

MeEn 537 Homework #4

1. Using the code provided called “robot_p3_8.m”, and either using your own workspace estimation code from HW 2, problem 1h), or my solution code on Learning Suite “fk_workspace_generate_p3_8.m”, modify the code if needed and do the following:
 - (a) Now either randomly or expressly pick 10 arm configurations (knowing your approximate work space may help here) and their corresponding position only and do the following for both a starting joint configuration of $q_1 = [0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0]$ and then again for $q_2 = [\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}]$:
 - i. Use Method 2 (damped pseudo-inverse) to find an inverse kinematics solution for your 10 goal positions starting from q_1 and q_2
 - ii. Use Method 3 (Jacobian transpose) to find an inverse kinematics solution for your 10 goal positions starting from q_1 and q_2
 - iii. Compare the solutions between your IK algorithms and the configuration that gave you the original position. How are they different? Are there specific positions that one or both algorithms failed to find from the given starting configurations? What could you do about this? Turn in at least one plot that shows the joint configurations for all three (the original position joint angles and the two IK method solutions). Also turn in your code.

The reason for picking 10 poses you know you can get to, is because otherwise, you may pick a pose outside of your reachable workspace. Also, please keep this code and/or data available as you will use it again in future homework assignment.