# Lecture Notes

Kyle Chui

2022-01-04

# Contents

1	Lecture 1 1.1 Properties of Probability	1 1
2	Lecture 22.1 Inclusion-Exclusion Principle2.2 Mutual Independence	3 4
3	Lecture 3	5
4	Lecture 4 4.1 Distinguishable Permutations	<b>7</b> 7
5	Lecture 5         5.1 Conditional Probability	<b>9</b>
6		11 11
7		<b>13</b> 13
8	8.1 Expected Value	16 16 16
9	9.1 Special Mathematical Expectations	18 18 19
10	10.1 Binomial Random Variables	<b>21</b> 21 22
11	11.1 Negative Binomial Distribution	25 25 27
		<b>29</b> 30
13	13.1 Uniform Distribution on an Interval	32 32 32
14	14.1 The Exponential Distribution	<b>34</b> 34 36
15	15.1 The Gamma Distribution	<b>37</b> 37 38
16		<b>40</b>

17 Lecture 17 17.1 Bivariate Distributions of the Discrete Type	<b>42</b> 42
18 Lecture 18	44
19 Lecture 19 19.1 The Correlation Coefficient	<b>46</b> 46
<b>20 Lecture 20</b> 20.1 Conditional Expectation	<b>48</b> 48

# 1 Lecture 1

The goal of this class is to quantify randomness. The main topics for the term are:

- 1. The fundamentals of probability theory, including conditional probability and enumeration arguments.
- 2. Discrete and continuous random variables.
- 3. Sequences of i.i.d. random variables, including the Weak Law of Large Numbers and the Central Limit Theorem.

### 1.1 Properties of Probability

Probability theory takes place inside a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ .

**Definition.** Probability Space

A probability space is a triplet  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  satisfying:

- 1. A non-empty set  $\Omega$ , called the *sample space*.
- 2. A set  $\mathcal{F}$  of subsets of  $\Omega$  satisfying certain properties:
  - Elements of  $\mathcal{F}$  are called *events*.
  - Events  $A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_k$  are called mutually exclusive if they are pairwise disjoint, i.e. if  $i \neq j$  then  $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$ .
  - Events  $A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_k$  are called *exhaustive* if their union is the sample space, i.e.

$$\bigcup_{j=1}^{k} A_j = \Omega.$$

- For this class you may ignore  $\mathcal{F}$  and assume that all subsets of  $\Omega$  are events.
- 3. A function  $\mathbb{P} \colon \mathcal{F} \to [0,1]$ , called a *probability measure*, which satisfies:
  - $\mathbb{P}[\Omega] = 1$ , or "the probability that something happens is 1".
  - If  $A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_n$  are mutually exclusive events, then

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\bigcup_{j=1}^{n} A_j\right] = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathbb{P}[A_j].$$

• If  $A_1, A_2, \ldots$  are mutually exclusive events, then

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} A_j\right] = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}[A_j].$$

**Example.** Suppose I flip two fair coins. Then the sample space can be written as  $\Omega = \{HH, HT, TH, TT\}$ . The probability measure should be defined as

$$P[HH] = \frac{1}{4}$$

$$P[HT] = \frac{1}{4}$$

$$P[TH] = \frac{1}{4}$$

$$P[TT] = \frac{1}{4}$$

The probability of getting exactly one head is hence  $\mathbb{P}[\{HT, TH\}] = \mathbb{P}[HT] + \mathbb{P}[TH] = \frac{1}{2}$ .

Theorem.  $\mathbb{P}[\varnothing] = 0$ .

*Proof.* We know that  $\Omega$  and  $\varnothing$  are mutually exclusive, since,  $\Omega \cap \varnothing = \varnothing$ . Thus

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{P}[\Omega] &= \mathbb{P}[\Omega \cup \varnothing] \\ &= \mathbb{P}[\Omega] + \mathbb{P}[\varnothing], \end{split}$$

and so  $\mathbb{P}[\varnothing] = 0$ .

**Theorem.** If  $A \subseteq \Omega$  is an event and  $A' = \Omega \setminus A$  then

$$\mathbb{P}[A] = 1 - \mathbb{P}[A'].$$

*Proof.* Since we have that  $A' = \Omega \setminus A$ , we know that  $A' \cap A = \emptyset$ , so they are mutually exclusive. Thus we have

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{P}[\Omega] &= \mathbb{P}[A \cup A'] \\ 1 &= \mathbb{P}[A] + \mathbb{P}[A'] \\ \mathbb{P}[A] &= 1 - \mathbb{P}[A']. \end{split}$$

**Theorem.** If  $A \subseteq B$  then

$$\mathbb{P}[B \setminus A] = \mathbb{P}[B] - \mathbb{P}[A].$$

*Proof.* We know that  $B = A \cup (B \setminus A)$  and  $A \cap (B \setminus A) = \emptyset$ . Hence

$$\mathbb{P}[B] = \mathbb{P}[A \cup (B \setminus A)] = \mathbb{P}[A] + \mathbb{P}[B \setminus A],$$

and the result follows.

**Theorem.** If  $A \subseteq B$  then  $\mathbb{P}[A] \leq \mathbb{P}[B]$ .

*Proof.* From the previous theorem we have

$$\mathbb{P}[A] \le \mathbb{P}[A] + \mathbb{P}[B \setminus A] = \mathbb{P}[B].$$

# 2 Lecture 2

### 2.1 Inclusion-Exclusion Principle

**Theorem** — Inclusion-Exclusion Principle

If  $A, B \subseteq \Omega$  are events, then

$$\mathbb{P}[A \cup B] = \mathbb{P}[A] + \mathbb{P}[B] - \mathbb{P}[A \cap B].$$

*Proof.* Observe that we may write

$$A \cup B = [A \setminus (A \cap B)] \cup [A \cap B] \cup [B \setminus (A \cap B)],$$

where  $A \cap B$ ,  $B \setminus (A \cap B)$ , and  $A \setminus (A \cap B)$  are mutually exclusive. Hence

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{P}[A \cup B] &= \mathbb{P}[A \setminus (A \cap B)] + \mathbb{P}[B \setminus (A \cap B)] + \mathbb{P}[A \cap B] \\ &= (\mathbb{P}[A] - \mathbb{P}[A \cap B]) + (\mathbb{P}[B] - \mathbb{P}[A \cap B]) + \mathbb{P}[A \cap B] \\ &= \mathbb{P}[A] + \mathbb{P}[B] - \mathbb{P}[A \cap B]. \end{split}$$

Theorem — Union Bound

If  $A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_n \subseteq \Omega$  are events, then

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\bigcup_{j=1}^{n} A_j\right] \le \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathbb{P}[A_j].$$

*Proof.* We proceed via proof by induction. Observe that for n = 1, we have  $\mathbb{P}[A_1] \leq \mathbb{P}[A_1]$ , which is obviously true. Suppose that this statements holds for some  $k \geq 1$ . Then

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\bigcup_{j=1}^{k+1} A_j\right] = \mathbb{P}\left[\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{k} A_j\right) \cup A_{k+1}\right]$$

$$= \mathbb{P}\left[\bigcup_{j=1}^{k} A_j\right] + \mathbb{P}[A_{k+1}] - \mathbb{P}\left[\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{k} A_j\right) \cap A_{k+1}\right]$$

$$\leq \mathbb{P}\left[\bigcup_{j=1}^{k} A_j\right] + \mathbb{P}[A_{k+1}]$$

$$\leq \sum_{j=1}^{k} \mathbb{P}[A_j] + \mathbb{P}[A_{k+1}]$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{k+1} \mathbb{P}[A_j].$$

Hence the statement holds for k+1, and so holds for all natural numbers n.

# 2.2 Mutual Independence

 $\textbf{Definition.}\ \ Independence$ 

We say that two events  $A, B \subseteq \Omega$  are independent if

$$\mathbb{P}[A\cap B]=\mathbb{P}[A]\mathbb{P}[B].$$

If two events are not independent, then we say that they are dependent.

**Definition.** Mutual Independence

We say that events  $A_1, \ldots, A_n \subseteq \Omega$  are mutually independent if, given any  $1 \le k \le n$  and  $1 \le j_1 < j_2 \cdots < j_k \le n$  we have

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\bigcap_{\ell=1}^k A_{j_\ell}\right] = \prod_{\ell=1}^k \mathbb{P}[A_{j_\ell}].$$

### 3 Lecture 3

**Theorem** — Multiplication Principle

Suppose I run r mutually independent experiments so that

- The 1<sup>st</sup> experiment has  $n_1$  possible outcomes.
- The  $2^{\text{nd}}$  experiment has  $n_2$  possible outcomes.
- ..
- The  $r^{\text{th}}$  experiment has  $n_r$  possible outcomes.

The composite experiment then has  $n_1 \cdot n_2 \cdot \cdots \cdot n_r$  outcomes.

In some experiments we care about taking samples of size r from a set of n objects.

- We can seek ordered or unordered samples.
- We can do this with or without replacement.

**Theorem.** There are  $n^r$  possible choices of an *ordered* sample of size r from a set of n objects with replacement.

*Proof.* We run r experiments corresponding to each choice. For each choice, we have n possible outcomes because we are performing the choices with replacement. The Multiplication Principle tells us that there are  $n \cdot n \cdot \dots \cdot n = n^r$  outcomes.

**Theorem.** There are

$$_{n}P_{r} = \frac{n!}{(n-r)!}$$

ordered samples of size r from a set of n objects without replacement. The number  ${}_{n}Pr$  is known as the number of permutations of n objects, taken r at a time.

*Proof.* Each choice is an independent experiment:

- 1<sup>st</sup> choice: n outcomes
- $2^{\text{nd}}$  choice: n-1 outcomes
- $3^{rd}$  choice: n-2 outcomes
- $r^{\text{th}}$ : n (r 1) outcomes

Hence the composite experiment has

$$n \cdot (n-1) \cdot \cdots \cdot (n-r+1) = {}_{n}P_{r}$$

outcomes.

**Theorem.** There are

$${}_{n}C_{r} = \frac{n!}{(n-r)!r!}$$

unordered samples of size r from a set of n objects without replacement.

*Proof.* From the previous theorem, there are  ${}_{n}P_{r}$  ordered samples of size r from n objects without

replacement. However, we have over counted because our sample will show up r! times (in every possible permutation). Hence we divide by r! to get

$$_{n}C_{r} = \frac{_{n}P_{r}}{r!} = \frac{n!}{(n-r)!r!}.$$

Note.  ${}_{n}C_{r} = {}_{n}C_{n-r}$ .

