights-path (board n (m_1+m_2)) (ps_1 @ (trans-path (\theta,int m_1) ps_2))
 have valid-step (last ps_1) (1,int m_1+1)
   using assms unfolding valid-step-def by auto
 then show ?thesis
   using assms knights-path-concat by auto
qed
```

Concatenate two Knight's circuits along the 2nd axis. In the first Knight's path the squares  $(2, m_1-1)$  and  $(4, m_1)$  are adjacent and the second Knight's circuit starts in (1,1) (lower-left) and end in (3,2).

```
corollary knights-circuit-lr-concat:
 assumes knights-circuit (board n m_1) ps_1 knights-circuit (board n m_2) ps_2
        step-in ps_1 (2,int m_1-1) (4,int m_1)
        hd\ ps_2 = (1,1)\ last\ ps_2 = (3,2)\ step-in\ ps_2\ (2,int\ m_2-1)\ (4,int\ m_2)
 shows \exists ps. knights-circuit (board <math>n(m_1+m_2)) ps \land step-in ps (2,int (m_1+m_2)-1)
(4,int (m_1+m_2))
proof -
 have kp1: knights-path (board n m_1) ps_1 and kp2: knights-path (board n m_2) ps_2
   and vs: valid-step (last ps_1) (hd ps_1)
   using assms unfolding knights-circuit-def by auto
 have m-simps: int m_1 + (int \ m_2 - 1) = int \ (m_1 + m_2) - 1 \ int \ m_1 + int \ m_2 = int
(m_1+m_2) by auto
 have valid-step (2,int m_1-1) (1,int m_1+1) valid-step (3,int m_1+2) (4,int m_1)
   unfolding valid-step-def by auto
 then obtain ps where knights-path (board n (m_1+m_2)) ps hd ps = hd ps_1 last
ps = last ps_1 and
     si: step-in ps (2,int (m_1+m_2)-1) (4,int (m_1+m_2))
   using assms kp1 kp2
        knights-path-split-concat-si-prev[of\ n\ m_1\ ps_1\ m_2\ ps_2\ (2,int\ m_1-1)
                                       (4, int \ m_1) 1 1 3 2 2 int \ m_2-1 4 int \ m_2
   by (auto simp only: m-simps)
 then have knights-circuit (board n (m_1+m_2)) ps
   using vs by (auto simp: knights-circuit-def)
 then show ?thesis
   using si by auto
\mathbf{qed}
```

# 7 Parsing Paths

In this section functions are implemented to parse and construct paths. The parser converts the matrix representation  $((nat\ list)\ list)$  used in [1] to a path (path).

for debugging

```
fun test-path :: path \Rightarrow bool where test-path (s_i \# s_j \# xs) = (step-checker s_i \ s_j \land test-path (s_j \# xs)) | test-path - = True

fun f-opt :: ('a \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow 'a \ option \Rightarrow 'a \ option where f-opt - None = None | f-opt f (Some \ a) = Some \ (f \ a)

fun add-opt-fst-sq :: int \Rightarrow square \ option \Rightarrow square \ option where add-opt-fst-sq - None = None | add-opt-fst-sq k (Some \ (i,j)) = Some \ (k+i,j)
```

```
fun find-k-in-col :: nat \Rightarrow nat \ list \Rightarrow int \ option \ \mathbf{where}
 find-k-in-col\ k\ []=None
| find-k-in-col \ k \ (c\#cs) = (if \ c = k \ then \ Some \ 1 \ else \ f-opt \ ((+) \ 1) \ (find-k-in-col \ k
(cs)
fun find-k-sqr :: nat \Rightarrow (nat \ list) \ list \Rightarrow square \ option \ \mathbf{where}
 find-k-sqr \ k \ [] = None
| find-k-sqr \ k \ (r\#rs) = (case \ find-k-in-col \ k \ r \ of
      None \Rightarrow f-opt (\lambda(i,j), (i+1,j)) (find-k-sqr k rs)
   | Some j \Rightarrow Some (1,j))
Auxiliary function to easily parse pre-computed boards from paper.
fun to-sqrs :: nat \Rightarrow (nat \ list) \ list \Rightarrow path \ option \ \mathbf{where}
  to-sqrs \theta rs = Some []
\mid to\text{-}sqrs \ k \ rs = (case \ find\text{-}k\text{-}sqr \ k \ rs \ of \ )
      None \Rightarrow None
    | Some s_i \Rightarrow f-opt (\lambda ps. ps@[s_i]) (to-sqrs (k-1) rs))
fun num-elems :: (nat \ list) \ list \Rightarrow nat \ \mathbf{where}
  num\text{-}elems\ (r\#rs) = length\ r * length\ (r\#rs)
Convert a matrix (nat list list) to a path (path). With this function we
implicitly define the lower-left corner to be (1,1) and the upper-right corner
to be (n,m).
definition to-path rs \equiv to-sqrs (num-elems rs) (rev rs)
Example
value to-path
  [[3,22,13,16,5],
```

## 8 Knight's Paths for $5 \times m$ -Boards

Given here are knight's paths, kp5xmlr and kp5xmur, for the  $(5\times m)$ -board that start in the lower-left corner for  $m\in\{5,6,7,8,9\}$ . The path kp5xmlr ends in the lower-right corner, whereas the path kp5xmur ends in the upper-right corner. The tables show the visited squares numbered in ascending order.

```
abbreviation b5x5 \equiv board \ 5 \ 5
```

[12,17,4,21,14], [23,2,15,6,9], [18,11,8,25,20], [1,24,19,10,7::nat]]

A Knight's path for the  $(5 \times 5)$ -board that starts in the lower-left and ends in the lower-right.

```
3
    22
         13
              16
                   5
12
    17
         4
              21
                  14
    2
23
         15
              6
                   9
18
         8
              25
                   20
    11
1
    24
         19
              10
                   7
```

```
abbreviation kp5x5lr \equiv the (to-path
```

```
 \begin{array}{l} [[3,22,13,16,5],\\ [12,17,4,21,14],\\ [23,2,15,6,9],\\ [18,11,8,25,20],\\ [1,24,19,10,7]]) \end{array}
```

lemma kp-5x5-lr: knights-path b5x5 kp5x5lr by (simp only: knights-path-exec-simp) eval

**lemma** kp-5x5-lr-hd: hd kp5x5lr = (1,1) **by** eval

lemma kp-5x5-lr-last: last <math>kp5x5lr = (2,4) by eval

lemma kp-5x5-lr-non-nil: kp5x5 $lr <math>\neq []$  by eval

A Knight's path for the  $(5 \times 5)$ -board that starts in the lower-left and ends in the upper-right.

```
7
     12
              20
                   5
         15
16
    21
         6
              25
                   14
11
    8
         13
              4
                   19
         2
22
    17
              9
                   24
         23
1
     10
              18
                   3
```

**abbreviation**  $kp5x5ur \equiv the (to-path$ 

```
 \begin{aligned} & [[7,12,15,20,5],\\ & [16,21,6,25,14],\\ & [11,8,13,4,19],\\ & [22,17,2,9,24],\\ & [1,10,23,18,3]]) \end{aligned}
```

lemma kp-5x5-ur: knights-path b5x5 kp5x5ur by (simp only: knights-path-exec-simp) eval

lemma kp-5x5-ur-hd: hd kp5x5ur = (1,1) by eval

lemma kp-5x5-ur-last: last <math>kp5x5ur = (4,4) by eval

lemma  $kp-5x5-ur-non-nil: kp5x5ur \neq []$  by eval

abbreviation  $b5x6 \equiv board \ 5 \ 6$ 

A Knight's path for the  $(5 \times 6)$ -board that starts in the lower-left and ends in the lower-right.

```
7
          21
     14
               28
                    5
                         12
22
    27
          6
               13
                   20
                        29
    8
               24
15
          17
                   11
                         4
26
    23
          2
               9
                    30
                         19
     16
          25
1
               18
                   3
                         10
```

```
abbreviation kp5x6lr \equiv the \ (to\text{-}path \ [[7,14,21,28,5,12], \ [22,27,6,13,20,29], \ [15,8,17,24,11,4], \ [26,28,29,29,10]
```

[26,23,2,9,30,19],[1,16,25,18,3,10]])

lemma kp-5x6-lr: knights-path b5x6 kp5x6lr by (simp only: knights-path-exec-simp) eval

lemma kp-5x6-lr-hd: hd kp5x6lr = (1,1) by eval

lemma kp-5x6-lr-last: last <math>kp5x6lr = (2,5) by eval

lemma kp-5x6-lr-non-nil:  $kp5x6lr \neq []$  by eval

A Knight's path for the  $(5 \times 6)$ -board that starts in the lower-left and ends in the upper-right.

```
3
     10
          29
               20
                    5
                         12
28
    19
         4
                    30
                         21
               11
9
     2
          17
               24
                    13
                         6
                    22
18
    27
          8
               15
                         25
1
     16
         23
               26
                    7
                         14
```

**abbreviation**  $kp5x6ur \equiv the (to-path$ 

```
 \begin{array}{l} [[3,10,29,20,5,12],\\ [28,19,4,11,30,21],\\ [9,2,17,24,13,6],\\ [18,27,8,15,22,25],\\ [1,16,23,26,7,14]]) \end{array}
```

lemma kp-5x6-ur: knights-path b5x6 kp5x6ur by (simp only: knights-path-exec-simp) eval

**lemma** kp-5x6-ur-hd: hd kp5x6ur = (1,1) **by** eval

lemma kp-5x6-ur-last: last <math>kp5x6ur = (4,5) by eval

lemma kp-5x6-ur-non-nil:  $kp5x6ur \neq []$  by eval

#### abbreviation $b5x7 \equiv board 5 7$

A Knight's path for the  $(5 \times 7)$ -board that starts in the lower-left and ends in the lower-right.

```
3
     12
          21
               30
                    5
                         14
                              23
20
    29
                    22
         4
               13
                         31
                              6
11
    2
          19
              32
                    7
                         24
                             15
28
    33
                    26
                             8
         10
               17
                         35
         27
                             25
1
     18
               34
                   9
                         16
```

```
abbreviation kp5x7lr \equiv the (to-path
```

```
 \begin{aligned} &[[3,12,21,30,5,14,23],\\ &[20,29,4,13,22,31,6],\\ &[11,2,19,32,7,24,15],\\ &[28,33,10,17,26,35,8],\\ &[1,18,27,34,9,16,25]]) \end{aligned}
```

lemma kp-5x7-lr: knights-path b5x7 kp5x7lr by (simp only: knights-path-exec-simp) eval

lemma kp-5x7-lr-hd: hd kp5x7lr = (1,1) by eval

lemma kp-5x7-lr-last: last <math>kp5x7lr = (2,6) by eval

lemma kp-5x7-lr-non-nil: kp5x7 $lr \neq []$  by eval

A Knight's path for the  $(5 \times 7)$ -board that starts in the lower-left and ends in the upper-right.

```
3
     32
         11
              34
                    5
                         26
                             13
               25
10
    19
         4
                   12
                        35
                             6
31
    2
          33
              20
                    23
                        14
                             27
18
    9
          24
              29
                    16
                        7
                              22
     30
1
         17
              8
                    21
                        28
                             15
```

```
abbreviation kp5x7ur \equiv the (to-path
```

```
 \begin{aligned} &[[3,32,11,34,5,26,13],\\ &[10,19,4,25,12,35,6],\\ &[31,2,33,20,23,14,27],\\ &[18,9,24,29,16,7,22],\\ &[1,30,17,8,21,28,15]]) \end{aligned}
```

lemma kp-5x7-ur: knights-path b5x7 kp5x7ur by (simp only: knights-path-exec-simp) eval

lemma kp-5x7-ur-hd: hd kp5x7ur = (1,1) by eval

lemma kp-5x7-ur-last: last <math>kp5x7ur = (4,6) by eval

lemma  $kp-5x7-ur-non-nil: kp5x7ur \neq []$  by eval

abbreviation  $b5x8 \equiv board \ 5 \ 8$ 

A Knight's path for the  $(5 \times 8)$ -board that starts in the lower-left and ends in the lower-right.

