# Lecture Notes

Kyle Chui

2022-01-04

# ${\bf Contents}$

1	Lec	ture 1	1
	1.1	Introduction to Dynamical Systems	1
		1.1.1 Where Do "Dynamical Systems" Come From?	1
	1.2	Autonomous ODEs	1
<b>2</b>	Log	cture 2	2
4	2.1	Reducing ODEs to First Order Autonomous Systems	2
	2.1	2.1.1 Flows on the Line	3
		2.1.2 Fixed Points	3
		2.1.3 Stability	4
		2.1.9 Stability	4
3	Lec	eture 3	5
	3.1	Potentials	6
	_		_
4		eture 4	7
	4.1	Impossibility of Oscillations	7
	4.2	Numerical Methods	8
		4.2.1 Integral Equations	8
		4.2.2 Numerical Approximation	8
5	Lec	eture 5	10
0	5.1		10
	0.1		10
			11
			11
	5.2	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	11
6	Lec		13
	6.1	±	13
		±	13
	6.2		14
		6.2.1 External Parameters	14
7	Too	eture 7	1 5
1	7.1		15 15
	7.1		15 15
	1.2	Identifying Diffications	10
8	Lec	eture 8	16
-	8.1	Transcritical Bifurcations	16
9			17
	9.1		17
	9.2	1	17
	9.3		17
	9.4	Hysteresis	17
10	Loc	eture 10	18
τÛ			18
	10.1	·	18
			18
	10.2		19

11 Lecture 11         11.1 Normal Forms for Saddle-Node Bifurcations	
12 Lecture 12 12.1 Dimensional Analysis	
13 Lecture 13 13.1 Singularly Perturbed Problems	
14 Lecture 14 14.1 Imperfect Bifurcations	25 . 25

### 1 Lecture 1

### 1.1 Introduction to Dynamical Systems

Models of real-world phenomena can often be classified as either *static* or *dynamic*. Furthermore, these systems can either be *discrete*  $(x_1, x_2, ...$  where  $x_i \in \mathbb{R}$  for  $i \geq 1$ ) or *continuous* (x = x(t)) where  $t \geq 0$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , and  $\dot{x} = f(x)$ .

### 1.1.1 Where Do "Dynamical Systems" Come From?

- 1. Observed phenomena
- 2. Mathematical model
- 3. "Solve" the model
- 4. Make predictions

#### 1.2 Autonomous ODEs

**Definition.** Autonomous ODEs

We say that an ordinary differential equation is autonomous if the right-hand side does not depend on t.

• The SIR (susceptible, infected, recovered) model is an example of a *first order* system of *autonomous* ODEs.

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}_1 = f_1(x_1, \dots, x_n) \\ \vdots \\ \dot{x}_n = f_1(x_1, \dots, x_n) \end{cases}$$

• We will refer to n as the *dimension* of the system.

### 2 Lecture 2

### 2.1 Reducing ODEs to First Order Autonomous Systems

Consider the set of differential equations given by

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x} = -\kappa(t)xy, \\ \dot{y} = \kappa(t)xy - \delta y, \\ \dot{z} = \delta y. \end{cases}$$

Introduce a new variable, i.e.  $\tau = \tau(t) = t$ . Then we may rewrite the above as

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x} = -\kappa(\tau)xy, \\ \dot{y} = \kappa(\tau)xy - \delta y, \\ \dot{z} = \delta y, \\ \dot{\tau} = 1. \end{cases}$$

Note that the above system is now autonomous.

#### Example. The Pendulum

We can model the angle  $\theta$  of a pendulum of length L > 0 by

$$\ddot{\theta} + \frac{g}{L}\sin\theta = 0.$$

Applying Newton's Second Law, we can get the equations

$$mL\ddot{\theta} = -mg\sin\theta$$
$$\theta = \theta(t).$$

Observe that if we let  $x = \theta$  and  $y = \dot{\theta}$ , then we get

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x} = y \\ \dot{y} = -\frac{g}{L}\sin\theta. \end{cases}$$

#### **Example.** Pendulum with an external force

If we add an external force to our pendulum, then we get

$$\ddot{\theta} + \frac{g}{L}\sin\theta = \frac{1}{m}F(t).$$

Thus if we let  $x = \theta$ ,  $y = \dot{\theta}$ , and z = t, then we get

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x} = y \\ \dot{y} = -\frac{g}{L}\sin x + \frac{1}{m}F(z) \\ \dot{z} = 1. \end{cases}$$

Note. In general, higher order ODEs of the form

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^k x}{\mathrm{d}t^k} = f(x, \frac{\mathrm{d}x}{\mathrm{d}t}, \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 x}{\mathrm{d}t^2}, \dots, \frac{\mathrm{d}^{k-1} x}{\mathrm{d}t^{k-1}})$$

can be converted into a first order system by taking

$$z_1 = x, z_2 = \frac{dx}{dt}, \dots, z_k = \frac{d^{k-1}x}{dt^{k-1}}.$$

We get the system

$$\begin{cases} \dot{z}_1 = \frac{\mathrm{d}x}{\mathrm{d}t} = z_2 \\ \dot{z}_2 = \frac{\mathrm{d}^2x}{\mathrm{d}t^2} = z_3 \\ \vdots \\ \dot{z}_k = f(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_k) \end{cases}$$

#### 2.1.1 Flows on the Line

We will now consider systems of the form

$$\dot{x} = f(x)$$

where  $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  is a smooth function.

