

Lecture Notes

Kyle Chui

2022-01-04

Contents

1	Lecture 1	1
1.1	Introduction to Dynamical Systems	1
1.1.1	Where Do “Dynamical Systems” Come From?	1
1.2	Autonomous ODEs	1
2	Lecture 2	2
2.1	Reducing ODEs to First Order Autonomous Systems	2
2.1.1	Flows on the Line	3
2.1.2	Fixed Points	3
2.1.3	Stability	4
3	Lecture 3	5
3.1	Potentials	6
4	Lecture 4	7
4.1	Impossibility of Oscillations	7
4.2	Numerical Methods	8
4.2.1	Integral Equations	8
4.2.2	Numerical Approximation	8
5	Lecture 5	10
5.1	Euler’s Method	10
5.1.1	Truncation Error of a Numerical Method	10
5.1.2	Improved Euler’s Method	11
5.1.3	Runge–Kutta 4th Order Method	11
5.2	Existence and Uniqueness of Solutions	11
6	Lecture 6	13
6.1	Existence and Uniqueness	13
6.1.1	Finite Time Blowup	13
6.2	Bifurcations	14
6.2.1	External Parameters	14
7	Lecture 7	15
7.1	Saddle-Node Bifurcations	15
7.2	Identifying Bifurcations	15
8	Lecture 8	16
8.1	Transcritical Bifurcations	16
9	Lecture 9	17
9.1	Subcritical Pitchfork	17
9.2	Supercritical Pitchfork	17
9.3	Symmetry	17
9.4	Hysteresis	17
10	Lecture 10	18
10.1	Taylor’s Theorem	18
10.1.1	Single Variable	18
10.1.2	Multi Variable	18
10.2	Normal Forms	19

11 Lecture 11	20
11.1 Normal Forms for Saddle-Node Bifurcations	20
11.2 Normal Forms for Transcritical Bifurcations	20
12 Lecture 12	21
12.1 Dimensional Analysis	21
12.1.1 Bifurcation Analysis of the First-order Equation	22
13 Lecture 13	23
13.1 Singularly Perturbed Problems	23
13.2 Another Non-dimensionalization Problem	23

1 Lecture 1

1.1 Introduction to Dynamical Systems

Models of real-world phenomena can often be classified as either *static* or *dynamic*. Furthermore, these systems can either be *discrete* (x_1, x_2, \dots where $x_i \in \mathbb{R}$ for $i \geq 1$) or *continuous* ($x = x(t)$ where $t \geq 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$, and $\dot{x} = f(x)$).

1.1.1 Where Do “Dynamical Systems” Come From?

1. Observed phenomena
2. Mathematical model
3. “Solve” the model
4. Make predictions

1.2 Autonomous ODEs

Definition. *Autonomous ODEs*

We say that an ordinary differential equation is *autonomous* if the right-hand side does not depend on t .

- The SIR (susceptible, infected, recovered) model is an example of a *first order* system of *autonomous* ODEs.

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}_1 = f_1(x_1, \dots, x_n) \\ \vdots \\ \dot{x}_n = f_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) \end{cases}$$

- We will refer to n as the *dimension* of the system.

2 Lecture 2

2.1 Reducing ODEs to First Order Autonomous Systems

Consider the set of differential equations given by

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x} = -\kappa(t)xy, \\ \dot{y} = \kappa(t)xy - \delta y, \\ \dot{z} = \delta y. \end{cases}$$

Introduce a new variable, i.e. $\tau = \tau(t) = t$. Then we may rewrite the above as

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x} = -\kappa(\tau)xy, \\ \dot{y} = \kappa(\tau)xy - \delta y, \\ \dot{z} = \delta y, \\ \dot{\tau} = 1. \end{cases}$$

Note that the above system is now autonomous.

Example. The Pendulum

We can model the angle θ of a pendulum of length $L > 0$ by

$$\ddot{\theta} + \frac{g}{L} \sin \theta = 0.$$

Applying Newton's Second Law, we can get the equations

$$\begin{aligned} mL\ddot{\theta} &= -mg \sin \theta \\ \theta &= \theta(t). \end{aligned}$$

Observe that if we let $x = \theta$ and $y = \dot{\theta}$, then we get

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x} = y \\ \dot{y} = -\frac{g}{L} \sin x. \end{cases}$$

Example. Pendulum with an external force

If we add an external force to our pendulum, then we get

$$\ddot{\theta} + \frac{g}{L} \sin \theta = \frac{1}{m} F(t).$$

Thus if we let $x = \theta$, $y = \dot{\theta}$, and $z = t$, then we get

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x} = y \\ \dot{y} = -\frac{g}{L} \sin x + \frac{1}{m} F(z) \\ \dot{z} = 1. \end{cases}$$