## 4 Lecture 4

- There are  $n^r$  ordered samples of size r from n objects with replacement.
- There are  ${}_{n}P_{r}$  ordered samples of size r from n objects without replacement.
- There are  ${}_{n}C_{r} = \frac{n!}{r!(n-r)!}$  unordered samples of size r from n objects without replacement.
- There are  $_{n+r-1}C_r = \frac{(n+r-1)!}{r!(n-1)!}$  unordered samples of size r from n objects with replacement.

## 4.1 Distinguishable Permutations

- Suppose we are given n objects, but some of them are identical.
- How many distinguishable permutations of the n objects are there?

**Theorem.** Suppose you have:

- $n_1$  objects of type 1,
- $n_2$  objects of type 2,
- ..
- $n_r$  objects of type r.

Let  $n = n_1 + n_2 + \cdots + n_r$ . Then the number of distinguishable permutations is

$$\binom{n}{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_r} = \frac{n!}{n_1! n_2! \cdots n_r!}.$$

*Proof.* We have n locations.

- First choose  $n_1$  locations for type 1 objects:  ${}_{n}C_{n_1}$  choices.
- Then choose  $n_2$  locations for type 2 objects:  $n_{-n_1}C_{n_2}$  choices.
- ...
- Finally we choose  $n_r$  locations for type r objects:  $n_{-n_1-\cdots-n_{r-1}}C_{n_r}$  choices.

Using the multiplication principle to take the product of all of these combinations, we have

$$\binom{n}{n_1, \dots, n_r} = \frac{n!}{n_1! n_2! \cdots n_r!}.$$

Note. An alternate way to think about this theorem is to first consider how many regular permutations of n objects there are (n!), and then divide by how many possible times we over count  $(n_k!)$  for each  $1 \le k \le r$ .

#### 4.2 The Binomial Theorem

**Theorem** — Binomial Theorem

If  $n \geq 0$  then

$$(x+y)^n = \sum_{r=0}^n \binom{n}{r} x^r y^{n-r},$$

where the binomial coefficient is

$$\binom{n}{r} = {}_{n}C_{r}.$$

*Proof.* If we multiply out  $(x+y)^n = \underbrace{(x+y)\cdots(x+y)}_{n \text{ times}}$  without using the fact that multiplication is

commutative, we see that the number of times  $x^ry^{n-r}$  appears is equal to how many different ways there are to rearrange r "x" terms in n total terms.

**Theorem.** We have

$$\sum_{r=0}^{n} \binom{n}{r} = 2^{n}.$$

**Theorem.** If  $n, r \geq 0$  then

$$(x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_r)^n = \sum_{n_1 + \dots + n_r = n} \binom{n}{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_r} x_1^{n_1} x_2^{n_2} \cdots x_r^{n_r}.$$

*Proof.* Similar to the binomial theorem, we have that to get each term we just need to find the number of distinguishable permutations of  $n_1$  terms of  $x_1, ..., n_r$  terms of  $x_r$ , which is our multinomial coefficient from before.

# 5 Lecture 5

#### 5.1 Conditional Probability

Suppose that  $A, B \subseteq \Omega$  are events. If we know that the event B occurs, how does this affect the probability that A occurs?

We write  $\mathbb{P}[A]$  for the probability of A, and  $\mathbb{P}[A \mid B]$  for the probability of A conditioned on B.

#### Example.

- Suppose that 5% of UCLA students take a math class and 6% take a physics class.
- Suppose that 80% of UCLA students that take a math class also take a physics class.
- If we know that a randomly chosen student takes a math class, what is the probability they take a physics class?

Let us define

 $\Omega = \{ All \ UCLA \ students \},$ 

 $A = \{ \text{Students taking a physics class} \},$ 

 $B = \{ \text{Students taking a math class} \}.$ 

Then we want to find  $\mathbb{P}[A \mid B]$ . Thus we have

$$\mathbb{P}[A \mid B] = \frac{\mathbb{P}[A \cap B]}{\mathbb{P}[B]}$$
$$= \frac{0.05 \cdot 0.8}{0.05}$$
$$= 0.8.$$

**Definition.** Conditional Probability

Suppose A, B are events. Then the probability of A conditioned on B is given by

$$\mathbb{P}[A \mid B] = \frac{\mathbb{P}[A \cap B]}{\mathbb{P}[B]}.$$

**Theorem.** If  $B \subseteq \Omega$  is an event so that  $\mathbb{P}[B] \neq 0$  then  $\mathbb{P}[\cdot \mid B]$  is a probability measure. Precisely:

•  $\mathbb{P}[\Omega \mid B] = 1$ .

*Proof.* Observe that

$$\mathbb{P}[\Omega \mid B] = \frac{\mathbb{P}[\Omega \cap B]}{\mathbb{P}[B]} = \frac{\mathbb{P}[B]}{\mathbb{P}[B]} = 1.$$

• If  $A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_n$  are mutually exclusive events then

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\left.\bigcup_{j=1}^{n} A_{j} \middle| B\right] = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathbb{P}[A_{j} \mid B].$$

*Proof.* If  $A_1, \ldots, A_n$  are mutually exclusive, so are  $A_1 \cap B, A_2 \cap B, \ldots, A_n \cap B$ . Then

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\bigcup_{j=1}^{n} A_{j} \middle| B\right] = \frac{\mathbb{P}\left[\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{n} A_{j}\right) \cap B\right]}{\mathbb{P}[B]}$$

$$= \frac{\mathbb{P}\left[\bigcup_{j=1}^{n} (A_{j} \cap B)\right]}{\mathbb{P}[B]}$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\mathbb{P}[A_{j} \cap B]}{\mathbb{P}[B]}$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathbb{P}[A_{j} \mid B].$$

• If  $A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_n$  are mutually exclusive events then

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\left|\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} A_j\right| B\right] = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}[A_j \mid B].$$

*Proof.* The proof for this is very similar to the one above.

**Theorem.** If A and B are independent events so that  $\mathbb{P}[B] \neq 0$  then

$$\mathbb{P}[A \mid B] = \mathbb{P}[A].$$

*Proof.* Observe that

$$\mathbb{P}[A \mid B] = \frac{\mathbb{P}[A \cap B]}{\mathbb{P}[B]} = \frac{\mathbb{P}[A] \cdot \mathbb{P}[B]}{\mathbb{P}[B]} = \mathbb{P}[A].$$

# 6 Lecture 6

**Theorem** — The Law of Total Probability

Let  $A \subseteq \Omega$  be an event and  $B_1, B_2, \dots, B_n \subseteq \Omega$  be mutually exclusive events so that  $\mathbb{P}[B_j] \neq 0$  and

$$A \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^{n} B_j$$
.

Then

$$\mathbb{P}[A] = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathbb{P}[A \mid B_j] \mathbb{P}[B_j].$$

*Proof.* As  $A \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^n B_j$  we have

$$A = A \cap \left[\bigcup_{j=1}^{n} B_j\right] = \bigcup_{j=1}^{n} (A \cap B_j).$$

As all of the  $B_j$  are mutually exclusive, so are  $A \cap B_j$ . Hence

$$\mathbb{P}[A] = \mathbb{P}\left[\bigcup_{j=1}^{n} (A \cap B_j)\right]$$
$$= \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathbb{P}[A \cap B_j]$$
$$= \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathbb{P}[A \mid B_j] \mathbb{P}[B_j].$$

Note. The same result is true if we have a countable number of events  $B_1, B_2, \ldots$ 

### 6.1 Bayes' Theorem

**Theorem** — Bayes' Theorem

If  $A, B \subseteq \Omega$  are events so that  $\mathbb{P}[A], \mathbb{P}[B] \neq 0$  then

$$\mathbb{P}[B \mid A] = \frac{\mathbb{P}[A \mid B] \mathbb{P}[B]}{\mathbb{P}[A]}$$

*Proof.* By definition, we have

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{P}[B \mid A] &= \frac{\mathbb{P}[A \cap B]}{\mathbb{P}[A]} \\ &= \frac{\mathbb{P}[A \mid B]\mathbb{P}[B]}{\mathbb{P}[A]}. \end{split}$$

### **Theorem** — Bayes' Theorem (Improved Version)

If  $A \subseteq \Omega$  is an event and  $B_1, B_2, \ldots, B_n \subseteq \Omega$  are mutually exclusive events so that  $\mathbb{P}[A], \mathbb{P}[B_j] \neq 0$  and

$$A \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^{n} B_j,$$

then for any  $1 \le k \le n$  we have

$$\mathbb{P}[B_k \mid A] = \frac{\mathbb{P}[A \mid B_k] \mathbb{P}[B_k]}{\sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{P}[A \mid B_j] \mathbb{P}[B_j]}.$$

*Proof.* The Law of Total Probability tells us that

$$\mathbb{P}[A] = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathbb{P}[A \mid B_j] \mathbb{P}[B_j].$$

Hence by Bayes' Theorem we have

$$\mathbb{P}[B_k \mid A] = \frac{\mathbb{P}[A \mid B_k]\mathbb{P}[B_k]}{\mathbb{P}[A]}$$
$$= \frac{\mathbb{P}[A \mid B_k]\mathbb{P}[B_k]}{\sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{P}[A \mid B_j]\mathbb{P}[B_j]}.$$

# 7 Lecture 7

#### 7.1 Discrete Random Variables

#### **Definition.** Random Variable

Given a set S, a random variable is a function  $X: \Omega \to S$  satisfying certain properties. For the sake of this class, we will assume that all functions  $X: \Omega \to S$  are random variables. Some notation follows:

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{P}[X = x] &= \mathbb{P}\{\omega \in \Omega \mid X(\omega) = x\}, \\ \mathbb{P}[X \in A] &= \mathbb{P}\{\omega \in \Omega \mid X(\omega) \in A\}. \end{split}$$

#### **Definition.** Discrete Random Variable

Let  $X \colon \Omega \to S$  be a random variable. We say that X is a discrete random variable if  $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  is a countable set. We define the probability mass function (PMF) of X to be the function  $p_X \colon S \to [0,1]$  given by

$$p_X(x) = \mathbb{P}[X = x].$$

We define the *cumulative distribution function* (CDF) of X to be the function  $F_X : \mathbb{R} \to [0,1]$  given by

$$F_X(x) = \mathbb{P}[X \le x].$$

We say that two random variables X, Y are identically distributed if they have the same CDF, and we write  $X \sim Y$ .

