# Lecture Notes

Kyle Chui

2022-01-04

## Contents

1	Lecture 1	1
	1.1 Properties of Probability	1
2	Lecture 22.1Inclusion-Exclusion Principle2.2Mutual Independence	3
3	Lecture 3	5
4	Lecture 44.1 Distinguishable Permutations4.2 The Binomial Theorem	77
5	Lecture 5         5.1 Conditional Probability	Ć
	Lecture 6 6.1 Bayes' Theorem	11 11

### 1 Lecture 1

The goal of this class is to quantify randomness. The main topics for the term are:

- 1. The fundamentals of probability theory, including conditional probability and enumeration arguments.
- 2. Discrete and continuous random variables.
- 3. Sequences of i.i.d. random variables, including the Weak Law of Large Numbers and the Central Limit Theorem.

#### 1.1 Properties of Probability

Probability theory takes place inside a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ .

**Definition.** Probability Space

A probability space is a triplet  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  satisfying:

- 1. A non-empty set  $\Omega$ , called the *sample space*.
- 2. A set  $\mathcal{F}$  of subsets of  $\Omega$  satisfying certain properties:
  - Elements of  $\mathcal{F}$  are called *events*.
  - Events  $A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_k$  are called mutually exclusive if they are pairwise disjoint, i.e. if  $i \neq j$  then  $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$ .
  - Events  $A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_k$  are called *exhaustive* if their union is the sample space, i.e.

$$\bigcup_{j=1}^{k} A_j = \Omega.$$

- For this class you may ignore  $\mathcal{F}$  and assume that all subsets of  $\Omega$  are events.
- 3. A function  $\mathbb{P} \colon \mathcal{F} \to [0,1]$ , called a *probability measure*, which satisfies:
  - $\mathbb{P}[\Omega] = 1$ , or "the probability that something happens is 1".
  - If  $A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_n$  are mutually exclusive events, then

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\bigcup_{j=1}^{n} A_j\right] = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathbb{P}[A_j].$$

• If  $A_1, A_2, \ldots$  are mutually exclusive events, then

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} A_j\right] = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}[A_j].$$

**Example.** Suppose I flip two fair coins. Then the sample space can be written as  $\Omega = \{HH, HT, TH, TT\}$ . The probability measure should be defined as

$$P[HH] = \frac{1}{4}$$

$$P[HT] = \frac{1}{4}$$

$$P[TH] = \frac{1}{4}$$

$$P[TT] = \frac{1}{4}$$

The probability of getting exactly one head is hence  $\mathbb{P}[\{HT, TH\}] = \mathbb{P}[HT] + \mathbb{P}[TH] = \frac{1}{2}$ .

Theorem.  $\mathbb{P}[\varnothing] = 0$ .

*Proof.* We know that  $\Omega$  and  $\varnothing$  are mutually exclusive, since,  $\Omega \cap \varnothing = \varnothing$ . Thus

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{P}[\Omega] &= \mathbb{P}[\Omega \cup \varnothing] \\ &= \mathbb{P}[\Omega] + \mathbb{P}[\varnothing], \end{split}$$

and so  $\mathbb{P}[\varnothing] = 0$ .

**Theorem.** If  $A \subseteq \Omega$  is an event and  $A' = \Omega \setminus A$  then

$$\mathbb{P}[A] = 1 - \mathbb{P}[A'].$$

*Proof.* Since we have that  $A' = \Omega \setminus A$ , we know that  $A' \cap A = \emptyset$ , so they are mutually exclusive. Thus we have

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{P}[\Omega] &= \mathbb{P}[A \cup A'] \\ 1 &= \mathbb{P}[A] + \mathbb{P}[A'] \\ \mathbb{P}[A] &= 1 - \mathbb{P}[A']. \end{split}$$

**Theorem.** If  $A \subseteq B$  then

$$\mathbb{P}[B \setminus A] = \mathbb{P}[B] - \mathbb{P}[A].$$

*Proof.* We know that  $B = A \cup (B \setminus A)$  and  $A \cap (B \setminus A) = \emptyset$ . Hence

$$\mathbb{P}[B] = \mathbb{P}[A \cup (B \setminus A)] = \mathbb{P}[A] + \mathbb{P}[B \setminus A],$$

and the result follows.