```
3
     12
         37
               26
                    5
                         14
                              17
                                   28
34
    23
         4
               13
                    36
                         27
                              6
                                   15
     2
                    25
11
          35
               38
                         16
                              29
                                   18
22
          24
                    20
    33
               9
                         31
                              40
                                   7
1
     10
          21
               32
                    39
                         8
                              19
                                   30
```

```
abbreviation kp5x8lr \equiv the (to-path
```

```
 \begin{aligned} & [[3,12,37,26,5,14,17,28],\\ & [34,23,4,13,36,27,6,15],\\ & [11,2,35,38,25,16,29,18],\\ & [22,33,24,9,20,31,40,7],\\ & [1,10,21,32,39,8,19,30]]) \end{aligned}
```

lemma kp-5x8-lr: knights-path b5x8 kp5x8lr by (simp only: knights-path-exec-simp) eval

**lemma** kp-5x8-lr-hd: hd kp5x8lr = (1,1) **by** eval

lemma kp-5x8-lr-last: last <math>kp5x8lr = (2,7) by eval

lemma kp-5x8-lr-non-nil: kp5x8 $lr <math>\neq []$  by eval

A Knight's path for the  $(5 \times 8)$ -board that starts in the lower-left and ends in the upper-right.

```
33
     8
               38
                    35
                         6
                              15
                                   24
          17
18
     37
          34
               7
                    16
                         25
                              40
                                   5
          29
                              23
9
     32
               36
                    39
                         14
                                   26
30
          2
     19
               11
                    28
                         21
                                   13
                              4
          31
               20
                              27
                                   22
1
     10
                    3
                         12
```

```
abbreviation kp5x8ur \equiv the (to-path
```

```
 \begin{aligned} & [[33,8,17,38,35,6,15,24], \\ & [18,37,34,7,16,25,40,5], \\ & [9,32,29,36,39,14,23,26], \\ & [30,19,2,11,28,21,4,13], \\ & [1,10,31,20,3,12,27,22]]) \end{aligned}
```

lemma kp-5x8-ur: knights-path b5x8 kp5x8ur by (simp only: knights-path-exec-simp) eval **lemma** kp-5x8-ur-hd: hd kp5x8ur = (1,1) **by** eval

lemma kp-5x8-ur-last: last <math>kp5x8ur = (4,7) by eval

lemma kp-5x8-ur-non-nil: kp5 $x8ur \neq []$  by eval

abbreviation  $b5x9 \equiv board \ 5 \ 9$ 

A Knight's path for the  $(5 \times 9)$ -board that starts in the lower-left and ends in the lower-right.

```
9
     4
          11
               16
                    23
                         42
                              33
                                   36
                                        25
12
          8
               3
                    32
                         37
                              24
     17
                                   41
                                        34
                         22
                                        29
5
     10
          15
               20
                    43
                              35
                                   26
18
     13
          2
               7
                    38
                         31
                              28
                                   45
                                        40
1
     6
          19
               14
                    21
                         44
                              39
                                   30
                                        27
```

```
abbreviation kp5x9lr \equiv the \ (to\text{-}path
```

```
 \begin{array}{l} [[9,4,11,16,23,42,33,36,25],\\ [12,17,8,3,32,37,24,41,34],\\ [5,10,15,20,43,22,35,26,29],\\ [18,13,2,7,38,31,28,45,40],\\ [1,6,19,14,21,44,39,30,27]]) \end{array}
```

lemma kp-5x9-lr: knights-path b5x9 kp5x9lr by (simp only: knights-path-exec-simp) eval

**lemma** kp-5x9-lr-hd: hd kp5x9lr = (1,1) **by** eval

lemma kp-5x9-lr-last: last <math>kp5x9lr = (2,8) by eval

lemma kp-5x9-lr-non-nil: kp5x9 $lr \neq []$  by eval

A Knight's path for the  $(5 \times 9)$ -board that starts in the lower-left and ends in the upper-right.

```
9
     4
          11
                    27
                         32
                              35
                                    40
                                         25
               16
12
     17
          8
               3
                    36
                         41
                              26
                                    45
                                         34
5
     10
          15
               20
                    31
                         28
                              33
                                    24
                                         39
          2
               7
18
     13
                    42
                         37
                              22
                                    29
                                        44
1
     6
          19
               14
                    21
                         30
                              43
                                   38
                                        23
```

**abbreviation**  $kp5x9ur \equiv the (to-path$ 

```
 \begin{bmatrix} [9,4,11,16,27,32,35,40,25], \\ [12,17,8,3,36,41,26,45,34], \\ [5,10,15,20,31,28,33,24,39], \\ [18,13,2,7,42,37,22,29,44], \end{bmatrix}
```

```
by (simp only: knights-path-exec-simp) eval
lemma kp-5x9-ur-hd: hd kp5x9ur = (1,1) by eval
lemma kp-5x9-ur-last: last kp5x9ur = (4,8) by eval
lemma kp-5x9-ur-non-nil: kp5x9ur \neq [] by eval
lemmas kp-5xm-lr =
 kp-5x5-lr kp-5x5-lr-hd kp-5x5-lr-last kp-5x5-lr-non-nil
 kp-5x6-lr kp-5x6-lr-hd kp-5x6-lr-last kp-5x6-lr-non-nil
 kp-5x7-lr kp-5x7-lr-hd kp-5x7-lr-last kp-5x7-lr-non-nil
 kp-5x8-lr kp-5x8-lr-hd kp-5x8-lr-last kp-5x8-lr-non-nil
 kp-5x9-lr kp-5x9-lr-hd kp-5x9-lr-last kp-5x9-lr-non-nil
lemmas kp-5xm-ur =
 kp-5x5-ur kp-5x5-ur-hd kp-5x5-ur-last kp-5x5-ur-non-nil
 kp-5x6-ur kp-5x6-ur-hd kp-5x6-ur-last kp-5x6-ur-non-nil
 kp-5x7-ur kp-5x7-ur-hd kp-5x7-ur-last kp-5x7-ur-non-nil
 kp-5x8-ur kp-5x8-ur-hd kp-5x8-ur-last kp-5x8-ur-non-nil
 kp-5x9-ur kp-5x9-ur-hd kp-5x9-ur-last kp-5x9-ur-non-nil
For every 5 \times m-board with m \geq 5 there exists a knight's path that starts
in (1,1) (bottom-left) and ends in (2,m-1) (bottom-right).
lemma knights-path-5xm-lr-exists:
 assumes m \geq 5
 shows \exists ps. knights-path (board 5 m) ps <math>\land hd ps = (1,1) \land last ps = (2,int m-1)
 using assms
proof (induction m rule: less-induct)
 case (less m)
 then have m \in \{5,6,7,8,9\} \lor 5 \le m-5 by auto
 then show ?case
 proof (elim \ disjE)
   assume m \in \{5, 6, 7, 8, 9\}
   then show ?thesis using kp-5xm-lr by fastforce
 next
   assume m-ge: 5 \le m-5
   then obtain ps_1 where ps_1-IH: knights-path (board 5 (m-5)) ps_1 hd ps_1 =
(1,1)
                          last \ ps_1 = (2, int \ (m-5)-1) \ ps_1 \neq []
     using less. IH [of m-5] knights-path-non-nil by auto
   let ?ps_2 = kp5x5lr
   let ?ps_2'=ps_1 @ trans-path (0,int (m-5)) ?ps_2
   have knights-path b5x5 ?ps2 hd ?ps2 = (1, 1) ?ps2 \neq [] last ?ps2 = (2,4)
     using kp-5xm-lr by auto
   then have 1: knights-path (board 5 m) ?ps2'
```

[1,6,19,14,21,30,43,38,23]])

lemma kp-5x9-ur: knights-path b5x9 kp5x9ur

```
using m-ge ps<sub>1</sub>-IH knights-path-lr-concat [of 5 m-5 ps<sub>1</sub> 5 ?ps<sub>2</sub>] by auto
   have 2: hd ?ps_2' = (1,1) using ps_1-IH by auto
   have last (trans-path\ (0,int\ (m-5))\ ?ps_2) = (2,int\ m-1)
     using m-ge last-trans-path[OF \langle ?ps_2 \neq [] \rangle \langle last ?ps_2 = (2,4) \rangle] by auto
   then have 3: last ?ps_2' = (2,int \ m-1)
       using last-appendR[OF\ trans-path-non-nil[OF\ \langle ?ps_2 \neq [] \rangle], symmetric] by
metis
   show ?thesis using 1 2 3 by auto
 qed
qed
For every 5 \times m-board with m \geq 5 there exists a knight's path that starts
in (1,1) (bottom-left) and ends in (4,m-1) (top-right).
lemma knights-path-5xm-ur-exists:
 assumes m \geq 5
 shows \exists ps. knights-path (board 5 m) ps <math>\land hd ps = (1,1) \land last ps = (4,int m-1)
 using assms
proof -
 have m \in \{5,6,7,8,9\} \lor 5 \le m-5 using assms by auto
 then show ?thesis
 proof (elim disjE)
   assume m \in \{5, 6, 7, 8, 9\}
   then show ?thesis using kp-5xm-ur by fastforce
   assume m-ge: 5 \le m-5
   then obtain ps_1 where ps-prems: knights-path (board 5 (m-5)) ps_1 hd ps_1 =
(1,1)
                               last \ ps_1 = (2, int \ (m-5)-1) \ ps_1 \neq []
     using knights-path-5xm-lr-exists [of (m-5)] knights-path-non-nil by auto
   let ?ps_2 = kp5x5ur
   let ?ps'=ps_1 @ trans-path (0,int (m-5)) ?ps_2
   have knights-path b5x5 ?ps_2 hd ?ps_2 = (1, 1) ?ps_2 \neq []
        last ?ps_2 = (4,4)
     using kp-5xm-ur by auto
   then have 1: knights-path (board 5 m) ?ps'
     using m-ge ps-prems knights-path-lr-concat [of 5 m-5 ps<sub>1</sub> 5 ?ps<sub>2</sub>] by auto
   have 2: hd ?ps' = (1,1) using ps-prems by auto
   have last (trans-path (0,int (m-5))?ps_2) = (4,int m-1)
     using m-ge last-trans-path[OF \langle ?ps_2 \neq [] \rangle \langle last ?ps_2 = (4,4) \rangle] by auto
   then have 3: last ?ps' = (4, int m-1)
       using last-appendR[OF\ trans-path-non-nil[OF\ \langle ?ps_2 \neq [] \rangle], symmetric] by
metis
```

show ?thesis using 1 2 3 by auto

# $\begin{array}{c} \operatorname{qed} \\ \operatorname{qed} \end{array}$

```
5 \leq ?m \Longrightarrow \exists ps. \ knights-path \ (board \ 5 \ ?m) \ ps \land hd \ ps = (1, \ 1) \land last \ ps = (2, \ int \ ?m - 1) \ and \ 5 \leq ?m \Longrightarrow \exists ps. \ knights-path \ (board \ 5 \ ?m) \ ps \land hd \ ps = (1, \ 1) \land last \ ps = (2, \ int \ ?m - 1) \ formalize \ Lemma \ 1 \ from \ [1].
```

 $\mathbf{lemmas} \ knights\text{-}path\text{-}5xm\text{-}exists = knights\text{-}path\text{-}5xm\text{-}lr\text{-}exists \ knights\text{-}path\text{-}5xm\text{-}ur\text{-}exists$ 

### 9 Knight's Paths and Circuits for $6 \times m$ -Boards

abbreviation  $b6x5 \equiv board \ 6 \ 5$ 

A Knight's path for the  $(6 \times 5)$ -board that starts in the lower-left and ends in the upper-left.

```
12
10
    19
         4
               29
               20
3
     30
         11
                    5
    9
          24
                    28
18
               13
25
    2
          17
               6
                    21
16
    23
         8
               27
                    14
1
     26
         15
               22
                    7
```

**abbreviation**  $kp6x5ul \equiv the (to-path$ 

```
 \begin{aligned} &[[10,19,4,29,12],\\ &[3,30,11,20,5],\\ &[18,9,24,13,28],\\ &[25,2,17,6,21],\\ &[16,23,8,27,14],\\ &[1,26,15,22,7]]) \end{aligned}
```

lemma kp-6x5-ul: knights-path b6x5 kp6x5ul by (simp only: knights-path-exec-simp) eval

**lemma** kp-6x5-ul-hd: hd kp6x5ul = (1,1) by eval

lemma kp-6x5-ul-last: last <math>kp6x5ul = (5,2) by eval

lemma  $kp-6x5-ul-non-nil: kp6x5ul \neq []$  by eval

A Knight's circuit for the  $(6 \times 5)$ -board.