#### **Definition.** Uniform Distribution

Let  $m \geq 1$ . We say that a discrete random variable X is uniformly distributed on  $\{1, 2, ..., m\}$  and write  $X \sim \text{Uniform}(\{1, 2, ..., m\})$  if it has PMF

$$p_X(x) = \frac{1}{m}$$
 if  $x \in \{1, 2, ..., m\}$ .

If  $X \sim \text{Uniform}(\{1, 2, \dots, m\})$ , then it has CDF

$$F_X(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x < 1, \\ \frac{k}{m} & \text{if } k \le x < k+1 \text{ and } k \in \{1, 2, \dots, m-1\}, \\ 1 & \text{if } x \ge m. \end{cases}$$

If X is a discrete random variable taking values in a countable set  $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  and  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  is any set then

$$\mathbb{P}[X \in A] = \sum_{x \in A \cap S} p_X(x).$$

*Proof.* Since S is countable, we know that  $A \cap S = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$  (or  $\{a_1, a_2, \dots\}$ ). Hence

$$\mathbb{P}[X \in A] = \mathbb{P}[X \in \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}]$$

$$= \mathbb{P}\left[\bigcup_{j=1}^n \{X = a_j\}\right]$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{P}[X = a_j]$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^n p_X(a_j)$$

$$= \sum_{x \in A \cap S} p_X(x).$$

If X is a discrete random variable taking values in a countable set  $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  then

$$F_X(x) = \sum_{\substack{y \le x \\ y \in S}} p_X(y).$$

*Proof.* Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} F_X(x) &= \mathbb{P}[X \leq x] \\ &= \mathbb{P}[X \in (-\infty, x]] \\ &= \sum_{y \in (-\infty, x] \cap S} p_X(y) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{y \leq x \\ y \in S}} p_X(y). \end{aligned}$$

If X is a discrete random variable and a < b then

$$\mathbb{P}[a < X \le b] = F_X(b) - F_X(a).$$

*Proof.* Observe that

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{P}[a < X \leq b] &= \mathbb{P}[X \in (a,b]] \\ &= \sum_{x \in (a,b] \cap S} p_X(x) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{x \leq b \\ x \in S}} p_X(x) - \sum_{\substack{x \leq a \\ x \in S}} p_X(x) \\ &= F_X(b) - F_X(a). \end{split}$$

If X is a discrete random variable taking values in a countable set  $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  then

$$\sum_{x \in S} p_X(x) = 1.$$

*Proof.* Observe that

$$\sum_{x \in S} p_X(x) = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{R} \cap S}$$

$$= \mathbb{P}[X \in R]$$

$$= \mathbb{P}[\Omega]$$

$$= 1.$$

# 8 Lecture 8

#### 8.1 Expected Value

**Definition.** Expected Value

If X is a discrete random variable taking values in a countable set  $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ , we define its *expected value* to be

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = \sum_{x \in S} x \cdot p_X(x)$$

provided the sum converges in a suitable sense.

Note. We often use the notation  $\mu_X = \mathbb{E}[X]$  to denote the "mean" or "average" value.

#### 8.1.1 Bernoulli Distribution

**Definition.** Bernoulli Random Variable

Let  $p \in (0,1)$ . We say that a discrete random variable X is a Bernoulli random variable and write  $X \sim \text{Bernoulli}(p)$  if it has PMF

$$p_X(x) = \begin{cases} p & \text{if } x = 1, \\ 1 - p & \text{if } x = 0. \end{cases}$$

Then by definition we have

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = 0 \cdot p_X(0) + 1 \cdot p_X(1) = p.$$

Let X be a discrete random variable. If  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  then  $\mathbb{E}[a] = a$ .

*Proof.* Let g(x) = a. Then

$$\mathbb{E}[a] = \mathbb{E}[g(X)]$$

$$= \sum_{x \in S} g(x)p_X(x)$$

$$= \sum_{x \in S} ap_X(x)$$

$$= a \sum_{x \in S} p_X(x)$$

$$= a,$$

since 
$$\sum_{x \in S} p_X(x) = 1$$
.

Let X be a discrete random variable taking values in a countable set  $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ . If  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $g, h \colon S \to \mathbb{R}$  then

$$\mathbb{E}[ag(X) + bh(X)] = a\mathbb{E}[g(X)] + b\mathbb{E}[h(X)].$$

*Proof.* By definition, we have

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}[ag(X) + bh(X)] &= \sum_{x \in S} (ag(x) + bh(x)) p_X(x) \\ &= a \sum_{x \in S} g(x) p_X(x) + b \sum_{x \in S} h(x) p_X(x) \\ &= a \mathbb{E}[g(X)] + b \mathbb{E}[h(X)]. \end{split}$$

Let X be a discrete random variable taking values in a countable set  $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ . If  $g, h \colon S \to \mathbb{R}$  satisfy  $g(x) \leq h(x)$  for all  $x \in S$  then

$$\mathbb{E}[g(X)] \le \mathbb{E}[h(X)].$$

*Proof.* Observe that

$$\mathbb{E}[g(X)] = \sum_{x \in S} g(x) p_X(x)$$

$$\leq \sum_{x \in S} h(x) p_X(x) \qquad (g(x) \leq h(x), p_X(x) > 0)$$

$$= \mathbb{E}[h(X)].$$

# 9 Lecture 9

### 9.1 Special Mathematical Expectations

**Definition.** Moments

Let X be a discrete random variable taking values in a discrete set  $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  and  $b \in \mathbb{R}$ . We define the  $r^{th}$  moment of X about b to be

$$\mathbb{E}[(X-b)^r] = \sum_{x \in S} (x-b)^r p_X(x).$$

When b = 0 we refer to this simply as the  $r^{th}$  moment of X.

#### Definition.

Let X be a discrete random variable. We define the variance of X to be

$$\operatorname{var}(X) = \mathbb{E}\left[ (X - \mathbb{E}[X])^2 \right]$$

whenever it converges. We use the notation  $\sigma_X^2 = \text{var}(X)$ . The standard deviation of X is  $\sigma_X = \sqrt{\text{var}(X)}$ .

**Theorem.** If X is a discrete random variable and  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  then:

- $\mathbb{E}[aX + b] = a\mathbb{E}[X] + b$
- $\operatorname{var}(aX + b) = a^2 \operatorname{var}[X]$

*Proof.* Observe that

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}[aX+b] &= \sum_{x \in S} (ax+b) p_X(x) \\ &= a \sum_{x \in S} x \cdot p_X(x) + \sum_{x \in S} b \cdot p_X(x) \\ &= a \mathbb{E}[X] + b. \end{split}$$

Furthermore, we have

$$\operatorname{var}(aX + b) = \mathbb{E}\left[(aX + b - \mathbb{E}[aX + b])^{2}\right]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}\left[(aX + b - a\mathbb{E}[X] - b)^{2}\right]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}\left[(aX - a\mathbb{E}[X])^{2}\right]$$

$$= a^{2} \cdot \mathbb{E}\left[(X - \mathbb{E}[X])^{2}\right]$$

$$= a^{2} \operatorname{var}(X).$$

**Theorem.** If X is a discrete random variable then

$$var(X) = \mathbb{E}[X^2] - \mathbb{E}[X]^2.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\mu_X = \mathbb{E}[X]$ , so then

$$var(X) = \mathbb{E} [(X - \mu_X)^2]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}[X^2 - 2\mu_X X + \mu_X^2]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}[X^2] - 2\mu_X \mathbb{E}[X] + \mu_X^2$$

$$= \mathbb{E}[X^2] - 2\mathbb{E}[X]^2 + \mathbb{E}[X]^2$$

$$= \mathbb{E}[X^2] - \mathbb{E}[X]^2$$

**Example.** Let  $m \ge 1$  and  $X \sim \text{Uniform}(\{1, 2, ..., m\})$ . What is var(X)? By using a Gaussian sum, we can see that  $\mathbb{E}[X] = \frac{m+1}{2}$ . To compute  $\mathbb{E}[X^2]$ , we have

$$\mathbb{E}[X^2] = \sum_{x=1}^m x^2 \cdot \frac{1}{m}$$

$$= \frac{1}{m} \cdot \frac{m \cdot (m+1) \cdot (2m+1)}{6}$$

$$= \frac{(m+1)(2m+1)}{6}.$$

Hence the variance is

$$\frac{1}{6}(m+1)(2m+1) - \left(\frac{m+1}{2}\right)^2 = \frac{m^2-1}{12}.$$

# 9.2 Moment Generating Functions

**Definition.** Moment Generating Function (MGF)

If X is a discrete random variable we define the *Moment Generating Function* (MGF) of X to be the function

$$M_X(t) = \mathbb{E}[e^{tX}],$$

whenever it exists.

**Theorem.** Let X be a discrete random variable with MGF  $M_X(t)$  that is well-defined and smooth for  $t \in (-\delta, \delta)$ . Then

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^r}{\mathrm{d}t^r} M_X|_{t=0} = \mathbb{E}[X^r].$$

*Proof.* Let S be the set of outputs of X. Then

$$M_X(t) = \mathbb{E}[e^{tX}]$$
$$= \sum_{x \in S} e^{tx} p_X(x).$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial^r}{\partial t^r} M_X(t) &= \frac{\partial^r}{\partial t^r} \sum_{x \in S} e^{tx} p_X(x) \\ &= \sum_{x \in S} x^r e^{tx} p_X(x). \end{split}$$

Therefore

$$\frac{\partial^r}{\partial t^r} M_X(t)|_{t=0} = \sum_{x \in S} x^r p_X(x) = \mathbb{E}[X^r]$$

**Theorem.** Let X be a discrete random variable with MGF  $M_X(t)$  that is well-defined and smooth for  $t \in (-\delta, \delta)$ .

- $\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \ln M_X|_{t=0} = \mathbb{E}[X].$
- $\frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}t^2} \ln M_X|_{t=0} = \mathrm{var}(X).$

## 10 Lecture 10

#### 10.1 Binomial Random Variables

**Definition.** Binomial Random Variable

A Bernoulli trial is an experiment that has probability  $p \in (0,1)$  of success and probability 1-p of failure.

- Suppose we run  $n \geq 1$  independent, identical Bernoulli trials, and let X be the number of successes.
- Then we know that X is a discrete random variable taking values in the set  $S = \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ .
- We say that X is a Binomial random variable with parameters n, p and write  $X \sim \text{Binomial}(n, p)$ .

**Theorem.** If  $X \sim \text{Binomial}(n, p)$  then its PMF is

$$p_X(x) = \binom{n}{x} p^x (1-p)^{n-x}$$
 if  $x \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ .

*Proof.* Recall that  $p_X(x) = \mathbb{P}[X = x]$ . We know that there are  $\binom{n}{x}$  ways to arrange exactly x "successes" in a total of n trials. Since each trial has a "success" rate of p, and a "failure" rate of 1-p, and they are independent, each arrangement has a  $p^x(1-p)^{n-x}$  probability of occurring. Hence the total probability of any of the arrangements occurring (as they are mutually independent) is

$$p_X(x) = \binom{n}{x} p^x (1-p)^{n-x}.$$

**Note.** By the Binomial Theorem we have

$$\sum_{x=0}^{n} p_X(x) = (p + (1-p))^n = 1.$$

**Theorem.** If  $X \sim \text{Binomial}(n, p)$ , its MGF is

$$M_X(t) = (1 - p + pe^t)^n.$$

*Proof.* We compute

$$M_X(t) = \mathbb{E}[e^{tx}]$$

$$= \sum_{x=0}^n e^{tx} p_X(x)$$

$$= \sum_{x=0}^n e^{tx} \binom{n}{x} p^x (1-p)^{n-x}$$

$$= \sum_{x=0}^n \binom{n}{x} (pe^t)^x (1-p)^{n-x}$$

$$= (1-p+pe^t)^n.$$

Note. Recall that  $(1 - p + pe^t)$  is the MFG of the Bernoulli random variable.

**Theorem.** If  $X \sim \text{Binomial}(n, p)$  its mean is

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = np.$$

*Proof.* Recall that  $M_X(t) = (pe^t + 1 - p)^n$  so  $M_X'(t) = n(pe^t + 1 - p)^{n-1} \cdot pe^t$ . Hence

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = M_X'(0) = n(p+1-p)^{n-1} \cdot p = np.$$

Note. Recall that p is the expected value of the Bernoulli random variable.

**Theorem.** If  $X \sim \text{Binomial}(n, p)$  its variance is

$$var(X) = np(1-p)$$

*Proof.* Recall that  $var(X) = \mathbb{E}[X^2] - \mathbb{E}[X]^2 = \mathbb{E}[X^2] - (np)^2$ . Furthermore, we just showed that

$$M'_X(t) = n(pe^t + 1 - p)^{n-1} \cdot pe^t,$$

so

$$M_X''(t) = n(n-1)(pe^t + 1 - p)^{n-2} \cdot (pe^t)^2 + n(pe^t + 1 - p)^{n-1} \cdot pe^t.$$

Hence

$$\mathbb{E}[X^2] = M_X''(0)$$

$$= n(n-1)(p+1-p)^{n-2} \cdot p^2 + n(p+1-p)^{n-1} \cdot p$$

$$= n(n-1) \cdot p^2 + np.$$

Therefore

$$var(X) = n(n-1) \cdot p^{2} + np - (np)^{2}$$
$$= n^{2}p^{2} - np^{2} + np - n^{2}p^{2}$$
$$= np(1-p).$$

Note. Recall that p(1-p) is the variance of a regular Bernoulli random variable.

### 10.2 Geometric Random Variables

**Definition.** Geometric Random Variable

Suppose we repeatedly run independent, identical Bernoulli trials with probability  $p \in (0,1)$  of success.

- Let X be the trial on which we first achieve success.
- X is a discrete random variable taking values in the set  $S = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ .
- We say that X is a geometric random variable with parameter p and write  $X \sim \text{Geometric}(p)$ .

Note. Sometimes geometric random variables are defined differently, but this is the definition that we will use for this class.

**Theorem.** If  $X \sim \text{Geometric}(p)$  then its PMF is

$$p_X(x) = (1-p)^{x-1}p$$
 if  $x \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ .

*Proof.* The probability that X=x is the probability that we have x-1 failures, followed by a success. Since the events are independent, this gives us the desired result.

Note. For the infinite series version of this, we have

$$\sum_{x=1}^{\infty} p_X(x) = \sum_{x=1}^{\infty} (1-p)^{x-1} p$$
$$= p \sum_{x=1}^{\infty} (1-p)^{x-1}$$
$$= p \cdot \frac{1}{1 - (1-p)}$$
$$= 1.$$

**Theorem.** If  $X \sim \text{Geometric}(p)$  then its MGF is

$$M_X(t) = \frac{e^t p}{1 - (1 - p)e^t}$$
 if  $t < -\ln(1 - p)$ .

*Proof.* We have

$$M_X(t) = \mathbb{E}[e^{tX}]$$

$$= \sum_{x=1}^{\infty} e^{tx} p_X(x)$$

$$= \sum_{x=1}^{\infty} e^{tx} (1-p)^{x-1} p$$

$$= e^t p \sum_{x=1}^{\infty} (e^t (1-p))^{x-1}$$

$$= e^t p \cdot \frac{1}{1 - (e^t (1-p))}$$

$$= \frac{e^t p}{1 - (1-p)e^t},$$

as desired.

Note. The condition comes from the denominator, where

$$(1-p)e^t < 1.$$

**Theorem.** If  $X \sim \text{Geometric}(p)$  then its mean is

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = \frac{1}{p}.$$

*Proof.* From the previous theorem, we showed that

$$M_X(t) = \frac{e^t p}{1 - e^t (1 - p)}.$$

Hence

$$\ln M_X(t) = \ln \left( \frac{e^t p}{1 - e^t (1 - p)} \right)$$
$$= \ln(e^t p) - \ln(1 - e^t (1 - p))$$
$$= t + \ln p - \ln(1 - e^t (1 - p)).$$

Thus we have that

$$(\ln M_X)' = 1 - \frac{1}{1 - e^t(1 - p)} \cdot (p - 1)e^t = \frac{1}{1 - e^t(1 - p)}.$$

Therefore

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = (\ln M_X)'(0) = \frac{1}{p}.$$

**Theorem.** If  $X \sim \text{Geometric}(p)$  then its variance is

$$\operatorname{var}(X) = \frac{1 - p}{p^2}.$$

*Proof.* From the last problem we have that

$$(\ln M_X)' = \frac{1}{1 - e^t(1 - p)},$$

SC

$$(\ln M_X)'' = -\frac{1}{(1 - e^t(1 - p))^2} \cdot (p - 1)e^t = \frac{e^t(1 - p)}{(1 - e^t(1 - p))^2}.$$

Therefore

$$var(X) = (\ln M_X)''(0) = \frac{1-p}{p^2},$$

as desired.

# 11 Lecture 11

### 11.1 Negative Binomial Distribution

**Definition.** Negative Binomial Distribution

Suppose we repeatedly run independent, identical Bernoulli trials with probability  $p \in (0,1)$  of success.

- Let  $r \ge 1$  and let X be the trial on which we first achieve the  $r^{\text{th}}$  success.
- X is a discrete random variable taking values in the set  $S = \{r, r+1, r+2, \ldots\}$ .
- We say that X is a negative binomial random variable with parameters r, p and write  $X \sim \text{Negative Binomial}(r, p)$ .

Note. If we ask about a negative binomial with parameter (1, p), we see that this should be the same as a Geometric random variable.

**Theorem.** If  $X \sim \text{Negative Binomial}(r, p)$ , then its PMF is

$$p_X(x) = {x-1 \choose r-1} p^r (1-p)^{x-r}$$
 if  $x \in \{r, r+1, \dots\}$ 

*Proof.* If we want to get our  $r^{\text{th}}$  success on the  $x^{\text{th}}$  trial, then we must have gotten r-1 successes in the last x-1 trials, and a success on the last trial. Notice that the former is similar to a binomial distribution. Hence the PMF should be

$$p_X(x) = {x-1 \choose r-1} p^{r-1} (1-p)^{(x-1)-(r-1)} \cdot p$$
$$= {x-1 \choose r-1} p^r (1-p)^{x-r}.$$

**Theorem.** If  $r \ge 1$  is an integer and 0 < s < 1 then

$$\left(\frac{1}{1-s}\right)^r = \sum_{x=r}^{\infty} \binom{x-1}{r-1} s^{x-r}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $g(s) = (1-s)^{-r}$  be the left hand side of the above. We apply Taylor's theorem:

$$g(s) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\ell!} \cdot \frac{\mathrm{d}^{\ell} g}{\mathrm{d} s^{\ell}}(0) s^{\ell}.$$

Observe that:

- If  $\ell = 0$  then  $\frac{d^{\ell}g}{ds^{\ell}}(0) = g(0) = 1$
- If  $\ell = 1$  then  $\frac{d^{\ell}g}{ds^{\ell}}(0) = g'(0) = r$
- If  $\ell = 1$  then  $\frac{d^{\ell}g}{ds^{\ell}}(0) = g'(0) = r(r-1)$

In general,

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^{\ell} g}{\mathrm{d} s^{\ell}}(0) = r(r+1)\cdots(r+\ell-1) = \frac{(r+\ell-1)!}{(r-1)!}.$$

Hence

$$g(s) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\ell!} \cdot \frac{(r+\ell-1)!}{(r-1)!} \cdot s^{\ell}.$$

If we let  $x = r + \ell$ , we have  $\ell = x - r$ , so

$$g(s) = \sum_{x=r}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(x-r)!} \cdot \frac{(x-1)!}{(r-1)!} \cdot s^{x-r}$$
$$= \sum_{x=r}^{\infty} {x-1 \choose r-1} s^{x-r}.$$

Note. Recall that if  $X \sim \text{Negative Binomial}(r, p)$  then

$$p_X(x) = \binom{x-1}{r-1} p^r (1-p)^{x-r}.$$

Hence

$$\sum_{x=r}^{\infty} p_X(x) = \sum_{x=r}^{\infty} {x-1 \choose r-1} p^r (1-p)^{x-r}$$

$$= p^r \sum_{x=r}^{\infty} {x-1 \choose r-1} (1-p)^{x-r}$$

$$= p^r \cdot (1-(1-p))^{-r}$$

$$= 1.$$

**Theorem.** If  $X \sim \text{Negative Binomial}(r, p)$  then its MGF is

$$M_X(t) = \left(\frac{e^t p}{1 - (1 - p)e^t}\right)^r$$
 if  $t < -\ln(1 - p)$ .