**Example.** Consider the ODE given by

$$\dot{x} = x(x+1)(x-1)^2.$$

We could use separation of variables to solve this.

Note. Solutions to ODEs usually come in three different flavors:

- Analytic methods (separation of variables)
- Geometric methods (direction fields)
- Numerical methods (Euler's method)

#### **Definition.** Phase Space

To help us analyze these differential equations, we can plot  $\dot{x}$  against x on a graph, and see the behavior around zeroes. This is called a *phase space*. If some neighborhood of points around a zero x tend towards x, then x is called a *stable point*. If they tend to move away from x, then x is an *unstable point*. On a phase space graph, we denote stable points with  $\bullet$ , unstable points with  $\circ$ , and other points with a half-filled circle.

#### 2.1.2 Fixed Points

**Definition.** Fixed Point

We say that  $x^*$  is a fixed point of the system

$$\dot{x} = f(x)$$

if  $f(x^*) = 0$ . If  $x^*$  is a fixed point then the system has a constant solution given by  $x(t) = x^*$ . These points are also known as equilibrium points, stationary points, rest points, critical points, and steady states.

### 2.1.3 Stability

**Definition.** Stability

Let  $x^*$  be a fixed point of the system

$$\dot{x} = f(x).$$

For now, we say that  $x^*$  is:

- Stable if solutions starting close to  $x^*$  approach  $x^*$  as  $t \to \infty$ .
- Unstable if solutions starting close to  $x^*$  diverge from  $x^*$  as  $t \to \infty$ .
- Half-stable if solutions starting close to  $x^*$  approach  $x^*$  from one side, but diverge from the other side.

### 3 Lecture 3

Question. Can we say more about what happens close to fixed points?

**Example.** Consider the equation given by

$$\dot{x} = x(x+1)(x-1)^2,$$

which has stable points at -1, 0, and 1.

We will use something called the *local method*. We define a new function  $\eta(t) = x(t) + 1$ , so  $x(t) = \eta(t) - 1$ . Hence  $\dot{x}(t) = \dot{\eta}(t)$ . Furthermore,

$$x(x+1)(x-1)^{2} = (\eta - 1)\eta(\eta - 2)^{2}$$
  
=  $-4\eta + O(\eta^{2}),$   $(\eta \to 0)$ 

so  $\dot{\eta} \approx -4\eta$ . Near  $x=-1, \eta=x+1$  and it satisfies  $\dot{\eta}=-4\eta$ , so  $\eta(t)\approx Ce^{-4t}$ . We can see that this approaches 0 as  $t\to\infty$ , so points around x=-1 will approach -1.

In general, we have the following method:

Assume that  $x^*$  is a fixed point of  $\dot{x} = f(x)$ , i.e.  $f(x^*) = 0$ . Let  $\eta = x - x^*$ . Then

$$\dot{\eta} = \dot{x} 
= f(x) 
= f(x^*) + f'(x^*)\eta + \frac{1}{2}f''(x^*)\eta^2 + \cdots 
= f'(x^*)\eta + O(\eta^2).$$
( $\eta \to 0$ )

Hence the equation

$$\dot{\eta} = f'(x^*)\eta$$

is the linearization at  $x = x^*$ . We know that the solution to such a differential equation is

$$\eta(t) = Ce^{f'(x^*)t} = \begin{cases} 0, & f'(x^*) < 0 \\ \pm \infty, & f'(x^*) > 0 \end{cases}$$

as  $t \to \infty$ . In the first case, the terms near  $x^*$  will tend towards  $x^*$ , and in the latter they will diverge from  $x^*$ .

**Theorem.** Suppose that  $x^*$  is a fixed point of the system  $\dot{x} = f(x)$ . Then if

- $f'(x^*) < 0$ , the fixed point  $x^*$  is stable.
- $f'(x^*) > 0$ , the fixed point  $x^*$  is unstable.

**Question.** What happens if  $f'(x^*) = 0$ ? Anything can happen/the test is inconclusive.

- Consider the equation  $\dot{x} = x^3$ . We see that  $x^* = 0$  is a critical point, and that  $f'(x) = 3x^2$ , so  $f'(x^*) = 0$ . Using our usual graphical methods, we can see that points to the left of  $x^*$  will approach  $x^*$ , and so will points to the right, and so  $x^* = 0$  is a stable point.
- If we use the equation  $\dot{x} = -x^3$ , we get the direct opposite, that is  $x^* = 0$  is unstable despite having the same critical point.
- If we look at the behavior of  $\dot{x}=x^2$ , then we get that the critical point at  $x^*=0$  is half-stable.
- If we consider the equation  $\dot{x} = 0$ , then every number on the real line is a critical point, and so the solutions don't move at all.