Note. In general, higher order ODEs of the form

$$\frac{d^k x}{dt^k} = f\left(x, \frac{dx}{dt}, \frac{d^2 x}{dt^2}, \dots, \frac{d^{k-1} x}{dt^{k-1}}\right)$$

can be converted into a first order system by taking

$$z_1 = x, z_2 = \frac{dx}{dt}, \dots, z_k = \frac{d^{k-1}x}{dt^{k-1}}.$$

We get the system

$$\begin{cases} \dot{z}_1 = \frac{dx}{dt} = z_2 \\ \dot{z}_2 = \frac{d^2x}{dt^2} = z_3 \\ \vdots \\ \dot{z}_k = f(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_k) \end{cases}$$

2.1.1 Flows on the Line

We will now consider systems of the form

$$\dot{x} = f(x)$$

where $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a smooth function.

Example. Consider the ODE given by

$$\dot{x} = x(x+1)(x-1)^2.$$

We could use separation of variables to solve this.

Note. Solutions to ODEs usually come in three different flavors:

- Analytic methods (separation of variables)
- Geometric methods (direction fields)
- Numerical methods (Euler's method)

Definition. *Phase Space*

To help us analyze these differential equations, we can plot \dot{x} against x on a graph, and see the behavior around zeroes. This is called a *phase space*. If some neighborhood of points around a zero x tend towards x , then x is called a *stable point*. If they tend to move away from x , then x is an *unstable point*. On a phase space graph, we denote stable points with \bullet , unstable points with \circ , and other points with a half-filled circle.

2.1.2 Fixed Points

Definition. *Fixed Point*

We say that x^* is a *fixed point* of the system

$$\dot{x} = f(x)$$

if $f(x^*) = 0$. If x^* is a fixed point then the system has a constant solution given by $x(t) = x^*$. These points are also known as equilibrium points, stationary points, rest points, critical points, and steady states.

2.1.3 Stability

Definition. *Stability*

Let x^* be a fixed point of the system

$$\dot{x} = f(x).$$

For now, we say that x^* is:

- *Stable* if solutions starting close to x^* approach x^* as $t \rightarrow \infty$.
- *Unstable* if solutions starting close to x^* diverge from x^* as $t \rightarrow \infty$.
- *Half-stable* if solutions starting close to x^* approach x^* from one side, but diverge from the other side.

3 Lecture 3

Question. Can we say more about what happens close to fixed points?

Example. Consider the equation given by

$$\dot{x} = x(x+1)(x-1)^2,$$

which has stable points at -1 , 0 , and 1 .

We will use something called the *local method*. We define a new function $\eta(t) = x(t) + 1$, so $x(t) = \eta(t) - 1$. Hence $\dot{x}(t) = \dot{\eta}(t)$. Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} x(x+1)(x-1)^2 &= (\eta-1)\eta(\eta-2)^2 \\ &= -4\eta + O(\eta^2), \end{aligned} \quad (\eta \rightarrow 0)$$

so $\dot{\eta} \approx -4\eta$. Near $x = -1$, $\eta = x + 1$ and it satisfies $\dot{\eta} = -4\eta$, so $\eta(t) \approx Ce^{-4t}$. We can see that this approaches 0 as $t \rightarrow \infty$, so points around $x = -1$ will approach -1 .

In general, we have the following method:

Assume that x^* is a fixed point of $\dot{x} = f(x)$, i.e. $f(x^*) = 0$. Let $\eta = x - x^*$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\eta} &= \dot{x} \\ &= f(x) \\ &= f(x^*) + f'(x^*)\eta + \frac{1}{2}f''(x^*)\eta^2 + \dots \\ &= f'(x^*)\eta + O(\eta^2). \end{aligned} \quad (\eta \rightarrow 0)$$

Hence the equation

$$\dot{\eta} = f'(x^*)\eta$$

is the *linearization* at $x = x^*$. We know that the solution to such a differential equation is

$$\eta(t) = Ce^{f'(x^*)t} = \begin{cases} 0, & f'(x^*) < 0 \\ \pm\infty, & f'(x^*) > 0 \end{cases},$$

as $t \rightarrow \infty$. In the first case, the terms near x^* will tend towards x^* , and in the latter they will diverge from x^* .

Theorem. Suppose that x^* is a fixed point of the system $\dot{x} = f(x)$. Then if

- $f'(x^*) < 0$, the fixed point x^* is stable.
- $f'(x^*) > 0$, the fixed point x^* is unstable.

Question. What happens if $f'(x^*) = 0$? Anything can happen/the test is inconclusive.