*Proof.* We compute that the MGF is

$$M_X(t) = \mathbb{E}[e^{tX}]$$

$$= \sum_{x=r}^{\infty} e^{tx} p_X(x)$$

$$= \sum_{x=r}^{\infty} e^{tx} {x-1 \choose r-1} p^r (1-p)^{x-r}$$

$$= e^{tr} p^r \sum_{x=r}^{\infty} {x-1 \choose r-1} (e^t (1-p))^{x-r}$$

$$= e^{tr} p^r \cdot \frac{1}{(1-(e^t (1-p)))^r}$$

$$= \left(\frac{e^t p}{1-(1-p)e^t}\right)^r.$$

**Theorem.** If  $X \sim \text{Negative Binomial}(r, p)$  then

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = \frac{r}{p},$$
$$\operatorname{var}(X) = \frac{r(1-p)}{p^2}.$$

Proof. Let

$$h(t) = \ln\left(\frac{pe^t}{1 - e^t(1 - p)}\right).$$

Since X is a negative binomial random variable, its moment generating function is geometric. We know that for geometric random variables,  $h'(0) = \frac{1}{p}$  and  $h''(0) = \frac{1-p}{p^2}$ . Hence if  $X \sim \text{Negative Binomial}(r, p)$  then

$$\ln M_X(t) = \ln \left( \frac{pe^t}{1 - e^t(1 - p)} \right)^r$$
$$= r \cdot h(t).$$

Therefore

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = \frac{r}{p},$$
$$\operatorname{var}(X) = \frac{r(1-p)}{p^2}.$$

# 11.2 Poisson Distribution

**Definition.** Poisson Random Variable

We make the following assumptions about arrivals in a given time interval:

- If the time intervals  $(a_1, b_1], (a_2, b_2], \ldots, (a_n, b_n]$  are disjoin then the number of arrivals in each time interval are independent.
- If h = b a is sufficiently small then the probability of exactly one arrival in the time interval (a, b] is  $\lambda h$ .
- If h = b a then the probability of having more than one arrival in the time interval (a, b] converges to 0 as  $h \to 0$ .

An arrival process satisfying these assumptions is called an approximate Poisson process. If we take X to be the number of arrivals in a unit of time, then we call X a Poisson random variable and write  $X \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda)$ .

**Theorem.** If  $X \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda)$  then it has PMF

$$p_X(x) = e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda^x}{x!}$$
 if  $x \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ .

*Proof.* Let X be the number of arrivals in a unit interval with width  $\frac{1}{n}$ . As n gets very large, we can think of the number of arrivals in each interval to be either 0 or 1 (Bernoulli trial with success rate

 $\frac{\lambda}{n}$ ). Thus the overall setup looks like a Binomial distribution, so

$$X \approx \text{Binomial}\left(n, \frac{\lambda}{n}\right).$$

We now just need to take  $n \to \infty$ . Hence

$$\begin{split} p_X(x) &= \lim_{n \to \infty} \binom{n}{x} \left(\frac{\lambda}{n}\right)^x \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{n}\right)^{x-n} \\ &= \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\lambda^x}{x!} \cdot \frac{n \cdot (n-1) \cdots (n-x+1)}{n \cdot n \cdots n} \cdot \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{n}\right)^{x-n} \\ &= \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\lambda^x}{x!} \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{n}\right)^{x-n} \\ &= \frac{\lambda^x}{x!} \cdot e^{-\lambda}. \end{split}$$

# 12 Lecture 12

To verify that the PMF that we found last lecture is valid, we observe that

$$\sum_{x=0}^{\infty} p_X(x) = \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^x}{x!} e^{-\lambda}$$
$$= e^{-\lambda} \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^x}{x!}$$
$$= e^{-\lambda} e^{\lambda}$$
$$= 1.$$

**Theorem.** Consider an approximate Poisson process with rate  $\lambda > 0$  per unit time. Let X be the number of arrivals in a time interval of length T > 0 units. Then  $X \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda T)$ .

*Proof.* As before, we cut up our time interval T into n sub-intervals, so  $X \approx \text{Binomial}(n, \frac{\lambda T}{n})$ . When we take  $n \to \infty$ , then we have  $p_X(x) = e^{-\lambda T} \frac{(\lambda T)^x}{x!}$ , so  $X \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda T)$ .

#### Example.

- I receive phone notifications according to an approximate Poisson process with rate  $\frac{1}{15}$  notifications per minute.
- What is the probability that I receive at least one notification in an hour?

Let X be the number of notifications I receive in an hour. Hence  $X \sim \text{Poisson}(4)$ . Therefore  $p_X(x \ge 1) = 1 - p_X(0) = 1 - e^{-4}$ .

**Theorem.** If  $\lambda > 0$  and  $X \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda)$  then its MGF is

$$M_X(t) = e^{\lambda(e^t - 1)}.$$

*Proof.* We compute

$$M_X(t) = \mathbb{E}[e^{tX}]$$

$$= \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} e^{tx} p_X(x)$$

$$= \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} e^{tx} e^{-\lambda} \cdot \frac{\lambda^x}{x!}$$

$$= e^{-\lambda} \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda e^t)^x}{x!}$$

$$= e^{-\lambda} e^{\lambda e^t}$$

$$= e^{\lambda (e^t - 1)}.$$

**Theorem.** If  $\lambda > 0$  and  $X \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda)$ , then

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = \lambda$$
$$\operatorname{var}(X) = \lambda.$$

*Proof.* Observe that  $\ln M_X(t) = \lambda(e^t - 1)$ . Hence

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = (\ln M_X(t))'(0) = \lambda.$$

Furthermore,

$$var(X) = (\ln M_X(t))''(0) = \lambda.$$

# 12.1 Random Variables of the Continuous Type

**Definition.** Continuous Random Variable Let  $X: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$  be a random variable.

• We say that X is a continuous random variable if there exists a non-negative integrable function  $f_X : \mathbb{R} \to [0, \infty)$  so that

$$F_X(x) = \int_{-\infty}^x f_X(t) \, \mathrm{d}t.$$

Note that this ensures that  $F_X(x)$  is continuous.

• We call  $f_X(x)$  a probability density function for X.

**Theorem.** If X is a continuous random variable with PDF  $f_X : \mathbb{R} \to [0, \infty)$  then

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_X(x) \, \mathrm{d}x = 1.$$

*Proof.* As  $F_X(x) = \int_{-\infty}^x f_X(x) dx$  and  $\lim_{x \to +\infty} F_X(x) = 1$ , then

$$1 = \lim_{x \to +\infty} F_X(x)$$
$$= \lim_{x \to +\infty} \int_{-\infty}^x f_X(x) dx$$
$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_X(x) dx.$$

Note. This is analogous to

$$\sum_{x \in S} p_X(x) = 1$$

for a discrete random variable.

**Theorem.** If X is a continuous random variable with PDF  $f_X : \mathbb{R} \to [0, \infty)$  and a < b then

$$\mathbb{P}[a < X \le b] = \int_a^b f_X(x) \, \mathrm{d}x.$$

*Proof.* Observe that we have

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{P}[a < X \le b] &= \mathbb{P}[\{X \le b\} \setminus \{X \le a\}] \\ &= \mathbb{P}[X \le b] - \mathbb{P}[X \le a] \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^b f_X(x) \, \mathrm{d}x - \int_{-\infty}^a f_X(x) \, \mathrm{d}x \\ &= \int_a^b f_X(x) \, \mathrm{d}x. \end{split}$$

**Theorem.** If X is a continuous random variable with PDF  $f_X : \mathbb{R} \to [0, \infty)$  then for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  we have

$$\mathbb{P}[X=x] = 0.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\delta > 0$ . Then

$$\mathbb{P}[X = x] \le \mathbb{P}[x - \delta \le X \le x]$$
$$\le \int_{x - \delta}^{x} f_X(t) dt.$$

As we take  $\delta \to 0$ , we find that  $\mathbb{P}[X = x] \to 0$ . Hence  $\mathbb{P}[X = x] = 0$ .

A consequence of the above fact (if X is a *continuous* random variable):

$$\bullet \ \mathbb{P}[a < X < b] = \mathbb{P}[a \leq X < b] = \mathbb{P}[a < X \leq b] = \mathbb{P}[a \leq X \leq b].$$

## 13 Lecture 13

#### 13.1 Uniform Distribution on an Interval

**Definition.** Uniform Distribution

Let a < b. I pick a point X at random in the interval [a,b]. If I have an equal probability of picking every point in [a,b], we say that X is uniformly distributed on the interval [a,b]. We write  $X \sim \text{Uniform}([a,b])$ .

**Theorem.** If a < b and  $X \sim \text{Uniform}([a, b])$  then it has PDF

$$f_X(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{b-a} & \text{if} \quad x \in (a,b) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* We know that  $\mathbb{P}[X \leq x]$  should be 0 if  $x \leq a$  and 1 if x > b. If  $a < x \leq b$  then  $\mathbb{P}[X \leq x] = \frac{x-a}{b-a}$ . Hence

$$f_X(x) = F'_X(x) = \frac{1}{b-a}$$
 for  $a < x < b$ .

### 13.2 Expected Value of a Continuous Random Variable

**Idea.** In order to find the expected value of a continuous random variable, we first cut up the interval into n pieces, and then take the limit of the approximate discrete random variable as  $n \to \infty$ .

Let  $n \geq 1$  and define  $X_n$  to be the discrete random variable taking values in the set  $\{0, \pm \frac{1}{n}, \pm \frac{2}{n}, \dots\}$  with PMF

$$P_{X_n}(x) = \int_{\frac{j-1}{n}}^{\frac{j}{n}} f_X(x) \, \mathrm{d}x \quad \text{if} \quad x = \frac{j}{n}.$$

We can see that this is well-defined because when we add up  $p_{X_n}(x)$  for all  $x \in S$ , we get the integral over the reals of  $f_X(x)$ , which yields 1.

