COSE212: Programming Languages

Lecture 2 — Inductive Definitions (2)

Hakjoo Oh 2025 Fall

Contents

- More examples of inductive definitions
 - natural numbers, strings, booleans
 - lists, binary trees
 - arithmetic expressions, propositional logic
- Structural induction
 - three example proofs

Natural Numbers

The set of natural numbers:

$$\mathbb{N}=\{0,1,2,3,\ldots\}$$

is inductively defined:

$$\overline{0}$$
 $\frac{n}{n+1}$

The inference rules can be expressed by a grammar:

$$n o 0 \mid n+1$$

Interpretation:

- 0 is a natural number.
- If n is a natural number then so is n+1.

Strings

The set of strings over alphabet $\{a, \ldots, z\}$, e.g., ϵ , a, b, ..., z, aa, ab, ..., az, ba, ... az, aaa, ..., zzz, and so on. Inference rules:

$$\overline{\epsilon}$$
 $\frac{\alpha}{a\alpha}$ $\frac{\alpha}{b\alpha}$ \cdots $\frac{\alpha}{z\alpha}$

or simply,

$$\overline{\epsilon} \qquad rac{lpha}{xlpha} \ x \in \{ exttt{a}, \dots, exttt{z}\}$$

$$egin{array}{lll} lpha &
ightarrow & \epsilon \ & | & xlpha & (x \in \{ ext{a}, \dots, ext{z}\}) \end{array}$$

Boolean Values

The set of boolean values:

$$\mathbb{B} = \{true, false\}.$$

If a set is finite, just enumerate all of its elements by axioms:

$$\overline{true}$$
 \overline{false}

$$b o true \mid false$$

Lists

Examples of lists of integers:

- nil
- 2 14 · nil
- $3 \cdot 14 \cdot \mathsf{nil}$
- $0 7 \cdot 3 \cdot 14 \cdot \text{nil}$

Inference rules:

$$rac{l}{\mathsf{nil}} \quad rac{l}{n \cdot l} \; n \in \mathbb{Z}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} l & \to & \mathsf{nil} \\ & | & n \cdot l & (n \in \mathbb{Z}) \end{array}$$

Lists

A proof that $-7 \cdot 3 \cdot 14 \cdot \text{nil}$ is a list of integers:

$$\begin{array}{c} \frac{\overline{\mathsf{nil}}}{14 \cdot \mathsf{nil}} \ 14 \in \mathbb{Z} \\ \frac{3 \cdot 14 \cdot \mathsf{nil}}{-7 \cdot 3 \cdot 14 \cdot \mathsf{nil}} \ -7 \in \mathbb{Z} \end{array}$$

The proof tree is also called *derivation tree* or *deduction tree*.

Binary Trees

Examples of binary trees:

- leaf
- **2** (2, leaf, leaf)
- **3** (1, (2, leaf, leaf), leaf)
- (1,(2,leaf,leaf),(3,(4,leaf,leaf),leaf))

Inference rules:

$$rac{t_1 \quad t_2}{(n,t_1,t_2)} \; n \in \mathbb{Z}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} t & \rightarrow & \mathsf{leaf} \\ & | & (n,t,t) & (n \in \mathbb{Z}) \end{array}$$

Binary Trees

A proof that

$$(1,(2,\mathsf{leaf},\mathsf{leaf}),(3,(4,\mathsf{leaf},\mathsf{leaf}),\mathsf{leaf}))$$

is a binary tree:

$$\frac{\frac{\overline{\text{leaf}}}{(2,\text{leaf},\text{leaf})}}{\frac{(2,\text{leaf},\text{leaf})}{(1,(2,\text{leaf}),\text{leaf})}} \overset{2}{=} \mathbb{Z} \quad \frac{\overline{\text{leaf}}}{(4,\text{leaf},\text{leaf})} \overset{4}{=} \mathbb{Z} \\ \frac{(3,(4,\text{leaf},\text{leaf}),\text{leaf})}{(1,(2,\text{leaf},\text{leaf}),(3,(4,\text{leaf},\text{leaf}),\text{leaf}))} \overset{3}{=} \mathbb{Z}$$

Binary Trees: a different version

Binary tree examples: 1, (1, nil), (1, 2), ((1, 2), nil), ((1, 2), (3, 4)). Inference rules:

$$\overline{n} \ n \in \mathbb{Z} \qquad rac{t}{(t,\mathsf{nil})} \qquad rac{t}{(\mathsf{nil},t)} \qquad rac{t_1}{(t_1,t_2)}$$

In grammar:

$$egin{array}{lll} t &
ightarrow & n & (n \in \mathbb{Z}) \ & | & (t, \mathsf{nil}) \ & | & (\mathsf{nil}, t) \ & | & (t, t) \end{array}$$

A proof that ((1, 2), (3, nil)) is a binary tree:

$$rac{\overline{1} \quad \overline{2}}{(1,2)} \quad rac{\overline{3}}{(3,\mathsf{nil})} \ rac{(1,2),(3,\mathsf{nil}))}$$

Expressions

Expression examples: 2, -2, 1+2, 1+(2*(-3)), etc. Inference rules:

$$\overline{n} \ n \in \mathbb{Z} \qquad \frac{e}{-e} \qquad \frac{e_1 \quad e_2}{e_1 + e_2} \qquad \frac{e_1 \quad e_2}{e_1 * e_2} \qquad \frac{e}{(e)}$$