```
16
    9
         6
               27
                   18
     26
7
         17
               14
                   5
10
    15
         8
               19
                   28
         23
25
    30
              4
                   13
         2
               29
22
                   20
     11
         21
     24
              12
                   3
```

```
abbreviation kc6x5 \equiv the \ (to\text{-}path \ [[16,9,6,27,18], \ [7,26,17,14,5], \ [10,15,8,19,28], \ [25,30,23,4,13], \ [22,11,2,29,20], \ [1,24,21,12,3]])
lemma kc\text{-}6x5\text{:} knights\text{-}circuit \ b6x5 \ kc6x5
by (simp \ only: knights\text{-}circuit\text{-}exec\text{-}simp) \ eval
```

lemma kc-6x5-hd: hd kc6x5 = (1,1) by eval

lemma kc-6x5-non-nil: kc6 $x5 \neq []$  by eval

abbreviation  $b6x6 \equiv board \ 6 \ 6$ 

The path given for the  $6 \times 6$ -board that ends in the upper-left is wrong. The Knight cannot move from square 26 to square 27.

```
23
              28
                   12
14
         6
                        21
7
     36
         13
              22
                        27
                   5
24
    15
         29
              35
                   20
                        11
30
    8
              26
                   34
         17
                        4
16
    25
         2
              32
                   10
                        19
1
     31
         9
              18
                   3
                        33
```

```
abbreviation kp6x6ul-false \equiv the (to-path [[14,23,6,28,12,21], [7,36,13,22,5,27], [24,15,29,35,20,11], [30,8,17,26,34,4], [16,25,2,32,10,19], [1,31,9,18,3,33]])
```

lemma  $\neg knights$ -path b6x6 kp6x6ul-false by  $(simp\ only:\ knights$ -path-exec-simp) eval

I have computed a correct Knight's path for the  $6 \times 6$ -board that ends in the upper-left. A Knight's path for the  $(6 \times 6)$ -board that starts in the lower-left and ends in the upper-left.

```
8
    25
         10
              21
                  6
                       23
    36
         7
              24
                  33
                       20
11
26
    9
         34
              3
                   22
                       5
35
    12
         15
              30
                  19
                       32
    27
         2
14
              17
                  4
                       29
1
    16
         13
              28
                  31
                       18
```

```
abbreviation kp6x6ul \equiv the \ (to\text{-}path \ [[8,25,10,21,6,23], \ [11,36,7,24,33,20], \ [26,9,34,3,22,5], \ [35,12,15,30,19,32], \ [14,27,2,17,4,29], \ [1,16,13,28,31,18]])
lemma kp-6x6-ul: knights-path b6x6 kp6x6ul by (simp\ only: knights-path-exec-simp) eval
```

lemma kp-6x6-ul-hd: hd kp6x6ul = (1,1) by eval

lemma kp-6x6-ul-last: last <math>kp6x6ul = (5,2) by eval

lemma  $kp-6x6-ul-non-nil: kp6x6ul \neq []$  by eval

A Knight's circuit for the  $(6 \times 6)$ -board.

```
4
     25
         34
              15
                   18
                        7
35
    14
         5
              8
                   33
                        16
24
    3
         26
              17
                   6
                        19
         23
                        32
13
    36
              30
                   9
22
    27
         2
                        29
              11
                   20
1
     12
         21
              28
                   31
                        10
```

**abbreviation**  $kc\theta x\theta \equiv the (to\text{-}path$ 

```
 \begin{array}{l} [[4,25,34,15,18,7],\\ [35,14,5,8,33,16],\\ [24,3,26,17,6,19],\\ [13,36,23,30,9,32],\\ [22,27,2,11,20,29],\\ [1,12,21,28,31,10]]) \end{array}
```

lemma kc-6x6: knights-circuit b6x6 kc6x6 by (simp only: knights-circuit-exec-simp) eval

lemma kc-6x6-hd: hd kc6x6 = (1,1) by eval

lemma kc-6x6-non-nil:  $kc6x6 \neq []$  by eval

abbreviation  $b6x7 \equiv board 6 7$ 

A Knight's path for the  $(6 \times 7)$ -board that starts in the lower-left and ends in the upper-left.

```
18
    23
         8
              39
                   16
                        25
                             6
9
    42
         17
              24
                   7
                        40
                             15
22
    19
         32
              41
                   38
                        5
                             26
         21
33
              28
                   31
                             37
    10
                        14
                        27
20
    29
         2
              35
                   12
                             4
    34
         11
              30
                   3
                        36
                             13
1
```

```
abbreviation kp6x7ul \equiv the (to-path
```

```
 \begin{aligned} &[[18,23,8,39,16,25,6],\\ &[9,42,17,24,7,40,15],\\ &[22,19,32,41,38,5,26],\\ &[33,10,21,28,31,14,37],\\ &[20,29,2,35,12,27,4],\\ &[1,34,11,30,3,36,13]]) \end{aligned}
```

lemma kp-6x7-ul: knights-path b6x7 kp6x7ul by (simp only: knights-path-exec-simp) eval

**lemma** kp-6x7-ul-hd: hd kp6x7ul = (1,1) **by** eval

**lemma** kp-6x7-ul-last: last kp6x7ul = (5,2) **by** eval

lemma kp-6x7-ul-non-nil: kp6x7 $ul \neq []$  by eval

A Knight's circuit for the  $(6 \times 7)$ -board.

```
28
26
    37
         8
              17
                        31
                             6
         27
              36
                             29
9
     18
                   7
                        16
38
    25
         10
              19
                   30
                        5
                             32
11
    42
         23
              40
                   35
                        20
                             15
24
    39
         2
              13
                   22
                        33
                             4
     12
                             21
1
         41
              34
                   3
                        14
```

```
abbreviation kc6x7 \equiv the (to\text{-}path
```

```
 \begin{array}{l} [[26,37,8,17,28,31,6],\\ [9,18,27,36,7,16,29],\\ [38,25,10,19,30,5,32],\\ [11,42,23,40,35,20,15],\\ [24,39,2,13,22,33,4],\\ [1,12,41,34,3,14,21]]) \end{array}
```

lemma kc-6x7: knights-circuit b6x7 kc6x7 by (simp only: knights-circuit-exec-simp) eval

**lemma** kc-6x7-hd: hd kc6x7 = (1,1) **by** eval

lemma kc-6x7-non-nil: kc6x7  $\neq$  [] by eval

abbreviation  $b6x8 \equiv board \ 6 \ 8$ 

A Knight's path for the  $(6 \times 8)$ -board that starts in the lower-left and ends in the upper-left.

```
18
     31
                         33
          8
               35
                    16
                              6
                                    45
                    7
9
     48
          17
               32
                         46
                              15
                                    26
30
     19
          36
               47
                    34
                         27
                              44
                                    5
37
     10
          21
               28
                    43
                         40
                              25
                                    14
20
     29
          2
               39
                    12
                         23
                              4
                                    41
1
     38
          11
               22
                    3
                         42
                              13
                                   24
```

```
abbreviation kp6x8ul \equiv the (to-path
```

```
 \begin{aligned} &[[18, 31, 8, 35, 16, 33, 6, 45], \\ &[9, 48, 17, 32, 7, 46, 15, 26], \\ &[30, 19, 36, 47, 34, 27, 44, 5], \\ &[37, 10, 21, 28, 43, 40, 25, 14], \\ &[20, 29, 2, 39, 12, 23, 4, 41], \\ &[1, 38, 11, 22, 3, 42, 13, 24]]) \end{aligned}
```

lemma kp-6x8-ul: knights-path b6x8 kp6x8ul by (simp only: knights-path-exec-simp) eval

**lemma** kp-6x8-ul-hd: hd kp6x8ul = (1,1) **by** eval

**lemma** kp-6x8-ul-last: last kp6x8<math>ul = (5,2) **by** eval

lemma kp-6x8-ul-non-nil: kp6 $x8ul \neq []$  by eval

A Knight's circuit for the  $(6 \times 8)$ -board.

```
30
     35
          8
               15
                    28
                         39
                              6
                                    13
9
     16
          29
               36
                    7
                         14
                              27
                                    38
               23
34
     31
          10
                    40
                         37
                              12
                                    5
17
     48
          33
               46
                    11
                         22
                              41
                                    26
32
     45
          2
               19
                    24
                         43
                              4
                                    21
1
     18
          47
               44
                    3
                         20
                              25
                                    42
```

```
abbreviation kc6x8 \equiv the (to\text{-}path
```

```
 \begin{bmatrix} [30,35,8,15,28,39,6,13],\\ [9,16,29,36,7,14,27,38],\\ [34,31,10,23,40,37,12,5],\\ [17,48,33,46,11,22,41,26],\\ [32,45,2,19,24,43,4,21],\\ [1,18,47,44,3,20,25,42]])
```

lemma kc-6x8: knights-circuit b6x8 kc6x8 by (simp only: knights-circuit-exec-simp) eval

**lemma** kc-6x8-hd: hd kc6x8 = (1,1) **by** eval

lemma kc-6x8-non-nil: kc6 $x8 \neq []$  by eval

abbreviation  $b6x9 \equiv board \ 6 \ 9$ 

A Knight's path for the  $(6 \times 9)$ -board that starts in the lower-left and ends in the upper-left.

```
22
    45
         10
              53
                   20
                       47
                            8
                                 35
                                      18
11
    54
         21
              46
                   9
                        36
                            19
                                 48
                                      7
44
    23
         42
              37
                   52
                       49
                            32
                                 17
                                      34
         25
                       38
41
    12
              50
                   27
                            29
                                 6
                                      31
24
         2
    43
              39
                       51
                                 33
                                      16
                   14
                            4
1
    40
        13
              26
                   3
                        28
                            15
                                 30
                                      5
```

**abbreviation**  $kp6x9ul \equiv the (to-path$ 

```
 \begin{array}{l} [[22,45,10,53,20,47,8,35,18],\\ [11,54,21,46,9,36,19,48,7],\\ [44,23,42,37,52,49,32,17,34],\\ [41,12,25,50,27,38,29,6,31],\\ [24,43,2,39,14,51,4,33,16],\\ [1,40,13,26,3,28,15,30,5]]) \end{array}
```

lemma kp-6x9-ul: knights-path b6x9 kp6x9ul by (simp only: knights-path-exec-simp) eval

**lemma** kp-6x9-ul-hd: hd kp6x9ul = (1,1) **by** eval

**lemma** kp-6x9-ul-last: last kp6x9ul = (5,2) **by** eval

lemma kp-6x9-ul-non-nil: kp6x9 $ul \neq []$  by eval

A Knight's circuit for the  $(6 \times 9)$ -board.