## 3.1 Potentials

• Let  $f \colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  be smooth and consider the system

$$\dot{x} = f(x).$$

• A function  $V : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  so that

$$f(x) = -V'(x)$$

is called a potential for f.

• Our system can be written as a gradient flow

$$\dot{x} = -V'(x).$$

Note. Potential functions are not unique, since you can always add a constant.

### 4 Lecture 4

**Example.** Consider the differential equation  $\dot{x} = x - x^3$ . Since we have  $\dot{x} = -V'(x)$ , we have

$$V(x) = \frac{1}{4}x^4 - \frac{1}{2}x^2 = \frac{1}{4}(x^2 - 1)^2 - \frac{1}{4}.$$

When we look at the graph of V'(x), we may pretend that there is a ball rolling down a hill from every point, which tells us how to find the stability of points. Each point will settle in the first "well" that it meets.

**Theorem.** Let  $V: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  be smooth and consider the system

$$\dot{x} = -V'(x).$$

Then the potential energy V(x(t)) is non-increasing (as a function of time). Furthermore, if x(t) is not a fixed point for all  $t \in (T_1, T_2)$ , then the potential energy is strictly decreasing on  $(T_1, T_2)$ .

Proof. Observe that

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}V(x(t)) = V'(x(t)) \cdot \dot{x}(t)$$
$$= -V'(x(t))^{2}.$$

Hence the potential energy is non-increasing, as its derivative is always non-positive. Thus if  $V'(x_1) = 0$ , then  $x_1$  is a critical point!

Corollary. Let  $V: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  be smooth and consider the system

$$\dot{x} = -V'(x).$$

If  $x^*$  is an isolated critical point of V then

- If it is a local minima of V, it is a stable fixed point.
- If it is a local maxima of V, it is a unstable fixed point.
- If it is an inflection point of V, it is a half-stable fixed point.

*Proof.* If we imagine the ball analogy again, we can see that if  $x^*$  is a local minima then points around it will tend towards  $x^*$ , and so it is stable. The opposite happens for divergence near a local maxima, and the analogy still holds for the half-stableness when  $x^*$  is an inflection point.

Note. Every one dimensional system is a gradient flow, because if f is smooth, then we can take the integral and define

$$V(x) := -\int_0^x f(s) \, \mathrm{d}s.$$

### 4.1 Impossibility of Oscillations

**Definition.** Periodic Functions

If there exists a constant p > 0 so that for all t we have

$$x(t+p) = x(t)$$
.

then we say that p is periodic.

Note. All constant functions are periodic.

**Theorem.** There are no non-constant periodic solutions of the system

$$\dot{x} = f(x).$$

*Proof.* Suppose that x is a periodic solution, with period p > 0. If  $0 \le t \le p$  then, as the potential energy is non-increasing,

$$V[x(p)] \le V[x(t)] \le V(x(0)).$$

Since x(p) = x(0), we have V[x(t)] is constant. Hence x(t) is constant.

### 4.2 Numerical Methods

### 4.2.1 Integral Equations

We want to find a solution of the equation

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x} = f(x) \\ x(0) = x_0 \end{cases}$$

Observe that

$$\dot{x} = f(x)$$

$$\int_0^t \frac{\mathrm{d}x(s)}{\mathrm{d}s} \, \mathrm{d}s = \int_0^t f(x(s)) \, \mathrm{d}s$$

$$x(t) - x(0) = \int_0^t f(x(s)) \, \mathrm{d}s$$

$$x(t) = x_0 + \int_0^t f(x(s)) \, \mathrm{d}s.$$

We call this an *integral equation*, because the unknown now appears in the integral.

**Example.** Write the equation

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x} = \sin x \\ x(0) = 1 \end{cases}$$

as an integral equation.

We have that

$$x(t) = 1 + \int_0^t \sin(x(s)) \, \mathrm{d}s.$$

#### 4.2.2 Numerical Approximation

Suppose we have the equation

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x} = f(x) \\ x(0) = x_0 \end{cases}$$

Let's take  $\Delta t > 0$  small. Then we have

$$x(\Delta t) = x_0 + \int_0^{\Delta t} f(x(s)) ds.$$

We will approximate  $x(s) \approx x_0$  on the interval  $(0, \Delta t)$ . Thus we have

$$x(\Delta t) = x_0 + \int_0^{\Delta t} f(x(s)) ds$$

$$= x_0 + \int_0^{\Delta t} f(x_0) ds$$

$$= x_0 + f(x_0) \Delta t. \qquad (x_1 := x_0 + f(x_0) \Delta t)$$

Euler's method is to repeat the above to get  $x_2 \approx x(2\Delta t)$ . We have

$$x_2 = x_1 + f(x_1)\Delta t,$$
  

$$x_3 = x_2 + f(x_2)\Delta t,$$
  

$$\dots$$
  

$$x_{n+1} = x_n + f(x_n)\Delta t.$$

### 5 Lecture 5

#### 5.1 Euler's Method

• We want to approximate the solution of

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x} = f(x) \\ x(0) = x_0 \end{cases}$$

• Given a time step  $\Delta t$ , for  $n \geq 0$  define

$$x_{n+1} = x_n + f(x_n)\Delta t$$

• Thus we take  $x_n$  to be our approximation to  $x(n\Delta t)$ .