**Theorem.** We have

$$\mathbb{E}[X_n] \to \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x f_X(x) \, \mathrm{d}x.$$

*Proof.* We compute that

$$\mathbb{E}[X_n] = \sum_{x \in S} x p_{X_n}(x)$$

$$= \sum_{j = -\infty}^{\infty} \frac{j}{n} p_{X_n} \left(\frac{j}{n}\right)$$

$$= \sum_{j = -\infty}^{\infty} \frac{j}{n} \int_{\frac{j-1}{n}}^{\frac{j}{n}} f_X(x) \, \mathrm{d}x.$$

Observe that if  $x \in \left[\frac{j-1}{n}, \frac{j}{n}\right]$ , then  $x \leq \frac{j}{n} \leq x + \frac{1}{n}$ . Hence

$$\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{\frac{j-1}{n}}^{\frac{j}{n}} x f_X(x) \, \mathrm{d}x \le \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{\frac{j-1}{n}}^{\frac{j}{n}} \frac{j}{n} f_X(x) \, \mathrm{d}x \le \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{\frac{j-1}{n}}^{\frac{j}{n}} \left(x + \frac{1}{n}\right) f_X(x) \, \mathrm{d}x$$
$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x f_X(x) \, \mathrm{d}x \le \mathbb{E}[X_n] \le \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(x + \frac{1}{n}\right) f_X(x) \, \mathrm{d}x$$

If we take  $n \to \infty$  and apply Squeeze Theorem, we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{E}[X_n] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x f_X(x) \, \mathrm{d}x.$$

**Definition.** Expected Value

If X is a continuous random variable with PDF  $f_X(x)$  we define its expected value to be

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x f_X(x) \, \mathrm{d}x.$$

We still use the notation  $\mu_X = \mathbb{E}[X]$ . More generally, if  $g \colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  is any function, we define

$$\mathbb{E}[g(X)] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x) f_X(x) \, \mathrm{d}x.$$

**Theorem.** Let X be a continuous random variable.

• If  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  is a constant then

$$\mathbb{E}[a] = a.$$

• If  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  are constants and  $g, h : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  then

$$\mathbb{E}[ag(X) + bh(X)] = a\mathbb{E}[g(X)] + b\mathbb{E}[h(X)].$$

• If  $g(x) \leq h(x)$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  then

$$\mathbb{E}[g(X)] \le \mathbb{E}[h(X)].$$

### 14 Lecture 14

We still use the same notation for variance,

$$var(X) = \mathbb{E}[(X - \mathbb{E}[X])^2],$$

and the standard deviation is still defined by  $\sigma_X^2 = \text{var}(X)$ .

**Theorem.** If X is a continuous random variable then

$$var(X) = \mathbb{E}[X^2] - \mathbb{E}[X]^2.$$

*Proof.* The exact same as for the discrete case!

#### **Definition.** Moment Generating Function

If X is a continuous random variable we define its moment generating function to be

$$M_X(t) = \mathbb{E}[e^{tX}],$$

for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  for which this makes sense.

The properties that we have proved before for discrete variables still apply in this continuous case.

## 14.1 The Exponential Distribution

Example. Customers at a Coffee Shop

- Customers arrive at a coffee shop according to an approximate Poisson process with rate 1 customer per minute.
- Let X be the arrival time (in minutes) of the first customer.
- What is the probability that  $X \leq \frac{1}{2}$ ?

Let N be the number of arrivals in half a minute, so  $N \sim \text{Poisson}(\frac{1}{2})$ . Then

$$\mathbb{P}[X \leq \frac{1}{2}] = \mathbb{P}[N \geq 1] = 1 - \mathbb{P}[N = 0] = 1 - e^{-\frac{1}{2}},$$

since  $p_N(x) = (\frac{1}{2})^x \cdot \frac{1}{x!} e^{-\frac{1}{2}}$  for x = 0, 1, 2, ...

#### **Definition.** Exponential Distribution

Consider an approximate Poisson process with rate  $\lambda > 0$  per unit time.

- Let X be the time of the first arrival.
- We say that X is exponentially distributed with mean waiting time  $\theta = \frac{1}{\lambda}$  and write  $X \sim \text{Exponential}(\theta)$ .

Note. Some textbooks/authors use  $\lambda$  as the parameter instead of  $\theta$ .

**Theorem.** If  $\theta > 0$  and  $X \sim \text{Exponential}(\theta)$  then its PDF is

$$f_X(x) = \frac{1}{\theta} e^{-\frac{x}{\theta}}$$
 if  $x > 0$ .

*Proof.* Consider an approximate Poisson process with rate  $\lambda = \frac{1}{\theta}$ . Clearly, there are no arrivals before time 0, so  $F_X(x) = 0$  if x < 0. If x > 0, let N be the number of arrivals in time interval [0, x], so  $N \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda x)$ . As in our example

$$F_X(x) = \mathbb{P}[X \le x]$$

$$= \mathbb{P}[N \ge 1]$$

$$= 1 - \mathbb{P}[N = 0]$$

$$= 1 - e^{-\lambda x}.$$

So we have

$$F_X(x) = \begin{cases} 1 - e^{-\lambda x} & \text{if } x > 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } x \le 0. \end{cases}$$

Hence

$$f_X(x) = F_X'(x) = \lambda e^{-\lambda x},$$

and substituting  $\lambda = \frac{1}{\theta}$  gives us the desired result.

Note. If we take  $x \to \infty$  for the CDF  $F_X(x)$ , we see that  $F_X(x) \to 1$ .

**Theorem.** If  $\theta > 0$  and  $X \sim \text{Exponential}(\theta)$  then its MGF is

$$M_X(t) = \frac{1}{1 - \theta t}$$
 if  $t < \frac{1}{\theta}$ .

*Proof.* We compute

$$\begin{split} M_X(t) &= \mathbb{E}[e^{tX}] \\ &= \int_0^\infty e^{tx} \cdot \frac{1}{\theta} e^{-\frac{x}{\theta}} \, \mathrm{d}x \\ &= \frac{1}{\theta} \int_0^\infty e^{(t - \frac{1}{\theta})x} \, \mathrm{d}x \\ &= \frac{1}{\theta} \cdot -\frac{1}{t - \frac{1}{\theta}} \\ &= \frac{1}{1 - \theta t}. \end{split} \tag{$t < \frac{1}{\theta}$}$$

**Theorem.** If  $\theta > 0$  and  $X \sim \text{Exponential}(\theta)$  then

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = \theta,$$
$$\operatorname{var}(X) = \theta^2.$$

*Proof.* We compute

$$\ln M_X(t) = -\ln(1 - \theta t)$$
$$(\ln M_X)'(t) = \frac{\theta}{1 - \theta t}$$
$$(\ln M_X)''(t) = \frac{\theta^2}{(1 - \theta t)^2}.$$

Substituting t = 0 into the above derivatives yields the desired results.

# 14.2 Random Processes

**Definition.** Random Process

A random process is a collection of random variables, indexed by a "time" parameter. For example:

- Approximate Poisson process
- Bernoulli process (repeated flips of an unfair coin)

# 15 Lecture 15

#### 15.1 The Gamma Distribution

**Definition.** Gamma Distribution

Consider an approximate Poisson process with rate  $\lambda > 0$  per unit time.

- Let  $\alpha \geq 1$  be an integer and X be the time of the  $\alpha^{\text{th}}$  arrival.
- We say that X is gamma distributed with parameters  $\alpha, \theta = \frac{1}{\lambda}$  and write  $X \sim \text{Gamma}(\alpha, \theta)$ .

Note. By definition, we have that Exponential( $\theta$ ) ~ Gamma(1,  $\theta$ ).

**Theorem.** Let  $\alpha \geq 1$  be an integer and  $\theta > 0$ . If  $X \sim \text{Gamma}(\alpha, \theta)$  then its PDF is

$$f_X(x) = \frac{1}{\theta^{\alpha}(\alpha - 1)!} x^{\alpha - 1} e^{-\frac{x}{\theta}}$$
 if  $x > 0$ .

*Proof.* Let X > 0 and N be the number of arrivals in the time interval [0, x]. Then we know that  $N \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda x)$ , where  $\lambda = \frac{1}{\theta}$ . Hence

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{P}[X \leq x] &= 1 - \mathbb{P}[X > x] \\ &= 1 - \mathbb{P}[N \leq \alpha - 1] \\ &= 1 - \sum_{n=0}^{\alpha - 1} p_N(n) \\ &= 1 - \sum_{n=0}^{\alpha - 1} \frac{(\lambda x)^n}{n!} e^{-\lambda x}. \end{split}$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{split} f_X(x) &= F_X'(x) \\ &= -\sum_{n=1}^{\alpha - 1} \lambda \cdot \frac{(\lambda x)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} e^{-\lambda x} + \sum_{n=0}^{\alpha - 1} \frac{(\lambda x)^n}{n!} \lambda e^{-\lambda x} \\ &= -\lambda \sum_{n=0}^{\alpha - 2} \frac{(\lambda x)^n}{n!} e^{-\lambda x} + \lambda \sum_{n=0}^{\alpha - 1} \frac{(\lambda x)^n}{n!} e^{-\lambda x} \\ &= \lambda \frac{(\lambda x)^{\alpha - 1}}{(\alpha - 1)!} e^{-\lambda x} \\ &= \frac{x^{\alpha - 1}}{\theta^{\alpha} (\alpha - 1)!} e^{-\frac{x}{\theta}} \quad \text{if} \quad x > 0. \end{split}$$

**Definition.** Gamma Function We define

$$\Gamma(\alpha) := \int_0^\infty x^{\alpha - 1} e^{-x} \, \mathrm{d}x.$$

**Theorem.** We have that

•  $\Gamma(1) = 1$ 

• For  $\alpha > 1$  we have

$$\Gamma(\alpha) = (\alpha - 1)\Gamma(\alpha - 1)$$

• If  $\alpha \geq 1$  is an integer then

$$\Gamma(\alpha) = (\alpha - 1)!$$

*Proof.* • Observe that

$$\Gamma(1) = \int_0^\infty e^{-x} \, \mathrm{d}x = 1.$$

• We compute

$$\Gamma(\alpha) = \int_0^\infty x^{\alpha - 1} e^{-x} dx$$
$$= [-x^{\alpha - 1} e^{-x}]_0^\infty + \int_0^\infty (\alpha - 1) x^{\alpha - 2} e^{-x} dx$$
$$= 0 + (\alpha - 1) \Gamma(\alpha - 1).$$

• This is a direct consequence of the previous two properties.

Note. Using the gamma function, we may extend our definition of the Gamma distribution to be

$$f_X(x) = \frac{1}{\theta^{\alpha} \Gamma(\alpha)} x^{\alpha - 1} e^{-\frac{x}{\theta}}.$$

**Theorem.** Let  $\alpha, \theta > 0$  and  $X \sim \text{Gamma}(\alpha, \theta)$ . Then X has MGF

$$M_X(t) = \frac{1}{(1 - \theta t)^{\alpha}}$$
 if  $t < \frac{1}{\theta}$ .

**Theorem.** Let  $\alpha, \theta > 0$  and  $X \sim \text{Gamma}(\alpha, \theta)$ . Then

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = \alpha \theta,$$
$$\operatorname{var}(X) = \alpha \theta^2.$$

## 15.2 The Chi-Square Distribution

This is a special case of the Gamma distribution.