```
14
    49
         4
              51
                   24
                        39
                             6
                                  29
                                      22
3
    52
         13
              40
                   5
                        32
                             23
                                  42
                                      7
                             28
48
    15
         50
              25
                   38
                        41
                                 21
                                      30
53
    2
         37
              12
                   33
                        26
                             31
                                  8
                                      43
                                      20
16
    47
         54
              35
                   18
                        45
                             10
                                 27
1
    36
         17
              46
                   11
                        34
                             19
                                 44
                                      9
```

```
abbreviation kc6x9 \equiv the (to\text{-}path
```

```
 \begin{aligned} & [[14,49,4,51,24,39,6,29,22],\\ & [3,52,13,40,5,32,23,42,7],\\ & [48,15,50,25,38,41,28,21,30],\\ & [53,2,37,12,33,26,31,8,43],\\ & [16,47,54,35,18,45,10,27,20],\\ & [1,36,17,46,11,34,19,44,9]]) \end{aligned}
```

lemma kc-6x9: knights-circuit b6x9 kc6x9

```
by (simp only: knights-circuit-exec-simp) eval
lemma kc-6x9-hd: hd kc6x9 = (1,1) by eval
lemma kc-6x9-non-nil: kc6x9 \neq [] by eval
lemmas kp-6xm-ul =
 kp-6x5-ul kp-6x5-ul-hd kp-6x5-ul-last kp-6x5-ul-non-nil
 kp-6x6-ul kp-6x6-ul-hd kp-6x6-ul-last kp-6x6-ul-non-nil
 kp-6x7-ul kp-6x7-ul-hd kp-6x7-ul-last kp-6x7-ul-non-nil
 kp-6x8-ul kp-6x8-ul-hd kp-6x8-ul-last kp-6x8-ul-non-nil
 kp-6x9-ul kp-6x9-ul-hd kp-6x9-ul-last kp-6x9-ul-non-nil
lemmas kc-6xm =
 kc-6x5 kc-6x5-hd kc-6x5-non-nil
 kc-6x6 kc-6x6-hd kc-6x6-non-nil
 kc-6x7 kc-6x7-hd kc-6x7-non-nil
 kc-6x8 kc-6x8-hd kc-6x8-non-nil
 kc-6x9 kc-6x9-hd kc-6x9-non-nil
For every 6 \times m-board with m \geq 5 there exists a knight's path that starts
in (1,1) (bottom-left) and ends in (5,2) (top-left).
lemma knights-path-6xm-ul-exists:
 assumes m > 5
 shows \exists ps. knights-path (board 6 m) ps <math>\land hd ps = (1,1) \land last ps = (5,2)
 using assms
proof (induction m rule: less-induct)
 case (less m)
 then have m \in \{5,6,7,8,9\} \lor 5 \le m-5 by auto
 then show ?case
 proof (elim \ disjE)
   assume m \in \{5, 6, 7, 8, 9\}
   then show ?thesis using kp-6xm-ul by fastforce
 next
   let ?ps_1=kp6x5ul
   let ?b_1 = board 6 5
   have ps_1-prems: knights-path ?b_1 ?ps_1 hd ?ps_1 = (1,1) last ?ps_1 = (5,2)
    using kp-6xm-ul by auto
   assume m-ge: 5 \le m-5
   then obtain ps_2 where ps_2-IH: knights-path (board 6 (m-5)) ps_2 hd ps_2 =
(1,1)
                          last ps_2 = (5,2)
    using less. IH [of m-5] knights-path-non-nil by auto
   have 27 < length ?ps_1 last (take 27 ?ps_1) = (2,4) hd (drop 27 ?ps_1) = (4,5)
by eval+
   then have step-in ?ps_1(2,4)(4,5)
    unfolding step-in-def using zero-less-numeral by blast
   then have step-in ?ps_1(2,4)(4,5)
```

```
unfolding valid-step-def by auto
   then show ?thesis
    using \langle 5 \leq m-5 \rangle ps<sub>1</sub>-prems ps<sub>2</sub>-IH knights-path-split-concat of 6 5 ?ps<sub>1</sub> m-5
ps_2] by auto
 \mathbf{qed}
qed
For every 6 \times m-board with m \geq 5 there exists a knight's circuit.
lemma knights-circuit-6xm-exists:
 assumes m \geq 5
 shows \exists ps. knights-circuit (board 6 m) ps
  using assms
proof -
  have m \in \{5,6,7,8,9\} \lor 5 \le m-5 using assms by auto
  then show ?thesis
 proof (elim \ disjE)
   assume m \in \{5, 6, 7, 8, 9\}
   then show ?thesis using kc-6xm by fastforce
  \mathbf{next}
   let ?ps_1 = rev \ kc6x5
   have knights-circuit b6x5 ?ps_1 last ?ps_1 = (1,1)
     using kc-6xm knights-circuit-rev by (auto simp: last-rev)
   then have ps_1-prems: knights-path b6x5 ?ps_1 valid-step (last ?ps_1) (hd ?ps_1)
     unfolding knights-circuit-def using valid-step-rev by auto
   assume m-ge: 5 \le m-5
   then obtain ps_2 where ps2-prems: knights-path (board 6 (m-5)) ps_2 hd ps_2
= (1,1)
                              last \ ps_2 = (5,2)
     using knights-path-6xm-ul-exists[of (m-5)] knights-path-non-nil by auto
   have 2 < length ?ps_1 last (take 2 ?ps_1) = (2,4) hd (drop 2 ?ps_1) = (4,5) by
   then have step-in ?ps_1(2,4)(4,5)
     unfolding step-in-def using zero-less-numeral by blast
   then have step-in ?ps_1(2,4)(4,5)
            valid-step (2,4) (1,int 5+1)
            valid-step (5, int 5+2) (4,5)
     unfolding valid-step-def by auto
   then have \exists ps. knights-path (board 6 m) ps \land hd ps = hd ?ps_1 \land last ps = last
?ps_1
      using m-ge ps_1-prems ps_2-prems knights-path-split-concat [of 6 5 ?ps_1 m-5
ps_2] by auto
   then show ?thesis using ps_1-prems by (auto simp: knights-circuit-def)
 qed
qed
5 \leq ?m \Longrightarrow \exists ps. \ knights-path \ (board \ 6 \ ?m) \ ps \land hd \ ps = (1, 1) \land last \ ps
```

valid-step (2,4) (1,int 5+1)valid-step (5,int 5+2) (4,5) = (5, 2) and  $5 \le ?m \Longrightarrow \exists ps. knights-circuit (board 6 ?m) ps formalize Lemma 2 from [1].$ 

 ${\bf lemmas} \ knights-path-6xm-exists = knights-path-6xm-ul-exists \ knights-circuit-6xm-exists$ 

## 10 Knight's Paths and Circuits for $8 \times m$ -Boards

abbreviation  $b8x5 \equiv board \ 8 \ 5$ 

A Knight's path for the  $(8 \times 5)$ -board that starts in the lower-left and ends in the upper-left.

```
28
    7
              39
                   26
         22
23
         27
    40
              6
                   21
8
    29
         38
              25
                   14
37
    24
         15
              20
                   5
         30
16
    9
              13
                   34
31
    36
         33
              4
                   19
10
         2
              35
    17
                   12
    32
         11
              18
                   3
```

**abbreviation**  $kp8x5ul \equiv the (to-path$ 

```
 \begin{array}{l} [[28,7,22,39,26],\\ [23,40,27,6,21],\\ [8,29,38,25,14],\\ [37,24,15,20,5],\\ [16,9,30,13,34],\\ [31,36,33,4,19],\\ [10,17,2,35,12],\\ [1,32,11,18,3]]) \end{array}
```

lemma kp-8x5-ul: knights-path b8x5 kp8x5ul by (simp only: knights-path-exec-simp) eval

**lemma** kp-8x5-ul-hd: hd kp8x5ul = (1,1) by eval

lemma kp-8x5-ul-last: last kp8x5ul = (7,2) by eval

lemma  $kp-8x5-ul-non-nil: kp8x5ul \neq []$  by eval

A Knight's circuit for the  $(8 \times 5)$ -board.

```
24
 26
      7
           28
                15
 31
      16
           25
                 6
                      29
      27
                23
           30
                      14
      32
 17
           39
                 34
                      5
                      22
 38
      9
           18
                 13
 19
      40
           33
                 4
                      35
 10
      37
           2
                 21
                      12
 1
      20
           11
                 36
                      3
abbreviation kc8x5 \equiv the (to\text{-}path
  [[26,7,28,15,24],
  [31,16,25,6,29],
  [8,27,30,23,14],
  [17,32,39,34,5],
 [38,9,18,13,22],
  [19,40,33,4,35],
 [10,37,2,21,12],
 [1,20,11,36,3]
lemma kc-8x5: knights-circuit b8x5 kc8x5
 by (simp only: knights-circuit-exec-simp) eval
lemma kc-8x5-hd: hd kc8x5 = (1,1) by eval
lemma kc-8x5-last: last kc8x5 = (3,2) by eval
lemma kc-8x5-non-nil: kc8x5 \neq [] by eval
lemma kc-8x5-si: step-in kc8x5 (2,4) (4,5) (is step-in ?ps - -)
proof -
 have 0 < (21::nat) \ 21 < length \ ?ps \ last \ (take \ 21 \ ?ps) = (2,4) \ hd \ (drop \ 21 \ ?ps)
= (4,5)
   by eval+
 then show ?thesis unfolding step-in-def by blast
```

**abbreviation**  $b8x6 \equiv board \ 8 \ 6$ 

A Knight's path for the  $(8 \times 6)$ -board that starts in the lower-left and ends in the upper-left.

```
42
          26
               9
                    34
                         13
     11
25
     48
          43
               12
                    27
                         8
44
     41
          10
               33
                    14
                         35
     24
               20
                    7
                         28
47
          45
40
     19
          32
               3
                    36
                         15
23
          21
                    29
                         4
     46
               6
18
     39
          2
               31
                    16
                         37
1
     22
          17
               38
                         30
                    5
```

```
abbreviation kp8x6ul \equiv the \ (to\text{-}path \ [[42,11,26,9,34,13],
```

```
 \begin{array}{l} [[42,11,26,9,34,13],\\ [25,48,43,12,27,8],\\ [44,41,10,33,14,35],\\ [47,24,45,20,7,28],\\ [40,19,32,3,36,15],\\ [23,46,21,6,29,4],\\ [18,39,2,31,16,37],\\ [1,22,17,38,5,30]]) \end{array}
```

lemma kp-8x6-ul: knights-path b8x6 kp8x6ul by (simp only: knights-path-exec-simp) eval

**lemma** kp-8x6-ul-hd: hd kp8x6ul = (1,1) **by** eval

lemma kp-8x6-ul-last: last <math>kp8x6ul = (7,2) by eval

lemma kp-8x6-ul-non-nil:  $kp8x6ul \neq []$  by eval

A Knight's circuit for the  $(8 \times 6)$ -board. I have reversed circuit s.t. the circuit steps from (2,5) to (4,6) and not the other way around. This makes the proofs easier.

```
8
     29
          24
                         37
               45
                    12
25
     46
          9
               38
                    23
                         44
30
     7
          28
               13
                    36
                         11
47
     26
          39
               10
                    43
                         22
6
     31
          4
               27
                    14
                         35
3
     48
          17
               40
                    21
                         42
32
     5
          2
               19
                    34
                         15
     18
          33
                         20
1
               16
                    41
```

```
abbreviation kc8x6 \equiv the (to\text{-}path
```

```
 \begin{array}{l} [[8,29,24,45,12,37],\\ [25,46,9,38,23,44],\\ [30,7,28,13,36,11],\\ [47,26,39,10,43,22],\\ [6,31,4,27,14,35], \end{array}
```

```
[3,48,17,40,21,42],
[32,5,2,19,34,15],
[1,18,33,16,41,20]])
lemma kc-8x6: knights-circuit b8x6 kc8x6
by (simp\ only:\ knights-circuit-exec-simp) eval

lemma kc-8x6-hd: hd\ kc8x6 = (1,1) by eval

lemma kc-8x6-non-nil: kc8x6 \neq [] by eval

lemma kc-8x6-si: step-in\ kc8x6\ (2,5)\ (4,6)\ (is\ step-in\ ?ps-)
proof —
have 0 < (34::nat)\ 34 < length\ ?ps
last\ (take\ 34\ ?ps) = (2,5)\ hd\ (drop\ 34\ ?ps) = (4,6)\ by\ eval+
then show ?thesis\ unfolding\ step-in-def\ by\ blast
qed

abbreviation b8x7 \equiv board\ 8\ 7
```

A Knight's path for the  $(8 \times 7)$ -board that starts in the lower-left and ends in the upper-left.

```
38
    19
         6
                              8
               55
                    46
                         21
5
     56
          39
               20
                    7
                         54
                              45
                              22
18
    37
          4
               47
                    34
                         9
3
     48
         35
               40
                    53
                         44
                              33
                    32
                         23
36
    17
          52
               49
                              10
     2
          29
                         26
                              43
51
               14
                    41
                    28
    13
               31
                         11
                              24
16
          50
1
     30
         15
               12
                    25
                         42
                              27
```

```
abbreviation kp8x7ul \equiv the (to-path
```

```
 \begin{aligned} &[[38,19,6,55,46,21,8],\\ &[5,56,39,20,7,54,45],\\ &[18,37,4,47,34,9,22],\\ &[3,48,35,40,53,44,33],\\ &[36,17,52,49,32,23,10],\\ &[51,2,29,14,41,26,43],\\ &[16,13,50,31,28,11,24],\\ &[1,30,15,12,25,42,27]]) \end{aligned}
```

lemma kp-8x7-ul: knights-path b8x7 kp8x7ul by (simp only: knights-path-exec-simp) eval

**lemma** kp-8x7-ul-hd: hd kp8x7ul = (1,1) **by** eval

lemma kp-8x7-ul-last: last kp8x7ul = (7,2) by eval

lemma  $kp-8x7-ul-non-nil: kp8x7ul \neq []$  by eval

A Knight's circuit for the  $(8 \times 7)$ -board. I have reversed circuit s.t. the circuit steps from (2,6) to (4,7) and not the other way around. This makes the proofs easier.