#### 5.1.1 Truncation Error of a Numerical Method

- Let  $x_n \approx x(n\Delta t)$ .
- We define the *local truncation error* to be

$$e_1 = x(\Delta t) - x_1.$$

We will use Taylor's theorem with Lagrange residue to approximate the error:

$$x(\Delta t) = x(0) + x'(0)\Delta t + \frac{x''(\xi)}{2}(\Delta t)^{2}$$
 (\xi \in 1) (\xi \in 1), \text{Chain rule})  
= x\_0 + f(x\_0)\Delta t + \frac{f'(\xi)f(\xi)}{2}(\Delta t)^{2}. (\xi = f, \text{Chain rule})

Thus if f and f' are bounded and continuous, then |Remainder|  $\leq C(\Delta t)^2$ . Substituting into our local truncation error definition, we have

$$e_1 = x(\Delta t) - x_1$$
  
=  $x(\Delta t) - (x_0 + f(x_0)\Delta t)$   
=  $\frac{x''(\xi)}{2}(\Delta t)^2$ .

Hence  $e_1$  is bounded above by  $C(\Delta t)^2$ .

Note. If we decrease  $\Delta t$ , then we decrease our error as well.

Euler's method is of first order because  $|e_1| \leq C(\Delta t)^2$ . If we apply Euler's method n times over some interval, then we will get n errors:

$$|e_1| + |e_2| + \dots + |e_n| \le Cn(\Delta t)^2 = Cn\left(\frac{T}{n}\right)\Delta t = CT\Delta t.$$

#### How can we improve our results?

- Take smaller time steps  $\Delta t$ .
  - Unfortunately, this means that we need to perform more computations.
  - There will be more "round-off errors".
- Improve the approximation (see next section)

### 5.1.2 Improved Euler's Method

• We still want to approximate the solution of

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x} = f(x) \\ x(0) = x_0 \end{cases}$$

- For  $n \geq 0$ :
  - We make our first approximation

$$\tilde{x}_{n+1} = x_n + f(x_n)\Delta t.$$

- Use this to make a better approximation

$$x_{n+1} = x_n + \frac{1}{2} (f(x_n) + f(\tilde{x}_{n+1})) \Delta t.$$

• Take  $x_n$  to be our approximation for  $x(n\Delta t)$ .

The local truncation error for the improved Euler's method is of the form  $C(\Delta t)^3$ , and the global error is  $CT(\Delta t)^2$ .

#### 5.1.3 Runge-Kutta 4th Order Method

• We still want to approximate the solution of

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x} = f(x) \\ x(0) = x_0 \end{cases}$$

• For  $n \ge 0$ , take:

$$-k_n^{(1)} = f(x_n)\Delta t$$

$$-k_n^{(2)} = f(x_n + \frac{1}{2}k_n^{(1)})\Delta t$$

$$-k_n^{(3)} = f(x_n + \frac{1}{2}k_n^{(2)})\Delta t$$

$$-k_n^{(4)} = f(x_n + \frac{1}{2}k_n^{(3)})\Delta t$$

• Then we may set

$$x_{n+1} = x_n + \frac{1}{6}(k_n^{(1)} + 2k_n^{(2)} + 2k_n^{(3)} + k_n^{(4)}).$$

• The local truncation error satisfies

$$|e_1| \leq C(\Delta t)^5$$
,

and the global error is 4<sup>th</sup> order, satisfying  $CT(\Delta t)^4$ .

### 5.2 Existence and Uniqueness of Solutions

**Theorem** — Cauchy-Peano Existence Theorem

Let  $f:(a,b)\to\mathbb{R}$  be continuous and  $x_0\in(a,b)$ . Then there exists some  $\delta>0$  and a solution  $x\colon[-\delta,\delta]\to\mathbb{R}$  of the IVP

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x} = f(x) \\ x(0) = x_0 \end{cases}$$

### **Theorem** — Picard–Lindelöf Existence and Uniqueness Theorem

Let  $f:(a,b)\to\mathbb{R}$  be continuous and  $x_0\in(a,b)$ . If f is locally Lipschitz continuous, then there exists a unique local solution  $\bar{x}\in C^1(I,\mathbb{R})$  of the IVP

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x} = f(x) \\ x(0) = x_0 \end{cases}$$

where I is some interval around 0.

