

ROW SUMS CONJECTURE IN ITERATED RASCAL TRIANGLES

PETRO KOLOSOV

ABSTRACT. In [1], Gregory et al. provide the following conjecture for row sums of iterated rascal triangles. For every i

$$\sum_{k=0}^{4i+3} \binom{4i+3}{k}_i = 2^{4i+2}$$

where $\binom{n}{k}_i$ is an iterated rascal number.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
References	4

1. INTRODUCTION

In [1], Gregory et al. provide the following conjecture for row sums of iterated rascal triangles.

Conjecture 1.1. *For every i*

$$\sum_{k=0}^{4i+3} \binom{4i+3}{k}_i = 2^{4i+2}$$

where $\binom{n}{k}_i$ is an iterated rascal number. Define the iterated rascal number

Date: July 3, 2024.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 11B25, 11B99.

Key words and phrases. Pascal's triangle, Rascal triangle, Binomial coefficients, Binomial identities, Binomial theorem, Generalized Rascal triangles, Iterated rascal triangles, Iterated rascal numbers, Number triangle, Arithmetic sequence, Vandermonde identity, Vandermonde convolution .

Sources: <https://github.com/kolosovpetro/RowSumsConjectureInRascalTriangle>

Definition 1.2. *Iterated rascal number*

$$\binom{n}{k}_i = \sum_{m=0}^i \binom{n-k}{m} \binom{k}{m}$$

Note that iterated rascal numbers are closely related to Vandermonde convolution $\binom{a+b}{r} = \sum_{m=0}^r \binom{a}{m} \binom{b}{r-m}$

$$\binom{n}{k}_i = \sum_{m=0}^i \binom{n-k}{m} \binom{k}{k-m}$$

While

$$\binom{n}{k} = \sum_{m=0}^k \binom{n-k}{m} \binom{k}{k-m}$$

It is straightforward to see that

$$\binom{n}{k} - \binom{n}{k}_i = \sum_{m=i+1}^k \binom{n-k}{m} \binom{k}{k-m}$$

In particular, above sum is zero for $k \leq i$, that means

$$\binom{n}{k} = \binom{n}{k}_i, \quad 0 \leq k \leq i$$

To prove the conjecture (1.1) we utilize above relations in terms of binomial coefficients and iterated rascal numbers. Recall the row sums property of binomial coefficients

$$\sum_{k=0}^{4i+3} \binom{4i+3}{k} = 2^{4i+3}$$

If conjecture (1.1) is true, then it is also true that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{4i+3} \binom{4i+3}{k} - \sum_{k=0}^{4i+3} \binom{4i+3}{k}_i = 2^{4i+2}$$

because $2^{4i+3} - 2^{4i+2} = 2^{4i+2}$. Expanding both sums we get

$$\begin{aligned} 2^{4i+2} &= \sum_{k=0}^{4i+3} \sum_{m=0}^k \binom{4i+3-k}{m} \binom{k}{k-m} - \sum_{k=0}^{4i+3} \sum_{m=0}^i \binom{4i+3-k}{m} \binom{k}{k-m} \\ 2^{4i+2} &= \sum_{k=0}^{4i+3} \sum_{m=0}^k \binom{4i+3-k}{m} \binom{k}{k-m} - \sum_{m=0}^i \binom{4i+3-k}{m} \binom{k}{k-m} \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\binom{n}{k} \geq \binom{n}{k}_i$ for each n, k, i . Now we have three possible relation between i, k : $k < i$, $k = i$, $k > i$.

If $k < i$ then inner sums turn into

$$\sum_{m=0}^k \binom{4i+3-k}{m} \binom{k}{k-m} - \sum_{m=0}^i \binom{4i+3-k}{m} \binom{k}{k-m} = 0$$

Because $\binom{k}{k-m}$ in the sum over i is zero for all $m > k$.

If $k = i$ obviously

$$\sum_{m=0}^k \binom{4i+3-k}{m} \binom{k}{k-m} - \sum_{m=0}^i \binom{4i+3-k}{m} \binom{k}{k-m} = 0$$

If $k > i$ then

$$\sum_{m=0}^k \binom{4i+3-k}{m} \binom{k}{k-m} - \sum_{m=0}^i \binom{4i+3-k}{m} \binom{k}{k-m} = \sum_{m=i+1}^k \binom{4i+3-k}{m} \binom{k}{k-m}$$

Thus, we have to prove that

$$2^{4i+2} = \sum_k \sum_{m=i+1}^k \binom{4i+3-k}{m} \binom{k}{k-m}$$

Let m to iterate from 0

$$2^{4i+2} = \sum_k \sum_{m=0}^k \binom{4i+3-k}{i+1+m} \binom{k}{i+1+m}$$

Although, above equation almost exactly matches Vandermonde identity, it cannot be applied directly. Even it were applied, the result would disprove the main conjecture giving 2^{4i+3} as row sums. My validations show that indeed conjecture true for $i \leq 100$. Therefore, propose the following conjecture

Conjecture 1.3. *For every i*

$$2^{4i+2} = \sum_k \sum_{m=0}^k \binom{4i+3-k}{i+m} \binom{k}{i+m}$$

Above conjecture validated up to $i = 100$.

REFERENCES

- [1] Gregory, Jena and Kronholm, Brandt and White, Jacob. Iterated rascal triangles. *Aequationes mathematicae*, pages 1–18, 2023. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00010-023-00987-6>.

Version: 1.0.1-tags-v1-0-0.5+tags/v1.0.0.0f616c9

SOFTWARE DEVELOPER, DEVOPS ENGINEER

Email address: kolosovp94@gmail.com

URL: <https://kolosovpetro.github.io>