**Theorem.** If  $A \subseteq B$  then  $\mathbb{P}[A] \leq \mathbb{P}[B]$ .

*Proof.* From the previous theorem we have

$$\mathbb{P}[A] \le \mathbb{P}[A] + \mathbb{P}[B \setminus A] = \mathbb{P}[B].$$

### 2 Lecture 2

#### 2.1 Inclusion-Exclusion Principle

**Theorem** — Inclusion-Exclusion Principle

If  $A, B \subseteq \Omega$  are events, then

$$\mathbb{P}[A \cup B] = \mathbb{P}[A] + \mathbb{P}[B] - \mathbb{P}[A \cap B].$$

*Proof.* Observe that we may write

$$A \cup B = [A \setminus (A \cap B)] \cup [A \cap B] \cup [B \setminus (A \cap B)],$$

where  $A \cap B$ ,  $B \setminus (A \cap B)$ , and  $A \setminus (A \cap B)$  are mutually exclusive. Hence

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{P}[A \cup B] &= \mathbb{P}[A \setminus (A \cap B)] + \mathbb{P}[B \setminus (A \cap B)] + \mathbb{P}[A \cap B] \\ &= (\mathbb{P}[A] - \mathbb{P}[A \cap B]) + (\mathbb{P}[B] - \mathbb{P}[A \cap B]) + \mathbb{P}[A \cap B] \\ &= \mathbb{P}[A] + \mathbb{P}[B] - \mathbb{P}[A \cap B]. \end{split}$$

Theorem — Union Bound

If  $A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_n \subseteq \Omega$  are events, then

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\bigcup_{j=1}^{n} A_j\right] \le \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathbb{P}[A_j].$$

*Proof.* We proceed via proof by induction. Observe that for n = 1, we have  $\mathbb{P}[A_1] \leq \mathbb{P}[A_1]$ , which is obviously true. Suppose that this statements holds for some  $k \geq 1$ . Then

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\bigcup_{j=1}^{k+1} A_j\right] = \mathbb{P}\left[\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{k} A_j\right) \cup A_{k+1}\right]$$

$$= \mathbb{P}\left[\bigcup_{j=1}^{k} A_j\right] + \mathbb{P}[A_{k+1}] - \mathbb{P}\left[\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{k} A_j\right) \cap A_{k+1}\right]$$

$$\leq \mathbb{P}\left[\bigcup_{j=1}^{k} A_j\right] + \mathbb{P}[A_{k+1}]$$

$$\leq \sum_{j=1}^{k} \mathbb{P}[A_j] + \mathbb{P}[A_{k+1}]$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{k+1} \mathbb{P}[A_j].$$

Hence the statement holds for k+1, and so holds for all natural numbers n.

## 2.2 Mutual Independence

 $\textbf{Definition.}\ \ Independence$ 

We say that two events  $A, B \subseteq \Omega$  are independent if

$$\mathbb{P}[A\cap B]=\mathbb{P}[A]\mathbb{P}[B].$$

If two events are not independent, then we say that they are dependent.

**Definition.** Mutual Independence

We say that events  $A_1, \ldots, A_n \subseteq \Omega$  are mutually independent if, given any  $1 \le k \le n$  and  $1 \le j_1 < j_2 \cdots < j_k \le n$  we have

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\bigcap_{\ell=1}^k A_{j_\ell}\right] = \prod_{\ell=1}^k \mathbb{P}[A_{j_\ell}].$$

#### 3 Lecture 3

**Theorem** — Multiplication Principle

Suppose I run r mutually independent experiments so that

- The 1<sup>st</sup> experiment has  $n_1$  possible outcomes.
- The  $2^{\text{nd}}$  experiment has  $n_2$  possible outcomes.
- ..
- The  $r^{\text{th}}$  experiment has  $n_r$  possible outcomes.