- Consider the equation $\dot{x} = x^3$. We see that $x^* = 0$ is a critical point, and that $f'(x) = 3x^2$, so $f'(x^*) = 0$. Using our usual graphical methods, we can see that points to the left of x^* will approach x^* , and so will points to the right, and so $x^* = 0$ is a stable point.
- If we use the equation $\dot{x} = -x^3$, we get the direct opposite, that is $x^* = 0$ is unstable despite having the same critical point.
- If we look at the behavior of $\dot{x} = x^2$, then we get that the critical point at $x^* = 0$ is half-stable.
- If we consider the equation $\dot{x} = 0$, then every number on the real line is a critical point, and so the solutions don't move at all.

3.1 Potentials

- Let $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be smooth and consider the system

$$\dot{x} = f(x).$$

- A function $V: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ so that

$$f(x) = -V'(x)$$

is called a *potential* for f .

- Our system can be written as a *gradient flow*

$$\dot{x} = -V'(x).$$

Note. Potential functions are *not* unique, since you can always add a constant.

4 Lecture 4

Example. Consider the differential equation $\dot{x} = x - x^3$. Since we have $\dot{x} = -V'(x)$, we have

$$V(x) = \frac{1}{4}x^4 - \frac{1}{2}x^2 = \frac{1}{4}(x^2 - 1)^2 - \frac{1}{4}.$$

When we look at the graph of $V'(x)$, we may pretend that there is a ball rolling down a hill from every point, which tells us how to find the stability of points. Each point will settle in the first “well” that it meets.

Theorem. Let $V: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be smooth and consider the system

$$\dot{x} = -V'(x).$$

Then the *potential energy* $V(x(t))$ is non-increasing (as a function of time). Furthermore, if $x(t)$ is not a fixed point for all $t \in (T_1, T_2)$, then the potential energy is strictly decreasing on (T_1, T_2) .

Proof. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}V(x(t)) &= V'(x(t)) \cdot \dot{x}(t) \\ &= -V'(x(t))^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the potential energy is non-increasing, as its derivative is always non-positive. Thus if $V'(x_1) = 0$, then x_1 is a critical point! \square

Corollary. Let $V: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be smooth and consider the system

$$\dot{x} = -V'(x).$$

If x^* is an isolated critical point of V then

- If it is a local minima of V , it is a stable fixed point.
- If it is a local maxima of V , it is a unstable fixed point.
- If it is an inflection point of V , it is a half-stable fixed point.

Proof. If we imagine the ball analogy again, we can see that if x^* is a local minima then points around it will tend towards x^* , and so it is stable. The opposite happens for divergence near a local maxima, and the analogy still holds for the half-stableness when x^* is an inflection point. \square

Note. Every one dimensional system is a gradient flow, because if f is smooth, then we can take the integral and define

$$V(x) := - \int_0^x f(s) \, ds.$$

4.1 Impossibility of Oscillations

Definition. *Periodic Functions*

If there exists a constant $p > 0$ so that for all t we have

$$x(t + p) = x(t),$$

then we say that p is *periodic*.

Note. All constant functions are periodic.

Theorem. There are no non-constant periodic solutions of the system

$$\dot{x} = f(x).$$

Proof. Suppose that x is a periodic solution, with period $p > 0$. If $0 \leq t \leq p$ then, as the potential energy is non-increasing,

$$V[x(p)] \leq V[x(t)] \leq V[x(0)].$$

Since $x(p) = x(0)$, we have $V[x(t)]$ is constant. Hence $x(t)$ is constant. \square

4.2 Numerical Methods

4.2.1 Integral Equations

We want to find a solution of the equation

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x} = f(x) \\ x(0) = x_0 \end{cases}$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x} &= f(x) \\ \int_0^t \frac{dx(s)}{ds} ds &= \int_0^t f(x(s)) ds \\ x(t) - x(0) &= \int_0^t f(x(s)) ds \\ x(t) &= x_0 + \int_0^t f(x(s)) ds. \end{aligned}$$

We call this an *integral equation*, because the unknown now appears in the integral.

Example. Write the equation

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x} = \sin x \\ x(0) = 1 \end{cases}$$

as an integral equation.