### 6 Lecture 6

### 6.1 Existence and Uniqueness

**Definition.** Locally Lipschitz Continuity

Let  $f:(a,b)\to\mathbb{R}$ . We call f locally Lipschitz continuous if for every  $[d,c]\subseteq(a,b)$  there exists k>0 such that

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \le k |x - y|$$
.  $\forall x, y \in [d, c]$ 

**Definition.** Global Lipschitz Continuity

A function  $f:(a,b)\to\mathbb{R}$  is said to be global Lipschitz continuous if there exists L>0 such that

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \le L|x - y|$$
  $\forall x, y \in (a, b)$ 

Fact. Continuously differentiable functions are Lipschitz continuous, and so are continuous.

#### Example.

- The function  $f(x) = \sqrt{|x|}$  is continuous but it is not Lipschitz continuous.
- The function g(x) = |x| is not differentiable but it is Lipschitz continuous on [-1, 1].

Note. In general, if it has a cusp, then it is not Lipschitz continuous.

#### 6.1.1 Finite Time Blowup

**Example.** Does the solution of

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x} = x^2 \\ x(0) = 1 \end{cases}$$

blow up in finite time?

If we solve the differential equation, then we get  $x(t) = \frac{1}{1-t}$  (which is not well defined for all t > 0).

**Theorem.** Let  $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  be Lipschitz continuous. Then there exists a unique *global* solution  $x: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  of

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x} = f(x) \\ x(0) = x_0. \end{cases}$$

**Theorem.** Let  $f \leq g$  be smooth and let  $x_0 \leq y_0$ . Suppose that x and y are solutions of the ODES

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x} = f(x) \\ x(0) = x_0 \end{cases} \text{ and } \begin{cases} \dot{y} = g(y) \\ y(0) = y_0 \end{cases}$$

on an interval [0,T]. Then  $x(t) \leq y(t)$  for all  $t \in [0,T]$ .

### 6.2 Bifurcations

### 6.2.1 External Parameters

• Consider the ODE

$$\dot{x} = f(x, r)$$

where r is a parameter of the model.

• Question. How do the dynamics vary as we vary r?

**Example.** Consider the differential equation  $\dot{x} = r + x^2$ . Depending on the value of r, we could have:

- 2 critical points, one of which is stable (when r < 0)
- 1 critical point, which is half-stable (when r = 0)
- 0 critical points, (when r > 0)

This change in behavior as r goes from negative to positive is called a *bifurcation*. We say that a bifurcation occurs at  $(x^*, r^*) = (0, 0)$ .

## 7 Lecture 7

#### 7.1 Saddle-Node Bifurcations

**Definition.** Bifurcation

Consider the following autonomous system

$$\dot{x} = f(x, \lambda)$$

where  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ . A bifurcation occurs at parameter  $\lambda = \lambda_0$  if there are parameter values  $\lambda_1$  arbitrarily close to  $\lambda_0$  with dynamics topologically inequivalent from those at  $\lambda_0$ .

### 7.2 Identifying Bifurcations

If the system

$$\dot{x} = f(x, r)$$

has a bifurcation at  $(x,r) = (x^*,r^*)$ , then

$$f(x^*, r^*) = 0$$
 and  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x^*, r^*) = 0$ .

Note. The converse is *not* necessarily true! This helps us find *possible* bifurcation points, but we still need to perform further analysis in order to check whether these points are *actually* bifurcation points.

*Proof.* We define  $\eta=x-x^*$ , which implies that  $\dot{\eta}=\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x^*,r^*)\eta$ , which is stable if  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x^*,r^*)<0$  and unstable if  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x^*,r^*)>0$ . Hence there is a change in stability when  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x^*,r^*)=0$ .

## 8 Lecture 8

### 8.1 Transcritical Bifurcations

We are analyzing differential equations of the form

$$\dot{x} = rx - x^2 = x(r - x).$$

We see that there are fixed points at x = 0, r. To find the bifurcations, we solve for when

$$f(x,r) = rx - x^2 = 0,$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x,r) = r - 2x = 0.$$

Hence we have  $2x^2 - x^2 = x^2 = 0$ , so the only possible bifurcation occurs at x = r = 0.

## 9 Lecture 9

### 9.1 Subcritical Pitchfork

Look at the bifurcation diagram for

$$\dot{x} = rx + x^3.$$

## 9.2 Supercritical Pitchfork

Look at the bifurcation diagram for

$$\dot{x} = rx - x^3.$$

## 9.3 Symmetry

Note that the Saddle-node bifurcation  $(\dot{x}=r+x^2)$  and the Transcritical bifurcation  $(\dot{x}=rx-x^2)$  are not symmetric with respect to y=-x, i.e.  $x\to -x$ . For the Saddle-node bifurcation, we have

$$\dot{x} = r + x^{2}$$

$$-\dot{y} = r + x^{2}$$

$$\dot{y} = -r - y^{2},$$

and the Transcritical bifurcation yields

$$\dot{x} = rx - x^2$$

$$-\dot{y} = -ry - y^2$$

$$\dot{y} = ry + y^2.$$

However, for the subcritical pitchfork bifurcation, we have

$$\dot{x} = rx + x^3$$

$$-\dot{y} = -ry - y^3$$

$$\dot{y} = ry + y^3,$$

so it is symmetric.