The composite experiment then has  $n_1 \cdot n_2 \cdot \cdots \cdot n_r$  outcomes.

In some experiments we care about taking samples of size r from a set of n objects.

- We can seek ordered or unordered samples.
- We can do this with or without replacement.

**Theorem.** There are  $n^r$  possible choices of an *ordered* sample of size r from a set of n objects with replacement.

*Proof.* We run r experiments corresponding to each choice. For each choice, we have n possible outcomes because we are performing the choices with replacement. The Multiplication Principle tells us that there are  $n \cdot n \cdot \dots \cdot n = n^r$  outcomes.

**Theorem.** There are

$$_{n}P_{r} = \frac{n!}{(n-r)!}$$

ordered samples of size r from a set of n objects without replacement. The number  ${}_{n}Pr$  is known as the number of permutations of n objects, taken r at a time.

*Proof.* Each choice is an independent experiment:

- 1<sup>st</sup> choice: n outcomes
- $2^{\text{nd}}$  choice: n-1 outcomes
- $3^{rd}$  choice: n-2 outcomes
- $r^{\text{th}}$ : n (r 1) outcomes

Hence the composite experiment has

$$n \cdot (n-1) \cdot \cdots \cdot (n-r+1) = {}_{n}P_{r}$$

outcomes.

**Theorem.** There are

$${}_{n}C_{r} = \frac{n!}{(n-r)!r!}$$

unordered samples of size r from a set of n objects without replacement.

*Proof.* From the previous theorem, there are  ${}_{n}P_{r}$  ordered samples of size r from n objects without

replacement. However, we have over counted because our sample will show up r! times (in every possible permutation). Hence we divide by r! to get

$$_{n}C_{r} = \frac{_{n}P_{r}}{r!} = \frac{n!}{(n-r)!r!}.$$

Note.  ${}_{n}C_{r} = {}_{n}C_{n-r}$ .

#### 4 Lecture 4

- There are  $n^r$  ordered samples of size r from n objects with replacement.
- There are  ${}_{n}P_{r}$  ordered samples of size r from n objects without replacement.
- There are  ${}_{n}C_{r} = \frac{n!}{r!(n-r)!}$  unordered samples of size r from n objects without replacement.
- There are  $_{n+r-1}C_r = \frac{(n+r-1)!}{r!(n-1)!}$  unordered samples of size r from n objects with replacement.

#### 4.1 Distinguishable Permutations

- Suppose we are given n objects, but some of them are identical.
- How many distinguishable permutations of the n objects are there?

**Theorem.** Suppose you have:

- $n_1$  objects of type 1,
- $n_2$  objects of type 2,
- ..
- $n_r$  objects of type r.

Let  $n = n_1 + n_2 + \cdots + n_r$ . Then the number of distinguishable permutations is

$$\binom{n}{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_r} = \frac{n!}{n_1! n_2! \cdots n_r!}.$$

*Proof.* We have n locations.

- First choose  $n_1$  locations for type 1 objects:  ${}_{n}C_{n_1}$  choices.
- Then choose  $n_2$  locations for type 2 objects:  $n_{-n_1}C_{n_2}$  choices.
- ...
- Finally we choose  $n_r$  locations for type r objects:  $n_{-n_1-\cdots-n_{r-1}}C_{n_r}$  choices.

Using the multiplication principle to take the product of all of these combinations, we have

$$\binom{n}{n_1, \dots, n_r} = \frac{n!}{n_1! n_2! \cdots n_r!}.$$

Note. An alternate way to think about this theorem is to first consider how many regular permutations of n objects there are (n!), and then divide by how many possible times we over count  $(n_k!)$  for each  $1 \le k \le r$ .