We have that

$$x(t) = 1 + \int_0^t \sin(x(s)) ds.$$

4.2.2 Numerical Approximation

Suppose we have the equation

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x} = f(x) \\ x(0) = x_0 \end{cases}$$

Let's take $\Delta t > 0$ small. Then we have

$$x(\Delta t) = x_0 + \int_0^{\Delta t} f(x(s)) ds.$$

We will approximate $x(s) \approx x_0$ on the interval $(0, \Delta t)$. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} x(\Delta t) &= x_0 + \int_0^{\Delta t} f(x(s)) \, ds \\ &= x_0 + \int_0^{\Delta t} f(x_0) \, ds \\ &= x_0 + f(x_0)\Delta t. \end{aligned} \qquad (x_1 := x_0 + f(x_0)\Delta t)$$

Euler's method is to repeat the above to get $x_2 \approx x(2\Delta t)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} x_2 &= x_1 + f(x_1)\Delta t, \\ x_3 &= x_2 + f(x_2)\Delta t, \\ &\dots \\ x_{n+1} &= x_n + f(x_n)\Delta t. \end{aligned}$$

5 Lecture 5

5.1 Euler's Method

- We want to approximate the solution of

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x} = f(x) \\ x(0) = x_0 \end{cases}$$

- Given a time step Δt , for $n \geq 0$ define

$$x_{n+1} = x_n + f(x_n)\Delta t$$

- Thus we take x_n to be our approximation to $x(n\Delta t)$.

5.1.1 Truncation Error of a Numerical Method

- Let $x_n \approx x(n\Delta t)$.
- We define the *local truncation error* to be

$$e_1 = x(\Delta t) - x_1.$$

We will use Taylor's theorem with Lagrange residue to approximate the error:

$$\begin{aligned} x(\Delta t) &= x(0) + x'(0)\Delta t + \frac{x''(\xi)}{2}(\Delta t)^2 & (\xi \in (0, \Delta t)) \\ &= x_0 + f(x_0)\Delta t + \frac{f'(\xi)f(\xi)}{2}(\Delta t)^2. & (\dot{x} = f, \text{ Chain rule}) \end{aligned}$$

Thus if f and f' are bounded and continuous, then $|\text{Remainder}| \leq C(\Delta t)^2$. Substituting into our local truncation error definition, we have

$$\begin{aligned} e_1 &= x(\Delta t) - x_1 \\ &= x(\Delta t) - (x_0 + f(x_0)\Delta t) \\ &= \frac{x''(\xi)}{2}(\Delta t)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence e_1 is bounded above by $C(\Delta t)^2$.

Note. If we decrease Δt , then we decrease our error as well.

Euler's method is of first order because $|e_1| \leq C(\Delta t)^2$. If we apply Euler's method n times over some interval, then we will get n errors:

$$|e_1| + |e_2| + \cdots + |e_n| \leq Cn(\Delta t)^2 = Cn \left(\frac{T}{n} \right) \Delta t = CT\Delta t.$$

How can we improve our results?

- Take smaller time steps Δt .
 - Unfortunately, this means that we need to perform more computations.
 - There will be more “round-off errors”.
- Improve the approximation (see next section)

5.1.2 Improved Euler's Method

- We still want to approximate the solution of

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x} = f(x) \\ x(0) = x_0 \end{cases}$$

- For $n \geq 0$:
 - We make our first approximation

$$\tilde{x}_{n+1} = x_n + f(x_n)\Delta t.$$

- Use this to make a better approximation

$$x_{n+1} = x_n + \frac{1}{2}(f(x_n) + f(\tilde{x}_{n+1}))\Delta t.$$

- Take x_n to be our approximation for $x(n\Delta t)$.

The local truncation error for the improved Euler's method is of the form $C(\Delta t)^3$, and the global error is $CT(\Delta t)^2$.

5.1.3 Runge-Kutta 4th Order Method

- We still want to approximate the solution of

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x} = f(x) \\ x(0) = x_0 \end{cases}$$

- For $n \geq 0$, take:
 - $k_n^{(1)} = f(x_n)\Delta t$
 - $k_n^{(2)} = f(x_n + \frac{1}{2}k_n^{(1)})\Delta t$
 - $k_n^{(3)} = f(x_n + \frac{1}{2}k_n^{(2)})\Delta t$
 - $k_n^{(4)} = f(x_n + \frac{1}{2}k_n^{(3)})\Delta t$

- Then we may set

$$x_{n+1} = x_n + \frac{1}{6}(k_n^{(1)} + 2k_n^{(2)} + 2k_n^{(3)} + k_n^{(4)}).$$

- The local truncation error satisfies

$$|e_1| \leq C(\Delta t)^5,$$

and the global error is 4th order, satisfying $CT(\Delta t)^4$.

5.2 Existence and Uniqueness of Solutions

Theorem — *Cauchy-Peano Existence Theorem*

Let $f: (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous and $x_0 \in (a, b)$. Then there exists some $\delta > 0$ and a solution $x: [-\delta, \delta] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of the IVP

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x} = f(x) \\ x(0) = x_0 \end{cases}$$

Theorem — *Picard–Lindelöf Existence and Uniqueness Theorem*

Let $f: (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous and $x_0 \in (a, b)$. If f is locally Lipschitz continuous, then there exists a *unique local solution* $\bar{x} \in C^1(I, \mathbb{R})$ of the IVP

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x} = f(x) \\ x(0) = x_0 \end{cases}$$

where I is some interval around 0.