### 9.4 Hysteresis

Consider the ODE given by

$$\dot{x} = rx + x^3 - x^5.$$

### 10 Lecture 10

Note. Hysteresis is a concept that appears due to the non-reversibility as the parameter r varies. If we look at the bifurcation diagram's stable branches, we take one path as we increase r past 0, but take a different path as we decrease r back below 0.

### 10.1 Taylor's Theorem

#### 10.1.1 Single Variable

Let F(t) be continuous and  $\frac{\mathrm{d}^n F}{\mathrm{d}t^n}$  is continuous for  $1 \leq n \leq N+1$ . Then we can write

$$F(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{N} \frac{1}{n!} \frac{\mathrm{d}^{n} F}{\mathrm{d} t^{n}} (0) t^{n} + R_{N}(t),$$

where 
$$R_N(t) = \underbrace{\frac{1}{(N+1)!} \frac{\mathrm{d}^{N+1} F}{\mathrm{d} t^{N+1}} \left(\tilde{t}\right) t^{n+1}}_{\text{Lagrange form residue}}$$
 for some  $\tilde{t} \in (0,t)$ .

#### 10.1.2 Multi Variable

Let f(x,r) be smooth (so  $\frac{\partial^n}{\partial x^n} \frac{\partial^m}{\partial r^m} f$  is continuous for all  $m,n \geq 1$ ). Then let F(t) = f(tx,tr) and apply Taylor's Theorem. We have

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^n}{\mathrm{d}t^n}F(t) = \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j} \frac{\partial^n f}{\partial_x^{n-j}\partial_r^j}(tx,tr)x^{n-j}r^j.$$

When we take t = 1, we have

$$f(x,t) = F(1)$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{N} \frac{1}{n!} \frac{d^{n}F}{dt^{n}}(0) + R_{N}(0)$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{N} \sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{1}{(n-j)!j!} \frac{\partial^{n}f}{\partial_{x}^{n-j}\partial r^{j}}(0,0)x^{n-j}r^{j} + R_{N}(0)$$

#### **Theorem** — Taylor's Theorem

Suppose all partial derivatives of f(x,r) up to order N+1 are continuous. Then,

$$f(x,r) = \sum_{n=0}^{N} \sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{1}{(n-j)!j!} \frac{\partial^{n} f}{\partial x^{n-j} \partial r^{j}} (0,0) x^{n-j} r^{j} + R_{N}(x,r),$$

where the remainder term can be written as

$$R_N(x,r) = \sum_{j=0}^{N+1} \frac{1}{(N+1-j)!j!} \frac{\partial^{N+1} f}{\partial x^{N+1-j} \partial r^j} (tx, tr) x^{N+1-j} r^j,$$

for some 0 < t < 1.

#### Example. Special Case

Consider the case where N=2 for the Taylor expansion. Plugging that into the formula, we have that the quadratic expansion of f(x,r) at (0,0) is

$$\begin{split} f(x,r) &= f(0,0) + \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(0,0) \cdot x + \frac{\partial f}{\partial r}(0,0) \cdot r \\ &+ \frac{\partial f}{\partial x \partial r}(0,0) \cdot xr + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2}(0,0) \cdot x^2 + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial r^2}(0,0) \cdot r^2. \end{split}$$

#### 10.2 Normal Forms

Consider the Taylor expansion for a saddle-node bifurcation about the point  $(x^*, r^*)$ :

$$\dot{x} = f(x,r) \\
= f(x^*,r^*) + \underbrace{\partial x f(x^*,r^*)}_{q_1}(x-x^*) + \underbrace{\partial r f(x^*,r^*)}_{p_1}(r-r^*) \\
+ \underbrace{\frac{1}{2}\partial_{xx} f(x^*,r^*)}_{q_2}(x-x^*)^2 + \underbrace{\partial_{xr} f(x^*,r^*)}_{p_2}(x-x^*)(r-r^*) \\
+ \underbrace{\frac{1}{2}\partial_{rr} f(x^*,r^*)}_{Q}(r-r^*)^2 + \text{higher order terms.}$$

**Theorem.** Suppose that  $f(x^*, r^*) = 0$ ,  $q_1 = 0$ ,  $p_1 \neq 0$ ,  $q_2 \neq 0$ . Then  $\dot{x} = f(x, r)$  undergoes a saddle-node bifurcation at  $(x^*, r^*)$  and

$$\dot{x} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial r}(x^*, r^*)(r - r^*) + \frac{1}{2}\partial_{xx}f(x^*, r^*)(x - x^*)^2 + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^3)$$

for  $|r-r^*|<\varepsilon^2$  and  $|x-x^*|<\varepsilon$ . Moreover, there exists a change of variables

$$(t, x, r) \mapsto (s, y, R)$$

such that

$$\dot{x} = p_1(r - r^*) + q_2(x - x^*)^2 + \text{higher order terms}$$

takes the form

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}y}{\mathrm{d}s} = R + y^2 \tag{Saddle-node bifurcation}$$

near  $(0,0) = (y(x^*), R(r^*)).$ 

## 11 Lecture 11

#### 11.1 Normal Forms for Saddle-Node Bifurcations

Note. The mapping in the previous theorem basically just maps  $(x^*, r^*)$  to the origin in the yR-plane.