#### 4.2 The Binomial Theorem

**Theorem** — Binomial Theorem

If  $n \geq 0$  then

$$(x+y)^n = \sum_{r=0}^n \binom{n}{r} x^r y^{n-r},$$

where the binomial coefficient is

$$\binom{n}{r} = {}_{n}C_{r}.$$

*Proof.* If we multiply out  $(x+y)^n = \underbrace{(x+y)\cdots(x+y)}_{n \text{ times}}$  without using the fact that multiplication is

commutative, we see that the number of times  $x^ry^{n-r}$  appears is equal to how many different ways there are to rearrange r "x" terms in n total terms.

**Theorem.** We have

$$\sum_{r=0}^{n} \binom{n}{r} = 2^{n}.$$

**Theorem.** If  $n, r \geq 0$  then

$$(x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_r)^n = \sum_{n_1 + \dots + n_r = n} \binom{n}{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_r} x_1^{n_1} x_2^{n_2} \cdots x_r^{n_r}.$$

*Proof.* Similar to the binomial theorem, we have that to get each term we just need to find the number of distinguishable permutations of  $n_1$  terms of  $x_1, ..., n_r$  terms of  $x_r$ , which is our multinomial coefficient from before.

### 5 Lecture 5

#### 5.1 Conditional Probability

Suppose that  $A, B \subseteq \Omega$  are events. If we know that the event B occurs, how does this affect the probability that A occurs?

We write  $\mathbb{P}[A]$  for the probability of A, and  $\mathbb{P}[A \mid B]$  for the probability of A conditioned on B.

#### Example.

- Suppose that 5% of UCLA students take a math class and 6% take a physics class.
- Suppose that 80% of UCLA students that take a math class also take a physics class.
- If we know that a randomly chosen student takes a math class, what is the probability they take a physics class?

Let us define

 $\Omega = \{ All \ UCLA \ students \},$ 

 $A = \{ \text{Students taking a physics class} \},$ 

 $B = \{ \text{Students taking a math class} \}.$ 

Then we want to find  $\mathbb{P}[A \mid B]$ . Thus we have

$$\mathbb{P}[A \mid B] = \frac{\mathbb{P}[A \cap B]}{\mathbb{P}[B]}$$
$$= \frac{0.05 \cdot 0.8}{0.05}$$
$$= 0.8.$$

**Definition.** Conditional Probability

Suppose A, B are events. Then the probability of A conditioned on B is given by

$$\mathbb{P}[A \mid B] = \frac{\mathbb{P}[A \cap B]}{\mathbb{P}[B]}.$$

**Theorem.** If  $B \subseteq \Omega$  is an event so that  $\mathbb{P}[B] \neq 0$  then  $\mathbb{P}[\cdot \mid B]$  is a probability measure. Precisely:

•  $\mathbb{P}[\Omega \mid B] = 1$ .

*Proof.* Observe that

$$\mathbb{P}[\Omega \mid B] = \frac{\mathbb{P}[\Omega \cap B]}{\mathbb{P}[B]} = \frac{\mathbb{P}[B]}{\mathbb{P}[B]} = 1.$$

• If  $A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_n$  are mutually exclusive events then

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\left.\bigcup_{j=1}^{n} A_{j} \middle| B\right] = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathbb{P}[A_{j} \mid B].$$

*Proof.* If  $A_1, \ldots, A_n$  are mutually exclusive, so are  $A_1 \cap B, A_2 \cap B, \ldots, A_n \cap B$ . Then

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\bigcup_{j=1}^{n} A_{j} \middle| B\right] = \frac{\mathbb{P}\left[\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{n} A_{j}\right) \cap B\right]}{\mathbb{P}[B]}$$

$$= \frac{\mathbb{P}\left[\bigcup_{j=1}^{n} (A_{j} \cap B)\right]}{\mathbb{P}[B]}$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\mathbb{P}[A_{j} \cap B]}{\mathbb{P}[B]}$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathbb{P}[A_{j} \mid B].$$

• If  $A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_n$  are mutually exclusive events then

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\left|\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} A_j\right| B\right] = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}[A_j \mid B].$$

*Proof.* The proof for this is very similar to the one above.