6 Lecture 6

6.1 Existence and Uniqueness

Definition. *Locally Lipschitz Continuity*

Let $f: (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. We call f *locally Lipschitz continuous* if for every $[d, c] \subseteq (a, b)$ there exists $k > 0$ such that

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \leq k|x - y|. \quad \forall x, y \in [d, c]$$

Definition. *Global Lipschitz Continuity*

A function $f: (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be *global Lipschitz continuous* if there exists $L > 0$ such that

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \leq L|x - y| \quad \forall x, y \in (a, b)$$

Fact. Continuously differentiable functions are Lipschitz continuous, and so are continuous.

Example.

- The function $f(x) = \sqrt{|x|}$ is continuous but it is not Lipschitz continuous.
- The function $g(x) = |x|$ is not differentiable but it is Lipschitz continuous on $[-1, 1]$.

Note. In general, if it has a cusp, then it is not Lipschitz continuous.

6.1.1 Finite Time Blowup

Example. Does the solution of

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x} = x^2 \\ x(0) = 1 \end{cases}$$

blow up in finite time?

If we solve the differential equation, then we get $x(t) = \frac{1}{1-t}$ (which is not well defined for all $t > 0$).

Theorem. Let $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be Lipschitz continuous. Then there exists a unique *global* solution $x: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x} = f(x) \\ x(0) = x_0. \end{cases}$$

Theorem. Let $f \leq g$ be smooth and let $x_0 \leq y_0$. Suppose that x and y are solutions of the ODES

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x} = f(x) \\ x(0) = x_0 \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{cases} \dot{y} = g(y) \\ y(0) = y_0 \end{cases}$$

on an interval $[0, T]$. Then $x(t) \leq y(t)$ for all $t \in [0, T]$.

6.2 Bifurcations

6.2.1 External Parameters

- Consider the ODE

$$\dot{x} = f(x, r)$$

where r is a parameter of the model.

- **Question.** How do the dynamics vary as we vary r ?

Example. Consider the differential equation $\dot{x} = r + x^2$. Depending on the value of r , we could have:

- 2 critical points, one of which is stable (when $r < 0$)
- 1 critical point, which is half-stable (when $r = 0$)
- 0 critical points, (when $r > 0$)

This change in behavior as r goes from negative to positive is called a *bifurcation*. We say that a bifurcation occurs at $(x^*, r^*) = (0, 0)$.

7 Lecture 7

7.1 Saddle-Node Bifurcations

Definition. *Bifurcation*

Consider the following autonomous system

$$\dot{x} = f(x, \lambda)$$

where $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. A *bifurcation* occurs at parameter $\lambda = \lambda_0$ if there are parameter values λ_1 arbitrarily close to λ_0 with dynamics topologically inequivalent from those at λ_0 .

7.2 Identifying Bifurcations

If the system

$$\dot{x} = f(x, r)$$

has a bifurcation at $(x, r) = (x^*, r^*)$, then

$$f(x^*, r^*) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x^*, r^*) = 0.$$

Note. The converse is *not* necessarily true! This helps us find *possible* bifurcation points, but we still need to perform further analysis in order to check whether these points are *actually* bifurcation points.

Proof. We define $\eta = x - x^*$, which implies that $\dot{\eta} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x^*, r^*)\eta$, which is stable if $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x^*, r^*) < 0$ and unstable if $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x^*, r^*) > 0$. Hence there is a change in stability when $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x^*, r^*) = 0$. \square

8 Lecture 8

8.1 Transcritical Bifurcations

We are analyzing differential equations of the form

$$\dot{x} = rx - x^2 = x(r - x).$$

We see that there are fixed points at $x = 0, r$. To find the bifurcations, we solve for when

$$\begin{aligned} f(x, r) &= rx - x^2 = 0, \\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x, r) &= r - 2x = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have $2x^2 - x^2 = x^2 = 0$, so the only possible bifurcation occurs at $x = r = 0$.