**Example.** Consider the ODE given by

$$\dot{x} = r + x - 1 - e^{x - 1}.$$

To find possible bifurcation points, we find where

$$r + x - 1 - e^{x-1} = 0,$$
  
$$1 - e^{x-1} = 0.$$

Hence the only possible bifurcation point occurs at (1,1). We now Taylor expand f about (1,1) to get

$$f(x,r) = r + x - 1 - \left(1 + (x-1) + \frac{1}{2}(x-1)^2 + \cdots\right)$$
$$= (r-1) - \frac{1}{2}(x-1)^2 + \mathcal{O}(|x-1|^3).$$

We can see that the above takes the form

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}y}{\mathrm{d}s} = R + y^2,$$

so wee have a saddle-node bifurcation.

#### 11.2 Normal Forms for Transcritical Bifurcations

**Theorem.** Suppose that  $\dot{x} = f(x,r)$  has a bifurcation at  $(x,r) = (x^*,r^*)$ . If

$$\begin{split} &\frac{\partial^n f}{\partial r^n}(x^*,r^*) = 0 \quad \text{for all } n \\ &\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial r}(x^*,r^*) \neq 0 \\ &\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2}(x^*,r^*) \neq 0 \end{split}$$

Then  $(x^*, r^*)$  is a transcritical bifurcation.

Math 134 Kyle Chui Lecture Notes Page 21

#### 12 Lecture 12

#### 12.1Dimensional Analysis

Note. For dimensional analysis:

- Express all of your time derivatives in a unit-less form by substituting another variable, i.e.
- Make the equation truly dimensionless by dividing all sides by the common unit, i.e. a unit of

Since we are not well-equipped to study second-order differential equations yet, we try to find certain conditions that make the second-order term vanish to zero (but not any of the other terms). Consider the following differential equation:

$$\frac{r}{gT^2} \cdot \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 \phi}{\mathrm{d}\tau^2} = -\frac{b}{mgT} \frac{\mathrm{d}\phi}{\mathrm{d}\tau} - \sin\phi + \frac{r\Omega^2}{g} \sin\phi \cos\phi.$$

We wish to study a regime where

$$\frac{b}{mgT} = 1,$$

so we have that  $T = \frac{b}{mq}$ . Plugging this T into the second-order term above, we get

$$\frac{r}{\underbrace{g\left(\frac{b}{mg}\right)^2}}\frac{\mathrm{d}^2\phi}{\mathrm{d}\tau^2} = -\frac{\mathrm{d}\phi}{\mathrm{d}\tau} - \sin\phi + \underbrace{\frac{r\Omega^2}{g}}_{\gamma}\sin\phi\cos\phi$$
$$\varepsilon\frac{\mathrm{d}^2\phi}{\mathrm{d}\tau^2} = -\frac{\mathrm{d}\phi}{\mathrm{d}\tau} - \sin\phi + \gamma\sin\phi\cos\phi.$$

$$\varepsilon \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 \phi}{\mathrm{d}\tau^2} = -\frac{\mathrm{d}\phi}{\mathrm{d}\tau} - \sin\phi + \gamma \sin\phi \cos\phi.$$

If  $\varepsilon \ll 1$ , then we get that

$$\frac{r}{g\left(\frac{b}{mg}\right)^2} \ll 1$$
$$m^2 g r \ll b^2$$

Thus we are left with the equation

$$0 = -\frac{\mathrm{d}\phi}{\mathrm{d}\tau} - \sin\phi + \gamma\sin\phi\cos\phi$$
$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\phi}{\mathrm{d}\tau} = -\sin\phi + \gamma\sin\phi\cos\phi$$
$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\phi}{\mathrm{d}\tau} = \sin\phi(\gamma\cos\phi - 1).$$

Note. The non-dimensionalized form of our model is

$$\varepsilon \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 \phi}{\mathrm{d}\tau^2} + \frac{\mathrm{d}\phi}{\mathrm{d}\tau} = \sin \phi (\gamma \cos \phi - 1).$$

If  $\varepsilon \ll 1$ , then

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\phi}{\mathrm{d}\tau} = \sin\phi(\gamma\cos\phi - 1).$$

### 12.1.1 Bifurcation Analysis of the First-order Equation

We see that we have fixed points when  $\phi = n\pi$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  or  $\cos \phi = \frac{1}{\gamma}$ . When  $0 < \gamma < 1$ , then there are no solutions to  $\cos \gamma = \phi$ . For  $\gamma > 1$ , we are going to have two solutions, symmetric about  $\phi = 0$ , given by  $\phi^* = \pm \cos^{-1}(\frac{1}{\gamma})$ . Finally, when  $\gamma = 1$ , then  $\phi = 0$ .