**Theorem.** If A and B are independent events so that  $\mathbb{P}[B] \neq 0$  then

$$\mathbb{P}[A \mid B] = \mathbb{P}[A].$$

*Proof.* Observe that

$$\mathbb{P}[A \mid B] = \frac{\mathbb{P}[A \cap B]}{\mathbb{P}[B]} = \frac{\mathbb{P}[A] \cdot \mathbb{P}[B]}{\mathbb{P}[B]} = \mathbb{P}[A].$$

### 6 Lecture 6

**Theorem** — The Law of Total Probability

Let  $A \subseteq \Omega$  be an event and  $B_1, B_2, \dots, B_n \subseteq \Omega$  be mutually exclusive events so that  $\mathbb{P}[B_j] \neq 0$  and

$$A \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^{n} B_j$$
.

Then

$$\mathbb{P}[A] = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathbb{P}[A \mid B_j] \mathbb{P}[B_j].$$

*Proof.* As  $A \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^n B_j$  we have

$$A = A \cap \left[\bigcup_{j=1}^{n} B_j\right] = \bigcup_{j=1}^{n} (A \cap B_j).$$

As all of the  $B_j$  are mutually exclusive, so are  $A \cap B_j$ . Hence

$$\mathbb{P}[A] = \mathbb{P}\left[\bigcup_{j=1}^{n} (A \cap B_j)\right]$$
$$= \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathbb{P}[A \cap B_j]$$
$$= \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathbb{P}[A \mid B_j] \mathbb{P}[B_j].$$

Note. The same result is true if we have a countable number of events  $B_1, B_2, \ldots$ 

#### 6.1 Bayes' Theorem

**Theorem** — Bayes' Theorem

If  $A, B \subseteq \Omega$  are events so that  $\mathbb{P}[A], \mathbb{P}[B] \neq 0$  then

$$\mathbb{P}[B \mid A] = \frac{\mathbb{P}[A \mid B] \mathbb{P}[B]}{\mathbb{P}[A]}$$

*Proof.* By definition, we have

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{P}[B \mid A] &= \frac{\mathbb{P}[A \cap B]}{\mathbb{P}[A]} \\ &= \frac{\mathbb{P}[A \mid B]\mathbb{P}[B]}{\mathbb{P}[A]}. \end{split}$$

#### **Theorem** — Bayes' Theorem (Improved Version)

If  $A \subseteq \Omega$  is an event and  $B_1, B_2, \ldots, B_n \subseteq \Omega$  are mutually exclusive events so that  $\mathbb{P}[A], \mathbb{P}[B_j] \neq 0$  and

$$A \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^{n} B_j,$$

then for any  $1 \le k \le n$  we have

$$\mathbb{P}[B_k \mid A] = \frac{\mathbb{P}[A \mid B_k] \mathbb{P}[B_k]}{\sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{P}[A \mid B_j] \mathbb{P}[B_j]}.$$

*Proof.* The Law of Total Probability tells us that

$$\mathbb{P}[A] = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathbb{P}[A \mid B_j] \mathbb{P}[B_j].$$

Hence by Bayes' Theorem we have

$$\mathbb{P}[B_k \mid A] = \frac{\mathbb{P}[A \mid B_k]\mathbb{P}[B_k]}{\mathbb{P}[A]}$$
$$= \frac{\mathbb{P}[A \mid B_k]\mathbb{P}[B_k]}{\sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{P}[A \mid B_j]\mathbb{P}[B_j]}.$$