9 Lecture 9

9.1 Subcritical Pitchfork

Look at the bifurcation diagram for

$$\dot{x} = rx + x^3.$$

9.2 Supercritical Pitchfork

Look at the bifurcation diagram for

$$\dot{x} = rx - x^3.$$

9.3 Symmetry

Note that the Saddle-node bifurcation ($\dot{x} = r + x^2$) and the Transcritical bifurcation ($\dot{x} = rx - x^2$) are *not* symmetric with respect to $y = -x$, i.e. $x \rightarrow -x$. For the Saddle-node bifurcation, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{x} &= r + x^2 \\ -\dot{y} &= r + x^2 \\ \dot{y} &= -r - y^2,\end{aligned}$$

and the Transcritical bifurcation yields

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{x} &= rx - x^2 \\ -\dot{y} &= -ry - y^2 \\ \dot{y} &= ry + y^2.\end{aligned}$$

However, for the subcritical pitchfork bifurcation, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{x} &= rx + x^3 \\ -\dot{y} &= -ry - y^3 \\ \dot{y} &= ry + y^3,\end{aligned}$$

so it *is* symmetric.

9.4 Hysteresis

Consider the ODE given by

$$\dot{x} = rx + x^3 - x^5.$$

10 Lecture 10

Note. Hysteresis is a concept that appears due to the non-reversibility as the parameter r varies. If we look at the bifurcation diagram's stable branches, we take one path as we increase r past 0, but take a different path as we decrease r back below 0.

10.1 Taylor's Theorem

10.1.1 Single Variable

Let $F(t)$ be continuous and $\frac{d^n F}{dt^n}$ is continuous for $1 \leq n \leq N + 1$. Then we can write

$$F(t) = \sum_{n=0}^N \frac{1}{n!} \frac{d^n F}{dt^n}(0) t^n + R_N(t),$$

where $R_N(t) = \underbrace{\frac{1}{(N+1)!} \frac{d^{N+1} F}{dt^{N+1}}(\tilde{t}) t^{N+1}}_{\text{Lagrange form residue}}$ for some $\tilde{t} \in (0, t)$.

10.1.2 Multi Variable

Let $f(x, r)$ be smooth (so $\frac{\partial^n}{\partial x^n} \frac{\partial^m}{\partial r^m} f$ is continuous for all $m, n \geq 1$). Then let $F(t) = f(tx, tr)$ and apply Taylor's Theorem. We have

$$\frac{d^n}{dt^n} F(t) = \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j} \frac{\partial^n f}{\partial x^{n-j} \partial r^j}(tx, tr) x^{n-j} r^j.$$

When we take $t = 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(x, t) &= F(1) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^N \frac{1}{n!} \frac{d^n F}{dt^n}(0) + R_N(0) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^N \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{1}{(n-j)!j!} \frac{\partial^n f}{\partial x^{n-j} \partial r^j}(0, 0) x^{n-j} r^j + R_N(0) \end{aligned}$$

Theorem — *Taylor's Theorem*

Suppose all partial derivatives of $f(x, r)$ up to order $N + 1$ are continuous. Then,

$$f(x, r) = \sum_{n=0}^N \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{1}{(n-j)!j!} \frac{\partial^n f}{\partial x^{n-j} \partial r^j}(0, 0) x^{n-j} r^j + R_N(x, r),$$

where the remainder term can be written as

$$R_N(x, r) = \sum_{j=0}^{N+1} \frac{1}{(N+1-j)!j!} \frac{\partial^{N+1} f}{\partial x^{N+1-j} \partial r^j}(tx, tr) x^{N+1-j} r^j,$$

for some $0 < t < 1$.

Example. Special Case

Consider the case where $N = 2$ for the Taylor expansion. Plugging that into the formula, we have that the quadratic expansion of $f(x, r)$ at $(0, 0)$ is

$$\begin{aligned} f(x, r) &= f(0, 0) + \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(0, 0) \cdot x + \frac{\partial f}{\partial r}(0, 0) \cdot r \\ &\quad + \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2}(0, 0) \cdot \frac{x^2}{2} + \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial r}(0, 0) \cdot xr + \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial r^2}(0, 0) \cdot \frac{r^2}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

10.2 Normal Forms

Consider the Taylor expansion for a saddle-node bifurcation about the point (x^*, r^*) :

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x} &= f(x, r) \\ &= f(x^*, r^*) + \underbrace{\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x^*, r^*)}_{q_1}(x - x^*) + \underbrace{\frac{\partial f}{\partial r}(x^*, r^*)}_{p_1}(r - r^*) \\ &\quad + \underbrace{\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2}(x^*, r^*)}_{q_2}(x - x^*)^2 + \underbrace{\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial r}(x^*, r^*)}_{p_2}(x - x^*)(r - r^*) \\ &\quad + \underbrace{\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial r^2}(x^*, r^*)}_{Q}(r - r^*)^2 + \text{higher order terms.} \end{aligned}$$