In grammar:

$$egin{array}{cccc} e &
ightarrow & n & (n \in \mathbb{Z}) \\ & | & -e \\ & | & e+e \\ & | & e*e \\ & | & (e) \end{array}$$

Example:

$$rac{rac{\overline{3}}{-3}}{2*(-3)} \ rac{\overline{2} \cdot (-3)}{(2*(-3))} \ 1+(2*(-3))$$

Propositional Logic

Examples:

- T, F
- \bullet $T \wedge F$
- \bullet $T \vee F$
- $(T \wedge F) \wedge (T \vee F)$
- $T \Rightarrow (F \Rightarrow T)$

Propositional Logic

Syntax:

$$\begin{array}{cccc} f & \rightarrow & T \mid F \\ & \mid & \neg f \\ & \mid & f \land f \\ & \mid & f \lor f \\ & \mid & f \Rightarrow f \end{array}$$

Semantics ($\llbracket f \rrbracket$):

Propositional Logic

Structural Induction

A technique for proving properties about inductively defined sets.

To prove that a proposition P(s) is true for all structures s, prove the following:

- (Base case) P is true on simple structures (those without substructures)
- (Inductive case) If P is true on the substructures of s, then it is true on s itself. The assumption is called induction hypothesis (I.H.).

Let S be the set defined by the following inference rules:

$$\overline{3}$$
 $\frac{x}{x+y}$

Prove that for all $x \in S$, x is divisible by 3. **Proof.** By structural induction.

- ullet (Base case) The base case is when x is ${f 3}$. Obviously, x is divisible by ${f 3}$.
- (Inductive case) The induction hypothesis (I.H.) is

$$oldsymbol{x}$$
 is divisible by $oldsymbol{3}$, $oldsymbol{y}$ is divisible by $oldsymbol{3}$.

Let $x=3k_1$ and $y=3k_2$. Using I.H., we derive

$$x+y$$
 is divisible by 3

as follows:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} x+y & = & 3k_1+3k_2 & \cdots$$
 by I.H. $& = & 3(k_1+k_2) \end{array}$



Let S be the set defined by the following inference rules:

$$\frac{x}{()}$$
 $\frac{x}{(x)}$ $\frac{x}{xy}$

Prove that every element of the set has the same number of ('s and)'s. **Proof** Restate the claim formally:

If
$$x \in S$$
 then $l(x) = r(x)$

where l(x) and r(x) denote the number of ('s and)'s, respectively:

$$egin{array}{lll} l(()) &=& 1 & & r(()) &=& 1 \\ l((x)) &=& l(x)+1 & & r((x)) &=& r(x)+1 \\ l(xy) &=& l(x)+l(y) & & r(xy) &=& r(x)+r(y) \end{array}$$

We prove it by structural induction:

ullet (Base case): The base case is when x= (). Then l(x)=1=r(x).

• (Inductive case): There are two inductive cases:

$$\frac{x}{(x)}$$
 $\frac{x}{xy}$

Induction hypotheses (I.H.):

$$l(x) = r(x), \qquad l(y) = r(y).$$

▶ The first case. We prove l((x)) = r((x)):

$$l((x)) = l(x) + 1 \cdots$$
 by definition of $l((x))$
= $r(x) + 1 \cdots$ by I.H.
= $r((x)) \cdots$ by definition of $r((x))$

▶ The second case. We prove l(xy) = r(xy):

Let T be the set of binary trees:

$$rac{t_1 \quad t_2}{(n,t_1,t_2)} \ n \in \mathbb{Z}$$

Prove that for all such trees, the number of leaves is always one more than the number of internal nodes.

Proof. Restate the claim more formally:

If
$$t \in T$$
 then $l(t) = i(t) + 1$

where l(t) and i(t) denote the number of leaves and internal nodes, respectively:

$$\begin{array}{lcl} l(\mathsf{leaf}) & = & 1 & i(\mathsf{leaf}) & = & 0 \\ l(n,t_1,t_2) & = & l(t_1) + l(t_2) & i(n,t_1,t_2) & = & i(t_1) + i(t_2) + 1 \end{array}$$

We prove it by structural induction:

- (Base case): The base case is when t = leaf, where l(t) = 1 and i(t) = 0.
- (Inductive case): The induction hypothesis:

$$l(t_1) = i(t_1) + 1, \qquad l(t_2) = i(t_2) + 1$$

Using I.H., we prove $l((n,t_1,t_2)) = i((n,t_1,t_2)) + 1$:

$$egin{array}{lll} l((n,t_1,t_2))&=&l(t_1)+l(t_2)&& ext{definition of of }l\ &=&i(t_1)+1+i(t_2)+1& ext{by induction hypothesis}\ &=&i(n,t_1,t_2)+1& ext{definition of }i \end{array}$$

Summary

- Computer science is full of inductive definitions.
 - primitive values: booleans, characters, integers, strings, etc
 - compound values: lists, trees, graphs, etc
 - language syntax and semantics
- Structural induction
 - ▶ a general technique for reasoning about inductively defined sets