```
36
                   20
                        29
    31
         18
              53
                             44
17
    54
         35
              30
                   45
                        52
                             21
    37
32
         46
              19
                   8
                        43
                             28
                        22
55
    16
         7
              34
                   27
                             51
38
    33
         26
              47
                        9
                             42
                   6
3
    56
         15
              12
                   25
                        50
                             23
14
    39
         2
              5
                   48
                        41
                             10
                        24
1
    4
         13
              40
                   11
                             49
```

```
abbreviation kc8x7 \equiv the (to-path
 [[36,31,18,53,20,29,44],
  [17,54,35,30,45,52,21],
  [32,37,46,19,8,43,28],
  [55,16,7,34,27,22,51],
  [38,33,26,47,6,9,42],
  [3,56,15,12,25,50,23],
  [14,39,2,5,48,41,10],
 [1,4,13,40,11,24,49]
lemma kc-8x7: knights-circuit b8x7 kc8x7
 by (simp only: knights-circuit-exec-simp) eval
lemma kc-8x7-hd: hd kc8x7 = (1,1) by eval
lemma kc-8x7-non-nil: kc8x7 \neq [] by eval
lemma kc-8x7-si: step-in kc8x7 (2,6) (4,7) (is step-in ?ps - -)
proof -
 have 0 < (41::nat) \ 41 < length \ ?ps
      last (take 41 ?ps) = (2,6) hd (drop 41 ?ps) = (4,7) by eval+
 then show ?thesis unfolding step-in-def by blast
qed
```

abbreviation  $b8x8 \equiv board \ 8 \ 8$ 

The path given for the  $8 \times 8$ -board that ends in the upper-left is wrong. The Knight cannot move from square 27 to square 28.

```
24
     11
          37
               9
                    26
                         21
                              39
                                   7
36
     64
          24
               22
                    38
                         8
                              27
                                    20
12
     23
          10
               53
                    58
                         49
                              6
                                    28
     35
                         52
63
          61
               50
                    55
                              19
                                    40
46
     13
          54
               57
                    48
                         59
                              29
                                    5
34
     62
          47
               60
                    51
                         56
                              41
                                    18
14
     45
          2
               32
                    16
                         43
                              4
                                    30
1
     33
          15
                    3
                         31
                              17
                                    42
               44
```

 $\textbf{abbreviation} \ \textit{kp8x8ul-false} \equiv \textit{the} \ (\textit{to-path}$ 

```
 [[24,11,37,9,26,21,39,7], \\ [36,64,25,22,38,8,27,20], \\ [12,23,10,53,58,49,6,28], \\ [63,35,61,50,55,52,19,40], \\ [46,13,54,57,48,59,29,5], \\ [34,62,47,60,51,56,41,18], \\ [14,45,2,32,16,43,4,30], \\ [1,33,15,44,3,31,17,42]])
```

**lemma** ¬knights-path b8x8 kp8x8ul-false **by** (simp only: knights-path-exec-simp) eval

I have computed a correct Knight's path for the  $8 \times 8$ -board that ends in the upper-left.

```
38
     41
          36
               27
                    32
                              20
                                   25
                         43
35
          39
               42
                    21
                         26
                              29
     64
                                   44
40
     37
          6
               33
                    28
                         31
                              24
                                   19
5
                    7
                         22
     34
          63
               14
                              45
                                   30
62
     13
               9
                                   23
          4
                    58
                         49
                              18
3
     10
          61
               52
                    15
                         8
                              57
                                   46
12
     53
          2
               59
                    48
                         55
                                   17
                              50
1
     60
          11
               54
                    51
                         16
                              47
                                   56
```

**abbreviation**  $kp8x8ul \equiv the (to-path$ 

```
 \begin{aligned} & [[38,41,36,27,32,43,20,25],\\ & [35,64,39,42,21,26,29,44],\\ & [40,37,6,33,28,31,24,19],\\ & [5,34,63,14,7,22,45,30],\\ & [62,13,4,9,58,49,18,23],\\ & [3,10,61,52,15,8,57,46],\\ & [12,53,2,59,48,55,50,17],\\ & [1,60,11,54,51,16,47,56]]) \end{aligned}
```

lemma kp-8x8-ul: knights-path b8x8 kp8x8ul by (simp only: knights-path-exec-simp) eval **lemma** kp-8x8-ul-hd: hd kp8x8ul = (1,1) **by** eval

**lemma** kp-8x8-ul-last: last kp8x8ul = (7,2) by eval

lemma  $kp-8x8-ul-non-nil: kp8x8ul \neq []$  by eval

A Knight's circuit for the  $(8 \times 8)$ -board.

```
28
48
     13
         30
              9
                   56
                         45
               50
                   29
                         8
                             57
                                  44
31
     10
         47
                                  27
14
    49
         12
              55
                   46
                        59
                             6
11
    32
         37
               60
                        54
                   51
                             43
                                  58
36
     15
         52
               63
                   38
                        61
                             26
                                  5
33
    64
         35
               18
                   53
                         40
                             23
                                  42
16
     19
         2
               39
                   62
                        21
                             4
                                  25
               20
                         24
                                  22
1
     34
         17
                   3
                             41
```

```
abbreviation kc8x8 \equiv the \ (to\text{-}path \ [[48,13,30,9,56,45,28,7], \ [31,10,47,50,29,8,57,44], \ [14,49,12,55,46,59,6,27], \ [11,32,37,60,51,54,43,58], \ [36,15,52,63,38,61,26,5], \ [33,64,35,18,53,40,23,42], \ [16,19,2,39,62,21,4,25], \ [1,34,17,20,3,24,41,22]]) lemma kc\text{-}8x8\text{: }knights\text{-}circuit \ b8x8 \ kc8x8 by (simp \ only: knights\text{-}circuit\text{-}exec\text{-}simp) \ eval
```

**lemma** kc-8x8-hd: hd kc8x8 = (1,1) **by** eval

lemma kc-8x8-non-nil: kc8x8  $\neq$  [] by eval

```
lemma kc-8x8-si: step-in\ kc8x8\ (2,7)\ (4,8)\ (is\ step-in\ ?ps--) proof — have 0<(4::nat)\ 4< length\ ?ps last (take\ 4\ ?ps)=(2,7)\ hd\ (drop\ 4\ ?ps)=(4,8) by eval+ then show ?thesis unfolding step-in-def by blast qed
```

abbreviation  $b8x9 \equiv board \ 8 \ 9$ 

A Knight's path for the  $(8 \times 9)$ -board that starts in the lower-left and ends in the upper-left.

```
32
    47
         6
               71
                    30
                         45
                              8
                                   43
                                        26
5
     72
         31
               46
                    7
                         70
                              27
                                   22
                                        9
48
    33
         4
               29
                    64
                         23
                              44
                                   25
                                        42
3
     60
          35
               62
                    69
                         28
                              41
                                        21
                                   10
         68
34
    49
               65
                    36
                         63
                              24
                                   55
                                        40
59
    2
          61
               16
                    67
                              37
                                   20
                                        11
                         56
50
    15
         66
               57
                    52
                         13
                              18
                                   39
                                        54
1
     58
          51
                         38
                              53
                                   12
                                        19
               14
                    17
```

```
abbreviation kp8x9ul \equiv the (to-path
```

```
 \begin{aligned} &[[32,47,6,71,30,45,8,43,26],\\ &[5,72,31,46,7,70,27,22,9],\\ &[48,33,4,29,64,23,44,25,42],\\ &[3,60,35,62,69,28,41,10,21],\\ &[34,49,68,65,36,63,24,55,40],\\ &[59,2,61,16,67,56,37,20,11],\\ &[50,15,66,57,52,13,18,39,54],\\ &[1,58,51,14,17,38,53,12,19]]) \end{aligned}
```

lemma kp-8x9-ul: knights-path b8x9 kp8x9ul by (simp only: knights-path-exec-simp) eval

**lemma** kp-8x9-ul-hd: hd kp8x9ul = (1,1) **by** eval

**lemma** kp-8x9-ul-last: last kp8x9ul = (7,2) **by** eval

lemma  $kp-8x9-ul-non-nil: kp8x9ul \neq []$  by eval

A Knight's circuit for the  $(8 \times 9)$ -board.

```
42
     19
          38
               5
                    36
                         21
                              34
                                   7
                                        60
39
     4
          41
               20
                    63
                         6
                              59
                                   22
                                        33
18
     43
          70
               37
                         35
                              68
                                   61
                                        8
                    58
3
     40
               64
                    69
                         62
                                   32
                                        23
          49
                              57
50
     17
               71
                         67
          44
                    48
                              54
                                   9
                                        56
     2
                    27
                              29
                                   24
                                        31
45
          65
               14
                         12
16
     51
          72
               47
                    66
                         53
                              26
                                   55
                                        10
1
     46
          15
               52
                    13
                         28
                              11
                                   30
                                        25
```

```
abbreviation kc8x9 \equiv the (to\text{-}path
```

```
 \begin{bmatrix} [42,19,38,5,36,21,34,7,60], \\ [39,4,41,20,63,6,59,22,33], \\ [18,43,70,37,58,35,68,61,8], \\ [3,40,49,64,69,62,57,32,23], \\ [50,17,44,71,48,67,54,9,56], \\ [45,2,65,14,27,12,29,24,31], \\ [16,51,72,47,66,53,26,55,10], \end{bmatrix}
```

```
[1,46,15,52,13,28,11,30,25]
lemma kc-8x9: knights-circuit b8x9 kc8x9
 by (simp only: knights-circuit-exec-simp) eval
lemma kc-8x9-hd: hd kc8x9 = (1,1) by eval
lemma kc-8x9-non-nil: kc8x9 \neq [] by eval
lemma kc-8x9-si: step-in kc8x9 (2,8) (4,9) (is step-in ?ps--)
proof -
 have 0 < (55::nat) 55 < length ?ps
      last (take 55 ?ps) = (2.8) hd (drop 55 ?ps) = (4.9) by eval+
 then show ?thesis unfolding step-in-def by blast
qed
lemmas kp-8xm-ul =
 kp-8x5-ul kp-8x5-ul-hd kp-8x5-ul-last kp-8x5-ul-non-nil
 kp-8x6-ul kp-8x6-ul-hd kp-8x6-ul-last kp-8x6-ul-non-nil
 kp-8x7-ul kp-8x7-ul-hd kp-8x7-ul-last kp-8x7-ul-non-nil
 kp-8x8-ul kp-8x8-ul-hd kp-8x8-ul-last kp-8x8-ul-non-nil
 kp-8x9-ul kp-8x9-ul-hd kp-8x9-ul-last kp-8x9-ul-non-nil
lemmas kc-8xm =
 kc-8x5 kc-8x5-hd kc-8x5-last kc-8x5-non-nil kc-8x5-si
 kc-8x6 kc-8x6-hd kc-8x6-non-nil kc-8x6-si
 kc-8x7 kc-8x7-hd kc-8x7-non-nil kc-8x7-si
 kc-8x8 kc-8x8-hd kc-8x8-non-nil kc-8x8-si
 kc-8x9 kc-8x9-hd kc-8x9-non-nil kc-8x9-si
For every 8 \times m-board with m \geq 5 there exists a knight's circuit.
{f lemma} knights-circuit-8xm-exists:
 assumes m \geq 5
 shows \exists ps. knights-circuit (board 8 m) ps \land step-in ps (2,int m-1) (4,int m)
 using assms
proof (induction m rule: less-induct)
 case (less m)
 then have m \in \{5,6,7,8,9\} \lor 5 \le m-5 by auto
 then show ?case
 proof (elim disjE)
   assume m \in \{5, 6, 7, 8, 9\}
   then show ?thesis using kc-8xm by fastforce
 next
   let ?ps_2=kc8x5
   let ?b_2 = board \ 8 \ 5
   have ps_2-prems: knights-circuit ?b_2 ?ps_2 hd ?ps_2 = (1,1) last ?ps_2 = (3,2)
    using kc-8xm by auto
   have 21 < length ?ps_2 last (take 21 ?ps_2) = (2,int 5-1) hd (drop 21 ?ps_2) =
(4,int 5)
    by eval+
```