We wish to find when  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial \phi} = 0$ , so

$$\cos \phi (\gamma \cos \phi - 1) + \sin \phi (-\gamma \sin \phi) = \gamma \cos^2 \phi - \cos \phi - \gamma \sin^2 \phi$$
$$= \gamma \cos^2 \phi - \cos \phi - \gamma (1 - \cos^2 \phi)$$
$$= 2\gamma \cos^2 \phi - \cos \phi - \gamma.$$

### 13 Lecture 13

We now perform stability analysis on the differential equation from last lecture. When we are at the fixed point  $\phi = 0$ , we have that

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial \phi}\Big|_{\phi=0} = (2\gamma \cos^2 \phi - \cos \phi - \gamma)|_{\phi=0}$$
$$= \gamma - 1.$$

- If  $\gamma > 1$ , then we have an unstable fixed point at  $\phi = 0$ .
- If  $0 < \gamma < 1$ , then we have a stable fixed point at  $\phi = 0$ .
- If  $\gamma = 1$ , there is a possible bifurcation at  $\phi = 0$ .

We now consider the fixed points  $\phi = \pm \pi$ .

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial \phi}\Big|_{\phi=\pi} = (2\gamma \cos^2 \phi - \cos \phi - \gamma)|_{\phi=\pi}$$
$$= \gamma + 1.$$

• We have that this fixed point is unstable for all  $\gamma > 0$ .

Finally, we consider the fixed points  $\phi = \pm \cos^{-1}(\frac{1}{2})$ .

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial \phi}\Big|_{\phi = \pm \cos^{-1}(1/\gamma)} = (2\gamma \cos^2 \phi - \cos \phi - \gamma)\Big|_{\phi = \pm \cos^{-1}(1/\gamma)}$$
$$= \frac{1}{\gamma} - \gamma.$$

- If  $\gamma > 1$ , then we have stable fixed points.
- If  $0 < \gamma < 1$ , then we have unstable fixed points.
- If  $\gamma = 1$ , there is a possible bifurcation.

### 13.1 Singularly Perturbed Problems

We consider problems of the form

$$\varepsilon \phi'' + \phi' = f(\phi).$$

We wish to see how the solutions of  $\phi' = f(\phi)$  relate to the solutions of the above.

#### 13.2 Another Non-dimensionalization Problem

Consider a damped pendulum with length L, mass m, friction constant k and gravitational constant g. By Newton's Second Law we have

$$mL\ddot{\theta} = -k\dot{\theta} - mg\sin\theta.$$

**Question.** In which region can we approximate it by a first order differential equation? We again define  $\tau := \frac{t}{T}$ , where T > 0 is a typical time scale. Thus

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\theta}{\mathrm{d}\tau} = \frac{\mathrm{d}\theta}{\mathrm{d}\tau} \cdot \frac{\mathrm{d}\tau}{\mathrm{d}t} = \frac{\mathrm{d}\theta}{\mathrm{d}\tau} \cdot \frac{1}{T}.$$

Hence we have

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^2 \theta}{\mathrm{d}t^2} = \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 \theta}{\mathrm{d}\tau^2} \cdot \frac{1}{T^2}.$$

Therefore the original equation can be rewritten as

$$\frac{mL}{T^2} \cdot \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 \theta(\tau)}{\mathrm{d}\tau^2} = -\frac{k}{T} \cdot \frac{\mathrm{d}\theta(\tau)}{\mathrm{d}\tau} - mg\sin(\theta(\tau))$$

Since the units of each of these terms is force, we divide everything by mg, yielding

$$\frac{L}{T^2g} \cdot \frac{\mathrm{d}^2\theta(\tau)}{\mathrm{d}\tau^2} = -\frac{k}{Tmg} \cdot \frac{\mathrm{d}\theta(\tau)}{\mathrm{d}\tau} - \sin(\theta(\tau)).$$

We choose  $T = \frac{k}{mg}$ , giving us a coefficient of

$$\varepsilon = \frac{L}{g\left(\frac{k}{mg}\right)^2} = \frac{m^2gL}{k^2},$$

so

$$\varepsilon \ddot{\theta} = -\dot{\theta} - \sin \theta.$$

If we take  $\varepsilon \ll 1$  then  $\dot{\theta} = -\sin\theta$ , which is quite a good approximation to the original problem.

## 14 Lecture 14

### 14.1 Imperfect Bifurcations

We consider differential equations of the form

$$\dot{x} = f(x, r, h).$$

Note that in this case we have two parameters. How can we study fixed points/stability/bifurcations?

**Example.** Consider the differential equation given by  $\dot{x} = h + rx - x^3$ .

We can rewrite this as  $\dot{x} = x(r - x^2) - (-h)$ , and we let  $y_1 = x(r - x^2)$  and  $y_2 = -h$ . When h = 0, we have a supercritical pitchfork bifurcation. We call h the *imperfection parameter*.

We first fix r and vary h. Critical points occur whenever  $y_1(x) = y_2(x)$ . We see that when  $r \leq 0$  we have 1 stable critical point.