**Definition.** Chi-Square Distribution

If  $r \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$  we call the  $\Gamma(\frac{r}{2}, 2)$  distribution the *chi-square distribution* with r degrees of freedom. If  $X \sim \chi^2(r)$  then it has PDF

$$f_X(x) = \frac{1}{2^{\frac{r}{2}}\Gamma(\frac{r}{2})} x^{\frac{r}{2}-1} e^{-\frac{x}{2}}$$
 if  $x > 0$ ,

as well as

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = r$$
 and  $\operatorname{var}(X) = 2r$ .

**Example.** Suppose that X is a continuous random variable with PDF

$$f_X(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}.$$

Then  $X^2 \sim \chi^2(1)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $Z = X^2$ . Then

$$F_{Z}(z) = \mathbb{P}[Z \le z]$$

$$= \mathbb{P}[X^{2} \le z]$$

$$= \mathbb{P}[-\sqrt{z} \le X \le \sqrt{z}] \qquad \text{(if } z > 0)$$

$$= \int_{-\sqrt{z}}^{\sqrt{z}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{x^{2}}{2}} dx$$

$$= 2 \int_{0}^{\sqrt{z}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{x^{2}}{2}} dx$$

$$= \frac{2}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{0}^{z} e^{-\frac{u}{2}} \cdot \frac{1}{2\sqrt{u}} du$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{0}^{z} e^{-\frac{u}{2}} \cdot u^{-\frac{1}{2}} du.$$

Therefore we have  $f_Z(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} z^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{z}{2}}$  if z > 0, and  $Z \sim \chi^2(1)$ .

# 16 Lecture 16

#### 16.1 The Normal Distribution

In general with large samples, we observe the same distribution over and over again.

**Definition.** Normal Distribution

We say a continuous random variable X is normally distributed with mean  $\mu$  and variance  $\sigma^2$  if it has PDF

$$f_X(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} e^{-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$
 for  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

We write  $X \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$ . If  $\mu = 0$  and  $\sigma^2 = 1$  we say that X is a standard normal random variable.

**Theorem.** We have

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} e^{-\frac{(t-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}} \, \mathrm{d}t = 1.$$

*Proof.* Let  $x = \frac{t-\mu}{\sigma}$  so  $dx = \frac{1}{\sigma}dt$ . Then

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} e^{-\frac{(t-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}} dt = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} dx.$$

Let's call the above I. Then we have

$$I^{2} = \left( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{x^{2}}{2}} dx \right) \left( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{y^{2}}{2}} dy \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{x^{2}+y^{2}}{2}} dx dy$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{1}{2}r^{2}} r dr d\theta$$

$$= 1.$$

Therefore I = 1.

**Theorem.** If  $X \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$  then it has MGF

$$M_X(t) = e^{\mu t + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 t^2}.$$

*Proof.* We compute

$$M_X(t) = \mathbb{E}[e^{tX}]$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} e^{-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}} e^{tx} dx$$

$$= \text{a lot of computation}$$

$$= e^{\mu t + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 t^2}.$$

**Theorem.** If  $X \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$  then

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = \mu,$$
$$\operatorname{var}(X) = \sigma^2.$$

*Proof.* As with before, we compute  $(\ln M_X)'$  and  $(\ln M_X)''$  and evaluate them at 0 to get the desired results.

Theorem. If

$$\Phi(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{x} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{t^2}{2}} dt$$

is the CDF of the standard normal distribution then

$$\Phi(-x) = 1 - \Phi(x).$$

**Theorem.** If  $X \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)$ , then  $-X \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)$ .

*Proof.* Let Y = -X. Then

$$F_Y(y) = \mathbb{P}[Y \le y]$$

$$= \mathbb{P}[-X \le y]$$

$$= \mathbb{P}[-y \le X]$$

$$= 1 - \mathbb{P}[X < -y]$$

$$= 1 - \Phi(-y)$$

$$= \Phi(y).$$

**Theorem.** If  $X \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$  then  $Z = \frac{1}{\sigma}(X - \mu) \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ .

*Proof.* We compute

$$F_Z(z) = \mathbb{P}[Z \le z]$$

$$= \mathbb{P}\left[\frac{1}{\sigma}(X - \mu) \le z\right]$$

$$= \mathbb{P}[X \le \mu + \sigma z]$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\mu + \sigma z} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(x - \mu)} dx$$

$$= \Phi(z).$$

# 17 Lecture 17

## 17.1 Bivariate Distributions of the Discrete Type

**Definition.** Joint Probability Mass Function

Let X, Y be a pair of discrete random variables taking values in sets  $S_X, S_Y \in \mathbb{R}$ .

• We think of (X,Y) as being a random point in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  taking values in the set

$$S = S_X \times S_y = \{(x, y) \mid x \in S_X \text{ and } y \in S_y\}.$$

• We define the joint probability mass function of X, Y to be the function  $p_{X,Y}: S \to [0,1]$  by

$$p_{X,Y}(x,y) = \mathbb{P}[X = x, Y = y] = \mathbb{P}[(X,Y) = (x,y)].$$

**Theorem.** Let X, Y be discrete random variables taking values in sets  $S_X, S_Y \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  and let  $S = S_X \times S_Y$ . If X, Y have a joint PMF  $p_{X,Y}(x,y)$  and  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$  then

$$\mathbb{P}[(X,Y) \in A] = \sum_{(x,y) \in A \cap S} p_{X,Y}(x,y).$$

**Theorem.** Let X, Y be discrete random variables taking values in sets  $S_X, S_Y \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  and let  $S = S_X \times S_Y$ . If X, Y have joint PMF  $p_{X,Y}(x,y)$  then

$$\sum_{(x,y)\in S} p_{X,Y}(x,y) = 1.$$

*Proof.* Observe that

$$1 = \mathbb{P}[(X,Y) \in \mathbb{R}^2] = \sum_{(x,y) \in S \cap \mathbb{R}^2} p_{X,Y}(x,y) = \sum_{(x,y) \in S} p_{X,Y}(x,y).$$

**Definition.** Marginal Probability Mass Function

Let X, Y be discrete random variables taking values in  $S_X, S_Y \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ .

• We define the marginal probability mass function of X to be the function  $p_X : S_X \to [0,1]$  given by

$$p_X(x) = \mathbb{P}[X = x].$$

• We define the marginal probability mass function of Y to be the function  $p_Y \colon S_Y \to [0,1]$  given by

$$p_Y(y) = \mathbb{P}[Y = y].$$

**Theorem.** Let X, Y be discrete random variables taking values in sets  $S_X, S_Y \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ . Let X, Y have joint PMF  $p_{X,Y}(x,y)$ . Then,

$$p_X(x) = \sum_{y \in S_Y} p_{X,Y}(x,y) \quad \text{and} \quad p_Y(y) = \sum_{x \in S_X} p_{X,Y}(x,y)$$

## $\textbf{Definition.} \ \textit{Independence}$

Let X, Y be discrete random variables taking values in sets  $S_X, S_Y \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  and let  $S = S_X \times S_Y$ .

- We say that random variables X,Y are independent if the events  $\{X=x\}$  and  $\{Y=y\}$  are independent for all  $(x,y)\in S$ .
- Equivalently, we have

$$p_{X,Y}(x,y) = p_X(x)p_Y(y)$$
 for all  $(x,y) \in S$ .

# 18 Lecture 18

**Definition.** Expected Value

Let X, Y be a pair of discrete random variables taking values in sets  $S_X, S_Y \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  and let  $S = S_X \times S_Y$ .

- Let X, Y have joint PMF  $P_{X,Y}(x, y)$ .
- If  $g \colon S \to \mathbb{R}$  we define the  $expected\ value\ of\ g(X,Y)$  to be

$$\mathbb{E}[g(X,Y)] = \sum_{(x,y)\in S} g(x,y)p_{X,Y}(x,y).$$

**Theorem.** Let X, Y be discrete random variables taking values in sets  $S_X, S_Y \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  and let  $S = S_X \times S_Y$ .

• If  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  are constants and  $g, h \colon S \to \mathbb{R}$  then

$$\mathbb{E}[ag(X,Y)+bh(X,Y)]=a\mathbb{E}[g(X,Y)]+b\mathbb{E}[h(X,Y)].$$

• If  $g(x,y) \le h(x,y)$  for all  $(x,y) \in S$  then

$$\mathbb{E}[g(X,Y)] \le \mathbb{E}[h(X,Y)].$$

• If  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  is a constant then  $\mathbb{E}[a] = a$ .

**Theorem.** Let X, Y be discrete random variables taking values in sets  $S_X, S_Y \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ . Let  $g: S_X \to \mathbb{R}$  and  $h: S_Y \to \mathbb{R}$ . Then

$$\mathbb{E}[g(X)] = \sum_{x \in S_X} g(x) p_X(x) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{E}[h(Y)] = \sum_{y \in S_Y} h(y) p_Y(y).$$

*Proof.* Let G(x,y) = g(x). Then G(X,Y) = g(X). Hence

$$\mathbb{E}[g(X)] = \mathbb{E}[G(X,Y)]$$

$$= \sum_{x \in S_X} \sum_{y \in S_Y} G(x,y) p_{X,Y}(x,y)$$

$$= \sum_{x \in S_X} \sum_{y \in S_Y} g(x) p_{X,Y}(x,y)$$

$$= \sum_{x \in S_X} g(x) \sum_{y \in S_Y} p_{X,Y}(x,y)$$

$$= \sum_{x \in S_X} g(x) p_X(x).$$

**Theorem.** Let X, Y be independent discrete random variables taking values in sets  $S_X, S_Y \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ . Let  $g: S_X \to \mathbb{R}$  and  $h: S_Y \to \mathbb{R}$ . Then

$$\mathbb{E}[g(X)h(Y)] = \mathbb{E}[g(X)]\mathbb{E}[h(Y)].$$

*Proof.* We compute

$$\mathbb{E}[g(X)h(Y)] = \sum_{x \in S_X} \sum_{y \in S_Y} g(x)h(y)p_{X,Y}(x,y)$$

$$= \sum_{x \in S_X} \sum_{y \in S_Y} g(x)h(y)p_X(x)p_Y(y)$$

$$= \sum_{x \in S_X} g(x)p_X(x) \sum_{y \in S_Y} h(y)p_Y(y)$$

$$= \mathbb{E}[g(X)]\mathbb{E}[h(Y)].$$
(X, Y independent)

### **Theorem** — Cauchy-Schwarz Inequality

Let X, Y be discrete random variables. Then

$$|E[XY]| \le \sqrt{\mathbb{E}[X^2]\mathbb{E}[Y^2]}.$$

*Proof.* If  $\mathbb{E}[Y^2] = 0$  then Y = 0 so the statement holds. If  $\mathbb{E}[Y^2] \neq 0$ , define  $f(t) = \mathbb{E}[(X - tY)^2] \geq \mathbb{E}[0] = 0$ . Furthermore, we may expand this as

$$\begin{split} f(t) &= \mathbb{E}[X^2 - 2tXY + t^2Y^2] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[X^2] - 2tE[XY] + t^2\mathbb{E}[Y^2]. \end{split}$$

We know that the global value is achieved when  $t = \frac{\mathbb{E}[XY]}{\mathbb{E}[Y^2]}$ . Hence

$$\begin{split} 0 &\leq f\left(\frac{\mathbb{E}[XY]}{\mathbb{E}[Y^2]}\right) \\ &= \mathbb{E}[X^2] - 2\frac{\mathbb{E}[XY]^2}{\mathbb{E}[Y^2]} + \frac{\mathbb{E}[XY]^2}{\mathbb{E}[Y^2]} \\ &= \mathbb{E}[X^2] - \frac{\mathbb{E}[XY]^2}{\mathbb{E}[Y^2]}. \end{split}$$

Rearranging terms, we have

$$|\mathbb{E}[XY]| \leq \sqrt{\mathbb{E}[X^2]\mathbb{E}[Y^2]}$$

# 19 Lecture 19

#### 19.1 The Correlation Coefficient

**Definition.** Covariance

Let X, Y be a pair of (discrete) random variables.