```
then have si: step-in ?ps_2 (2,int 5-1) (4,int 5)
     unfolding step-in-def using zero-less-numeral by blast
   assume m-ge: 5 \le m-5
   then obtain ps_1 where ps_1-IH: knights-circuit (board 8 (m-5)) ps_1
                           step-in \ ps_1 \ (2,int \ (m-5)-1) \ (4,int \ (m-5))
     using less. IH [of m-5] knights-path-non-nil by auto
   then show ?thesis
      using m-qe ps<sub>2</sub>-prems si knights-circuit-lr-concat of 8 m-5 ps<sub>1</sub> 5 ?ps<sub>2</sub> by
auto
 qed
qed
For every 8 \times m-board with m \geq 5 there exists a knight's path that starts
in (1,1) (bottom-left) and ends in (7,2) (top-left).
lemma knights-path-8xm-ul-exists:
 assumes m \geq 5
 shows \exists ps. knights-path (board 8 m) ps <math>\land hd ps = (1,1) \land last ps = (7,2)
 using assms
proof -
 have m \in \{5,6,7,8,9\} \vee 5 \leq m-5 using assms by auto
 then show ?thesis
 proof (elim disjE)
   assume m \in \{5, 6, 7, 8, 9\}
   then show ?thesis using kp-8xm-ul by fastforce
 next
   let ?ps_1=kp8x5ul
   have ps_1-prems: knights-path b8x5 ?ps_1 hd ?ps_1 = (1,1) last ?ps_1 = (7,2)
     using kp-8xm-ul by auto
   assume m-ge: 5 \le m-5
   then have b-prems: 5 \le min \ 8 \ (m-5)
     unfolding board-def by auto
   obtain ps_2 where knights-circuit (board 8 (m-5)) ps_2
    using m-ge knights-circuit-8xm-exists [of (m-5)] knights-path-non-nil by auto
   then obtain ps_2 where ps_2-prems': knights-circuit (board 8 (m-5)) ps_2
       hd ps_2' = (1,1) last ps_2' = (3,2)
     using b-prems \langle 5 \leq min \ 8 \ (m-5) \rangle rotate-knights-circuit by blast
   then have ps_2'-path: knights-path (board 8 (m-5)) (rev ps_2')
     valid-step (last ps_2') (hd ps_2') hd (rev ps_2') = (3,2) last (rev ps_2') = (1,1)
     unfolding knights-circuit-def using knights-path-rev by (auto simp: hd-rev
last-rev)
   have 34 < length ?ps_1 last (take <math>34 ?ps_1) = (4.5) hd (drop 34 ?ps_1) = (2.4)
by eval+
   then have step-in ?ps_1(4,5)(2,4)
     unfolding step-in-def using zero-less-numeral by blast
   then have step-in ?ps_1 (4,5) (2,4)
           valid-step (4,5) (3,int 5+2)
           valid-step (1,int 5+1) (2,4)
```

```
unfolding valid-step-def by auto
then have \exists ps. \ knights-path \ (board \ 8 \ m) \ ps \land hd \ ps = hd \ ?ps_1 \land last \ ps = last
?ps_1
using m-ge ps_1-prems ps_2'-prems' ps_2'-path
knights-path-split-concat[of 8 \ 5 \ ?ps_1 \ m-5 \ rev \ ps_2'] by auto
then show ?thesis using ps_1-prems by auto
qed
qed
5 < ?m \implies \exists \ ps. \ knights-circuit (board \ 8 \ ?m) \ ps \land step-in \ ps \ (2, int \ ?m)
```

 $5 \leq ?m \Longrightarrow \exists ps. \ knights-circuit \ (board 8 ?m) \ ps \land step-in \ ps \ (2, int ?m - 1) \ (4, int ?m) \ and \ 5 \leq ?m \Longrightarrow \exists ps. \ knights-path \ (board 8 ?m) \ ps \land hd \ ps = (1, 1) \land last \ ps = (7, 2) \ formalize Lemma 3 \ from [1].$ 

 ${\bf lemmas}\ knights-path-8xm-exists=knights-circuit-8xm-exists\ knights-path-8xm-ul-exists$ 

## 11 Knight's Paths and Circuits for $n \times m$ -Boards

In this section the desired theorems are proved. The proof uses the previous lemmas to construct paths and circuits for arbitrary  $n \times m$ -boards.

A Knight's path for the  $(5 \times 5)$ -board that starts in the lower-left and ends in the upper-left.

```
7
     20
                    5
          9
               14
10
     25
          6
               21
                    16
19
     8
          15
               4
                    13
24
     11
          2
               17
                    22
1
     18
          23
               12
                    3
```

```
abbreviation kp5x5ul \equiv the \ (to\text{-}path \ [[7,20,9,14,5], \ [10,25,6,21,16], \ [19,8,15,4,13], \ [24,11,2,17,22], \ [1,18,23,12,3]])
lemma kp\text{-}5x5\text{-}ul\text{:} knights\text{-}path \ b5x5 \ kp5x5ul \ by \ (simp \ only: knights\text{-}path\text{-}exec\text{-}simp) \ eval \ }
```

A Knight's path for the  $(5 \times 7)$ -board that starts in the lower-left and ends in the upper-left.

```
29
17
     14
          25
               6
                    19
                         8
26
                    28
                              20
     35
          18
               15
                         5
          27
13
     16
               24
                    7
                         30
                              9
34
     23
          2
                    32
                         21
               11
                              4
               22
1
     12
          33
                    3
                         10
                              31
```

```
abbreviation kp5x7ul \equiv the \ (to\text{-}path \ [[17,14,25,6,19,8,29], \ [26,35,18,15,28,5,20], \ [13,16,27,24,7,30,9], \ [34,23,2,11,32,21,4], \ [1,12,33,22,3,10,31]])
lemma kp\text{-}5x7\text{-}ul: knights\text{-}path \ b5x7 \ kp5x7ul \ by \ (simp \ only: knights\text{-}path\text{-}exec\text{-}simp) \ eval \ }
```

A Knight's path for the  $(5 \times 9)$ -board that starts in the lower-left and ends in the upper-left.

```
7
     12
         37
               42
                   5
                         18
                             23
                                   32
                                       27
38
    45
         6
                   36
                        31
                             26
                                   19
                                       24
               11
13
    8
         43
              4
                   41
                        22
                             17
                                   28
                                       33
    39
         2
                             30
                                       20
44
               15
                   10
                        35
                                  25
1
     14
         9
               40
                   3
                         16
                             21
                                  34
                                       29
```

```
abbreviation kp5x9ul \equiv the \ (to\text{-}path \ [[7,12,37,42,5,18,23,32,27], \ [38,45,6,11,36,31,26,19,24], \ [13,8,43,4,41,22,17,28,33], \ [44,39,2,15,10,35,30,25,20], \ [1,14,9,40,3,16,21,34,29]]) lemma kp-5x9-ul: knights-path \ b5x9 \ kp5x9ul
```

**by** (simp only: knights-path bbx9 kpbx9ul by (simp only: knights-path-exec-simp) eval

#### abbreviation $b7x7 \equiv board 77$

A Knight's path for the  $(7 \times 7)$ -board that starts in the lower-left and ends in the upper-left.

```
9
     30
         19
              42
                    7
                         32
                             17
20
     49
         8
               31
                   18
                        43
                             6
29
     10
         41
               36
                   39
                         16
                             33
     21
              27
                    34
48
          38
                        5
                             44
11
     28
         35
              40
                   37
                        26
                             15
22
     47
          2
               13
                    24
                         45
                             4
1
     12
          23
              46
                   3
                         14
                             25
```

```
abbreviation kp7x7ul \equiv the (to-path
```

```
 \begin{aligned} & [[9,30,19,42,7,32,17],\\ & [20,49,8,31,18,43,6],\\ & [29,10,41,36,39,16,33],\\ & [48,21,38,27,34,5,44],\\ & [11,28,35,40,37,26,15],\\ & [22,47,2,13,24,45,4], \end{aligned}
```

```
[1,12,23,46,3,14,25]])
lemma kp-7x7-ul: knights-path b7x7 kp7x7ul
by (simp only: knights-path-exec-simp) eval
```

#### abbreviation $b7x9 \equiv board 79$

A Knight's path for the  $(7 \times 9)$ -board that starts in the lower-left and ends in the upper-left.

```
59
     4
               50
                    37
                          6
                               19
                                    30
                                         39
          17
16
     63
          58
               5
                    18
                          51
                               38
                                    7
                                         20
                               29
3
     60
          49
               36
                    57
                          42
                                    40
                                         31
          62
                    52
                          35
                                    21
                                         8
48
     15
               43
                               56
     2
               26
                          28
61
          13
                    45
                               41
                                    32
                                         55
14
     47
               11
                    24
                          53
                               34
                                    9
                                         22
          44
     12
          25
                               23
1
               46
                    27
                          10
                                    54
                                         33
```

```
abbreviation kp7x9ul \equiv the (to-path
```

```
 \begin{array}{l} [[59,4,17,50,37,6,19,30,39],\\ [16,63,58,5,18,51,38,7,20],\\ [3,60,49,36,57,42,29,40,31],\\ [48,15,62,43,52,35,56,21,8],\\ [61,2,13,26,45,28,41,32,55],\\ [14,47,44,11,24,53,34,9,22],\\ [1,12,25,46,27,10,23,54,33]]) \end{array}
```

lemma kp-7x9-ul: knights-path b7x9 kp7x9ul by (simp only: knights-path-exec-simp) eval

#### **abbreviation** $b9x7 \equiv board 9 7$

A Knight's path for the  $(9 \times 7)$ -board that starts in the lower-left and ends in the upper-left.

```
5
                    7
                         22
     20
          53
               48
                              31
52
     63
          6
               21
                    32
                         55
                              8
                              23
19
     4
          49
               54
                    47
                         30
62
     51
          46
               33
                    56
                         9
                              58
3
     18
          61
               50
                    59
                         24
                              29
14
     43
          34
               45
                    28
                         57
                              10
17
     2
          15
               60
                    35
                         38
                              25
42
     13
          44
               27
                    40
                         11
                              36
                         26
1
     16
          41
               12
                    37
                              39
```

```
abbreviation kp9x7ul \equiv the \ (to\text{-}path \ [[5,20,53,48,7,22,31], \ [52,63,6,21,32,55,8],
```

```
 [19,4,49,54,47,30,23], \\ [62,51,46,33,56,9,58], \\ [3,18,61,50,59,24,29], \\ [14,43,34,45,28,57,10], \\ [17,2,15,60,35,38,25], \\ [42,13,44,27,40,11,36], \\ [1,16,41,12,37,26,39]]) \\ \textbf{lemma} \ kp-9x7-ul: \ knights-path \ b9x7 \ kp9x7ul \\ \textbf{by} \ (simp \ only: \ knights-path-exec-simp) \ eval \\
```

#### abbreviation $b9x9 \equiv board 9 9$

A Knight's path for the  $(9 \times 9)$ -board that starts in the lower-left and ends in the upper-left.

```
26
                                       9
13
         39
              52
                   11
                        24
                             37
                                  50
              25
                                  23
40
    81
         12
                   38
                        51
                             10
                                       36
27
    14
         53
              58
                   63
                        68
                             73
                                  8
                                       49
80
    41
         64
              67
                   72
                        57
                             62
                                  35
                                       22
15
    28
         59
              54
                        74
                             69
                                  48
                                       7
                   65
    79
42
         66
              71
                   76
                        61
                             56
                                  21
                                       34
29
    16
         77
              60
                        70
                             75
                                       47
                   55
                                  6
78
    43
         2
              31
                   18
                        45
                             4
                                  33
                                       20
1
     30
         17
              44
                   3
                        32
                             19
                                  46
                                       5
```

```
abbreviation kp9x9ul \equiv the \ (to\text{-}path \ [[13,26,39,52,11,24,37,50,9], \ [40,81,12,25,38,51,10,23,36], \ [27,14,53,58,63,68,73,8,49], \ [80,41,64,67,72,57,62,35,22], \ [15,28,59,54,65,74,69,48,7], \ [42,79,66,71,76,61,56,21,34], \ [29,16,77,60,55,70,75,6,47], \ [78,43,2,31,18,45,4,33,20], \ [1,30,17,44,3,32,19,46,5]]) lemma kp-9x9-ul: knights-path b9x9 kp9x9ul by (simp\ only: knights-path-exec-simp)\ eval
```

The following lemma is a sub-proof used in Lemma 4 in [1]. I moved the sub-proof out to a separate lemma.