Theorem. Suppose that $f(x^*, r^*) = 0$, $q_1 = 0$, $p_1 \neq 0$, $q_2 \neq 0$. Then $\dot{x} = f(x, r)$ undergoes a saddle-node bifurcation at (x^*, r^*) and

$$\dot{x} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial r}(x^*, r^*)(r - r^*) + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2}(x^*, r^*)(x - x^*)^2 + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^3)$$

for $|r - r^*| < \varepsilon^2$ and $|x - x^*| < \varepsilon$. Moreover, there exists a change of variables

$$(t, x, r) \mapsto (s, y, R)$$

such that

$$\dot{x} = p_1(r - r^*) + q_2(x - x^*)^2 + \text{higher order terms}$$

takes the form

$$\frac{dy}{ds} = R + y^2 \quad (\text{Saddle-node bifurcation})$$

near $(0, 0) = (y(x^*), R(r^*))$.

11 Lecture 11

11.1 Normal Forms for Saddle-Node Bifurcations

Note. The mapping in the previous theorem basically just maps (x^*, r^*) to the origin in the yR -plane.

Example. Consider the ODE given by

$$\dot{x} = r + x - 1 - e^{x-1}.$$

To find possible bifurcation points, we find where

$$\begin{aligned} r + x - 1 - e^{x-1} &= 0, \\ 1 - e^{x-1} &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the only possible bifurcation point occurs at $(1, 1)$. We now Taylor expand f about $(1, 1)$ to get

$$\begin{aligned} f(x, r) &= r + x - 1 - \left(1 + (x - 1) + \frac{1}{2}(x - 1)^2 + \dots \right) \\ &= (r - 1) - \frac{1}{2}(x - 1)^2 + \mathcal{O}(|x - 1|^3). \end{aligned}$$

We can see that the above takes the form

$$\frac{dy}{ds} = R + y^2,$$

so we have a saddle-node bifurcation.

11.2 Normal Forms for Transcritical Bifurcations

Theorem. Suppose that $\dot{x} = f(x, r)$ has a bifurcation at $(x, r) = (x^*, r^*)$. If

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^n f}{\partial r^n}(x^*, r^*) &= 0 \quad \text{for all } n \\ \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial r}(x^*, r^*) &\neq 0 \\ \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2}(x^*, r^*) &\neq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Then (x^*, r^*) is a transcritical bifurcation.

12 Lecture 12

12.1 Dimensional Analysis

Note. For dimensional analysis:

- Express all of your time derivatives in a unit-less form by substituting another variable, i.e. $\tau := \frac{t}{T}$.
- Make the equation truly dimensionless by dividing all sides by the common unit, i.e. a unit of force.

Since we are not well-equipped to study second-order differential equations yet, we try to find certain conditions that make the second-order term vanish to zero (but not any of the other terms). Consider the following differential equation:

$$\frac{r}{gT^2} \cdot \frac{d^2\phi}{d\tau^2} = -\frac{b}{mgT} \frac{d\phi}{d\tau} - \sin \phi + \frac{r\Omega^2}{g} \sin \phi \cos \phi.$$

We wish to study a regime where

$$\frac{b}{mgT} = 1,$$

so we have that $T = \frac{b}{mg}$. Plugging this T into the second-order term above, we get

$$\underbrace{\frac{r}{g\left(\frac{b}{mg}\right)^2}}_{\varepsilon} \frac{d^2\phi}{d\tau^2} = -\frac{d\phi}{d\tau} - \sin \phi + \underbrace{\frac{r\Omega^2}{g}}_{\gamma} \sin \phi \cos \phi$$

$$\varepsilon \frac{d^2\phi}{d\tau^2} = -\frac{d\phi}{d\tau} - \sin \phi + \gamma \sin \phi \cos \phi.$$

If $\varepsilon \ll 1$, then we get that

$$\frac{r}{g\left(\frac{b}{mg}\right)^2} \ll 1$$

$$m^2gr \ll b^2.$$

Thus we are left with the equation

$$0 = -\frac{d\phi}{d\tau} - \sin \phi + \gamma \sin \phi \cos \phi$$

$$\frac{d\phi}{d\tau} = -\sin \phi + \gamma \sin \phi \cos \phi$$

$$\frac{d\phi}{d\tau} = \sin \phi (\gamma \cos \phi - 1).$$

Note. The non-dimensionalized form of our model is

$$\varepsilon \frac{d^2\phi}{d\tau^2} + \frac{d\phi}{d\tau} = \sin \phi (\gamma \cos \phi - 1).$$

If $\varepsilon \ll 1$, then

$$\frac{d\phi}{d\tau} = \sin \phi (\gamma \cos \phi - 1).$$

12.1.1 Bifurcation Analysis of the First-order Equation

We see that we have fixed points when $\phi = n\pi$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ or $\cos \phi = \frac{1}{\gamma}$. When $0 < \gamma < 1$, then there are no solutions to $\cos \gamma = \phi$. For $\gamma > 1$, we are going to have two solutions, symmetric about $\phi = 0$, given by $\phi^* = \pm \cos^{-1}(\frac{1}{\gamma})$. Finally, when $\gamma = 1$, then $\phi = 0$.