• We define the *covariance* of X, Y to be

$$cov(X, Y) = \mathbb{E}[(X - \mathbb{E}[X])(Y - \mathbb{E}[Y])].$$

• We use the notation  $\sigma_{XY} = \text{cov}(X, Y)$ .

Note. The covariance can give us a rough idea of if two variables are "positively" or "negatively" correlated.

**Theorem.** If X, Y are random variables then

$$cov(X, Y) = \mathbb{E}[XY] - \mathbb{E}[X]\mathbb{E}[Y].$$

*Proof.* Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{cov}(X,Y) &= \mathbb{E}[(X - \mathbb{E}[X])(Y - \mathbb{E}[Y])] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[XY - \mathbb{E}[X]Y - \mathbb{E}[Y]X + \mathbb{E}[X]\mathbb{E}[Y]] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[XY] - \mathbb{E}[X]\mathbb{E}[Y] - \mathbb{E}[Y]\mathbb{E}[X] + \mathbb{E}[X]\mathbb{E}[Y] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[XY] - \mathbb{E}[X]\mathbb{E}[Y]. \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem.** If X is a random variable then cov(X, X) = var(X).

*Proof.* We have

$$\operatorname{cov}(X,X) = \mathbb{E}[X^2] - \mathbb{E}[X]\mathbb{E}[X] = \operatorname{var}(X).$$

**Theorem.** Let X, Y be independent discrete random variables. Then cov(X, Y) = 0.

*Proof.* We compute

$$cov(X,Y) = \mathbb{E}[XY] - \mathbb{E}[X]\mathbb{E}[Y] = \mathbb{E}[X]\mathbb{E}[Y] - \mathbb{E}[X]\mathbb{E}[Y] = 0.$$

Note. Independence implies that the covariance is 0, but not vice versa.

**Theorem.** If X, Y are (discrete) random variables and  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  then

$$cov(aX, bY) = ab cov(X, Y).$$

*Proof.* We compute

$$cov(aX, bY) = \mathbb{E}[(aX - \mathbb{E}[aX])(bY - \mathbb{E}[bY])]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}[(aX - a\mathbb{E}[X])(bY - b\mathbb{E}[Y])]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}[ab(X - \mathbb{E}[X])(Y - \mathbb{E}[Y])]$$

$$= ab \operatorname{cov}(X, Y).$$

**Definition.** Correlation Coefficient

Let X, Y be a pair of (discrete) random variables. We define the correlation coefficient of X, Y to be

$$\rho(X,Y) = \frac{\text{cov}(X,Y)}{\sqrt{\text{var}(X) \text{var}(Y)}} = \frac{\sigma_{XY}}{\sigma_X \sigma_Y}.$$

**Theorem.** If X, Y are (discrete) random variables and a, b > 0 then

$$\rho(aX,bY) = \rho(X,Y).$$

*Proof.* We compute

$$\rho(aX,bY) = \frac{\operatorname{cov}(aX,bY)}{\sqrt{\operatorname{var}(aX)\operatorname{var}(bY)}} = \frac{\operatorname{cov}(X,Y)}{\sqrt{\operatorname{var}(X)\operatorname{var}(Y)}} = \rho(X,Y).$$

**Theorem.** If X, Y are (discrete) random variables then

$$-1 \le \rho(X, Y) \le 1.$$

*Proof.* We estimate

$$\begin{split} |\mathrm{cov}(X,Y)| &= |\mathbb{E}[(X - \mathbb{E}[X])(Y - \mathbb{E}[Y])]| \\ &\leq \sqrt{\mathbb{E}[(X - \mathbb{E}[X])^2]\mathbb{E}[(Y - \mathbb{E}[Y])^2]} \\ &= \sqrt{\mathrm{var}(X)\,\mathrm{var}(Y)}. \end{split}$$

Hence

$$|\rho(X,Y)| = \frac{|\operatorname{cov}(X,Y)|}{\sqrt{\operatorname{var}(X)\operatorname{var}(Y)}} \le 1.$$

# 20 Lecture 20

# 20.1 Conditional Expectation

#### Definition.

Let X, Y be a pair of discrete random variables taking values in sets  $S_X, S_Y \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ .

• If  $y \in S_Y$ , we define the random variable  $X \mid y$  with PMF

$$p_{X|Y}(x \mid y) = \mathbb{P}[X = x \mid Y = y] \quad \text{for} \quad x \in S_X$$
$$= \frac{p_{X,Y}(x,y)}{p_Y(y)}$$

• If  $x \in S_X$ , we define the random variable  $Y \mid x$  with PMF

$$\begin{aligned} p_{Y|X}(y \mid x) &= \mathbb{P}[Y = y \mid X = x] \quad \text{for} \quad y \in S_Y \\ &= \frac{p_{X,Y}(x,y)}{p_X(x)} \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem.** Let X, Y be discrete random variables taking values in sets  $S_X, S_Y \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ .

• Given  $y \in S_Y$  we have

$$\sum_{x \in S_X} p_{X|Y}(x \mid y) = 1.$$

• Given  $x \in S_X$  we have

$$\sum_{y \in S_Y} p_{Y|X}(y \mid x) = 1.$$

*Proof.* We shall just prove the first case, computing that

$$\sum_{x \in S_X} p_{X|Y}(x \mid y) = \sum_{x \in S_X} \frac{p_{X,Y}(x,y)}{p_Y(y)}$$

$$= \frac{1}{p_Y(y)} \sum_{x \in S_X} p_{X,Y}(x,y)$$

$$= \frac{1}{p_Y(y)} \cdot p_Y(y)$$

$$= 1.$$

**Definition.** Conditional Expectation

Let X, Y be discrete random variables taking values in sets  $S_X, S_Y \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ .

• Define the function  $g: S_X \to \mathbb{R}$  by

$$g(x) = \mathbb{E}[Y \mid x].$$

• We define the *conditional expectation* of Y conditioned on X to be the *random variable* 

$$\mathbb{E}[Y \mid X] = g(X).$$

• We can define  $\mathbb{E}[X \mid Y]$  in a similar fashion.

### **Theorem** — Law of Iterated Expectation

Let X, Y be discrete random variables. Then

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[Y\mid X]] = \mathbb{E}[Y].$$

*Proof.* Let  $g(x) = \mathbb{E}[Y \mid x]$  so  $\mathbb{E}[Y \mid X] = g(X)$ . Then

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[Y \mid X]] = \mathbb{E}[g(X)]$$

$$= \sum_{x \in S_X} g(x) p_X(x)$$

$$= \sum_{x \in S_X} \left( \sum_{y \in S_Y} y \cdot \frac{p_{X,Y}(x,y)}{p_X(x)} \cdot p_X(x) \right)$$

$$= \sum_{y \in S_Y} \sum_{x \in S_X} y p_{X,Y}(x,y)$$

$$= \sum_{y \in S_Y} y \cdot p_Y(y)$$

$$= \mathbb{E}[Y].$$

**Definition.** Conditional Variance

Let X, Y be discrete random variables taking values in sets  $S_X, S_Y \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ .

• Define the function  $h: S_X \to \mathbb{R}$  by

$$h(x) = var(Y \mid x).$$

 $\bullet$  We define the *conditional variance* of Y conditioned on X to be the *random variable* 

$$var(Y \mid X) = h(X).$$

• We can define  $var(X \mid Y)$  in a similar fashion.

#### **Theorem** — Law of Total Variance

Let X, Y be discrete random variables. Then

$$\mathbb{E}[\operatorname{var}(Y \mid X)] + \operatorname{var}(\mathbb{E}[Y \mid X]) = \operatorname{var}(Y).$$

*Proof.* Let  $g(x) = \mathbb{E}[Y \mid x]$  and  $h(x) = \text{var}(Y \mid x)$ . We can think of these functions by the following:

$$g(x) = \sum_{y \in S_Y} y \cdot p_{Y|X}(y \mid x)$$
  
$$h(x) = \sum_{y \in S_Y} y^2 \cdot p_{Y|X}(y \mid x) - g(x)^2.$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}[\text{var}(Y\mid X)] &= \mathbb{E}[h(X)] \\ &= \sum_{x \in S_X} h(x) p_X(x) \\ &= \sum_{x \in S_X} \sum_{y \in S_Y} y^2 \cdot p_{Y\mid X}(y\mid x) p_X(x) - \sum_{x \in S_X} g(x)^2 p_X(x) \\ &= \sum_{x \in S_X} \sum_{y \in S_Y} y^2 p_{X,Y}(x,y) - \sum_{x \in S_X} g(x)^2 p_X(x) \\ &= \mathbb{E}[Y^2] - \mathbb{E}[g(X)^2]. \end{split}$$

Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{var}(\mathbb{E}[Y\mid X]) &= \operatorname{var}(g(X)) \\ &= \mathbb{E}[g(X)^2] - \mathbb{E}[g(X)]^2 \\ &= \mathbb{E}[g(X)^2] - \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[Y\mid X]]^2 \\ &= \mathbb{E}[g(X)^2] - \mathbb{E}[Y]^2. \end{aligned}$$

Summing these two expressions, we get  $\mathbb{E}[Y^2] - \mathbb{E}[Y]^2 = \text{var}(Y)$ .