```
lemma knights-circuit-exists-even-n-gr10:

assumes even n \ge 10 \ m \ge 5

\exists ps. \ knights-path \ (board \ (n-5) \ m) \ ps \land hd \ ps = (int \ (n-5),1)

\land \ last \ ps = (int \ (n-5)-1,int \ m-1)

shows \exists \ ps. \ knights-circuit \ (board \ m \ n) \ ps

using assms

proof -
```

```
let ?b_2 = board (n-5) m
 assume n \ge 10
 then obtain ps_2 where ps_2-prems: knights-path ?b_2 ps_2 hd ps_2 = (int (n-5), 1)
     last ps_2 = (int (n-5)-1, int m-1)
   using assms by auto
 let ?ps_2-m2=mirror2 ps_2
 have ps_2-m2-prems: knights-path ?b_2 ?ps_2-m2 hd ?ps_2-m2 = (int (n-5), int m)
     last ?ps_2-m2 = (int (n-5)-1,2)
   using ps_2-prems mirror2-knights-path hd-mirror2 last-mirror2 by auto
 obtain ps_1 where ps_1-prems: knights-path (board 5 m) ps_1 hd ps_1 = (1,1)last
ps_1 = (2, int \ m-1)
   using assms knights-path-5xm-exists by auto
 let ?ps_1' = trans-path (int (n-5), 0) ps_1
 let ?b_1' = trans-board (int (n-5), 0) (board 5 m)
 have ps_1'-prems: knights-path ?b_1' ?ps_1' hd ?ps_1' = (int (n-5)+1,1)
     last ?ps_1' = (int (n-5)+2, int m-1)
  using ps_1-prems trans-knights-path knights-path-non-nil hd-trans-path last-trans-path
by auto
 let ?ps = ?ps_1 @ ?ps_2 - m2
 let ?psT = transpose ?ps
 have n-5 \ge 5 using \langle n \ge 10 \rangle by auto
 have inter: ?b_1' \cap ?b_2 = \{\}
   unfolding trans-board-def board-def using \langle n-5 \geq 5 \rangle by auto
 have union: ?b_1' \cup ?b_2 = board \ n \ m
   using \langle n-5 \geq 5 \rangle board-concatT[of n-5 \ m \ 5] by auto
 have vs: valid-step (last ?ps_1') (hd ?ps_2-m2) and valid-step (last ?ps_2-m2) (hd
?ps_1')
   unfolding valid-step-def using ps_1'-prems ps_2-m2-prems by auto
 then have vs-c: valid-step (last ?ps) (hd ?ps)
   using ps_1'-prems ps_2-m2-prems knights-path-non-nil by auto
 have knights-path (board n m) ?ps
  using ps_1'-prems ps_2-m2-prems inter vs union knights-path-append[of ?b_1' ?ps_1'
?b_2 ?ps_2 - m2
   by auto
 then have knights-circuit (board n m) ?ps
   unfolding knights-circuit-def using vs-c by auto
 then show ?thesis using transpose-knights-circuit by auto
qed
```

For every  $n \times m$ -board with  $min \ n \ m \ge 5$  and odd n there exists a Knight's path that starts in (n,1) (top-left) and ends in (n-1,m-1) (top-right).

This lemma formalizes Lemma 4 from [1]. Formalizing the proof of this lemma was quite challenging as a lot of details on how to exactly combine

```
the boards are left out in the original proof in [1].
\mathbf{lemma}\ knights-path-odd-n-exists:
    assumes odd n min n m \geq 5
    shows \exists ps. \ knights-path \ (board \ n \ m) \ ps \land hd \ ps = (int \ n,1) \land last \ ps = (int
n-1, int m-1)
   using assms
proof -
    obtain x where x = n + m by auto
    then show ?thesis
       using assms
    proof (induction x arbitrary: n m rule: less-induct)
       case (less x)
       then have m=5 \lor m=6 \lor m=7 \lor m=8 \lor m=9 \lor m \ge 10 by auto
       then show ?case
       proof (elim \ disjE)
           assume [simp]: m = 5
            have odd \ n \ n \geq 5  using less by auto
            then have n = 5 \lor n = 7 \lor n = 9 \lor n-5 \ge 5 by presburger
            then show ?thesis
            proof (elim disjE)
               assume [simp]: n = 5
               let ?ps=mirror1 (transpose kp5x5ul)
               have kp: knights-path (board n m) ?ps
                    using kp-5x5-ul rot90-knights-path by auto
               have hd ?ps = (int n,1) last ?ps = (int n-1,int m-1)
                    by (simp only: \langle m = 5 \rangle \langle n = 5 \rangle \mid eval) +
               then show ?thesis using kp by auto
            next
               assume [simp]: n = 7
               let ?ps=mirror1 (transpose kp5x7ul)
               have kp: knights-path (board n m) ?ps
                    using kp-5x7-ul rot90-knights-path by auto
               have hd ?ps = (int n,1) last ?ps = (int n-1,int m-1)
                    by (simp only: \langle m = 5 \rangle \langle n = 7 \rangle \mid eval) +
               then show ?thesis using kp by auto
            next
               assume [simp]: n = 9
               let ?ps=mirror1 (transpose kp5x9ul)
               have kp: knights-path (board n m) ?ps
                    using kp-5x9-ul rot90-knights-path by auto
               have hd ?ps = (int n,1) last ?ps = (int n-1,int m-1)
                    by (simp only: \langle m = 5 \rangle \langle n = 9 \rangle \mid eval) +
               then show ?thesis using kp by auto
            next
               let ?b_2 = board \ m \ (n-5)
               assume n-5 \geq 5
               then have \exists ps. knights-circuit ?b_2 ps
               proof -
```

have  $n-5 = 6 \lor n-5 = 8 \lor n-5 \ge 10$ 

```
using \langle n-5 \geq 5 \rangle less by presburger
        then show ?thesis
        proof (elim disjE)
          assume n-5=6
          then obtain ps where knights-circuit (board (n-5) m) ps
            using knights-path-6xm-exists[of m] by auto
          then show ?thesis
            using transpose-knights-circuit by auto
        next
          assume n-5=8
          then obtain ps where knights-circuit (board (n-5) m) ps
            using knights-path-8xm-exists[of m] by auto
          then show ?thesis
           using transpose-knights-circuit by auto
        next
          assume n-5 > 10
          then show ?thesis
            using less less. IH[of n-10+m n-10 m]
                 knights-circuit-exists-even-n-gr10[of n-5 m] by auto
        qed
       qed
       then obtain ps_2 where knights-circuit ps_2 ps_2 hd ps_2 = (1,1) last ps_2 = (1,1)
(3,2)
        using \langle n-5 \geq 5 \rangle rotate-knights-circuit[of m \ n-5] by auto
      then have rev-ps<sub>2</sub>-prems: knights-path?b<sub>2</sub> (rev ps<sub>2</sub>) valid-step (last ps<sub>2</sub>) (hd
ps_2
          hd (rev ps_2) = (3,2) last (rev ps_2) = (1,1)
       unfolding knights-circuit-def using knights-path-rev by (auto simp: hd-rev
last-rev)
      let ?ps_1=kp5x5ul
      have ps_1-prems: knights-path (board 5 5) ps_1 hd ps_1 = (1,1) last ps_1 = (1,1)
(4,2)
        using kp-5x5-ul by simp eval+
       have 16 < length ?ps_1 last (take 16 ?ps_1) = (4.5) hd (drop 16 ?ps_1) =
(2,4) by eval+
      then have si: step-in ?ps_1 (4,5) (2,4)
        unfolding step-in-def using zero-less-numeral by blast
      have vs: valid-step (4,5) (3,int 5+2) valid-step (1,int 5+1) (2,4)
        unfolding valid-step-def by auto
      obtain ps where knights-path (board m n) ps hd ps = (1,1) last ps = (4,2)
        using \langle n-5 \geq 5 \rangle ps<sub>1</sub>-prems rev-ps<sub>2</sub>-prems si vs
            knights-path-split-concat [of 5 5 ?ps<sub>1</sub> n-5 rev ps_2 (4,5) (2,4)] by auto
      then show ?thesis
         using rot90-knights-path hd-rot90-knights-path last-rot90-knights-path by
fast force
```

```
qed
   \mathbf{next}
     assume [simp]: m = 6
     then obtain ps where
       ps-prems: knights-path (board m n) ps hd ps = (1,1) last ps = (int m-1,2)
       using less knights-path-6xm-exists[of n] by auto
     let ?ps'=mirror1 (transpose ps)
    have knights-path (board n m) ?ps' hd ?ps' = (int n, 1) last ?ps' = (int n - 1, int
m-1
     \textbf{using} \ \textit{ps-prems} \ \textit{rot90-knights-path} \ \textit{hd-rot90-knights-path} \ \textit{last-rot90-knights-path}
by auto
     then show ?thesis by auto
   next
     assume [simp]: m = 7
     have odd n n \ge 5 using less by auto
     then have n = 5 \lor n = 7 \lor n = 9 \lor n-5 \ge 5 by presburger
     then show ?thesis
     proof (elim disjE)
       assume [simp]: n = 5
       let ?ps=mirror1 \ kp5x7lr
       have kp: knights-path (board n m) ?ps
        using kp-5x7-lr mirror1-knights-path by auto
       have hd ?ps = (int n, 1) last ?ps = (int n-1, int m-1)
        by (simp only: \langle m = 7 \rangle \langle n = 5 \rangle \mid eval) +
       then show ?thesis using kp by auto
     next
       assume [simp]: n = 7
      let ?ps=mirror1 (transpose kp7x7ul)
       have kp: knights-path (board n m) ?ps
        using kp-7x7-ul rot90-knights-path by auto
       have hd ?ps = (int n, 1) last ?ps = (int n-1, int m-1)
        by (simp only: \langle m = 7 \rangle \langle n = 7 \rangle \mid eval) +
       then show ?thesis using kp by auto
     next
       assume [simp]: n = 9
      let ?ps=mirror1 (transpose kp7x9ul)
      have kp: knights-path (board n m) ?ps
        using kp-7x9-ul rot90-knights-path by auto
       have hd ?ps = (int n, 1) last ?ps = (int n-1, int m-1)
        by (simp only: \langle m = 7 \rangle \langle n = 9 \rangle \mid eval) +
       then show ?thesis using kp by auto
     next
       let ?b_2 = board \ m \ (n-5)
       let ?b_2T = board (n-5) m
       assume n-5 \ge 5
       then have \exists ps. knights-circuit ?b_2 ps
       proof -
        have n-5 = 6 \lor n-5 = 8 \lor n-5 > 10
          using \langle n-5 \geq 5 \rangle less by presburger
```

```
then show ?thesis
        proof (elim disjE)
         assume n-5=6
          then obtain ps where knights-circuit (board (n-5) m) ps
           using knights-path-6xm-exists[of m] by auto
          then show ?thesis
           using transpose-knights-circuit by auto
        next
          assume n-5=8
          then obtain ps where knights-circuit (board (n-5) m) ps
           using knights-path-8xm-exists[of m] by auto
         then show ?thesis
           using transpose-knights-circuit by auto
        next
          assume n-5 > 10
          then show ?thesis
           using less less. IH[of n-10+m n-10 m]
                knights-circuit-exists-even-n-gr10[of\ n-5\ m] by auto
        qed
      qed
      then obtain ps_2 where ps_2-prems: knights-circuit ?b_2 ps_2 hd ps_2 = (1,1)
          last ps_2 = (3,2)
        using \langle n-5 \geq 5 \rangle rotate-knights-circuit[of m \ n-5] by auto
      let ?ps_2T = transpose ps_2
      have ps_2T-prems: knights-path ?b_2T ?ps_2T hd ?ps_2T = (1,1) last ?ps_2T = (1,1)
(2,3)
        using ps_2-prems transpose-knights-path knights-path-non-nil hd-transpose
last-transpose
        unfolding knights-circuit-def transpose-square-def by auto
      let ?ps_1 = kp5x7lr
      have ps_1-prems: knights-path b5x7 ?ps_1 hd ?ps_1 = (1,1) last ?ps_1 = (2,6)
        using kp-5x7-lr by simp\ eval+
       have 29 < length ?ps_1 last (take <math>29 ?ps_1) = (4,2) hd (drop 29 ?ps_1) =
(5,4) by eval+
      then have si: step-in ?ps_1 (4,2) (5,4)
        unfolding step-in-def using zero-less-numeral by blast
      have vs: valid-step (4,2) (int 5+1,1) valid-step (int 5+2,3) (5,4)
        unfolding valid-step-def by auto
     obtain ps where knights-path (board n m) ps hd ps = (1,1) last ps = (2,6)
        using \langle n-5 \geq 5 \rangle ps<sub>1</sub>-prems ps<sub>2</sub> T-prems si vs
          knights-path-split-concatT[of 5 m ?ps1 n-5 ?ps2 T (4,2) (5,4)] by auto
      then show ?thesis
        using mirror1-knights-path hd-mirror1 last-mirror1 by fastforce
     qed
   next
```