We wish to find when $\frac{\partial f}{\partial \phi} = 0$, so

$$\begin{aligned} \cos \phi(\gamma \cos \phi - 1) + \sin \phi(-\gamma \sin \phi) &= \gamma \cos^2 \phi - \cos \phi - \gamma \sin^2 \phi \\ &= \gamma \cos^2 \phi - \cos \phi - \gamma(1 - \cos^2 \phi) \\ &= 2\gamma \cos^2 \phi - \cos \phi - \gamma. \end{aligned}$$

13 Lecture 13

We now perform stability analysis on the differential equation from last lecture. When we are at the fixed point $\phi = 0$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\left.\frac{\partial f}{\partial \phi}\right|_{\phi=0} &= (2\gamma \cos^2 \phi - \cos \phi - \gamma)|_{\phi=0} \\ &= \gamma - 1.\end{aligned}$$

- If $\gamma > 1$, then we have an unstable fixed point at $\phi = 0$.
- If $0 < \gamma < 1$, then we have a stable fixed point at $\phi = 0$.
- If $\gamma = 1$, there is a possible bifurcation at $\phi = 0$.

We now consider the fixed points $\phi = \pm\pi$.

$$\begin{aligned}\left.\frac{\partial f}{\partial \phi}\right|_{\phi=\pi} &= (2\gamma \cos^2 \phi - \cos \phi - \gamma)|_{\phi=\pi} \\ &= \gamma + 1.\end{aligned}$$

- We have that this fixed point is unstable for all $\gamma > 0$.

Finally, we consider the fixed points $\phi = \pm \cos^{-1}(\frac{1}{\gamma})$.

$$\begin{aligned}\left.\frac{\partial f}{\partial \phi}\right|_{\phi=\pm \cos^{-1}(1/\gamma)} &= (2\gamma \cos^2 \phi - \cos \phi - \gamma)|_{\phi=\pm \cos^{-1}(1/\gamma)} \\ &= \frac{1}{\gamma} - \gamma.\end{aligned}$$

- If $\gamma > 1$, then we have stable fixed points.
- If $0 < \gamma < 1$, then we have unstable fixed points.
- If $\gamma = 1$, there is a possible bifurcation.

13.1 Singularly Perturbed Problems

We consider problems of the form

$$\varepsilon \phi'' + \phi' = f(\phi).$$

We wish to see how the solutions of $\phi' = f(\phi)$ relate to the solutions of the above.

13.2 Another Non-dimensionalization Problem

Consider a damped pendulum with length L , mass m , friction constant k and gravitational constant g . By Newton's Second Law we have

$$mL\ddot{\theta} = -k\dot{\theta} - mg \sin \theta.$$

Question. In which region can we approximate it by a first order differential equation?

We again define $\tau := \frac{t}{T}$, where $T > 0$ is a typical time scale. Thus

$$\frac{d\theta}{d\tau} = \frac{d\theta}{dt} \cdot \frac{dt}{d\tau} = \frac{d\theta}{dt} \cdot T.$$

Hence we have

$$\frac{d^2\theta}{d\tau^2} = \frac{d^2\theta}{dt^2} \cdot \frac{1}{T^2}.$$

Therefore the original equation can be rewritten as

$$\frac{mL}{T^2} \cdot \frac{d^2\theta(\tau)}{d\tau^2} = -\frac{k}{T} \cdot \frac{d\theta(\tau)}{d\tau} - mg \sin(\theta(\tau))$$

Since the units of each of these terms is force, we divide everything by mg , yielding

$$\frac{L}{T^2g} \cdot \frac{d^2\theta(\tau)}{d\tau^2} = -\frac{k}{Tmg} \cdot \frac{d\theta(\tau)}{d\tau} - \sin(\theta(\tau)).$$

We choose $T = \frac{k}{mg}$, giving us a coefficient of

$$\varepsilon = \frac{L}{g \left(\frac{k}{mg} \right)^2} = \frac{m^2gL}{k^2},$$

so

$$\varepsilon \ddot{\theta} = -\dot{\theta} - \sin \theta.$$

If we take $\varepsilon \ll 1$ then $\dot{\theta} = -\sin \theta$, which is quite a good approximation to the original problem.