```
assume [simp]: m = 8
    then obtain ps where ps-prems: knights-path (board m n) ps hd ps = (1,1)
        last ps = (int m-1,2)
      using less knights-path-8xm-exists[of n] by auto
    let ?ps'=mirror1 (transpose ps)
    have knights-path (board n m) ps' hd ps' = (int n, 1) last ps' = (int n - 1, int n + 1)
m-1
    using ps-prems rot90-knights-path hd-rot90-knights-path last-rot90-knights-path
by auto
     then show ?thesis by auto
   next
     assume [simp]: m = 9
     have odd n n \ge 5 using less by auto
     then have n = 5 \lor n = 7 \lor n = 9 \lor n-5 \ge 5 by presburger
     then show ?thesis
     proof (elim disjE)
      assume [simp]: n = 5
      let ?ps=mirror1 kp5x9lr
      have kp: knights-path (board n m) ?ps
        using kp-5x9-lr mirror1-knights-path by auto
      have hd ?ps = (int n, 1) last ?ps = (int n-1, int m-1)
        by (simp only: \langle m = 9 \rangle \langle n = 5 \rangle \mid eval) +
      then show ?thesis using kp by auto
     next
      assume [simp]: n = 7
      let ?ps=mirror1 (transpose kp9x7ul)
      have kp: knights-path (board n m) ?ps
        using kp-9x7-ul rot90-knights-path by auto
      have hd ?ps = (int n,1) last ?ps = (int n-1,int m-1)
        by (simp only: \langle m = 9 \rangle \langle n = 7 \rangle \mid eval) +
      then show ?thesis using kp by auto
     next
      assume [simp]: n = 9
      let ?ps=mirror1 (transpose kp9x9ul)
      have kp: knights-path (board n m) ?ps
        using kp-9x9-ul rot90-knights-path by auto
      have hd ?ps = (int n,1) last ?ps = (int n-1,int m-1)
        by (simp only: \langle m = 9 \rangle \langle n = 9 \rangle \mid eval) +
      then show ?thesis using kp by auto
     next
      let ?b_2 = board \ m \ (n-5)
      let ?b_2T = board (n-5) m
      assume n-5 \geq 5
      then have \exists ps. knights-circuit ?b_2 ps
      proof -
        have n-5 = 6 \lor n-5 = 8 \lor n-5 \ge 10
          using \langle n-5 \geq 5 \rangle less by presburger
        then show ?thesis
        proof (elim disjE)
```

```
assume n-5=6
          then obtain ps where knights-circuit (board (n-5) m) ps
            using knights-path-6xm-exists[of m] by auto
          then show ?thesis
            using transpose-knights-circuit by auto
        \mathbf{next}
          assume n-5=8
          then obtain ps where knights-circuit (board (n-5) m) ps
            using knights-path-8xm-exists[of m] by auto
          then show ?thesis
           using transpose-knights-circuit by auto
        next
          assume n-5 \ge 10
          then show ?thesis
            using less less. IH[of n-10+m n-10 m]
                 knights-circuit-exists-even-n-gr10[of n-5 m] by auto
        qed
       qed
      then obtain ps_2 where ps_2-prems: knights-circuit ?b_2 ps_2 hd ps_2 = (1,1)
          last ps_2 = (3,2)
        using \langle n-5 \geq 5 \rangle rotate-knights-circuit[of m \ n-5] by auto
      let ?ps_2T = transpose (rev ps_2)
      have ps_2T-prems: knights-path ?b_2T ?ps_2T hd ?ps_2T = (2,3) last ?ps_2T =
(1,1)
      using ps_2-prems knights-path-rev transpose-knights-path knights-path-non-nil
             hd-transpose last-transpose
         unfolding knights-circuit-def transpose-square-def by (auto simp: hd-rev
last-rev)
      let ?ps_1 = kp5x9lr
      have ps_1-prems: knights-path b5x9 ?ps_1 hd ?ps_1 = (1,1) last ?ps_1 = (2,8)
        using kp-5x9-lr by simp eval+
       have 16 < length ?ps_1 last (take <math>16 ?ps_1) = (5,4) hd (drop 16 ?ps_1) =
(4,2) by eval+
      then have si: step-in ?ps_1 (5,4) (4,2)
        unfolding step-in-def using zero-less-numeral by blast
      have vs. valid-step (5,4) (int 5+2,3) valid-step (int 5+1,1) (4,2)
        unfolding valid-step-def by auto
      obtain ps where knights-path (board n m) ps hd ps = (1,1) last ps = (2,8)
        using \langle n-5 \geq 5 \rangle ps<sub>1</sub>-prems ps<sub>2</sub> T-prems si vs
           \textit{knights-path-split-concat} T[\textit{of 5 m ?ps}_1 \ n-5 \ ?ps_2 T \ (\textit{5,4}) \ (\textit{4,2})] \ \textbf{by} \ \textit{auto}
      then show ?thesis
        using mirror1-knights-path hd-mirror1 last-mirror1 by fastforce
     qed
   next
```

```
let ?b_1 = board \ n \ 5
     let ?b_2 = board \ n \ (m-5)
     assume m \ge 10
     then have n+5 < x \le min \ n \le n + (m-5) < x \le min \ n \ (m-5)
       using less by auto
     then obtain ps_1 ps_2 where kp-prems:
        knights-path ?b_1 ps_1 hd ps_1 = (int n, 1) last ps_1 = (int n - 1, 4)
       knights-path (board n (m-5)) ps_2 hd ps_2 = (int n, 1) last ps_2 = (int n-1, int
(m-5)-1)
      using less.prems less.IH[of n+5 n 5] less.IH[of n+(m-5) n m-5] by auto
     let ?ps=ps_1@trans-path\ (0,int\ 5)\ ps_2
     have valid-step (last ps_1) (int n,int 5+1)
       unfolding valid-step-def using kp-prems by auto
     then have knights-path (board n m) ps hd ps = (int n, 1) last ps = (int n, 1)
n-1, int \ m-1)
       using \langle m > 10 \rangle kp-prems knights-path-concat [of n 5 ps<sub>1</sub> m-5 ps<sub>2</sub>]
            knights-path-non-nil trans-path-non-nil last-trans-path by auto
     then show ?thesis by auto
   qed
 qed
\mathbf{qed}
Auxiliary lemma that constructs a Knight's circuit if m \geq 5 and n \geq 10 \land
even n.
lemma knights-circuit-exists-n-even-gr-10:
 assumes n \geq 10 \land even \ n \ m \geq 5
 shows \exists ps. knights-circuit (board n m) ps
  using assms
proof -
  obtain ps_1 where ps_1-prems: knights-path (board 5 m) ps_1 hd ps_1 = (1,1)
     last ps_1 = (2, int m-1)
   using assms knights-path-5xm-exists by auto
 let ?ps_1'=trans-path (int (n-5), 0) ps_1
 let ?b5xm' = trans-board (int (n-5), 0) (board 5 m)
 have ps_1'-prems: knights-path ?b5xm' ?ps_1' hd ?ps_1' = (int (n-5)+1,1)
     last ?ps_1' = (int (n-5)+2, int m-1)
  \mathbf{using}\ ps_1\text{-}prems\ trans\text{-}knights\text{-}path\ knights\text{-}path\text{-}non\text{-}nil\ hd\text{-}trans\text{-}path\ last\text{-}trans\text{-}path\ }
by auto
 assume n \ge 10 \land even n
 then have odd\ (n-5)\ min\ (n-5)\ m\geq 5 using assms\ by\ auto
 then obtain ps_2 where ps_2-prems: knights-path (board (n-5) m) ps_2 hd ps_2 =
(int (n-5),1)
     last ps_2 = (int (n-5)-1, int m-1)
   using knights-path-odd-n-exists [of n-5 m] by auto
 let ?ps_2' = mirror2 ps_2
 have ps_2'-prems: knights-path (board (n-5) m) ps_2' hd ps_2' = (int (n-5), int)
m)
     last ?ps_2' = (int (n-5)-1,2)
```

```
using ps_2-prems mirror2-knights-path hd-mirror2 last-mirror2 by auto
 have inter: ?b5xm' \cap board(n-5) m = \{\}
   unfolding trans-board-def board-def by auto
 have union: board n m = ?b5xm' \cup board (n-5) m
   using \langle n \geq 10 \land even \ n \rangle \ board\text{-}concatT[of \ n\text{--}5 \ m \ 5] \ by \ auto
 have vs: valid-step (last ?ps_1) (hd ?ps_2) valid-step (last ?ps_2) (hd ?ps_1)
   using ps_1'-prems ps_2'-prems unfolding valid-step-def by auto
 let ?ps = ?ps_1' @ ?ps_2'
 have last ?ps = last ?ps_2' hd ?ps = hd ?ps_1'
   using ps<sub>1</sub>'-prems ps<sub>2</sub>'-prems knights-path-non-nil by auto
 then have vs-c: valid-step (last ?ps) (hd ?ps)
   using vs by auto
 have knights-path (board n m) ?ps
   using ps_1'-prems ps_2'-prems inter union vs knights-path-append by auto
 then show ?thesis
   using vs-c unfolding knights-circuit-def by blast
qed
Final Theorem 1: For every n \times m-board with min n \ m \geq 5 and n * m even
there exists a Knight's circuit.
theorem knights-circuit-exists:
 assumes min \ n \ m \geq 5 \ even \ (n*m)
 shows \exists ps. knights-circuit (board n m) ps
 using assms
proof -
 have n = 6 \lor m = 6 \lor n = 8 \lor m = 8 \lor (n \ge 10 \land even n) \lor (m \ge 10 \land n)
even m)
   using assms by auto
 then show ?thesis
 proof (elim \ disjE)
   assume n = 6
   then show ?thesis
     using assms knights-path-6xm-exists by auto
   assume m = 6
   then obtain ps where knights-circuit (board m n) ps
     using assms knights-path-6xm-exists by auto
   then show ?thesis
     using transpose-knights-circuit by auto
 next
   assume n = 8
   then show ?thesis
     using assms knights-path-8xm-exists by auto
 next
```

```
assume m = 8
   then obtain ps where knights-circuit (board m n) ps
    using assms knights-path-8xm-exists by auto
   then show ?thesis
    using transpose-knights-circuit by auto
 next
   assume n \ge 10 \land even n
   then show ?thesis
    using assms knights-circuit-exists-n-even-gr-10 by auto
 next
   assume m \geq 10 \land even m
   then obtain ps where knights-circuit (board m n) ps
    using assms knights-circuit-exists-n-even-gr-10 by auto
   then show ?thesis
    using transpose-knights-circuit by auto
 qed
qed
Final Theorem 2: for every n \times m-board with min \ n \ m \geq 5 there exists a
Knight's path.
theorem knights-path-exists:
 assumes min \ n \ m \geq 5
 shows \exists ps. knights-path (board n m) ps
 using assms
proof -
 have odd n \vee odd m \vee even (n*m) by simp
 then show ?thesis
 proof (elim \ disjE)
   assume odd n
   then show ?thesis
    using assms knights-path-odd-n-exists by auto
 next
   assume odd m
   then obtain ps where knights-path (board m n) ps
    using assms knights-path-odd-n-exists by auto
   then show ?thesis
    using transpose-knights-path by auto
 \mathbf{next}
   assume even (n*m)
   then show ?thesis
    using assms knights-circuit-exists by (auto simp: knights-circuit-def)
 qed
qed
THE END
end
```

## References

[1] P. Cull and J. D. Curtins. Knight's tour revisited. Fibonacci Quarterly,  $16{:}276{-}285,\,1978.$